

August 30, 1960.

Professor S.E. Bresler,
Institute of High Molecular Compounds,
Academy of Sciences,
2nd Birshevoi 6,
Leningrad, USSR.

Dear Bresler,

I am in the process of revising "The Voice of the Dolphins", which will be published by Simon & Schuster. Enclosed are new new pages 18 - 27 which replace the old pages 18 - 27(d) inclusive. Enclosed are further new pages 35, 36 and 37. Also enclosed is a copy of Appendix 1, the Appendix which you now have should be called Appendix 2. All this is enclosed in two copies.

When your time permits, I should be grateful for your letting me know whether there would be any point in having this type of book published in Russia. I am afraid the style in which it is written would be more suited to the taste of English readers than American readers.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

P.S. I am sending copies of the enclosures to Academician Topchiev by the same mail.

September 3, 1960.

Professor S.E. Bresler,
Institute of High Molecular Compounds,
The Academy of Sciences,
2nd Birshevoi 6,
Leningrad,
USSR.

Dear Bresler,

I have just received through the Embassy of the USSR in Washington a letter addressed to me by N.S. Khrushchev, Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the USSR, which is dated August 30. This letter is a reply to the letter which I wrote him on June 27 concerning informal discussions between Russian and American scientists.

The reply to my letter is very gratifying indeed and I am communicating the text of my letter and the reply to Jerome Wiesner, Richard Leghorn, Harrison Brown and also to Academician Alexander Topchiev. All of these will meet in London on September 10 and 11 in order to set a date for the next Pugwash meeting in Moscow and thus they will have an opportunity to discuss with each other this correspondence.

Because, when you were in New York, we had an opportunity to discuss the importance of such informal conversations between American and Russian scientists, I know that you will be interested to hear of this favourable development.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

Dr.L.Szilard
Room 812,
The Memorial Hospital
444 East 68th Street
New York 21,^a N.Y.,U.S.A.

September 13,1960

Dear Dr.Szilard,

Thank you for your letters and the "Dolphins".
I just came from holydays and found them with all the correspon-
dance waiting for me.

First of all I was very glad to hear that you
feel well,that you got the right answer to your letter and that
your visit to Moscow is possible in a near future.

What concerns the"Dolphins",the prospects of
publishing the pamphlet etc.,I shall write to you specially on
that topic after some time,when I shall have some news.Of course,
I have comments on details of it.

My best regards to your wife and all the best
to you.

Sincerely yours,



S.Bresler

P.S. I start working in molecular biology at home.Some day I
shall bother you with the exposure of some experiments,we shall
try to make.

October 24, 1960

Leningrad

Dr. L. Szilard,
Room 812,
The Memorial Hospital,
444 East 68th Street,
New York, 24, N.Y., U.S.A.

Dear Szilard,

I am happy to hear from you and to know that you feel well and are active as ever. I have read in the newspapers about your conversation with our leader, now I received your letter. All this is promising. In spite of the bitterness in the relations between statesmen, I feel optimistic. I look forward to see you at the time of the Pagwash meeting.

Now about the "Dolphins": I think it quite possible to publish them here. I would suggest as the best move for you - to write to Topchiev and propose the publication in a straightforward way. I reread them and found quite interesting and witty. I can make one objection. You make the U.S.S.R. change its opinion about disarmament and interchange places between U.S.A. and U.S.S.R. This idea sheds doubt on soviet disarmament policy, because it makes the general principle of policy without war a matter of expediency. Of course, it will arise sharp criticism here. And it is not motivated. Why the Union, who is for general disarmament now, being in minority in the U.N. and having better long range rockets, would object against disarmament at a time when it wins the majority of votes and has technically equal arms with U.S.A.? I see your point about protection of remote areas but I do not find it important. First of all without heavy arms there can not be a blitzkrieg. On the opposite, any war must involve big amounts of men, masses of soldiers. Even in a hypothetic war of U.S.A. against Cuba only with rifles and machine guns, there must be a big army involved. But in peace time it is not easy to organize and train a big army. It takes time. And with modern means of communications it would not take more time for Russian volunteers with rifles and machine guns to arrive on the spot and participate. So the equilibrium would establish by itself. Altogether I find this point in the "Dolphins" a mistake and it would be better to change.

All the best to you and my kindest regards to your wife.

Yours sincerely

S. Bresler

S. Bresler