An Oral History of

HERBERT YORK and STANLEY CHODOROW

On September 3, 1998

- 1 **CHODOROW:** This is a conversation with Herb York, primarily about the foundations of
- 2 medical school, but we will start with another question that came up, recently, in the
- 3 conversation with John Singer. What John said was that, once you became—which must've
- 4 been by the time you arrived—a general campus, and had to build in the whole range of fields,
- 5 that there was a committee of Brahmins, as he put it, put together that was mostly people from
- 6 outside the nature of philosophy. And he knew that there was somebody from UCLA whose
- 7 name he couldn't recall, and that they were the ones who were suggesting people to be brought
- 8 through for literature and history and philosophy, and so on. And we had not yet—had never
- 9 picked up on the existence of that committee, and wanted to ask you about it.
- 10 YORK: Well, I think it was actually more of a floating crap game than a formal committee. I
- 11 remember asking Clark Kerr where to get advice, and he came up with a bunch of names. And
- many of these people were names actually suggested by Clark, or even by Harry Wellman. And,
- but we—my memory is that we never met—that group never met as a committee, which is why I
- say it was more of a floating crap game. But there were a number of people whom we were
- regular in touch with, and it included—and I may have even mentioned this last time, and I'm
- still blocking on a name—a guy who was the first head of Institute for Advanced Study in the
- 17 Social Sciences, up there at Stanford—he was one. And sometimes they came here and
- 18 sometimes I went to visit them.
- 19 And my main memory about it is dealing with them myself, but I'm probably—I'm sure it must be
- true, that Singer and some of the others met with them, or especially when one or more of them
- visited here. Among other people at UCLA, we talked with Lynn Hoit. I'm not sure—I don't
- remember another name. The same thing applies to Medical School, but quite separately. That
- 23 is that there, there was actually a committee. But with regard to the general campus, it was a
- case of a subgroup of people that we had, you know, more than just—there were probably a lot
- of people we talked to just once. And, you know, the name of the guy at Stanford is right just
- behind the cloud—he was a longtime friend of ours.
- 27 And, so there was such a group of people, but I don't think of it as a committee in the case of
- the general campus. In the case of the Medical School, we actually had a committee of—it was

- 29 a group of people who were very helpful, although all of those early people came out of some
- 30 other—you know, the methods by which we found people were otherwise. In the case of the first
- 31 philosophers, I believe it was my very long lifelong friend, Joe Platt, who was president of
- 32 Harvey Mudd, who either told—the other Popkin—Richard, to call me, or told me to call him, I
- forget what it was. But Joe Platt somehow knew that Popkin was at loose ends and looking at
- something, and—but Joe Platt was not one of these Brahmins; he happened to be a friend who
- 35 I was in touch with.
- 36 The others were not people I had previously known; Ralph was the first name of the [unclear].
- 37 The others were not people that I knew personally, but had, you know, had met only in this
- 38 connection. Joe was somebody I knew all along. And, you know, Harold Urey bumped into—I
- 39 guess we talked about this, last time—Harold Urey bumped into Seymour Harris, who told him
- 40 that he was being fired by reason of age, was outraged about it, at Harvard. And there were
- other people like that—and I've forgotten where a lot of them were. In the case of, you know, the
- 42 non-humanities, in the case of the mathematicians, there, it was Brueckner just digging, digging,
- 43 digging, and very persistently.
- 44 And we went through several names and we thought we had, and I mentioned this last time,
- 45 too. So, we did consult our campus; there wasn't so much—I don't recall that we ever got all
- 46 these people together at one time, although it's possible that we did get most of them together
- once, or something like that. But there was a small pool of people who we, you know, had been
- 48 put [unclear].
- 49 **THIRD SPEAKER:** [unclear] Professor Singer was there, it was a very formal [inaudible] their
- 50 choices on this campus [inaudible] whatnot. And who also [inaudible] to the position that UCSD
- was a new campus [inaudible] attract many stars.
- 52 YORK: That was uniquely the position of Seymour Harris. I don't remember anyone else—
- that may have been a part of other people's views.
- 54 **THIRD SPEAKER:** This story or this anecdote was used to illustrate the hiring of Roy Pierce
- 55 again, because what professor Seymour said is that after somebody, the chair of the
- 56 department at the University of Washington campus presentation, Professor Singer by himself
- or [crosstalk], discussing this with Jim Arnold and others, saying how bad these selections were.
- And Arnold challenged them to go out and do it themselves. And they did it and they got Roy
- 59 Pierce to come.

- 60 YORK: Well, that could be, that could be. You know, my recollection of the people that
- Singer thought were bad was, you know, *[unclear]*. So, he thought most people, I mean, he
- didn't like most people. They didn't fit his idea of what a humanist or a social scientist ought to
- 63 be. And he had a very particular idea. Now, the Pierce case, you know, something like that
- 64 certainly could've happened, I think that's a realistic conversation, you're talking about it, and—
- 65 and so something like that could've happened, but—and it is also true that, the people we did
- get didn't come through this process. I mean, in other words, the recommendations we got, as
- far as I know, virtually none of them did work out. I don't know where the idea of Barraclough
- 68 came from.
- 69 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, I asked John if he knew, and he did not *[crosstalk]* no idea. You know,
- 70 Barraclough had a career in which he moved fairly often. When I told my mentor, Brian Tierney,
- 71 that Barraclough, who was a very famous medieval historian although he'd moved into world
- history [unclear], was at UCSD, he said, "Oh, well, not for long." Because that was his
- 73 reputation. And in fact, by the time I got here, he had left, after three or maybe four years—I
- think it was three years. And gone to Brandeis and eventually back to Oxford as one of the
- chairs. But how he was discovered, I don't know.
- 76 And in some respects, he was a really inspired choice, because he was so well-known. But his
- 77 strategy—of course, he's dead, now, and you can't ask him but—his strategy of appointing
- senior faculty, most of them coming in as associate professors, from small liberal arts colleges,
- 79 was a very interesting. When I got to the campus in 1968, the seven or eight senior people in
- the department were, every single one of them, from a liberal arts college. From Knox College
- to Cornell College in Iowa, from *[unclear]* Saint John's College, just one after the other, they
- 82 were all from—so that their upbringing, so to speak—their academic upbringing was guite
- 83 different from [crosstalk].
- 84 **YORK:** Was Gabe first? [Crosstalk] and I wonder if we got Gabe through John Galbraith.
- 85 **CHODOROW:** May have but I don't know that.
- 86 YORK: And then, see, once there were social scientists here, then they were coming up with
- 87 all sorts of ideas. And there were a number of interesting ideas in political—political science is
- the one that took us the longest.

- 89 **CHODOROW:** That's right, and I was already involved in that, because when I got here, it
- hadn't been done [crosstalk] we kept working at it for a couple years [inaudible] and Sandy, too.
- 91 YORK: Yeah, there were some ideas that I think would've worked out, but which were
- 92 rejected. They were the kind of thing as exactly the same situation as Braidwood, somebody
- 93 particularly Singer didn't think this scholar was mathematical enough. Or, you know, again, it's
- not quite the right word, but, I mean, scientific enough is the idea. And then there was one that
- 95 was promoted and unfortunately didn't come—I think it was just the chaos at the end—and I
- 96 can't—maybe you can remember—it was a short name—it's a guy—
- 97 **CHODOROW:** Ted Gur?
- 98 YORK: No, no, this is a fellow at Princeton, who's—you know, he's an elite radical—I can't
- 99 think of his name. But we wanted a chairman, and he didn't want to be chairman. He's
- somebody that knew Mark was promoted, in fact, several people promoted him—but it's a short
- name. I actually visited him at Princeton, and there are lots of chaotic offices in this world,
- including my own, but I had never seen one anything like his. There was, you know, a desk
- more or less half this size, a typical size, and literally piled this deep with paper.
- 104 I don't know how he could've ever found anything. [Laughter] He probably did remember where
- 105 certain things were, but—he was very good—he was brilliant and, you know, great with words.
- But as a chairman, he would've been terrible, and I think he would've been *[glitch interferes with*]
- 107 audio].
- 108 **CHODOROW:** So, let's turn to the Medical School—
- 109 YORK: Well, the first thing that happened as far as—well, you know, there are really two—
- there are several threads coming together, here. One important thread I'll mention, then I'll back
- away from it, is the fact that Bonner and Singer and Hamburger [Robert], maybe one or two
- others, had all come from Yale, where they were positive about the fact that the Yale Medical
- 113 School was no good. I mean, they were just extremely negative about the Yale Medical School.
- And this is part of an odd, you know, momentary coalition, Roy Pierce and the ones from Ohio
- State were upset about the medical school there, but for an entirely different reason. And that is
- that the adjunct professors, which are common in medical schools, had a vote in the senate.

- And as far as Roy was concerned, that was a disaster. I mean, you know, they were all arch-
- conservatives—Roy has this picture of himself as being a liberal, which has a certain amount of
- 119 truth to it, but—
- 120 CHODOROW: Classical [crosstalk].
- 121 **YORK:** Yeah, but I don't think *[glitch interferes with audio]*. Well, he has a certain—he knows
- the vocabulary. And, but at any rate, that was one of the things that he was very upset about,
- and he didn't want that to happen again here. And then, of course, there was a—so, there were
- these two groups who had very fixed ideas about medical schools [laughs], for quite different
- reasons. And I'll get back to the Bonner-Singer fixation in a minute. And then there was the
- 126 global question which was everywhere, I mean, not just here, but it was throughout through the
- whole academia at the time. And that is the difference between fulltime and part-time faculty,
- which is basically a kind of a—it's still an unresolved question.
- That is to say, it's not fully resolved. And then, so then, we'll set those aside and we'll get back
- to. There was an interval of about five months between when I was appointed chancellor and
- when I actually showed up. And in that interval, I made one or perhaps two visits, and I was in
- touch with Clark Kerr, and several people came to visit me—Joe Mayer is the one I remember
- best, but there were others, too. And somewhere in there, I met with Roger, maybe here, and
- began to meet some of the others. But mainly, it was a very remote relationship between me
- and the campus, from when I was appointed, which may have been the February meeting of the
- board, or the March meeting, of 1961 to when I actually showed up, which was the 4th of July.
- And during that time, one of the conversations I had with Clark Kerr, he raised two questions.
- He said, "What should the name of the campus be?" and how he put the question I'm not sure,
- but the alternatives were University of California La Joya, University of California San Diego.
- And the other one was, "Should we have a medical school?" Now, I'm not sure how much
- freedom of choice I really had with respect to either of those questions—I wasn't sure then, I'm
- not sure now. A certain amount, you know, but not a hell of a lot. I mean, it seems, to me, fairly
- obvious that Kerr knew the answers to both of those questions, [laughs] just wanted to make
- sure I was onboard. But, you know, I might've been able—you know, I can't say that it was an
- 145 entirely empty question.
- 146 If I'd had good reasons on either one, or he was able to present a good reason, then maybe it
- would've been different. But mainly, it was already set up. And with regard to the name, I said,

148 you know, I was already aware that the people of San Diego had voted us nearly all of this land 149 up here. And I have a general anti-elite attitude towards everything except [laughs] higher education, where I fully believe, you know, in an elite school like we are. But otherwise, I don't— I'm generally negative about elites, and that included the people of La Joya, you know, I wasn't 152 really sure about them at all. So, the idea of naming it after San Diego instead of La Joya just fit perfectly well, I didn't have any doubts about it. 153

150

151

154

155 156

157

158

159 160

161

162

163 164

165

166

167

168 169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

The other one I just didn't know, I'd never thought about that, you know, and I didn't—I don't remember any particular views forming then, except, the very general idea that, yeah, that would be, you know, that provides a lot of opportunity for [unclear]. Same view I held when the question later came about a law school, that would be a good thing, because it's another interesting area to sort of have and mix in with everything else. So, I liked the idea of a medical school, not for any very profound reasons, but just because I thought, you know, that's a good way to get things even broader. So, at any rate, I said yes, and began working with people on that question, very soon after coming here.

And working especially with Bonner and Singer on the one hand, and then other people here, too, but especially them. And then with Kerr and other people. And it soon became evident that the Bonner-Singer view of what a medical school ought to be was pretty singular. It was, you know, and let me exaggerate just to make the point, it focused heavily on research. But not just any kind of research, I mean, because they were—most of the biologists here, not just Singer and some of the others in Scripps, were, at that time, you know, sort of anti-taxonomy. I mean, these people would go out and name all the birds, and, I mean, that's not intellectual, I mean, you know, and—

And so, the kind of research they're talking about is essentially what we would now call molecular biology or molecular genetics. And that's what the medical school ought to be doing, and, you know, that's what they don't do at Yale, and—you know, these things are sort of mixed up in my head. But, I mean, it was this great antipathy with Yale, combined with this notion that what we want to do, if we're going to have a medical school, is it's going to be a research institution, especially with regard to molecular biology. And, but it was evident that what Clark Kerr and everybody else had in mind was a medical school of quality, that would do research and educate physicians to, you know, be concerned directly and indirectly with the health of the people of California. And so, there was really a fair difference.

- Now, the—and I think—I don't remember talking clearly, but we must've talked about it. But
- anyway, not very long after, Kerr—and I think it must've been Kerr, and he must've cleared it
- with me, but, I mean, it was his initiative—appointed [Robert] Tschirgi to chair the committee.
- So, now we have a real committee.
- 183 **CHODOROW:** Where was he, at the time?
- 184 YORK: I think he was at UCLA. And there were several other members who were from those
- other medical schools. We must've had some local people on it, as well, but the main trend was
- actually determined, now, by these other people who were from real medical schools. And as I
- told you with respect to the campus as a whole, my own philosophy always was that equality
- first, and then novelty is okay if it doesn't interfere with quality. And that was very much my idea
- with respect to the medical school: we want a great medical school, but the novelty is not by
- itself a virtue. But we did start out with a certain amount of novelty, but not the novelty that the
- 191 campus biologists had in mind.
- And, so we proceeded, in a number of the things you have to do, just the mechanics of it. I
- mean, I went down to the county hospital and talked with Dr. Sadel, who was the head of the
- hospital and was the county officer for, somehow or other—well, it was the usual county hospital
- where the indigents went, right there in Hillcrest where it still is. And we started recruiting, and
- we started planning somewhat more generally. And the recruiting went poorly, the same way as
- it went for some of the—you know, we had the same problems we had with mathematics and
- 198 political science. And that is, we had trouble getting something that we wanted.
- And here, I don't remember a lot of disagreement about the persons, but there were several
- 200 people whom we went right over the edge with, and then they dropped out at the last minute.
- 201 One of them—and I don't remember this name, it must be in the records somewhere—was a
- fellow who had been dean at the University of Oklahoma. And he actually accepted, and then
- within days called up and said, "No, I've thought it over and I don't want to do it." It's probably
- just as well, but I think it—it probably would've worked. But he was not at all in the image of—
- you know, he was—he was maybe too far from the Bonner image. But we had been having
- 206 enough trouble so that we felt the need to get started.
- 207 **CHODOROW:** One of the things that John said was that, for a quite a long period, Bonner
- was bringing people in as potential dean candidates, and couldn't anybody to buy his plan. So
- that just, it just wasn't interesting [crosstalk] these people who he would normally turn to.

210 YORK: Well, yeah, I think that's probably true. I don't know that it was—he probably did, but 211 the people I'm talking about, I don't think he even came through that channel. I mean, it's 212 probably true that—I'm not even sure that I knew, at the time, what you just told me, but maybe I did. But the people I'm talking about came—different channel. Now, then we got somebody on 213 214 the hook who did become dean at Stanford, I mean, in other words, when we went after him, he 215 turned—he was a cripple from polio. Does that ring a bell? And he was interested, and we spent 216 a lot of time negotiating, and then he turned us down. 217 And then about a year or two later, he went—he showed up as dean at Stanford Medical 218 School. And I only remember those two as really going deeply into it. I mean, I'm sure there were a lot of others whom we, you know, had a small minuet with, but only those two whom we 219 220 went very far with. At one point, the university offered him the job, the first one, and in the 221 second case, essentially, I had offered him the job, but he wouldn't take it. I'm not sure we got to 222 even that point with anyone else, but we kept going. And finally, we got in touch with Joe 223 Stokes, and how we heard about Joe Stokes, I'm not sure. 224 But Joe Stokes did fit the image of sort of a modern medical school, in other words, of being 225 somebody whose views were different. But I can't remember [laughs] different in what way. And, 226 you know, different in the sense of being less stuffy, you know, almost a personal characteristic 227 rather than intellectual [glitch interferes with audio]. And so, we did start to recruit him. But while 228 we were recruiting him is when the interim period came between when I had announced I was 229 resigning to when John Galbraith replaced me. And Joe said he wouldn't—he was interested. 230 but he wouldn't take the job unless he knew who the chancellor was going to be. And so, the appointment of John Galbraith and the appointment of Joe Stokes took place almost 231 232 simultaneously. Because Joe was willing to work with John, and—it may not have been the 233 same Regents meeting, it may have been a Regents meeting later. Again, it's a place where 234 there's a hard record one could check. And so that worked out. Now, Joe did turn out, perhaps, 235 to be too relaxed. I mean, he did get started, he did recruit good people, they were people who 236 were known as great medical school faculty. Who, you know, I think of as not being the image of 237 what Singer and Bonner wanted, but nevertheless, being intellectually fine people anyhow, and acceptable but only reluctantly. I don't know, I—what can you tell me about [laughs] what John 238

might've said about the first appointments?

239

- 240 **CHODOROW:** [Crosstalk], yeah, John actually said that—well, he obviously has very little
- respect for Joe Stokes. Somehow, Joe recruited people who really [crosstalk].
- 242 YORK: Yeah, but they were not the microbiologists that they were talking about.
- 243 **CHODOROW:** Right.
- 244 **YORK:** See, to exaggerate to make the point, my view of what Bonner and Singer were
- 245 talking about was a school that would raise doctors who would, if somebody was bleeding,
- 246 they'd say, "What is that red stuff? What is wrong with that person?" [Laughter] And what I've
- 247 never been able to figure out—and I've never tried to talk with him to explore it—is the fact that
- 248 Hamburger, Bob Hamburger, you know, was with them on this issue. And yet, he himself is a
- 249 perfect example of a real, of a wonderful physician from the curing the sick people point of view.
- 250 So, somehow or another, I've never understood that contradiction, because—
- 251 **CHODOROW:** It's hard to say what role he played, he was there—
- 252 **YORK:** Well, he helped with recruiting, you know? I don't think he did play a major—he's not
- somebody whom I remember as being a major figure in either administration or recruiting, but
- he was great by example, and he also—and I'm sure he contributed. So, Bob was one of the—
- 255 Bob Hamburger—is -urger—just Hamburg—is it with an "er"?
- 256 **CHODOROW**: [Crosstalk] Yeah
- 257 **YORK:** He's a wonderful [crosstalk].
- 258 **CHODOROW:** I know this very vividly because, when we first met him, my older son was
- about five or six, and heard that name and started to cackle [crosstalk] a five- or six-year-old
- 260 does, right?
- 261 YORK: Right, [crosstalk] somebody being named "Weiner" [crosstalk]. [Laughter]
- 262 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, right.
- 263 YORK: So anyway, we went the quality orthodox way, and Tschirgi—I'm coming back to
- Tschirgi—he chaired this group and kept us, you know, on this line, which it was the line I
- favored. When I say kept us on it, he wasn't doing anything I didn't want done. And helped with

- the first recruiting, then we got other people. Then Tschirgi himself, you know, never did play a
- 267 major role in the school, except that early point, and I—
- 268 **CHODOROW:** He did become a faculty member.
- 269 YORK: Yes, I have to tell you, I never knew what it was that John Galbraith and Bob Tschirgi
- 270 had going between them. Because Bob didn't do much, here. You know, most of the time he
- was here, he sometimes had titles like vice-chancellor for something, but—
- 272 **CHODOROW:** He was the vice-chancellor of planning *[crosstalk]*.
- 273 YORK: Yeah, but he never seemed, to me, to have a central role in any of those things,
- except in the case of the medical school. When he was chairman of this committee, he did, in
- fact, play an important role.
- 276 **CHODOROW:** [Glitch interferes with audio]
- 277 **YORK:** No, no.
- 278 **CHODOROW:** Wasn't [glitch interferes with audio] school [glitch interferes with audio]?
- 279 YORK: It could be, but I don't remember, yeah, all that's probably true, mm-hmm, all that's
- 280 probably true.
- 281 **CHODOROW:** [unclear] enjoyed the fact that Tschirgi's name was his profession [crosstalk].
- 282 YORK: What does Tschirgi mean?
- 283 **CHODOROW:** Surgery.
- 284 YORK: Oh, does it? Ah, like, it's a Spanish word, "cirugia" meaning "surgery."
- 285 **CHODOROW**: [Crosstalk]
- 286 YORK: So, in what language is "Tschirgi"?
- 287 **CHODOROW:** It's Italian. But in his case, I always thought he was from the area of Italy way
- 288 over by Slovenia [crosstalk].

- 289 YORK: Yeah, we all would've preferred, early on, a medical—more activity on the campus,
- as relevant to what was going on in Hillcrest. And we eventually did, you know, we built the
- basic science building, right away. But it took a long time before we got to Perlman and
- 292 Thornton and the veterans administration. I was chancellor when we negotiated the veteran's
- administration. That was somewhat controversial, because the question is, you know, "Is that
- 294 the kind of thing we want to get involved with or not?" but the medical faculty probably did. Then
- 295 I was also—
- 296 **CHODOROW:** They need patients.
- 297 YORK: Yeah. I was also involved when Cliff [Clifford Grobstein] was dean, and he was
- 298 difficult to deal with, but for an entirely different set of reasons. I mean, Cliff could suddenly get
- off on a small point and just [laughs] [glitch interferes with audio] forever, which is what, you
- 300 know—I'm sure the people—the people in Biology often threw up their hands at Cliff when he
- was chairman. It's the very same thing, he really was difficult, from sort of the way he
- approached questions, you could just [laughs] [unclear] and you—very hard to get by that. So, I
- don't know the facts, but I think when he ended his tour as dean, you know, they were relieved
- to get somebody else, but I don't know much about the [glitch interferes with audio]. But it was
- during his time that we were working out with—we did have a terribly complicated problem. It
- was this question of fulltime, part-time, and, you know, how much can you earn, and the—it's
- 307 honestly complicated, and it still is.
- 308 **CHODOROW:** When did you come up with the xyz—what we always knew as the xyz
- funding for faculty who made a base salary, and then they made a percentage of?
- 310 YORK: I don't recall, at all. I think it happened over a long period of time. I don't think that it
- just was—it wasn't just something I was involved with [crosstalk].
- 312 **CHODOROW:** One of the characteristics of this medical school was that, as far as I knew
- from the beginning, the faculty practice within the medical school [crosstalk].
- 314 YORK: We tried to keep as far over towards pure fulltime as we could. And the only reason
- we were unsuccessful is that, simply, you couldn't pay the doctors enough. And furthermore,
- 316 when you started getting the clinical faculty from outside, the question of where they had to be
- and how they got paid got all mixed together. And I can't remember the details anymore, but, I
- mean, there just were lots of social problems relating to money and pay, where the cleverer

- faculty were inventing all kinds of arguments for how they could get paid for this or that in
- addition to their fulltime. And, you know, it was sort of a long-term battle between people on the
- one hand wanted to keep the administration as simple as possible [laughs], and people kept
- figuring out clever new ways of justifying, you know, getting more money.
- And, you know, getting more money in the classical sense, I mean, it was, you know, greed is
- part of it, but if you see everybody else getting a lot more money than you are for doing the
- 325 same thing, it's not a very surprising attitude.
- 326 **CHODOROW:** Do you remember much about the foundations and the development of
- 327 different departments in the medical school?
- 328 YORK: Not in great detail. I remember [Marshall] Orloff coming, I remember who was it in—?
- 329 **CHODOROW:** Braunwald?
- 330 YORK: Yeah, Braunwald, and a number of very good people, and then spreading into other
- areas like Helen Ranney, you know, with the community medicine. So, I don't remember
- anything terribly specific, except, I remember being quite satisfied with how it was going. I
- mean, I thought we were doing very well. I liked [glitch interferes with audio]—still do. [Laughs]
- 334 **CHODOROW:** How did the Bonner plan, which actually was in place *[glitch interferes with*
- 335 *audio*].
- 336 YORK: Well, what do you mean by the "Bonner plan"?
- 337 **CHODOROW:** I mean the fact that the basic science faculty positions of the medical school
- were essentially delivered into the hands of the [crosstalk].
- 339 YORK: Yeah, well, that, I think—first of all, I think that worked quite well. And second, it
- worked well at least partly because it wasn't—we didn't follow it with total rigidity. I mean, I don't
- remember how we departed, but—I mean, the most severe sharp corners were somehow
- shaved off of that, but it did work, I mean, and I thought it worked fairly well. And the medical—
- the whole conjunction, you know, when they finally started teaching medical students, especially
- at the first two years, did try a number of novel things. Which were, essentially, I believe, all
- ultimately abandoned, because although they seemed clever, they weren't all that good. I mean,
- ultimately, they didn't really fit all that well.

- 347 And I myself participated personally, because, you know, what the medical school course
- number was I'm not quite sure. But I spent about a month in the course that dealt with radiation,
- talking about radiation from a physicist's perspective, but all was oriented towards what was
- 350 happening, you know, in the kind of materials that people were made out of, I mean, bones and
- meat and things like that. And talking about x-rays, protons, and all the different kinds, all of
- which are ultimately of some interest, because they can be used—you know, they used—well,
- you still use radiation for therapy. You know, years ago, there was much greater hope for that
- than what ultimately turned out.
- And there were a lot of ideas about beam therapy, that have been abandoned. And there are
- those which say—I myself had my prostate cancer treated with radiation. So, I actually taught
- one of those classes, other people did the same, and that was novel, you know, to have
- 358 somebody from the physics department talking to the medical students about radiation. And
- 359 they liked it, but it turned out, from the point of view of the medical school, you know, the
- 360 curricular gurus, it was too much time spent on things that were relevant but, you know, not—
- the relevance wasn't matching, you know, the time they were using up. So those things all were
- eventually abandoned, so that it was not only that the biologists were, in the biology department,
- what you're calling the Bonner plan, but other departments were involved, as well.
- 364 **CHODOROW:** There were engineers, there were a couple of physicists, there were—
- 365 YORK: Yeah, that's right, we had these engineers who dealt with the blood flow, I mean,
- 366 Fung and—
- 367 **CHODOROW:** Right, [inaudible] spent—my understanding was that the development of
- bioengineering, basically, here, was one of the earliest developments in that field.
- 369 YORK: It was certainly early. You know, how early I couldn't say, but I think fairly early—
- goes back quite a while, here, goes back to the '60s, I guess, I'm not quite sure. It was Fung
- and someone else do you remember the other name? We still see Bert Fung around—the other
- 372 guy, I don't—
- 373 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, he was a model faculty member. He was always regarded as a model
- 374 [crosstalk].
- 375 YORK: Yeah, [crosstalk].

- 376 **CHODOROW:** Yes, and a wonderful scholar.
- 377 YORK: But the other guy was, you know, not quite the same in the extracurricular sense, but
- also, the pair—seems to me the pair of them were very good. But there were two—
- 379 **CHODOROW:** I don't remember the name. One of the questions has to do with the medical
- 380 school [unclear].
- 381 YORK: It was similar to very good medical schools—that's what it is, and that's what most of
- 382 us—you know, that's what I wanted, that's what Kerr wanted. It differs in some perhaps
- important ways from what—but that's the category that I think we're in. I mean, we are a good—
- we're a very good orthodox medical school, and just what *[laughs]* we should be.
- 385 **CHODOROW:** [unclear]
- 386 YORK: Well, we almost—that's very nearly what we did. I mean, except, you know, there
- were differences—we tried to get away—we tried, harder, to avoid—you know, we tried to be
- 388 somewhat more pure and idealistic on things like fulltime versus part-time, and, you know, pay
- and so forth. But those administrative details aside, we did build a school like the good ones in
- the university already. And wittingly so, you see, I mean, there are those that think, well,
- somehow that got forced on us but, no, that's what we—or at least, didn't get force on me
- 392 [inaudible phrase].
- 393 **CHODOROW:** What about the issue of the hospital? Was there a plan, from the very
- beginning, to build a campus hospital?
- 395 **YORK:** Yes, but it was never funded. I mean, so, yes, it was a plan, but it was a paper plan,
- it was a generally—you know, it was accepted, but, you know, in that—accepted in the way that
- anything for which there are no funds can be said to have been accepted. In other words, Kerr
- and those regents who might've cared about it and the local people accepted the idea, but—and
- 399 it took a long time for it to happen. But getting the basic sciences here was a first step in that
- direction, it gave us a foot in the door. I think we all thought of that as being a good idea.
- 401 **CHODOROW:** [Crosstalk] having the basic science building and—

- 402 YORK: Both to have it close in biology. So, I think everybody agreed that linking biology and
- 403 medicine was a great idea, if you don't carry it, if you don't let the biologists run the school, it's a
- great idea. And it worked well; it's what you're calling the Bonner plan.
- 405 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, in effect, that's what *[unclear]* because he came here, as well.
- 406 **YORK:** Yes, I don't know whether you should say that's what it was about, though—but,
- 407 yeah, it certainly was related to that idea, yeah, and that's what made it more acceptable than it
- 408 might've been. It was rare to have non-MDs as deans, but I think it wasn't unknown, I think it
- simply was rare. And you're right, it probably was the way we kept the two mixed that made that
- 410 possible.
- 411 **CHODOROW:** Did it make a big difference that the basic sciences and the clinical were
- 412 essentially [unclear]
- 413 YORK: I don't know that. I mean, I never saw a serious problem with that, but maybe. There
- certainly were mechanical problems, but I don't know whether there was any, you know,
- 415 whether it had a reflection on the academic problems. It may have, for some idea, for some
- 416 period, the Hillcrest faculty, for quite a long time, were not very well integrated with the faculty
- out here. In any form, you know, senate or anything else. And it led to the existence of a
- separate senate, which probably is a good idea anyway.
- But it was a long time before we had somebody from the medical school who was chairman of
- 420 the senate, on the campus. And before they started living around La Jolla and—
- 421 **CHODOROW:** Do you think it makes a difference that there is this tradition, in medical
- schools, of long-term very comical chairs in departments in terms of relationships with the
- 423 general campus departments?
- 424 YORK: Oh, it certainly influences it, yeah, it's such a different way of doing things. But I'm
- not aware that it creates a problem, other than that people notice it—often, are surprised by it. I
- 426 mean, it's not until somebody from, you know, economics becomes an officer in the senate, and
- 427 [laughs] they discover some of these chairmen that are these powerful [crosstalk]. Yeah,
- because it's very different from the way the rest of the campus runs, and—I have to say, in my
- 429 own case, I didn't think about it until it happened. You know, I mean, there it was, and it was
- 430 evident that's what everybody expected.

- The other surprise, to me, was how little teaching the basic science people connected—see,
- when we finally did have basic science people who—I don't remember how this worked out
- 433 administratively, but basic science people but whose appointment was primarily in the medical
- school. Even though they were here at La Jolla, they somehow were administratively controlled
- not by the chairman of biology but by someone else—how little they expected to teach. You
- 436 know, I mean, that I was complete—well, why would I know? I mean, I had no experience with
- 437 it, and it was one of several big surprises, that they would talk about teaching two courses, and
- 438 they meant two lectures a quarter, [laughs] they didn't mean two courses going on at the same
- 439 time. [Laughs]
- 440 **CHODOROW:** Right. that has created enormous strain between biology and chemistry
- departments on the one side, and the medical school basic science department [crosstalk],
- 442 everywhere—everywhere.
- 443 **YORK:** Yeah, because it looks like privilege—it looks like privilege.
- 444 **CHODOROW:** It is privilege.
- 445 YORK: Yeah, sure, it is, yeah, and people are very sensitive to that. You know, one of the
- things that I remember from the—you know, and here, of course, I'm not going to mention any
- names at all—is that the faculty as a whole, you know, is made up of people who really were
- iust happy to be faculty members, and not at all aggressive about salary. And then, a somewhat
- smaller group, but a lot more than just one, who were terribly aggressive about salary, and who
- 450 had all kinds of work—you know, in addition to the business of getting an offer somewhere and
- all of that, which is no surprise, in fact, that even happens without manipulation, good people get
- offers. But the manipulation came because there were a number of people, here, that worked in
- 453 pairs.
- 454 I mean, one of them would always come and say the other one, you know, "I mean, we're going
- 455 to lose them, and we don't want to do that," and then vice-versa. There were a number of pairs
- of people on the campus who were a) very aggressive about salary in the first place, and then
- used all kinds of maneuvers.
- 458 **CHODOROW:** Did you as chancellor deal with that directly [crosstalk]?
- 459 YORK: Yes, because when I—both times I was chancellor, we were still small enough so
- 460 that I did what the vice-chancellor now does. And in fact, if I had stayed on as chancellor, I

461 would've tried to keep it that way. Because the very best way for the chancellor to know the

462 faculty is to be involved as the guy who actually signs off on all those—

[END OF PART ONE, BEGIN PART TWO]

- 463 **CHODOROW:** Did you relate to the budget committee in a direct way, or did they did you
- 464 frequently go and talk to them about cases?
- 465 **YORK:** No, but I did, rarely—no, normally, I did not. We dealt with it in, what I think worked
- out nicely, a hands-off, you know, through paper. And through Kathleen Douthitt, if you
- 467 remember her.
- 468 **CHODOROW:** The famous Kathleen.
- 469 YORK: Yeah. Well, you know, she developed the reputation with people of somehow
- 470 running that sort of thing and making the decisions, which was never any—which was never
- even remotely true. But she took her job seriously, was a very hardworking person, and when
- 472 I—both times I was chancellor, she really worked very hard to make sure that what I wanted
- 473 happened. But she let me—she didn't try and tell me what to do, except mechanically she said,
- 474 "You know, you got to do something about—you got to sign this in the next two weeks,
- because." But she never would say, "You got to appoint Joe because, you know, [unclear]." But,
- 476 yes, I did deal with the chairman of the budget committee, but not often, it wasn't necessary.
- 477 And of course, now that—even less with the ad-hoc committees. But I read all the ad-hoc
- committee reports, so that, you know, it wasn't secret [crosstalk]. Now, when that, when McElroy
- came in, he immediately delegated it to Saltman who insisted that it be delegated. And on other
- 480 campuses—I don't know whether it was all of them or most of them—it was, in fact, done by the
- vice-chancellor. But during the time—the campus was still small, in those days; I knew
- everybody here, and that's how I knew him.
- 483 **CHODOROW:** One of the things that—one of the ways in which you have [unclear]
- 484 characterized the early history of the medical school is that you started off and they're all
- 485 idealistic ideas, and settled back toward the norm. Which I would say is probably true of every
- department on campus, so, a lot of them started off with very particular distinctive ideas, and
- 487 over time, [crosstalk].

- 488 YORK: Yeah. Well, and I like that, because as I said, I—quality first and novelty second. And
- if novelty interferes with quality, you get rid of novelty. And so, it was right to try a lot of new
- things, because they might've been, you know, some of them probably worked [laughs] and I
- don't remember which one. But some of them probably worked, and it was good—and we—and
- 492 it's only when you're new that you get opportunities. So, it was the right thing to do, but I have
- 493 no regrets about—but when they didn't work out, I didn't regret it at all. You know, except, I still
- like this romantic idea we had, at the very beginning, that we would actually have courses, say,
- in history and so on, that would be taught in Spanish, or in French, or something. And it's too
- 496 bad, but, I mean, it's just too hard [crosstalk].
- 497 **CHODOROW:** There are a few, now, that that's done, it's a small program, but we started
- doing that second to the last year in the department. And but it's a small program [unclear]. The
- other question I had is, it was something we picked up from some of the people we've talked to,
- which is the sense that the first generation was growing, and that the next generation were not
- so good. And so, somehow, the promise of *[unclear]* in those early days was never realized.
- 502 **YORK:** Well, you see, I think it was. I think that's a too narrow a view. And the reason I think
- it was is, when you look at our reputation, we still are the best American university founded after
- World War II. You know, maybe I told you this, when I would—on the rare occasions when I had
- occasion to mention that to Dick Atkinson, he would say, "No, we're the best, period." [Laughs]_
- And which I couldn't agree with, but I—the only place I allow a little bit of waffling is, we're the
- best in the second half of the century or the best in the century. I mean, you can argue that
- we're the best since 1900, but I think it's better to say we're the best since World War II.
- And, you know, that's not a coincidence, it just can't be. I mean, to be best and then say, "Well,
- we didn't do it right," is ridiculous.
- 511 **CHODOROW:** Well, not really.
- 512 YORK: I think so.
- 513 **CHODOROW:** [Crosstalk] still fell pretty short of our original vision. [Crosstalk]
- 514 YORK: No, I don't think we did fall short of our original vision. Our original vision was to be a
- 515 high-quality university. Some people wanted—Roger wanted to be like Caltech, somebody else
- wanted to be like something else, but there were plenty of us, including me, whose hope was to

- be a very high-quality American university. So, I'm not the least bit disappointed. And I think
- that—but you put this into different terms, you put it in terms of the people.
- And the fact is that, when the academy or somebody like that starts rating people, you know, we
- 520 have so many departments [unclear] there's all these different ways to count [glitch interferes
- with audio], you know, that's not a coincidence. I mean, it didn't just happen because somebody
- did things wrong; it happened because people did things right. You can't be best and not have
- 523 *[glitch interferes with audio]*. It's like complaining that Rembrandt wore the wrong hat, you know,
- he should've worn a different hat, he would've been a better artist. [Laughter]
- 525 **CHODOROW:** But it's certainly the case, it may well be that people who think that the
- 526 institution has declined from those first days, had on those first days an unrealistic view of what
- 527 could, in fact, in the ordinary course of [crosstalk].
- 528 YORK: Well, that's probably true. And, you know, I must say, I'd have to really think it
- 529 through but I'm inclined almost to agree with you, that the second [laughs] wave of people were
- 530 not as good as the first wave. I'm trying to think of that in various departments, though, and I
- don't think it's true everywhere. But, you know, physics, it's not—its reputation did actually go
- down some of the newer people are not as good as the original ones, but it may be building
- back up. And to be in the top 10 or 20 is just, you know, it has it's got to be a combination of
- doing things right, and then certain good luck-bad luck. But, I mean, you can't be best and have
- it be other than the result of policy correctly carried out. [Laughter] Even by people who didn't
- 536 like it all the time.
- 537 **CHODOROW:** And *[glitch interferes with audio]* on this, too, that first generation of faculty
- 538 [glitch interferes with audio] and that they came here [inaudible] to sort of tear down [inaudible]
- 539 boundaries [crosstalk].
- 540 **YORK:** Yes, mm-hmm, yes, yes.
- 541 **CHODOROW:** But that was successful with their generation *[crosstalk]*.
- 542 **YORK:** Oh, no, we didn't tear down [crosstalk]. That's always, right from the very beginning,
- just talk. I mean, I've always been in favor of it, I mean, if my own personal disappointments,
- now that you remind me. I did want, you know, we don't have—interdisciplinary activities are not
- what they should be, in my personal view. And we did try to do it. But every, every, every time,
- including at the beginning, when you really started down that road, people in the two disciplines

- that you're entering, each of them would say, "I hope he's got a good reputation in the other
- one, because he's not very good in this one."
- And that happened from the very beginning; it's not something that developed later. Everybody
- says how great it would be to have interdisciplinary activity—by the way, it's everywhere, it's not
- just us, I mean—and it's worst at the best institutions. The conflict between depth and breadth
- depth wins every time, and it was that way in the '60s [laughs] just as much as it is today.
- 553 **CHODOROW:** It was that way in the 15th Century [crosstalk].
- 554 YORK: I know, yeah, back when I was chancellor the very first time, there were a number of
- persons came up, finally, that couldn't get promoted or were just barely promoted. And they had
- feet in two departments, and each department says, "You know, I hope this guy's good in the
- other department, cause he's pretty mediocre here." Certain things, which are actually thought
- of as interdisciplinary but which aren't, do work such as biophysics or biochemistry. But that's
- not; that's a discipline. And the same with political economics, I mean, it's a discipline.
- 560 **CHODOROW:** The great model that's now held up for interdisciplinary possibilities it's called
- 561 [unclear]
- 562 YORK: Yeah?
- 563 **CHODOROW:** And it may be that you're worried about them, too, but what they found was
- intradisciplinary, not interdisciplinary, is that when the linguist, the philosophers, the
- 565 psychologists, basically, came together *[unclear]* came together to form cognitive science, they
- were creating interdisciplinary.
- 567 YORK: Yes, that's an interesting case, because, you know, the fact that the church ones are
- in the philosophy department is an interesting situation, because—I don't know how well you
- know—Pat actually went to medical school in Canada. After getting a Ph.D. in philosophy, in
- order to—she didn't get into Greek—in order to learn more about the mind from the wet science
- point of view, and went on rounds in the psychiatry, you know. So, there are certain individuals,
- 572 but it's hard, again—and I may be exaggerating when I say it didn't work, but just case after
- case, when I was hoping it would work, and where—and the most disappointing part is that,
- every case I knew—and I didn't know all of them—where there was an assistant professor who
- tried to do, you know, the interdisciplinary, not a single one ever got tenure.

- 576 And when I was in IGCC, we started relating with people on other campuses—Berkeley and— 577 not a single one of them got tenure. Well, because the political science department doesn't like 578 policy. They like to study policy, but they—you know, in those days, you know, so they don't care how the Cold War comes out, just as long as it provides a convenient model to study. 579 [Laughter] And the same in the Nuclear Arms race [crosstalk] don't care how it ends, we just 580 want to—you know, is it a good vehicle to study. And the people that we related with, on other 581 582 campuses, that were interested in both political science and policy, the—well, [unclear] single 583 one, but, I mean, the ones that I knew about and thought were most interesting just didn't get
- 585 **CHODOROW:** It's very hard in a research university to run a policy operation *[crosstalk]*.
- 586 YORK: Yeah.

tenure [crosstalk].

584

- 587 **CHODOROW:** What people say, for example, about the Kennedy School, is that it's the lowest common denominator of Harvard, you know, it's the *[crosstalk]*.
- YORK: Yeah, I've heard other people say, "We're not going to ever do that again, we're not going to make that kind of mistake again. The best institution of its kind in the world—we're not going to do that stupid thing again."
- 592 **CHODOROW:** Right, exactly.
- YORK: But there, you know, I'm—although I'm disappointed, I have to say that I'm not 593 convinced that the rest of the world was wrong. Because I think the academic world probably is 594 595 a good place for depth, and that you find breadth outside. I mean, there are other people who are broad-minded and the world runs on that—of course, everybody's narrowminded, but I 596 597 mean, one of my more—you know, I remember my heart sinking. I was at the senate meeting— 598 you weren't here—it was back in the days of the Gulf War, and Dick Atkinson was being extremely sensitive about criticism. They were criticizing him. They wanted to have—they 599 600 wanted to devote some classes to talking about the Gulf War, in places that were irrelevant.
- And I remember a young woman, in some humanities or social sciences, standing up, she said,
 "Well, academics are the only people in society who think broadly." And I was, like, "What, no,
- she really means it [crosstalk]." [Laughter] But she certainly meant it [glitch interferes with
- 604 audio].

- 605 **CHODOROW:** Okay, I think we've brought this one to the end, it's very good. [Crosstalk]
- 606 YORK: You—yeah, that, of course, is when you weren't—you were not here, or even in
- 607 touch, I guess. When did you leave?
- 608 **CHODOROW:** In July of '94.
- 609 **YORK:** So, you were here.
- 610 CHODOROW: I wasn't at that—

[END OF PART TWO, END OF INTERVIEW]