

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #26  
Wednesday, March 5, 1986  
North Conference Room


AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #25. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Robert Lewis: AAUS Conference
  - B. Robert Rios: Reg Fee
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Election By-Laws, submitted by Michelle Bitto. Rules\_\_\_\_\_.  
Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Allocation of \$902.00 for National Credit Union Conference on March 21-23,  
submitted by Rob Lewis. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Student Org. Spring Budgets, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_.  
Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to Elections for video taping and showing of debates be-  
tween candidates for President and Vice Presidents in the next A.S. Elections,  
submitted by Greg Arnold. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Dissolution of Sub-Committee for Alternative Media Funding, submitted by  
Denise Miura. Media\_\_\_\_\_. Rules\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - F. Dissolution fo Fahlbusch Media Bill, submitted by Denise Miura.  
Rules\_\_\_\_\_. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Media\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Cinco De Mayo line item budget, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - B. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Peter Kim. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - C. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Peter Kim. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - D. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Peter Kim. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - E. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - F. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - G. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_
  - H. Referendum question (see attached), submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Action\_\_\_\_\_

I. Approval of Alternative Media Referendum questions, submitted by Ad Hoc Committee on Alternative Media Funding. Action\_\_\_\_\_.

XII. OPENS

XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item B


Referendum Question

SUBMITTED BY: Peter Kim, Commissioner of Academic Affairs

SUBJECT: Academia

Do you think Academia at UCSD should emphasize

- 1) Learning and teaching
- 2) Research



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item C

Referendum Questions

SUBMITTED BY: Peter Kim, Commissioner of Academic Affairs


SUBJECT: Interaction

Do you think there is enough faculty-student interaction at UCSD?

1) Yes

2) No





Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item D

Referendum Question


SUBMITTED BY: Peter Kim, Commissioner of Academic Affairs

SUBJECT: Semester vs. Quarter

Would you like to see a semester system rather than a quarter system?

1) Yes

2) No



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item E

Referendum Question


SUBMITTED BY: Mary Rose Alexander, President

SUBJECT: Recreation Facilities

Do you feel that the existing recreation facilities at UCSD (Canyon View Pool and Racquetball Center, Main and Recreation Gymnasiums, weight rooms, tennis courts, track, and intramural fields) are adequate to support the increased student involvement at UCSD?

No, the existing facilities are not adequate

Yes, the existing facilities are adequate



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item F

Referendum Question


SUBMITTED BY: Mary Rose Alexander, President

SUBJECT: Amphitheater

Would you support the building of an 8,000-15,000 seat amphitheater which would be used to host major performing artists and groups at UCSD?

Yes, I would like an amphitheater at UCSD

No, I do not want an amphitheater at UCSD



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item G

Referendum Question


SUBMITTED BY: Mary Rose Alexander, President

SUBJECT: Cooperative Housing

Do you continue to support the creation of on-campus student-owned and operated housing?

Yes

No



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Meeting #26

New Business Item H

Referendum Question

SUBMITTED BY: Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications

SUBJECT: Divestment

Do you support the UC Regent and and the UCSD divestiture from the apartheid government in South Africa and that the AS Council will actively support the effort to achieve this goal?

Yes

No

ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES  
Meeting #26  
Wednesday, March 6, 1986  
North Conference Room

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting #25 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:35pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

ROLL CALL

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Barbara Higgins	John Riley
Mary Anne Arabia	Peter Kim	Robert Rios
Katherine Barnhisel	Rob Lewis	Irene Sharkoff
Josh Beckman	Jill Lifschiz	Dave Sheldon
Cara DeSio	Greg MacCrone	Deb Simon
Bill Eggers	Greg McCambridge	Eric Weiss
Michael Fahlbusch	Scott Pickett	Marla Winitz
Paul Fogarty		

Excused was: Sal Veas

MINUTE OF SILENCE

To SDSU's radio station--they made it.  
To Lilys and Saturday night

APPROVAL OF  
MINUTES

The ASUCSD passed this motion with the following correction: Ariel Anguiano's name contained a typo on page 5.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

- A. **Brad** announced that the Cafe budget will be put under Opens and will be divided into two parts. Anyone with questions should see him before the next Council meeting.
- B. **Josh** announced that Stanley Clark will be playing in the Pub on Saturday night.
- C. **Marla** reminded the Council that SOURCE is coming up. Cathy needs a lot of help. Last year each Council member signed up to make phone calls for one hour. She is passing around a sign up sheet for this year. The project cannot continue without the help of the Council.
- D. **Lilys** announced that the Ad Hoc Committee for Alternative Media funding produced referendum questions. The committee worked hard to be as fair as possible.
- E. **Eric** reminded the Council that the panel discussion "Black in the Future" is on March 6 in the Mountain View Lounge.
- F. **Mary Rose** read a letter to the Council from Mary Dhooge thanking everyone for their help at the International Cafe. She also announced that there will be 25 Alumni dinners all on the same night. She will try to get 25 people to go and each Dean's office is going to try to get 25 people.
- G. **Paul** again reminded the Council that the Cultural Programming Committee meets Thursdays at 1:15 and everyone is welcome.
- H. **Greg McCambridge** informed the Council that the Rape Prevention session went very well. There was a good turn out.

STUDENT INPUT

- A. A student came before the Council to ask Mike what his official response to Byron's allegations from last meeting was. Did he

condone the cartoon? What action had been taken? Michael responded that Student Input is not a time for Council members to speak, but he would answer the questions. He said he has taken a stand, and talked to people. He will not resign. As far as he is concerned the issue has been resolved.

- B. **Mike Chavez** read a letter to the Council from the alternative media. The letter explained why the alternative media reps did not continue to attend Ad Hoc Committee meetings. They began participating, but believed it was obvious they were participating in a process whose purpose was to put an end to the alternative media. There was an anti-alternative media bias in the committee. The committee was under pressure from the administration to come up with a referendum now. They had gotten this impression after talking to Randy Woodard, he said. Why is the administration concerned with the alternative media? Because they have always been a "thorn to UCSD". According to Randy, he said, the referendum had to be ready by 10th week. No one on the committee wanted to consider rescinding the Fahlbusch Bill. The Council attacked the alternative media, the referendum will destroy them. But the media will not fall without a fight. He said the irony is that even the California Review, a right wing paper has come out with a more reasonable position than the Council. The University community must provide an avenue for dialogue. The time is now. He asked the Council to rescind the Fahlbusch Bill. Let the students make the choice. Mass mobilization is the only referendum left.
- C. **Anne Perez** of the Lobby Annex, came before the Council to discuss the Federal Budget cuts on financial aid. The new Federal Budget calls for a 30% cut in financial aid. This would force many students to drop out or transfer to State. Ethnic minorities will be most affected. She requested that the Council pass petitions around to the Colleges. She also asked people to write letters, as they have a big impact on representatives in Congress.
- D. **Tom Vinolus** came before the Council to discuss the All-Campus Jazz Festival. It will be held April 13th at "Stonehenge". He has an \$11,750.00 budget. He will go outside of the University for sound because UEO cannot provide what is needed. There will be a BBQ. He has gotten backing from over 20 organizations, including the Revelle College Council, Revelle Apt. Programming Board, the Commuter Advisory Board (Revelle), Warren and Third College Councils, and many more. The Student Center Board has also provided money. He is looking at outside sources for funding also. He is expecting 3-5,000 people to show. He is requesting \$4,299.00 from the A.S. Council.
- E. A representative of MEChA came before the Council to discuss the Student Org. Spring Budget allocations. She said in the past, there has been a graduation ceremony to recognize Chicano students who are graduating. Many parents do not speak English and this ceremony helps them. This time the ceremony must be underwritten and she felt that is unfair.
- Time ran out. The Council voted by consensus to extend time for 5 minutes.
- F. **Carl**, representing the Coops spoke before the Council. He was discussing referendum that the Coops would like to have submitted to the Council. He said these referendum address problems that have not previously been dealt with.

- G. Another student spoke about the same referenda. He said they have been submitted to increase representation on campus. The coops and enterprizes do not have a representative on Council. These referendum deal with the future of the Student Center after the University Center is built. He wants the referenda on the ballot to answer planning problems.
- H. Another student came before the Council to invite the ASUCSD to look at his proposal for the National Day of Justice to be held on the 4th of July. It is a march for freedom for all immigrants to coincide with the commoration of the refurbishing of the Statue of Liberty. It is sponsored by the National Coalition for Justice.
- I. **David Brue** came before the Council to agree with the representative from MEChA. He said he does not agree with setting a ceiling on student org. budgets. The ceiling set by SOFB is too low.

COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

REPORTS

- A. **Rob** spoke about the American Association of University Students' conference that he and Marla attended. Many universities were represented, many subjects were covered. He got ideas on programming. BYU has always been a dry campus, and they have creative programming for non-alcoholic events. He has information on that. He said many other universities were interested in UCSD's alternative media, and would like to subscribe to them. He also got information on faculty-student interaction programs, transportation, and interaction between student governments and students at large. He discussed individual programs at universities. Marla added that the AAUS could expose UCSD to east coast schools which offer different programs and aspects on things. The AAUS east coast network has a well developed computer network. It took them 4 years to set up the data base for it. There are research aspects to the AAUS, for instance, they can get information on the alcohol policy at different campuses very quickly. The AAUS is a non-political entity. She pinpointed some seminars that she attended. One was on Graham-Rudman and its possible impact. She will provide information on that to anyone who is interested. Also, many schools are concerned with the quality of undergraduate education vs. research. UCLA's problem with this is worse than UCSD's. UCLA will work with UCSD on the problem. There were also seminars on retention and recruitment.

Time ran out. The Council voted, by consensus, to extend time for 5 minutes.

Marla said that the Council should look at the information that she and Rob brought back. She encourages UCSD to become active in AAUS. She will also encourage the UCSA to join. Rob gave an example of what the AAUS can provide. Earlier he had called them to ask how other campuses fund their alternative media. He will receive information on it by Tuesday. People involved in the AAUS have similar concerns as those at UCSD. One project they heard about was Hands Across America which is sponsored by USA for Africa, Coke, and some celebrities. They hope to raise 50-100 million dollars for the hungry and homeless in Amer-



ica, and to provide money for research to find a solution to the problem. They are hoping to get students involved with the project.

- B. ~~Robert Ries~~ discussed a proposal before the Reg. Fee Committee concerning a request for money for a new recreation facility to be built north of Third College. The idea for such a facility was drawn from a study done on the campus (the Parkin Report) where existing athletic facilities were examined, and found to be inadequate for the projected growth of the campus. The report recommended building the new recreation facility to create three clusters of recreation facilities--the main Gym, Canyon View and the new one at Third. The administration has requested 2 and 1/2 million dollars from Reg Fee reserves to build 2 super fields and an all weather track. Reg Fee can give the money if they eliminate all of the reserves. This would limit the budget to a 3% increase for the next 3 years. There are other possibilities available. The committee will vote on the money either Thursday or next week. Mary Rose asked if this would guarantee that there would not be a referendum to get student money, and Robert said no. Mary Rose suggested that Reg Fee stipulate that a student majority would oversee control of the facility. Robert explained that it is up to the Recreation Dept which facility would have a student majority, this would be fields, not buildings. Mary Rose said it is one thing to ask for a field, next it could be for a building. She suggested Reg. Fee should be careful.

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION      None

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Election By-Laws, submitted by Michelle Bitto. Rules recommended the following changes and clarifications. Pg. 8, section C, they thought it should be clarified. Has it always been a policy? Questions arose over regulations in the Student Center. An example was what if KSDT broadcast an editorial in support of a candidate, would that be considered campaigning? Greg MacCrone said the problem is that some people can get media backing easier than others, and the alternative media is located in the Student Center. Could that be considered campaigning? Mary Rose stated that it was up to the Elections Commission to decide what is illegal and what is not. These questions have never been problems before. Greg Hom said that the words "specific or implied" need to be clarified. Mary Rose said specific would mean posting flyers in the Student Center, for instance. No one can meet with their slate in the Student Center. Any questions regarding "implied" campaigning should be referred to the Elections Commission. Paul added that if the word implied was removed, the questions would be cleared up. He made a motion to strike "implied"
- Rules recommended that Section IV, article B (pg. 11) be clarified. Greg MacCrone gave an example: what if he is running for office, and he knows someone on the Elections Commission, and while votes are being tabulated, this person tells him he is behind. Then he goes to the media and tells them he has won the election and it goes to print. Would that be considered a violation? Would it invalidate the vote? Mary Rose said he would have to prove that

someone on the Commission released such information. Rules questioned Section I article A, sub 15 (pg. 12). There was an item under Old Business regarding video taping and showing candidates. Also, there is an ASUCSD sponsored forum using loud speakers, which would be a violation. Mary Anne said it could be clarified if the words "with the exception of public forums sponsored by the ASUCSD and the Elections Commission" were added. Rules also questioned pg 16, article B, a public hearing should be made public immediately. Mary Anne went back to the previous question and amended it to say the aforementioned. It passed by consensus. Mary Rose amended pg. 16, article B as previously mentioned. The passed by consensus. The discussion turned back to pg. 11 article B. Mary Rose gave the example of a Federal election. It is unfair that Californians hear results before the polls close. Going back to Greg's example, it must be proven that someone released the information. The article should remain as it stands. Back to page 8. section C was amended--deleting the words "whether specific or implied". This amendment passed by consensus. Eric asked what changes existed in the By-Laws that reflected changes in the colleges? Mary Rose said that Michelle made an effort to get surveys to the colleges, but did not get enough back to set policy. It would require the Resident Deans to agree on a policy. The college regulations will be attached to the By-Laws for candidates. John asked what the difference between the old and new By-Laws was. Mary Rose explained that the rules are the same, but the new ones provide more clarity. Michael noted that the change to page 16 still remained a recommendation by Rules. Paul questioned Section III C again. He said KSDT and the alternative media are in the Student Center. No one can tell them what to do. If the alternative media choose to endorse someone, they have the right to . He proposed that Section I (same page) be changed, and "use of media" be deleted. Use of media includes the alternative media, KSDT and the Guardian. Jill stated if the Activity Fee supports the organization, they cannot support any candidate. Paul asked if this included any referendum, and Jill answered yes. Mary Anne said she did not understand why the alternative media cannot support a candidate. Jill repeated Activity Fee supported organizations cannot endorse anything. Eric asked if that was Jill's personal conviction, or is it in the By-Laws. Jill stated that it is her interpretation of the By-Laws. A motion to call the item to question was called. It failed, 2 in favor and 14 against. Paul added that anyone should be able to support anything. Mary Rose said a paper can publish any thing, but a candidate cannot hang it on the wall. Michael added that the Council should not be nit picky, the rules have worked in the past. Katherine asked how removing "use of media" would support the media's right to endorse a candidate. Dave asked if "use of media" means that candidates cannot place any ads. Editorials are not campaign items. Mary Rose referred to what Jill had said about Activity Fee funded organizations. The media cannot be prevented

from saying anything about a candidate. Candidates can take out ads. Paul said preventing the media from endorsing is editorial censorship.

Gina yielded the floor to Byron who began to speak on another part of the By-Laws. Michael corrected himself for letting Gina yield, there was a motion on the floor, the topic of discussion was that motion. After that issue is closed, then Byron can speak.

Gina yielded the floor to Dan from the NI. He said that Jill just admitted that it would be editorial censorship. An editorial in the alternative media is not considered campaigning. It is editorial censorship to dictate what will be in the paper. There are laws against censorship.

There was a motion to call the amendment to question, which failed 6 in favor, 8 against.

Gina asked what the difference would be if "use of media" was deleted. Michael answered that it still leaves the interpretation to the Elections Commission. Michelle, Elections Commissioner should be present to explain. Greg McCambridge asked if medias can be used to advertise, can other student orgs. use their budgets to print flyers for someone who is running? If something needs to be said to clarify campaigning in the student center, then something should be said about the papers. Mary Rose added that papers have never been considered a problem. The Activity Fee question is different. There was a motion to call the amendment to question, and it passed by consensus. The motion to delete "use of media" failed 0 in favor, 15 against, and 0 abstentions.

There was a motion to extend time. The By-Laws were suspended to do so 10 in favor, 5 against, and 0 abstentions. Time was extended by 10 minutes.

Jill wanted to amend the By-Laws to state that any organization funded by activity fees, including media, could not formally or informally endorse a candidate or referendum.

Mary Rose called for a recess. The motion failed 5 in favor, 8 against, and 0 abstentions.

Mary Rose said she did not believe that this amendment is legal. She wants to postpone it one week because if it is illegal, the repercussions could be very bad. She was unsure if the Council can postpone, but have the By-Laws printed anyway, since they are needed, then add the amendment if necessary. She wanted a recess to call Randy and find out what could be done.

A 5 minute recess was called, 12 in favor, 4 opposed.

The Council returned.

Mary Rose spoke to Randy. He said the By-Laws must be passed at at the meeting, but an addendum could be added. However, Jill's amendment is illegal. Yes, the media are Activity Fee funded, but they have a right to print. It has never been a question before. She added that the amendment should be postponed. The motion to postpone the amendment passed, by consensus.

There was a motion to call the By-Laws to question, which failed, 2 in favor, 12 against, and 0 abstentions.

Gina yielded the floor to Byron. He asked about pg. 12, item 13. Why are grad students and non-students prohibited from campaigning? Mary Rose said she did not write the By-Laws. It is an undergraduate campaign, paid for by undergraduates, and it is an undergraduate election, undergraduates are the only ones allowed

to participate.

Byron said that it contradicts pg. 11, part 2. Every student has a right to associate with anyone they want to. They cannot be guaranteed the right to associate if it is limited to undergraduates. Many services are funded by Activity Fees, such as Psych and Counseling Services, and Career Services. Mary Rose clarified that those are services funded by Reg. Fees. Michael added that is the reason graduate students, staff and faculty must buy rec cards to use rec facilities--they do not pay Activity Fees. Mary Rose said the freedom of association is not being denying. The item exists for the same reason there is a limit to campaign expenditures.

Byron directed the issue to the Parliamentarian. The Council added that he can only give a personal viewpoint, and any personal questions become Council questions when asked during a meeting. The discussion continued along these lines.

The motion to call the entire item to question, passed 14 in favor, 1 against, and 0 abstentions.

The By-Laws **passed** as amended, by consensus.

- B. Allocation of \$902.00 for National Credit Union Conference on March 21-23, submitted by Rob Lewis. Finance did not meet, they have no recommendation. Rob gave a break-down--\$328 for air fair, \$24/day per diem, the rest for a car for 3 days.

Mary Rose first asked if he had talked to Tom Tucker about alternative funding sources, and Rob said no.

Jill added that she had discussed the issue with some Finance people, and they do not think attendance at the conference is necessary. Enough information was gathered at Berkeley to begin work. It should not be an A.S. backed project.

Mary Rose suggested leaving the item in committee, and see if money can come from Undergraduate Affairs.

The item remains in committee.

- C. Student Org. Spring Budgets, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. SOFB recommendations were passed out. Jill explained that the committee had spent a lot of time with the orgs. during hearing. They had \$20,000 in Student Org. Unallocated, and \$35,000 in requests. If the org. did not have a hearing, they were not funded. The orgs. that missed their hearings have spoken to Jill, and understand that there is no money left. They can request funding when some of the underwrites are paid back. The only other orgs to complain were BSU and MEChA for the reasons they discussed during Student Input. Jill will hold to the allocations.

The total amount allocated was \$21,095.75 including underwrites and special programming. \$19,735.55 from Student Org. Unallocated, \$1,360 from special programming for multi-organizational programs.

Denis asked why a ceiling of \$200.00 had been set for special graduations. Jill explained that these graduations had always been an issue of concern. There is a need for special graduations, and the A.S. should continue to provide some funding. However, she cannot justify funding private graduation parties for groups. She allocated \$200.00 for facility rental which allows the group to have the program. 5 groups requested money for graduations, so that is \$1,000 allocated just for facilities. There must be a balance.

The question was asked if SOFB took into consideration that these are not closed ceremonies. Jill responded yes. Robert Rios spoke

on the subject. He said lots of people had discussed this issue. He did not understand why these events must be underwritten. They are open to all people and it is wrong to charge family and friends just to allow them to watch someone graduate. The event is open to all people. Jill said she understands funding a graduation. BSU was given a \$50 underwrite, MEChAs underwrite was \$1,300, to be used for a D.J., mariachis, and a speaker. If what they want is entertainment for a party, then they should ask for donations from the attendants, not the graduates. Robert said asking parents to pay to watch their child graduate is ludicrous. The purpose of graduation is to celebrate a multi-cultural event. This is a celebration of diversity. Many parents do not speak English. This type of graduation brings it close to them. He amended the item adding \$800.00 for MEChA. Mary Rose asked Robert if mariachis were necessary at a graduation, along with a d.j. She could understand having a speaker, but the rest of it makes it sound more like a party rather than a graduation. Bertha Ochoa of MEChA spoke to the Council. She said it is not a party, it is a very serious ceremony. Graduations at the colleges have bands. This graduation is culturally different, therefore they want mariachis. She understands if money is not provided for a d.j. but they need certificates and tech. Bill said he supports giving money, but is there any to give? Jill said there is none. Bill added there is \$200.00 left, but maybe it was specified for the Koran American Student Association. Jill said when the underwrites are paid back the money will go to organizations that were not allocated any money. Mary Rose asked what MEChA's other requests were for. Jill answered Cinco De Mayo, which has already been allocated, and CUDA. Mary Rose asked if Jill was trying to set a policy by allocating \$200.00 for graduations, or is that all there is. Jill explained that SOFB allocated \$200.00 across the board so they would not be put in a position of allocating one group more than another, thereby placing more importance on one graduation over another. Eric added that the colleges provide money for graduations because it is more than just picking up a diploma, they have bands and entertainment. It is the obligation of the Council to provide at least that much for these groups. The item deserved to be tabled until money is available. He moved that the Council postpone until more money is available. Peter asked if there was any money left in General Unallocated. Jill answered \$8,000. Mary Rose clarified that the money must last until July 1. She asked which organizations requested graduation money. Jill said BSU, APSA, Chinese Student Association, KASA, and MEChA. Mary Rose asked Eric to clarify what he meant by saying the Council has an obligation to fund as much as the colleges do. She said there is much more money spent by the colleges, and many more people involved. Eric said the Council should fund something similar to what the colleges fund. Greg McCambridge asked if there was a tendency for these graduations to separate people from the college graduation, then it becomes isolated and then the purpose of the college system is lost. Robert said that the graduates still receive their diplomas at the college graduation. Peter asked Bertha if MEChA makes any money at Cinco de Mayo. Bertha said they make enough to pay back the underwrite. Rob yielded the floor to Nadir Haaq of SAAC. Nadir stated that these graduations do not take away from the college ceremonies, it

highlights them. For many families, these graduates are the first, and often the first in this country. It is a very serious and important occasion, one that should be celebrated. Bill made a motion to accept the budget with the stipulation that the Council will look into and find ways to fund the graduations. Greg McCambridge asked if everything had been discussed. Michael said Bill made a motion, but that did not mean it would be seconded. Mary Anne asked if Bill wanted to say the Council would look into funding or the Council would fund it. Bill said he wanted the motion to be the Council would look into funding, and would fund if possible. Denise asked if there was a time limit for the repayment of underwrites. Jill said yes, 60 days which could mean after school is out for some. Some might not be paid back. Usually they are paid back, and usually the money is made at the event, so the money is paid back right away. Mary Rose asked Bill to clarify how much should be funded, should money be found--all of the request, or till a maximum be set? She also said it is possible to pass the budget without line-items. The question could be divided into all organizations, and those that are requesting graduation money. Jill said if the question is separated, she can make no guarantees of funding the orgs because she will not be in office. As underwrites return, organizations can request money. Mary Rose asked how priorities would be set. Jill said that the organizations who have not had hearings will have priority. Eric wanted to divide the question and take out the organizations requesting graduation funding. MEChA could survive with \$500.00. The Council should allocate \$500.00 to MEChA, and \$200.00 to the other organizations pending payments of underwrites. Decisions about other graduations cannot be made until the organizations are spoken to. Denise said it would not be fair to give MEChA \$500.00 and make the other organizations wait. Mary Rose said it would not be fair. Mary Rose amended the item to say that any additional money that comes back before June will be allocated with the following priorities: 1. Organizations pending hearings 2. Ballet Folklorico; 3. graduations for the 5 organizations who have already requested funding. The requests must have already been received. Michael asked if there would be a ceiling set. Mary Rose said the recommendations would be evaluated by SOFB. Jill made a friendly amendment that the money would come from Student Org. Unallocated.

The amendment was passed by consensus. The item was **passed** by consensus.

- D. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to Elections for video taping and showing of debates between candidates for President and Vice Presidents in the next A.S. elections, submitted by Greg Arnold. The item was postponed until next week.
- E. Dissolution of Sub-Committee for Alternative Media Funding, submitted by Denise Miura. The item was withdrawn.
- F. Dissolution of Fahlbusch Media Bill, submitted by Denise Miura. Rules found this constitutional. Finance said the monetary aspect is still sound, so dissolution is not necessary. Media did not meet, so the item would need to be pulled from committee. Denise said the issue has come up continuously, and it is very important. When the proposal was written there was no consultation with the alternative media. No one asked thirds world organizations the im-

pact that this might have on third world students. There was lots of pressure to hurry. The Council made decisions in haste. She referred to Mary Rose's statement at the previous meeting that Council members were changing their minds because of a little pressure. She said this was not true, more understanding of the issue and its impact has been achieved. The alternative media helps her to develop her own view point. She said the bill has not achieved equality for all media. The media are not equal, some are news media some are editorial media. The editorial media do not have the means to raise money. Each has a different audience. Equality does not exist. To print a newspaper twice a quarter will not work then it is not news. Some need more money than others to print. Each media has different goals. Also, many in the Council believed the bill would alleviate problems during budget hearings. That is not true, the bill calls for quarterly review, which means the arguments would occur quarterly. This could cause censorship. She urged the Council to rescind the bill because there is not substance to it, and no consideration was given to communications people, nor were the alternative media consulted. The Media Board does not take alternative view points into consideration. She urged the Council to go along the lines of the referendum. Let the students decide. Mary Rose said that there seemed to be a call to rescind for two reasons 1) process and 2) content. She said there have been claims that it was "pushed through by the administration" and if it is rescinded the alternative media will then "talk" to the Council. She said the proposal was in front of the Council for two weeks, then it was postponed until after vacation. It was postponed to give the alternative media a chance to give input and provide alternative proposals. The Council did not receive any. At the same time, the Council created a committee, though not acceptable to the alternative media, to get alternative views and come up with alternative proposals. The committee tried to get alternative input, and received none. They still have not received alternative proposals. To rescind the bill so "they will talk to us" is not a reason. The alternative media was asked for input. As for the content, the aim is equality of funding. The bill does not say that a paper can only publish twice a quarter, they can publish more, but the student body will not fund it. The purpose of the referendum is to ask students what they think of the alternative media. The referendum will say the A.S. has taken a stand. The referendum will provide an answer as to what the students want. She motioned to call the item to question, and it failed, 2 in favor and 9 against.

Deb said a question was brought to her. She was asked if the reason for the bill was to give the Council an excuse to say they can only give \$4,000? Mary Rose said the bill is a by-law amendment, and next year's Council can rescind it if the referendum gives the indication that such a move is desired. Deb asked if the bill is kept, and the Council desired to allocate more, would the by-laws have to be suspended. Mary Rose answered yes. John read the introduction to the bill originally submitted. It said it was imperative that the ASUCSD represent the student body's interests. The only organization that has been in favor of the bill was the California Review, and now they have come out against it. He has not spoken to any students who are in favor of the proposal. Mary

Rose asked if other groups have been asked. She said that the alternative media is the group who is affected by the bill, other students may agree, but see no reason to voice their agreement. Deb asked if next year's Council would have time to pass a bill before budgets are allocated. Mary Rose answered that the Council could pass a bill before hearings. Eric asked how John was sure that he had gotten the alternative point of view. How he knew that no one was for the bill. John said he has not heard any positive reaction from anyone. Greg McCambridge said that many students may feel that if it is status quo there is no need to talk about it. John said it is not fair. The bill does not provide equal funding, but rather provides a \$4,000 ceiling. Media are different, not equal. The media support each other as diverse view points.

At this point, Michael passed the gavel to Greg MacCrone, Pro Tem. Mary Rose said it is not so obvious that the groups support each other, just because they are coming together to get funding. If the Council recalled space allocations, they would remember a fight, where it did not appear that they supported each other. John said as a whole the alternative media support each other. Michael said it is one thing to say something, and another to do it. He has been to Media Board meetings for two years when funding was decided, and they were out of hand. The alternative media did not want the California Review to have an office, that is not supporting each other. He said he had spoken with many people who supported his bill. He discussed John's use of his computer access to mail a letter on people's accounts asking for their view on the alternative media, and most said the Koala should be funded. A lot of people are behind his bill, but they are not vocal about it because they are not directly affected. The bill would allow everyone to start at the same level. He said it is not obvious that they cannot get ad revenues. Historically they have. They have a notion of divine right to money from the A.S. so there has been no attempt to get ads. He said it is hard to justify giving one paper \$12,000 and another \$800. The bill was a start of something. The media boycotted the committee, if they had any real options, then they would have been presented by now. There was a disturbance in the audience at this point, interrupting Michael. There was a motion to call the item to question which failed 3 in favor, 11 against. Katherine asked if the bill is retained, how difficult it would be for the Council to change the amount. She assumed the Council would be influenced by the referendum. Lilys spoke, saying that she had formed an opinion. She said the sticky point is how to equalize gross differences. It does look unfair. Michael's proposal was rushed through, and not given enough attention. The history of the alternative media and the proposal are irrelevant. Their goals are what is important. If equity was so important, why weren't they allocated equal amounts at the beginning of the year? They do have different goals. Some of the alternative media do not even want \$4,000. The Council is talking about a specific issue, there needs to be room for flexibility. The goals of each paper need to be looked at. The referendum will clear up the problem. Greg McCambridge said as a member of the media board he was present when allocations were made. There was no action taken to think about the media in relation to the students. The alternative media



claim that last year's referendum question reflected overwhelming support for the media, but the results were 53% did not want to limit media allocations to \$20,000, and 47% did want to. The new referendum question deals with the questions and answers. The proposal was written with the future in mind, so the Council would have some guidelines. That is important. The Council should not rescind the bill for the reasons stated. The alternative media have only joined together on the issue to fight a common enemy. The referendum questions should clear up the issue, and decide the matter. Greg MacCrone asked how people could say the alternative media support each other when members of it proposed the referendum to withdraw funding from the California Review a few years ago. At this point there was a great deal of disruption from the audience. Eric wanted to clarify the issue saying it was equality of opportunity. The ceiling would provide more equal opportunity for alternative media, more funds would be available for new alternative media to get a start. Money would be available to make repairs on equipment that the media use, something that does not exist at present. He could not support the bill if he did not feel confident that a majority of the students support the bill. Denise said the old referenda dealt with a different pool of students. Mary Anne yielded the floor to Dan from the NI. He said the Council talks of equality, but society is not equal. The People's Voice and the Voz Fronteriza are not equal with the Koala (and he was not holding anything against the Koala, he added). They do not have equal access outside of the University. Reality is that many outside businesses will not advertise in papers like the NI. The alternative media never endorsed the California Review referendum. Bill said the motion to rescind the proposal is irrelevant. The students will decide with the referendum vote. The next Council will have to withdraw the bill if it is not voted for. If the Council does not do that, they would be politically unethical, and he holds office until next year. He will resign if the Council does not follow the referendum outcome. The discussion continued. Bill added that if the Council rescinds the resolution, that question on the referendum will not have a chance. The motion to call the item to question passed, 9 in favor, 4 against. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion to dissolve the Fahlbusch Media Bill **failed**--2 in favor, 9 against, and 1 abstentions. The audience became disruptive at this point. Mary Rose yielded the floor to Russell Andalcio who said he was disgusted with the Council's action. John said there was no evidence of student support for the proposal so why did the Council insist on keeping the bill? There is something else involved. The issue is not the \$4,000 ceiling, it is to take away money. It is an attempt to muzzle view points. The Council voted on the issue when they knew members of the alternative media wanted to speak. The whole thing was undemocratic. It is the duty of the Council to represent the students. He wanted to register a protest.

#### NEW BUSINESS

- A. Cinco De Mayo line-item budget, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. Referred to Finance.
- B. Referendum question, submitted by Peter Kim. Referred to Rules
- C. Referendum question, submitted by Peter Kim. Referred to Rules.
- D. Referendum question, submitted by Peter Kim. Referred to Rules.

- E. Referendum question, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules.
- F. Referendum question, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules.
- G. Referendum question, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules.
- H. Referendum question, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Referred to Rules.
- I. Approval of Alternative Media Referendum questions, submitted by Ad Hoc Committee on Alternative Media Funding. Referred to Rules.

OPENS

- A. Cafe start up budget of \$12,200, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Finance.
- B. Jazz Festival budget request of \$4,299, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Referred to Finance.
- C. Referendum question on use of Reg. Fee for on-campus recruiting, submitted by Rob Lewis. Referred to Rules.
- D. Coops referendum, submitted John Riley. Referred to Rules.
- E. March for Justice Resolution, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #26 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 10:30pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley
Mary Anne Arabia	Robert Lewis	Gina Silva
Katherine Barnhisel	Gregory MacCrone	Deb Simon
Cara DeSio	Greg McCambridge	Marla Winitz
Bill Eggers	Scott Pickett	
Excused were:	Josh Beckman, Barbara Higgins, Robert Rios, Irene Sharkoff, Dave Sheldon, Sal Veas, and Eric Weiss.	

DRAFT!!

ASUCSD

1986

ELECTION BY-LAWS

MARCH 3, 1986

## A.S. ELECTION CALENDAR

### FILING PERIOD

March 10, 1986, 8:00 am to March 14, 1986, 4:30 pm and on March 31, 1986 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. File forms with the Student Activities Office, (Patty).

**\*NOTE: NO FILING DURING FINALS OR SPRING BREAK FOR CANDIDATES AND REFERENDUM QUESTIONS.**

### CANDIDATES MEETING

March 31, 1986, 5:00 pm in the North Conference Room for Executive Council; 7:00 pm for Senators.

### CAMPAIGNING

From 8:00 am, April 2, 1986 thru closing of polls on April 9th, at 4:00 pm. All campaign material must be down before results are released.

### VOTING

April 8th & 9th, 1986. Polls open 9:00 am to 4:00 pm.

April 16th, Runoff (if necessary) Polls open from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm.

### POLLING PLACES

Revelle Plaza  
Gym Steps  
Muir Quad  
Third Quad  
Warren/Registrar's

For more information call ext. 4450 or come by the Student Activities Office.

### **Note to all candidates running for an A.S. Office:**

Whereas in the past a drawing has been held during the Mandatory Candidates meeting to determine the order of names as they will appear on the ballot, because of time constraints the order of names will be determined by the Secretary of State's Random Alphabet Selection used by the Registrar of Voters.

## BYLAWS

### Election Commission

#### A. Purpose

To plan, conduct, supervise, and certify all elections held under the auspices of the Associated Students.

#### B. Election Manager Duties

1. To review and revise the Election Codes as necessary.
2. To present the Elections Calendar and Supplementary Regulations to the A.S. for approval at least four (4) weeks prior to any regular election.
3. To certify the qualifications of all potential candidates who wish to run for A.S. Office.
4. To submit to all campus newspapers a complete list of candidates and the respective offices prior to the election.
5. To organize and coordinate candidates' speeches & forums.
6. To recruit and train poll workers for the electoral process.
7. To act as the original hearing board for complaints concerning election violations of the Election Codes or applicable University rules and regulations.
8. To maintain complete written records of original copies of Election Commission minutes, complaints, violations, and other documents.
9. To provide for the voting and tabulation of ballots.
10. To file records of election results including the total number of votes for each candidate and or referenda with the following:
  - a) Student Government Advisor/Administrative Assistant
  - b) A.S. Secretary
11. To submit to the newspapers the names of winning candidates and referenda and ballot tabulations following the certification of results.
12. To enforce the provisions of the Election Codes and applicable University rules or regulations.

## CHAPTER I

### PRE-ELECTION PROCEDURES

#### Section I

Revision of Election Codes shall be made by the Election Commission. A.S. Council approval of any and all changes in the Election Codes shall be required prior to the election period.

#### Section II.

Scheduling of campaign speeches at forums shall be the responsibility of the Election Commission. The Election Commission shall publicize these speeches through the A.S. Bulletin and any other available media as well as distributing the schedule of speeches at the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting.

#### Section III.

Ballots used for all A.S. Elections shall be ordered by the Election Manager at least eight (8) days prior to the election.

#### Section IV.

##### **Ballot Packaging**

- A. At least three (3) days prior to the first day of Voting, the ballots shall be picked up by the Election Manager and an Election Commission delegate, and delivered by them to a predetermined room for ballot packaging.
1. To maintain security controls and for ease in handling, the Election Commission shall package all ballots required for the election.
  2. Ballot packaging shall take place in the presence of the Election Commissioner and a VCUA designate.
  3. The ballots shall be packaged into consecutively numbered packets of twenty-five (25) ballots each.
  4. The ballots shall be packaged in such a manner as to prevent a ballot or ballots from being removed from a packet without having to open the packet by breaking the seal or tearing the packaging material.
- B. Upon completion of the packaging, all the ballots shall be taken by the Election Manager and an Election Commission designate to a predetermined place for storage.
- C. Candidates shall have the option of having the name of their slate printed on the ballot next to their name.

## Section V

### **Test Decks**

- A. If a computer is used, two (2) Test Decks shall be prepared by the Elections Manager to use in verifying the validity and reliability of the computer program used in the tabulation process.
  - 1. Test Deck No. 1 shall be required to be run through the computer process one (1) week prior to the first day of voting.
  - 2. Test Deck No. 2 shall be required to be run through the computer after the ballots cast for the election have been sorted and counted prior to the computer tabulation of said ballots.
- B. Test Decks shall be prepared by the Election Manager in the presence of the Associated Student Advisor.
  - 1. The Test Decks shall contain a minimum of ten (10) ballots in each deck.
  - 2. Each ballot contained in each Test Deck shall be clearly marked "Test".
  - 3. The Election Manager shall use a vote-o-matic machine to punch each ballot contained in the Test Deck.
  - 4. The Election Manager shall prepare a hand count tabulation of the votes cast in the Test Deck.
  - 5. The Test Decks and the respective hand count tabulations shall be signed and sealed by both the Election Manager and the A.S. Advisor and shall be delivered in the custody of the Election Manager to the storage area.
  - 6. When each Test Deck is run, the hand count tabulation shall be used to compare and verify tabulation; and problems and/or differences in the two shall be corrected and verified prior to the actual run of the ballots through the computer.

## Section VI

### **Sample Ballots**

- A. A Sample Ballot shall be prepared by the Elections Commission for any and all A.S. Elections.
  - 1. The Sample Ballot shall contain all information shown on the election ballot.
  - 2. The Sample Ballot shall be an exact representation of the ballot used in the election.
  - 3. The Sample Ballot shall be clearly marked "SAMPLE".

- B. The Sample Ballot shall be posted in the A.S. Office and a copy shall be submitted to all campus newspapers at least ten (10) school days prior to the first day of voting with continuing submissions.

## CHAPTER II

### CANDIDACY

#### Section I

A packet with Supplementary Regulations shall be provided to each candidate to be picked up with filing packet and a desk copy shall be made available to all students by the Election Manager. The packet shall include:

1. A list of the Offices with a brief description.
2. The Election By-Laws.
3. Calendar to include the pertinent dates of the election.
4. Supplementary Regulations to include the following specifications:
  - a. polling dates and times
  - b. polling places
  - c. dates of:
    - I. filing and packet availability
    - II. filing of eligibility form
    - III. candidate's meeting
    - IV. campaign period
  - d. deadlines for:
    - I. withdrawal of application
    - II. filing of itemized income/expense form
    - III. removal of campaign materials
    - IV. violation report forms
5. Campus Posting Regulations

**NOTE:** All applicants shall be responsible for complying with all materials in the packet. Questions regarding the information contained in the packet may be directed to the Elections Manager or his/her assistants. Applicants shall be responsible for familiarizing themselves with all pertinent deadlines. Infraction of the Election By-Laws and deadlines may result in disqualification of candidacy.



## Section II

### **Filing and Eligibility**

- A. Each candidate shall file a Candidacy Intent form.
1. A student may file for only one (1) office per election.
  2. The Intent form shall be submitted with Signatures/Candidate Endorsement Petition.
  3. Incomplete filing may result in the student being declared ineligible for office at any time during the Election period by the Election Commission.
- B. The following requirements must be met to run for and maintain office:
1. Must be enrolled full-time Undergraduate student at UCSD.
  2. Must have and maintain at least a 2.0 overall GPA as indicated on any official UCSD transcript.
  3. Must meet requirements of desired position as stated in the ASUCSD Constitution.
  4. Must attend the appropriate Mandatory Candidate's Meeting called by the Election Commission. (1 for exec/com and 1 for senators)
  5. Presidential candidates will be required to submit 200 signatures accompanied by student I.D. numbers.
  6. Vice Presidential candidates will be required to submit 150 signatures accompanied by student I.D. numbers.
  7. Commissioner candidates must submit 100 signatures accompanied by student I.D. numbers.
  8. College Senator candidates must submit 50 signatures accompanied by student I.D. numbers, from their respective colleges.
- C. The initial filing period for candidacy shall be a minimum of one (1) week. The filing period shall start 8:00 am on Monday of the 10th week of Winter Quarter and end on Friday at 4:30 pm of the 10th week. Filing will continue for the first day of Spring Quarter from 8:00 am to 3:00 pm.
1. Forms will be provided by the Student Activities Office, Monday thru Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.
  2. Forms will be accepted between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm Monday thru Friday.
  3. All Forms must be turned in to the Student Activities Office.

4. Forms must be turned in by the Candidate!

5. A candidate wishing to withdraw his/her application may do so in writing up to and during the time of the Mandatory Candidate's Meeting. The written notification shall be submitted to the Election Manager.

D. The A.S. Advisor's Office shall check the qualifications of each applicant. After determining eligibility, copies of the applicant's completed forms shall be made available the day after Mandatory Candidate's Meeting to the following:

1. A.S. Election Manager
2. Applicant

Section III

**College Council and College Board Candidates**

A. Candidates for College Council College Boards must apply at their respective College Dean's Office. (ONLY CANDIDATES FOR COLLEGE SENATOR TO THE A.S. COUNCIL MUST FILE THROUGH THE A.S. ELECTION COMMISSION). Candidates for College Council/Boards must comply with A.S. Election Codes.

Section IV

**Mandatory Candidates' Meeting**

A. The Mandatory Candidates' Meeting (M.C. Meeting) shall be conducted by the Manager of Elections with the A.S. Advisor and shall be held Monday, of the FIRST week of Spring Quarter.

B. The M.C. Meeting will be divided into two meetings:  
1) Executive Council and Commissioners  
2) Senators

C. The Purpose of the M.C. Meeting shall be the following:  
1. To clarify what the pertinent dates and regulations concerning the elections are.  
2. To answer any questions the candidates might have.

## **CHAPTER III**

### **CAMPAIGNING**

#### **Section I**

##### **Definition**

Campaigning is an organized, planned action(s), use of media(s), use and/or distribution of campaign literature, with the purpose of advertising candidacy, electing a candidate, or advertising the pros and cons of an issue on the ballot.

#### **Section II**

##### **Time and Recommendations**

- A. The date of the Regular Spring Election is the Tuesday and Wednesday in the 2nd week of classes in the Spring Quarter.
- B. A recommended minimum of one (1) week shall be allowed for campaigning. Campaigning shall begin on the first Tuesday in the first week, the day after the Candidates Meeting.
- C. Candidates will be held responsible for removing their posted materials after the polls close on the 2nd day of voting. Election results will not be released until all campaign material is removed from the campus. The Elections commission will survey the campus before the release of the results. In case of a runoff, posted material will be removed same day as runoff election.

#### **Section III**

##### **Conduct and Responsibility**

- A. All candidates shall be responsible for observing all rules and regulations pertaining to the conduct of their campaign. Failure to do so may result in the disqualification of the candidate/state.
- B. No one shall disturb, deface or remove any posted campaign material or special event sign(s) with the exception of the respective candidate, his/her campaign workers, voting members of the Election Commission or an appropriate campus authority.
- C. No campaigning, whether specific or implied shall occur within the Student Center or within 100 feet of the polling areas.

## Section IV

### **Income and Expenses**

- A. All information contained in the Campaign Income and Expense records, for the Regular Election and all attachments to it are a matter of public record and may be viewed by any person.
- B. Each candidate shall be limited to a total of \$125.00 for campaign expenses.
- C. Slates consisting of four (4) or more candidates may not exceed \$600.00 spending total. (i.e. - 2/\$250; 3/\$375; 4 or more/\$600).
- D. An original receipt along with a sample of each itemized expenditure must be attached to the Campaign Income and Expense Record and filed with the Advisor's Assistant prior to the distribution of any campaign material.
- E. Each Candidate must submit the Campaign Income and Expense Record, Before the materials purchased/donated may be used. (All posters, T-Shirts, Buttons, etc. must be reported on and Expense Form before being used or posted.)
- F. Estimated cost or value of donated items and supplies by a person(s) other than the candidate must be itemized on the Campaign Income and Expense Record.
- G. Persons or groups conducting a campaign in favor or against an issue shall be required to comply with all regulations and deadlines pertinent to Campaigning as specified in Chapter III of these Codes. Expenses for campaign material shall not exceed \$200.00.
- H. Spending for a run-off shall not exceed \$50.00 per candidate or \$200.00 per slate.

## **Chapter IV**

### ELECTION PROCEDURES

#### Section I

##### **Dates of Election**

- A. The polls will be open during the times set by the Election Commission on Tuesday and Wednesday of the 2nd week of Spring Quarter.
- B. Winners will be decided by a simple majority (50% + 1) of those voting for that particular office.

- C. In the case of no majority being received in the race for a campus-wide office, those two with the most votes will have a run-off on Wednesday of the 3rd week of Spring Quarter. The individual with the most votes in the run-off wins.
- D. Voter Eligibility shall be determined by the presentation by the student of his/her current quarter registration (I.D.) card.

### Section III

#### **Voting Procedures**

- A. The voter must sign their name, print their name, and write their I.D. Number on a voter registration form.
  - 1. The voter's registration card must contain his/her signature in ink.
  - 2. The signature on the voter registration form must correspond with the signature on the registration card. If there is question concerning the authenticity of the signature, the poll operators may refuse the voter his/her ballot. The burden of proof is on the voter.
  - 3. Each voter's registration card shall be marked in such a way as to indicate that they have voted.
    - a. no voter shall cast more than one (1) ballot
    - b. no student shall under any circumstances vote or attempt to vote using a registration card other than his or her own registration card issued for the correct quarter.
  - 4. A circular containing the information in Chapter IV must be prominently displayed at each polling place.
  - 5. Elections pamphlet distributed by the Elections Commission will be allowed into the polls. (No other campaign literature may be brought to the polls).

### Section IV

#### **Ballot Tabulating**

- A. The ballot tabulating shall be supervised by the Election Manager, the Policy Overseer or his/her representative and the Associated Students Advisor.

- B. It shall be a violation of the Election Codes and direct grounds for invalidation of the election for any member of the Election Commission, group or independent candidate who has access to the counting room to release the results while the ballots are being counted before the polls are closed.
- C. The results of the Election shall be released by the Election Manager following the completion of their tabulation and removal of all campaign material from the campus.
- D. The Election results, as certified by the Election Commission shall become effective by their being read into the minutes of the next Council meeting following their certification by the Council.

## CHAPTER V

### VIOLATION AND PROCEDURES

#### Section I

##### **Violations**

- A. The Elections Commission shall have original jurisdiction to hear any and all cases of alleged violations of the following nature:
  - 1. Intentional/Failure to comply with the A.S. Election Codes, not intentional.
  - 2. Infringement of constitutionally granted rights of free speech, press, protest, or association.
  - 3. Tampering with or improperly influencing the collection of or tabulation of the ballots or their storage.
  - 4. Spending any amount of money over the designated limit or not submitting the proper receipts for supplied or services purchased.
  - 5. Destruction or theft of campaign materials.
  - 6. Using alcoholic beverages or money as campaign materials (on or off campus).
  - 7. Campaigning (including verbal campaigning) within 100 feet of the polls on an Election Day.
  - 8. Distributing or posting/placing campaign materials in parking lots, on vehicles, or in academic spaces, sidewalks, or Student Center.
    - a. Academic space is defined as any area where curriculum takes place this includes libraries, the campus computer system, etc.

9. Not fulfilling regulations regarding the submission of forms as stated in the Election Codes.
  10. Refusal to immediately remove all material in violation of UCSD and College posting regulations and is subject to candidate disqualification.
  11. Printing libelous materials with malicious intent.
  12. Falsifying forms required by the Election By-Laws.
  13. Using any graduate students' or non-students as campaign workers.
  14. Campaigning in the common areas of the Student Center.
  15. Using electronic loudspeakers when campaigning (including vocal campaigning).
  16. Violating any UCSD, City of San Diego, State of California or Federal laws or regulations during the campaigning.
  17. Refusing to appear at any hearings of violations of these codes without a legitimate reason.
  18. Submitting false evidence during any hearings pursuant to these codes or making false allegations against any other candidate or group.
- B. Such allegations shall be filed in writing by 4:30 pm on the last day of voting during the particular election giving rise to the said allegation.
1. Unless waived by the Election Commission, the Election Commission shall be used to file any and all allegations.
  2. Information requested on the Violation Report Form shall be completed in full and typed as follows:
    - a. name, address, phone, and I.D. number of the person filing the allegation.
    - b. the name(s) of the individual(s) or group(s) alleged to have committed the violation(s) or improper conduct.
    - c. the nature, and if applicable, date, time and location of the alleged violation.
    - d. signature of person filing the allegation (with a date to qualify it).
    - e. any other pertinent specifics of the alleged violation.
    - f. the names of witnesses to the alleged violation.
  3. The allegations shall be considered filed when the completed Violation Report is accepted and logged in with time and date by the A.S.

Advisor's Assistant, or, if not available, the A.S. Advisor, or, if not available the Manager of Elections.

4. Allegations may be filed by any qualified voter.

C. The Election Commission may, if it determines it necessary, suspend the tabulation of votes or the publication of official results until such time as the allegations filed are finally resolved by the procedures set forth in these codes.

## Section II

### **Procedures**

A. The Elections Manager shall, upon receipt of a Violation Report, determine the time, date, and place for a meeting of the Board of Inquiry.

1. The Elections Commission shall conduct the Board of Inquiry meeting within two (2) academic days of filing of Violations Report form and at a time to be decided by the Manager.

2. The Election Commission shall notify the person filing the Violation Report and the person(s) and/or group(s) charged with the alleged violation by delivering a copy of the Election Commission's Board of Inquiry Notification form and a copy of the Violation Report Form.

B. All Boards of Inquiry shall be conducted by a majority of the designated officers of the Election Commission, as per Chapter I, of the Election Codes, with the Election Manager presiding.

1. If a voting member of the Election Commission is the person filing the allegation, the person charged with the alleged violation, or a witness named in the Violation Report, she/he shall be required to waive all rights to and responsibilities as a Commission member at the hearing of the case in which she/he is involved.

C. The Election Commission shall provide means for keeping a record of all Board of Inquiry proceedings:

1. Minutes shall be taken at all Board of Inquiry Meetings.

2. Records of all proceedings including the minutes and copies of all documents and other items submitted in evidence, shall be available to the individuals or parties involved for use in the preparation of arguments for appeals.

3. A tape recorder will be used for all meetings.



D. All proceedings of the Board of Inquiry shall be open unless the Elections Manager mandates, for reasons of maintaining order, a closed hearing.

1. In the event of a closed meeting, only the following persons may be present at the Board of Inquiry.

- a. the Election Manager
- b. voting members of the Election Commission
- c. defendant(s) and her/his counsel (max. of 3)
- d. person filing the allegation and her/his counsel (max. 3)
- e. a secretary appointed by the A.S. Advisor
- f. one (1) witness at any given time

2. The Election Manager shall have the authority to exclude any, and all disorderly person(s) from the hearing.

E. The Board of Inquiry agenda for each case shall be as follows:

1. Reading of the Violation Report.
2. Statement of person filing allegation.
3. Statement of defendant(s).
4. Questioning of witnesses by Election Manager and principal parties involved.
5. Closing statements by person filing allegation and defendant(s).

F. The defendant(s) shall be entitled to the following rights:

1. To receive a copy of the completed Violation Report at least six (6) hours prior to the scheduled Board of Inquiry.
2. To have through his own means counsel to assist in the case.
3. To confront his/her accuser.
4. To question all witnesses.
5. To refuse to answer any question that might tend to incriminate her/himself.

G. In determining guilt or innocence and in determining a penalty, the voting members may consider these points, but are not required to use this as a check list in final evaluation of guilt or innocence.

1. Kind of violation.
2. Degree of severity.
3. Knowledge of involvement of the person(s) accused.
4. Intent of the person(s) accused.
5. Prior violation.
6. Acknowledgement of the violation by the person(s) accused.
7. Number of counts.
8. Precedent.

- H. The Board of Inquiry shall, after consideration of all testimony and evidence, render its decision by a majority vote of those voting members present throughout the entire Board of Inquiry within one (1) academic day after the completion of the Board of Inquiry.
1. If a voting member of the Election Commission is the person filing the allegation, the person charged with the alleged violation, or a witness named in the Violation Report, she/he shall not be permitted to vote on the case.
  2. The Elections Manager shall vote only in case of a tie.
  3. The decision shall be prepared in writing.
  4. The minority opinion, if any, shall be prepared in writing and attached to the case decision.
  5. A copy of the case decision and minority opinion shall be distributed to the following:
    - a. defendant (s)
    - b. person filing the allegation
    - c. A.S. files
    - d. Assistant Vice Chancellor
    - e. the A.S. Advisor
    - f. posted on the A.S. Bulletin Board

### Section III

#### **Appeal Procedures**

- A. Decisions of the Board of Inquiry may be appealed to the A.S. Judicial Board.
- B. The Appeal of the A.S. Judicial Board shall be filed within five (5) academic days following the rendering of the written decision by the Board of Inquiry.
- C. The ASUCSD Judicial Board is advised to follow the sanctions stipulated in these Codes.

### **CHAPTER VI**

#### **INVALIDATION OF ELECTIONS**

- A. If the Election Commission has cause to believe that the results of an election are not valid for any reason, whether from voting or counting irregularities, or improper campaigning the Election Commission shall declare the results of that election invalid.

1. A three-quarters vote of the entire voting membership of the Election Commission shall be required to invalidate an election.
2. The Election Commission shall institute new procedures to deal with the cause of the invalidation.

**B. Procedures and Protest of an election.**

1. All ballots, key punch cards, computer printouts and programs will be held by the Election Commission until the first day of the Fall Quarter.
2. Protest: Within ten (10) days following an election, any member of the ASUCSD may file a protest of that election with the ASUCSD Judicial Board on grounds of official mismanagement of a violation.
  - a. a public hearing must be held within 48 hours of a protest being filed
  - b. the Judicial Board must issue a written opinion on the protest.
3. New Elections: ASUCSD Judicial Board shall review the case and submit its findings to the A.S. Council. If the Board rules that there has been mismanagement of the elections, or that there is an unpenalized violation substantially affecting the elections, the ASUCSD Judicial Board may void the elections. The ASUCSD Judicial Board may not void an election on any other grounds or by any other procedures. The Council may not void an election.

- C. In the event of the invalidation of an election, a new election shall be scheduled by the Election Commission.**

**CHAPTER VII**

**DEFINITIONS**

**Section I**

All definitions in this chapter shall be the only definitions of these word to be applied in relation to these codes, and in relation to their operation.

**Section II**

New definitions may be added when needed, by the Election Commission to be approved by 2/3 vote of the A.S. Council.

Section III

CANDIDATE is a person who has been declared one in accordance with the procedures set forth in these codes.

BALLOT is the Election Commission's authorized material means by which a voter may communicate his/her vote.

ESTIMATED COST OR VALUE means what an object, item, or group of items would normally cost according to fair market value.

SLATE is a group of candidates identifying themselves on the same ticket and conducting a joint campaign.

ELECTION PERIOD is the period of time between the opening of the initial filing period and the final ballot counting for that election.

ELECTION is the actual days of voting during the election period.

**CHAPTER VIII**

ADDENDA

A. The following Addenda are part of the Election Codes. If there is a difference between the Addendum and the previous Chapters, the Chapters take precedence.

- |          |                                     |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Addendum | I. Eligibility Form                 |
| Addendum | II. Slate Authorization             |
| Addendum | III. Candidate Endorsement Petition |
| Addendum | IV. Expense Income Record           |
| Addendum | V. Violation Report                 |

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #27  
Wednesday, March 12, 1986  
North Conference Room

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #26. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Mary Rose: meeting with the Chancellor
  - B. Marla Winitz: "We Copy"
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Referendum question on UCSD athletics, submitted by Greg Hom. Action \_\_\_\_\_
  - B. Referendum question on UCSD shuttle service, submitted by Bill Eggers. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Cinco de Mayo line item budget, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. Finance \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Referendum on Academia, submitted by Peter Kim. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Referendum on Interaction, submitted by Peter Kim. Rules \_\_\_\_\_. Action  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Referendum on Semester vs. Quarter, submitted by Peter Kim. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Referendum on Rec Facilities, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - F. Referendum on Amphitheater, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - G. Referendum on Coop Housing, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - H. Referendum on Divestment, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - I. Referendum on Alternative Media, submitted by Lily's McCoy. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - J. Referendum on Coops, submitted by John Riley. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - K. Resolution on March for Justice, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander.  
Rules \_\_\_\_\_. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - L. Referendum on Reg Fee use for Recruitment, submitted by Rob Lewis. Rules  
\_\_\_\_\_. Action \_\_\_\_\_.

- M. Jazz Festival budget request of \$4,299, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Rules  
\_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_ .
- N. Cafe start up budget of \$12,200, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance  
\_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_ .

XI. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Acceptance of Jill Lifschiz's leave of absence, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action \_\_\_\_\_ .

XII. OPENS

XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

March 10, 1986

Hi,

Attached is a comprehensive package which includes the A.S. Cafe Contract and Budget. The budget for the A.S. Cafe has been separated into two parts; a primary budget which is a breakdown of the construction and equipment costs, and a monthly operating budget which includes estimated expenditures and predicted profits.

Through a very productive interaction with Ron Carlson and the UCSD Craft Center, a potentially costly venture has been reduced to an amazingly small initial investment of \$16,633.44. The overview of the project is very optimistic; both as a service fulfilling the needs of the UCSD Community and as a considerable financial return for both the UCSD Craft Center and the Associated Students.

As I'm sure you will realize, a great deal of time and effort has been spent in the development of the cafe project. The opening of the Cafe has been carefully planned to be realized in the most cost effective manner with a view towards extended success.

The success of the Cafe is dependent on the passing of both the primary and initial monthly budgets. I urge you to pass the contract and both budgets as they stand.

To properly operate the Cafe is a very complicated matter involving a wide range of aspects. If, as a council member, you wish to discuss details of the operational aspects, please approach me before the council meeting so I can clarify any concerns. I will be in the office or call me at home, 755-8914.

Sincerely,



Brad Silen

AGREEMENT OR CONTRACT

THIS AGREEMENT IS MADE THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_

BETWEEN:

ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, HEREIN AFTER CALLED THE ASUCSD, AS REPRESENTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS.

AND:

THE CRAFT CENTER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, HEREIN AFTER CALLED THE UCSD CRAFT CENTER, AS REPRESENTED BY THE DIRECTOR OF THE CRAFT CENTER.

THE RESPECTIVE SITUATIONS OF THE PARTIES HERETO AS FOLLOWS:

THE ASUCSD AND THE UCSD CRAFT CENTER ARE BOTH INVOLVED AND RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CREATION AND OPERATION OF A NEW CAFE, (WHICH WILL BE REFERRED TO AS THE A.S. CAFE FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE CONTRACT) ON THE CAMPUS OF UCSD. THIS CAFE WILL BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE UCSD CRAFT CENTER.

WHEREOF, SAID PARTIES, BY REASON OF THE AFORESAID SITUATIONS AND BY THEIR MUTUAL AGREEMENT HEREINAFTER CONTAINED, DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The ASUCSD and the UCSD Craft Center will share the A.S. Cafe profits and losses equally.
  - A) "Profits" are defined as that amount of money existing after all costs related to the A.S. Cafe are adhered to (monthly operating, amortization out and mandated reserve account contribution) after fiscal closing.
  - B) "Losses" are defined as that amount of money that the A.S. Cafe is in deficit after fiscal closing.
2. All fiscal operation of the A.S. Cafe will be overseen by the ASUCSD Business Office.
3. All physical plant/maintenance operations of the A.S. Cafe will be overseen by the UCSD Craft Center.
4. A committee will be established composed of seven (7) voting individuals, three (3) from the ASUCSD and three (3) from the UCSD Craft Center. There will also be one (1) The A.S. Business Office. The Committee will meet on the first tuesday of each month and on any other dates desired necessary by committee members or the general manager. No committee member can be an employee of the A.S. Cafe. The committee positions will be held by the following people:



A) Voting Members:

- 1) Craft Center Director
  - 2) Craft Center Administrative Assistant
  - 3) Craft Center Student Employee (must not be an employee of the A.S. Cafe - selected by the governing body of the Craft Center)
  - 4) Director of Student Activities
  - 5) Commissioner of Operations and Services
  - 6) Vice President Finance
  - 7) A.S. Business Office Manager
5. The Cafe committee will be responsible for revising and appending the "Charter of Employment Expectations and Operating Procedure for the A.S. Cafe".
  6. All aesthetic decisions of the A.S. Cafe will be overseen by the UCSD Craft Center.
  7. The priority of organizations to book events at the A.S. Cafe is as follows:
    - 1) UCSD Craft Center
    - 2) Cafe' Committee
    - 3) Commissioner of Programming ASUCSD
  8. Revision of this contract can only occur with majority approval of the A.S. Cafe committee, and by majority vote of quorum of the ASUCSD Council.
  9. This contract can be renewed no earlier than September 1, 1986 or by the direction of the Cafe Committee.

---

Craft Center Director

---

A.S. President

---

Craft Center Administrative Assistant

---

A.S. Administration

CHARTER OF EMPLOYMENT EXPECTATIONS  
AND OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR  
THE A.S. CAFE

MARCH 11, 1986

## Introduction

The following is a ten fold document which specifically outlines the operating procedure and philosophy of the A.S. Cafe. The job expectations and operating procedures outlined in this contract are complete and concise. Those employed to operate the A.S. Cafe are responsible to adhere to those specifications outlined in this document.

## I. Philosophy

The Cafe is to maintain a forum that opens social and academic channels within the UCSD community.

The Cafe is not to be a special interest group but rather an A.S. enterprise representing the welfare of the general student body. The basic premise is to create an environment such that those that enter it feel uninhibited to work on those ideas and changes they find important. The Cafe is to act as a catalyst, lowering the resistance in the environment so that interaction can occur more freely.

UCSD should not become a theoretical trade school where people are simply programmed with marketable skills but rather it must be maintained as a place where one can learn to think. All disciplines teach one to think in a specific way. Each presents a different way of seeing the world, an approach to tackle more difficult problems and to see the beauty in what is about them. It is this activity of bringing these people together that will put UCSD on the forefront, the cutting edge; it is this activity that the Cafe should support.

The Cafe must be a practical group who will make concessions so as to bring their ideas into actuality. But let it be noted that the Cafe is built on a theoretical groundwork, a set of ideas. Concessions the Cafe should not make are those that would impinge on the atmosphere. Such a sacrifice would destroy the main purpose of the Cafe and is likely to lead to its failure. The Cafe is not a building but an environment.

The Cafe will also be a nice place to sit.

## II Cafe Committee

The Cafe committee is that body composed of the following eight individuals:

### A) Voting Members

- 1) Craft Center Director
- 2) Craft Center Administrative Assistant
- 3) Craft Center Student Employee (must not be an employee of the A.S. Cafe-selected by the governing body of the Craft Center)
- 4) Director of Student Activities
- 5) Commissioner of Operations and Services
- 6) Vice President Finance
- 7) A.S. Business Office Manager

The Committee is responsible for the following:

- A) Hiring of Student Managers
- B) Overseeing the hiring of students as A.S. Cafe employees
- C) Establishment and changes of the menu and items to be sold
- D) Establishment and operation of price structure
- E) Establishing the hours of operation
- F) Amending and appending this document
- G) Overseeing the scheduling of events and Cafe related programs for the A.S. Cafe
- H) Weekly meetings or as deemed necessary by the ASUCSD or the UCSD Craft Center or the A.S. Cafe committee or the General Manager
- I) Establish and monitor operational and cleanliness standards

All members of the Cafe committee have open access to the Cafe and its employees for the purpose of inquiry, but any action except that through the General Manager or the Cafe Committee is prohibited. Members of the Cafe Committee are prohibited from being A.S. Cafe employees concurrently.

### III Personnel

The A.S. Cafe as an adjunct to the University of California, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (45 CFR 86), and Section 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, or handicap in any of its policies, procedures, or practices; nor does the University, in compliance with the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and Section 402 of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Act of 1974, discriminate against any employees or applicants for employment on the basis of their age or because they are disabled veterans or veterans of the Vietnam Era.

The employees of the A.S. Cafe must fulfill the following criteria:

Employees of the A.S. Cafe must be enrolled fee paying UCSD undergrad or graduate student with at least 6 units.

The A.S. Cafe will be staffed by one General Manager, two assistant managers and an open ended number of employees and volunteers.

#### A) Duties Summary

##### 1) General Manager

The general manager is that person responsible for maintaining and operating the A.S. Cafe to those specifications outlined in

this document. If there is any deviation from these specifications the general manager must move to correct the situation or be held responsible.

Specific Duties:

- a) Submit an annual report at the end of each fiscal year documenting revenue, profit, costs and projected growth.
- b) Organize Cafe Personnel
- c) Organize advertising
- d) Determine stock demands and make purchases from a variety of vendors. Responsible for receiving orders and insuring correctness.
- e) Bookkeeping duties; pay bills.
- f) Work closely with the A.S. Business Office insuring policy and procedures are adhered to.
- g) Working the register and serving customers.

2) Assistant Managers

There are to be two assistant managers who are to work under the direction of the general manager.

- a) Recruit volunteers; provide work direction.
- b) Organize orientation and training program.
- c) Partial purchasing responsibility; including inventory control.
- d) Working the register and serving customers.

3) Paid Employees

The employees are to work under the direction of the managers.

- a) Working the register and serving customers.

4) Volunteers

The volunteers are to work under the direction of the managers.

- a) Serving Customers.

B) Selection Process

1) Management

The General Manager and two Assistant Managers are to be picked at the end of Spring quarter to manage the summer months and the proceeding academic year. The selection will be made by the Cafe Committee and the current General Manager by concensus vote.

The applications are to be submitted to the Associated Student Body Secretary, to be forwarded to the Cafe Committee, by the first day of June. The selection is to be made before the end of the tenth week of the spring quarter.

2) Employees

The employees are to be hired and fired by the General Manager with majority approval of the Cafe Committee. If the applicant is not approved by the Cafe Committee to be an employee the committee must hand down a written explanation as to why the applicant is not suitable.

3) Volunteers

Volunteers are to be picked by the General Manager. Upon request the Cafe Committee may obtain a current list of A.S. Cafe Volunteers.

C) Pay Scale

The Management and employees will be paid by those practices adopted by the University of California at San Diego in compliance with state and federal law.

#### IV Daily Duties

- A) The Cafe is to be unlocked by a Manager in enough time prior to opening for business to complete the following tasks:
- 1) Prepare equipment for service
  - 2) Sweep and wash down the deck until clean
  - 3) Unlock, wash and distribute the furniture
  - 4) Clean interior and exterior of the service shed
  - 5) Open the register (From this point on the register will never be left unattended until closing)
- B) Enter into the log book any comments about the condition or operation of the Cafe. (see section IX)
- C) Personnel are not to leave their shift until a replacement shows or by consent of one of the managers. Personnel shall not be required to work for more than ten (10) minutes past their assigned shifts. The manager shall be responsible for reporting each and every incident of absent replacements or replacements more than 10 minutes late, to the A.S. Cafe Committee.
- D) All machines are to be disassembled washed and reassembled before the end of each operating day.
- E) All exposed surfaces including tables, counters, floors and equipment are to be kept clean at all times.
- F) The cash register is to be rung out at the end of each fiscal day, and the cash receipts are to be delivered to Josie, the A.S. Business Office Manager, as outlined in section VIII.
- G) The trash is to be emptied periodically through the day and absolutely before closing. The cans are to be lined with plastic bags.

- H) At closing; all lights and equipment are to be turned off and the facility is to be locked up by one of the managers.

#### V Advertising

- A) The cafe will be called "The A.S. Cafe."
- B) All university posting requirements will be followed.

#### VI Hours

Never shall the Cafe be closed during these hours:

Monday	7:00 am to 12:00 midnight
Tuesday	7:00 am to 12:00 midnight
Wednesday	7:00 am to 12:00 midnight
Thursday	7:00 am to 12:00 midnight
Friday	7:00 am to 12:00 midnight
Saturday	12:00 pm to 12:00 midnight
Sunday	1:00 pm to 12:00 midnight

#### VII No Smoking Policy

- A) Smoking policy of the A.S. Cafe will fall within the scope of those policies entitled by the U.C. Regents.
- B) An area, not 100%, of the Cafe will be designated non-smoking.
- C) Efforts of cooperation and courtesy are to be made in dealing with smoking/non-smoking situations indoor and outdoor areas.

#### VIII Revisions


The contents of this document will by no means contradict any part or parts of the cafe contract between the ASUCSD Craft Center contract will be automatically updated in this document. Revision of this document can only occur with majority vote approval of the A.S. Cafe Committee.

#### IX Log Book

The log book is to be used by the Cafe' Committee and the Management to make decisions concerning the Cafe's operation. The log book format shall be clear and concise. The log book is to be maintained by the General Manager and will include the following information:

- 1) Work Schedule
- 2) Record of Cash Receipts
- 3) Record of any problems that arise
- 4) Supply ordering. Including cost, date ordered, inventory existing, number ordered, vendor, delivery date.
- 5) Listing of all deliveries.
- 6) Each entry shall be signed and include the time and date.

# Structure Diagram







IV MAINTENANCE

Heavy Push Broom \$12.00 + tax	\$ 12.48
Scrub Brush \$4.00 + tax	\$ 4.24
Sponges \$6.00 + tax	\$ 6.36

---

Subtotal IV. \$ 23.08

V. OFFICE SUPPLIES

1 case cash register tape	\$ 50.00
Log Book	
Pens	
Pencils	
Paper	
Envelopes	
Stamps	\$ 20.00
Printing	\$ 60.00
Typesetting	\$ 40.00

---

Subtotal V. \$ 170.00

VI. PRESIDENTIAL DISCRETIONARY \$ 300.00

VII. CONSTRUCTION

Materials To-Date: Include Roof, Roof Supports, Hardware, Roofing, Studs, Window, Jams & Stop, Siding, Stain	\$1,566.26
Deck: Approximately 1,100 square feet	\$2,400.00
Deck Additions: Railings & Steps	\$1,000.00
Walkway: Concrete	\$ 100.00
Kitchen: Water Supply 3/4 line, stops, faucet	\$ 250.00
Sewer line-tee, clamps, pipe connectors, trap, glue	\$ 120.00
220v Circuit-Breaker, wire, boxes, connectors, conduit	\$ 250.00
2-110v Circuit-Breaker, wire, boxes, switches	\$ 150.00
Light-Fluorescent, Surface Mounted, Box	
Wire	\$ 140.00
Window Glass	\$ 85.00
Tile Floor-60 Square Feet	\$ 300.00
Ceiling Ventilator	\$ 172.00
Door, Solid Core	\$ 50.00

Door, Hard Core	\$ 75.00
Caulking, Insulation	\$ 145.00
Counter, Cupboards	\$1,445.00
Sink	\$ 503.50
Water Heater, 5 gallon electric	\$ 105.00
Interior Paint	\$ 60.00
Planting	\$ 155.50

---

Subtotal VII.           \$9,071.76

GRAND TOTAL OF ALL SUBTOTALS

Subtotal I.	\$1,736.94
Subtotal II.	\$ 748.45
Subtotal III.	\$ 127.61
Subtotal IV.	\$ 23.08
Subtotal V.	\$ 170.00
Subtotal VI.	\$ 300.00
Subtotal VII.	\$9,071.76

---

TOTAL FOR CONSTRUCTION                      \$ 12,177.84



Subtotal III. \$ 98.60

IV. REPAIR

Repairs - standard \$45.00 per month \$ 45.00

Subtotal IV. \$ 45.00

V. WATER PURIFIERS

Salt \$25.00 per month \$ 25.00

Subtotal V. \$ 25.00

VI. EXPENDABLES

8 oz. foam cups 1000/case = \$10.27 \$ 10.27

12oz. foam cups 1000/case = \$14.55 \$ 14.55

Stir Stix (tongue depressors) 1000/case \$11.00 \$ 11.00

8 oz. lids 1000/case = \$7.16 \$ 7.16

12oz. lids 1000/case = \$8.45 \$ 8.45

Small Napkins 10,000/case = \$24.73 \$ 24.73

Lemonade Base

Case of 4 - 1 gallon lemonade syrup \$ 15.33

Garbage Bags 20/case \$ 17.23

Detergent

Soap

Sanitation Tablets \$ 20.00

Subtotal VI. \$ 128.72

GRAND TOTALS OF SUBTOTALS

Subtotal I.	\$ 711.00
Subtotal II.	\$3,447.28
Subtotal III.	\$ 98.60
Subtotal IV.	\$ 45.00
Subtotal V.	\$ 25.00
Subtotal VI.	\$ 128.72

---

Grand Total \$ 4,455.60















# 50 cents per cup




# 60 cents per cup



# 75 cents per cup





Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

RESOLUTION

SUBMITTED BY: Mary Rose Alexander, President


SUBJECT: March for Justice

WHEREAS, The March for Justice at the U.S.-Mexican border on July 4, 1986 is intended to raise money for the restoration of the Statue of Liberty; and

WHEREAS, the Statue of Liberty has stood as a symbol of haven for the downtrodden of the world--"give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses..."

WHEREAS, the March for Justice is aimed at the re-education of the American public about the contributions that immigrants and refugees have made, both historically and at present, to the U.S. economy and culture;

THEREFORE, let it be resolved that the ASUCSD Council endorse the March for Justice and its efforts to reclaim the spirit of the Statue of Liberty.



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Referendum Question  
submitted under opens--item D meeting #26

SUBMITTED BY: John Riley, Warren College Senior Senator

SUBJECT: Coops

Do you support turning the existing Student Center buildings into a Student Cooperative Center upon completion of the New University Center?

Do you support the renaming of the present student center fee (\$12.50/quarter) as the Student Cooperative Center Fee (\$12.50/quarter), for the continued maintenance, operation and renovation of the existing facilities?

Do you support the democratic management of the Student Cooperative Center facilities and fees by a cooperative association elected by the student organizations located in the center?

EXPENSES

DATE APRIL 13, 1986  
 ATTENDANCE 5,000  
 LOCATION "STONEHEDGE"  
 (south side of H.L.)

1986 ALL CAMPUS JAZZ FESTIVALARTIST FEES:

UCSD JAZZ ENSEMBLE	200
NEW SHOOZ	300
PETER SPRAGUE	600
FATBURGER WITH HOLLIS GENTRY	650
JOE LIGGINS & THE ORIGINAL HONEYDRIPPERS	1,500
<u>TOTAL:</u>	<u>\$3,250</u>

GENERAL PUBLICITY:

GUARDIAN 2 pages @ \$360/pg	720
POSTERS	75
FLYERS	50
BANNERS- muslin 4 @ \$35/banner	140
- professional canvass 4 @ \$100/banner	400
TABLE TENTS in all eating establishments on campus	50
BALLOONS 2,000	180
HELIUM 2 cannisters	120
STUDENT-FACULTY T-SHIRT PROMOTION	600
300 shirts @ \$5/shirt = \$1,500	
sell shirts to students for \$3	
<u>TOTAL:</u>	<u>\$2,335</u>

STAGE, LIGHTING & SOUND:

SOUND professional outside firm 1) Sound Image	2,000
2) Sound West	
LIGHTING UEO	300
ELECTRICIAN hook up and distribution	200
STAGING UEO and Physical Plant	500
GENERATOR	500
<u>TOTAL:</u>	<u>\$3,500</u>

MISC.

UCSD FOOD SERVICES (subsidize 1,000 students)	1,000
CLEAN-UP	150
DUMPSTERS (4)	118
PORTA POTITIES (4)	191
TRASH CANS (30) and TABLES (24-6")	155
HOSPITALITY	500
SECURITY	450
MISC. (telephone, gasoline, logo design contest, etc...)	100
<u>TOTAL:</u>	<u>\$2,664</u>

GRAND TOTAL:\$11,749



FUNDING SOURCES

1986 ALL CAMPUS JAZZ FESTIVAL

REVELLE COLLEGE:

COLLEGE COUNCIL	200
PROGRAMMING BOARD	200
COMMUTER BOARD	200
HALL PROGRAMMING BOARD	350
APT. PROGRAMMING BOARD	150
	1,000

WARREN COLLEGE:

COMMUTER BOARD	200
STUDENT GOVERNMENT	300
DEAN'S OFFICE	200

THIRD COLLEGE:

FACULTY INTERACTION COMMITTEE	100
COLLEGE COUNCIL	100
DEAN'S OFFICE	200

MUIR COLLEGE:

COLLEGE COUNCIL	100
COMMUTER BOARD	100
DEAN'S OFFICE	250

OTHERS:

B.S.U.	250
S.A.A.C.	750
BLACK CONTEMPORARY ARTS PROGRAM	200
DR. BUSSE (ALCOHOL COMMITTEE)	200
DR. JOE WATSON	1,650
STUDENT CENTER BOARD	750

---

TOTAL	7,450
-------	-------

---

EXPENSES:	11,749
-----------	--------

FUNDING SOURCES:	- 7,450
------------------	---------

---


A.S. CONTRIBUTION	4,299
-------------------	-------

I am proposing that A.S. and Revelle College co-sponsor an All Campus Jazz Festival. This festival would be held on Sunday April 13, 1986 from 11:00 am to 9:00 pm at Stonehedge. Jazz bands including: The UCSD Jazz Ensemble, New Shooz, Fatburger with Hollis Gentry, Peter Sprague and Joe Liggins and the Original Honeydrippers would entertain approximately 5,000 students and faculty. The audience would enjoy a jazz theme display by the Art Department, the latest works from the Recreation Center and the model of the proposed new Student Center. A barbeque running from 4:00 to 6:30 of chicken, beef baby-back ribs and vegetarian quiche would feed approximately 2,000 students.

Sincerely,



Tom Vinolus  
Revelle Resident Advisor



Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

Referendum Question

Submitted under IOIC--meeting #27

Submitted by: Greg Hom, Chair, Rules Committee

Subject: Athletics

Do you feel the current intercollegiate athletic program is the proper size at UCSD?

Do you feel the current intramural athletic program is the proper size at UCSD?

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #27**  
Wednesday, March 12, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #27 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:37pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Peter Kim	Irene Sharkoff
Mary Anne Arabia	Robert Lewis	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Jill Lifschiz	Gina Silva
Cara DeSio	Greg MacCrone	Deb Simon
Bill Eggers	Greg McCambridge	Hayley Sniederman
Michael Fahlbusch	Scott Pickett	Sal Veas
Paul Fogarty	John Riley	Marla Winitz
Barbara Higgins	Robert Rios	

Excused were: Greg Arnold and Eric Weiss

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

To Mary Rose and the Pope.  
To the Sun God's egg.  
To finals week.  
To Greg McCambridge's ambitions.  
To Jill's last meeting.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion without any additions or corrections.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. Deb announced she had peanuts to pass around. She got them in her swim class.
- B. Marla announced that the Cooperative Housing Committee should have a preliminary proposal ready in a few weeks. They will be conducting a survey and will need help.
- C. Robert announced that the Third College Affirmative Action and Retention Committee will be sponsoring a candidates forum the Monday before elections. It is open to all candidates, and they will be notified as to the time.
- D. Barb informed the Council that she is working on the Freshman Record again. She needs pictures, especially from the colleges. She asked the Senators try to get pictures for her.
- E. Randy informed the Council that anyone interested in getting a Leadership Transcript must make an appointment to see him before the end of the year.
- F. Mary Rose again reminded the Council about the upcoming alumni dinners. Forms are due Thurs. She also read a letter to the Council from MEChA, thanking the Council for its support and contributions for the High School conference for Latino and Chicano students. It was a very successful and educating experience. She also mentioned an article from the New York Times reporting that a New Jersey Supreme Court judge struck down a fee for a student group similar to CalPIRG.
- G. Greg McCambridge announced that Greg MacCrone had been chosen as

Fan of the Month by Intercollegiate Athletics.

- H. Jill explained her letter requesting a leave of absence.
- I. Michael reminded the Council that the agenda for the meeting was very long, so everyone should work to make the meeting of fast.

#### STUDENT INPUT

- A. Karl Herzog came before the Council to Co-ops and Enterprizes referenda questions on housing and the Student Center. He said the housing question is the same as the one that appeared on the ballot last year. The other questions address questions regarding the Student Center after the University Center is built. The co-ops would like to expand.
- B. Fernando read a letter to the Council from the National March for Justice Coalition. The Coalition wished to withdraw its request for endorsement because the Council does not represent democracy.
- C. Patty of the Women's Center also came before the Council to urge support of the co-op referenda. She discussed why it would be important to have students run the Student Center.
- D. Tom Vinolus an RA from Revelle came before the Council again to discuss funding for the All Campus Jazz festival. He said the event has been changed some. There will be four bands instead of five, and the event will end earlier. This would require less lighting and would save money. He said he longer wants the A.S. to co-sponsor the event. He has had problems with the administrative angles within the A.S. The event would be run through the Revelle Resident Dean's office. He said there were personality conflicts he was unable to work around. He changed his request to \$1,500, to be used for publicity.
- E. Another student spoke before the Council to urge the Council to the co-ops referenda. She said the co-ops are educational. The referenda deal with the future.
- F. Monte discussed some documents he had put in the Council's boxes. He also urged the Council to think carefully about the co-op referenda questions. They are very important.
- G. Gerry a Third College student voiced some concerns to the Council. She said at previous meetings the Council has accused the audience of being rude, but she has been to Council meetings, and she said Council members pass notes to each other while people are speaking, and this is more rude than anything. She also discussed the alternative media proposal. Many people have criticized the alternative media for not coming up with any alternatives. As Dan had mentioned to the Council, many people with the alternative media have to work, which is not true for most Council members. Council members have secretaries to type for them and help them get things done. The idea that the NI could go out and solicit advertizing is against the principles of the paper.

#### COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

#### REPORTS

- A. Mary Rose updated the Council on meetings she attended during the week. She discussed a meeting she had last week with some of the A.S. presidents from other campuses. They discussed student control of student funded services. They are working on a document that they will send to the Council of Chancellors, and it will have guidelines for fee increases. It will require that a survey be done to assess the need for the increase, and students must have a

say in the process. It would be in the best interest of the administration and the students to support this.

She also discussed a speech she gave at a meeting/dinner for the midpoint of the 25th Anniversary Campaign. The campaign is well on its way. They have raised over 17.5 million dollars. Parents have donated over 1 million dollars, and the campaign is half way through. All money contributed by parents will go towards the new University Center.

She also discussed the Board of Overseers Meeting and the Chancellor's Report. She gave a presentation on the University Center.

Finally, she discussed her meeting with the Chancellor. She discussed co-op housing with him. He supports using University land for the housing. She discussed Topsy Taxi and the credit union with him, he supports both.

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE  
CONSIDERATION

- A. Referendum question on UCSD athletics, submitted by Greg Hom. Greg explained that the item must be voted on at the meeting if it is to be placed on the ballot. He did not think of it as a student concern until he read an article in the Guardian. The Council voted to make the item IOIC by consensus. There was discussion on the wording of the question. Questions arose over the difference between NCAA division I and division III. It was explained that all increased support must come from student fees. Mike added that if the students do not take the first step, the administration will not act. Robert explained that intercollegiate sports are Reg. Fee funded, and the referendum should say that. The referendum would be advisory to the Reg Fee Committee, and could not be put into effect until 1987-88. There was discussion on rewording parts of the question. Greg finally withdrew the item, to be submitted under Opens, so he could re-work the wording.
- B. Referendum question on UCSD shuttle service, submitted by Bill Eggers. Bill explained that it should be IOIC for the same reasons the previous item was. One cafeteria on campus has been closed down, but the administration promised transportation to the other cafeterias, and it will not happen. The question was made IOIC by consensus. Bill explained that he wanted the question to include a monetary amount because he wants the program to be experimental in the beginning, and if the administration will not pay, the students will have to. Bill explained the system would be modeled after one at ASU. The shuttles would go to all four colleges. The Third dorms could use the system to get to the cafeterias. The logistics of the program had not been completely worked out. Randy said if Bill wanted a locked in fee for the service, it would involve increasing the Activity Fee which is a different matter. He added that if the program got lots of support with the referendum, and a specific model was set up, then next year they could have a fee referendum. Questions continued, and the question was re-worded, leaving out the monetary part, and adding more an explanation of the service. The question passed by consensus.

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Cinco de Mayo line item budget, submitted by Jill Lifschiz. Finance recommended \$15,000 as allocated, \$400.00 underwrite for food, money to come from General Unallocated. Jill explained that

the budget is almost identical to last years. Food is traditionally underwritten. The item passed be consensus. There was a motion to change the order of the agenda, adding items that were left off. The items as ordered as follows:

B. Jazz Festival budget request of \$4,299, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Finance recommended \$0 and postponement until next years budget. Michael added that the amount had been changed to \$1,500. Mary Rose asked Tom how he coul ask for \$1,500 when even with the changes he mentioned, the amount allocated would be more than the budget request. Tom explanied that he is anticipating some estimates. Mary Rose asked if all of the \$1,500 was not used, then would the money come back? She did not understand why Tom was asking for money from the A.S., but he did not want the A.S. to co-sponsor the event. Tom said he would like to work with the A.S. but it is not possible. Robert asked why Tom listed Third College Council as contributors when he had not spoken with the Council. Tom explained that he had talked to some people on the Third programming board. There was more discussion on funding sources. Michael passed the gavel to Greg MacCrone, Pro Tem. Michael said he had done research on the festival, and he said the Council should hold off. If the A.S. gives money it is an A.S. event, and the Business Office would have to do all of the work. The A.S. would take over the event, but the Council did not have anything to do with the planning of the event. UEO is reluctant to have anything to do with the event. He said it is an individual event. A lot of groups gave money under the assumption that the A.S. was co-sponsoring the event. The timing of the event, to be held on a Sunday afternoon is not good. There would not be a good turn out. He questioned the amount of the investment vs. the type of event. If the Council allocated that much money, then they should have more to do with the planning. Mary Rose asked for clarification on the amount and the facts about the Business Office. Randy said \$1,500 could be given to Revelle and it would be a contribution. Tom would be responsible and the Revelle Res Dean's business office would be responsible for all the paper work. There would just be a transfer of funds. Jill spoke on Finance's behalf. It is a bad time to ask Council for money, it is the end of the year. It would be best to put the program on hold and schedule it for Fall. The money should come from the General budget. She asked Tom to speak to her about it before the meeting and he never did. There has been a lack of communication. Josh said that CPB is the only off campus programming entity. They say it is too much money for one event. The dollar amount keeps fluctuating. Mary Anne said she disagreed with Michael. The timing is very good. It would be good to bring people on campus on a Sunday. If Revelle Res. Deans office is handling the money than there is no problem with giving \$1,500.00. The discussion continued along these same lines. Mary Rose clarified that the Student Center Board thought it would be a good event. The money was promised by the A.S. awhile ago. Josh had said he would set aside \$3000.00 if he was given a line -item budget. There was some miscommunication. Tom was not lying when he said the A.S. was co-sponsoring. If the Council gives \$1500 and the business office has nothing to do with it then there is no problem. Paul added that Josh had set aside \$3,000 for the Jazz Festival.

Josh said that he and Josie had changed the budget and leaned the \$3,000 to the TGIO, if he gave money to the Jazz Festival, then it would be taken away from the TGIO. Paul also added that Josh has \$12,000 left in the Programming Budget, and he wanted to know if he had any specific plans for the money. Josh responded that the money will go towards next quarter's TGs and the film series. The discussion about where the money would come from continued. Katherine added that the event is being held at "Stonehenge", which would associated the event with Revelle. The cost of the event is more than the 25th Anniversary Birthday Party. There had been a lot of fluctuations with the budget, and a lot of ambiguity surrounding the event. Robert added that Finance recommended \$0 because there were too many questions surrounding the event. John said that the campus needs this type of event. Tom had worked hard and he did not see the problem with funding it. He also added that the argument that the event was on the Revelle campus, thus making it a Revelle event was not valid. Anywhere a person is on campus, it is UCSD. The Sun God Festival is held on Muir campus, but that does not make it a Muir event. Tom addressed Josh saying that Josh had stood him up. He tried to contact him but Josh did not return his phone calls, and he does not have any office hours, so the door was shut in Tom's face.

Time was extended by consensus.

Lilys said she has been a commuter and she felt that the event was a good idea, it would draw commuters back to campus on the weekend. The timing of the event is great. Josh amended the item to say \$750 from Programming and \$750 from Special Events. This amendment passed 14 in favor, 4 against and 0 abstentions. The entire item, as amended, passed 11 in favor, 7 against and 0 abstentions.

C. Cafe start up budget request of \$12,200, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance recommended \$450.00 for start up, \$10,000 already allocated, \$5,000 from Services Unallocated, and \$1,660 from General unallocated, which would cover everything that was requested. Mary Rose added that she supports opening the cafe. Brad explained that Ron Carlson has not signed the contract yet, he would like more time. Brad then went through the Charter and changed some of the wording. He said that they had promised that the cafe would meet the safety codes after they had gotten started. He requested that the Council pass the Charter as it stands, and it will be upheld. Mary Rose amended the item to say primary operating budget of \$12,000, operating budget of \$4455.00, Cafe contract and Cafe Charter. The amendment passed by consensus. The entire item passed by consensus.

D. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to Elections for video taping and showing of debates between candidates for President and Vice Presidents in the next A.S. elections, submitted by Greg Arnold. The amount needed was stated to be \$314.00. Finance recommended \$0 because they never got any information. Mary Rose explained that Greg was waiting to talk to Michelle. The request had never gotten to Finance. The money would be used for monitors in the Revelle and Muir cafeterias, and video taping of each candidate. Michelle added that she did not know the money would come from a general fund, she would like it to come from the Elections budget, it would be appropriate. Mary Rose amended the item to say \$314.00 from Elections. The amendment passed by consensus. The entire item passed by



consensus.

- E. Allocation of \$902.00 for National Credit Union Conference on March 21-23, submitted by Rob Lewis. Finance recommended \$200.00. Robert explained that the cost was \$400.00/person. Tom Tucker had agreed to give \$200.00, and Council would give \$200.00. They are asking Dr. Watson for another \$200.00, and if he gives it the Council would give another \$200.00 for a second person to go. Monies would come from General Unallocated. There was a stipulation that Brett be the first person to go. The item **passed** by consensus.

Items originally lettered B and C on the agenda were withdrawn.

- F. Referendum on Semester vs. Quarter, submitted by Peter Kim. Rules found it constitutional. The item **passed** by consensus.
- G. Referendum on Rec Facilities, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it constitutional and recommended changing the possible responses to read yes first, no second. Mary Rose explained that the Reg Fee Committee is giving money for a new field, this question addresses a new rec center. The item **passed** by consensus.
- H. Referendum on Amphitheater, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it constitutional, and recommended that the answers just read yes and no. The item **passed**, as amended, by consensus.
- I. Referendum on Coop Housing, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it constitutional. There was some discussion of the wording, and it was amended (see question). The item **passed** by consensus.
- J. Referendum on Divestment, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Rules found it constitutional, and recommended that it be split into two questions. The item **passed**, as amended, by consensus.
- K. Referendum on Alternative Media Funding, submitted by Lilys McCoy. Rules found it constitutional, but recommended changing some wording: 1) add a sentence at the beginning describing services and personnel that Activity Fees fund, moving section C to section A and adding an option of \$1 for part A.
- There was a great deal of discussion on this question. Randy advised that it would be better to either list all services, and no personnel that Activity Fees pay for, or leave the sentence out. Lilys spoke on behalf of the Sub-Committee. She said that the report that was attached to the question explained the process and reasoning behind the question. The Committee had discussed another funding option, but they could not find justification for a \$1 amount, so no decision was made. \$1 is a symmetrical number. She added that she urged the Council to think carefully about the addition of the sentence at the top. The Council should consider the students. John added that Rules recommendations were good. Mary Rose had amended the resolution to require a readership survey. The word "funded" in part C, as Rules recommended, changes the question, the word "available" makes the question deal with readership. He asked why the \$1 amount was necessary. Michael added that the \$1 amount brings the level up to his proposal. John discussed the dollar amounts and showed figures to the Council, concluding that \$1 is not enough if the general plans are followed. Greg McCambridge added that the question should ask if students want to have the papers available, and if they wanted them funded. He added that Muir College Council thought there should be an amount between \$0 funding and \$1.50. He added that he does

not want any room for dispute. The dollar amount must be justified. The discussion continued around how to justify the dollar amount. Mary Rose made an amendment to ask what should be funded and what is read, which was passed by consensus. The discussion returned to justifying the \$1 amount. Part A was reworded, adding the \$1 amount, and the parenthetical statements in part B were deleted. The amendment to add the \$1 amount, with the wording of a .50 increase and .50 decrease (known as the Rios amendment) passed 14 in favor, 0 against, and 1 abstention. The entire item **passed**, as amended, by consensus.

- L. Referendum on Co-ops, submitted by John Riley. Rules found it constitutional if amended as follows: delete part 2 and reword part 3. The amendment passed by consensus. Karl Herzog explained that the referendum question is not in the self interest of the co-ops but deals, instead, with all of the student organizations that are in the Student Center. The item, as amended, **passed** by consensus.
- M. Resolution on March for Justice, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it constitutional. Lily's added that the purpose of the March is not to raise money. Mary Rose amended it to say "to commemorate", which passed by consensus. Mary Rose said she feels the March is worth endorsing. The organization withdrew its request for endorsement because of the Council's actions on the Fahlbusch proposal. There is no relationship between the two. The resolution **passed**, as amended, 12 in favor, 2.
- N. Referendum on Reg Fee use, submitted by Rob Lewis. Rules found the item constitutional. It was amended to say "direct or indirect". The item **passed**, as amended, by consensus.

#### NEW BUSINESS

- A. Acceptance of Jill Lifschitz leave of absence, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. The item was tabled, as it was determined that there was no need to send it to committee.

#### OPENS

- A. Appointment of Kevin Simon to 1985-86 Parking and Transportation Alternative Advisory Committee. Referred to Personnel.
- B. Co-ops Fee Referendum, submitted by Rob Lewis. The By-Laws were suspended to make the item IOIC. Monte spoke on behalf of the co-ops. He explained that Student Center will need a staff after the new University Center is built. Currently, many projects have been discussed, but none ever work out because there is no one to follow through. The fee would pay the salary of the staff person. A vote was not taken, pending rewording of the question.
- C. Referendum on Athletics at UCSD, submitted by John Riley. The By-Laws were suspended to make the item IOIC. The original question presented under IOIC had been reworded. Robert amended the question, part A and C to say "a percentage of Reg Fees". The question was separated into three parts, by consensus. Part A passed by consensus. The Council moved part C to part B, which passed by consensus. Part C was reworded, and **passed** by consensus.

Back to item B.

Item B was reworded, and **passed** by consensus. There was a motion to withdraw item G, and item I because it was almost identical to last year's question. The motion to withdraw the referenda questions passed by consensus.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #27 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 10:55pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander  
Mary Anne Arabia  
Katherine Barnhisel  
Cara DeSio  
Bill Eggers  
Peter Kim

Robert Lewis  
Gregory MacCrone  
Greg McCambridge  
Scott Pickett  
John Riley  
Robert Rios

Dave Sheldon  
Gina Silva  
Deb Simon  
Hayley Sneiderman  
Sal Veas  
Marla Winitz

Excused were: Greg Arnold, Michael Fahlbusch, Paul Fogarty, Barbara Higgins, Irene Sharkoff, and Eric Weiss.

**ASUCSD COUNCIL**  
**Meeting #28**  
Wednesday, April 2, 1986  
North Conference Room

**AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #27. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Marla Winitz: SOURCE
  - B. Pam Lawson: Student Health Advisory Board
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Appointment of Kevin Simon to Parking and Transportation Alternative Committee. Personnel\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Appointment of Katherine Barnhisel to SOURCE Assistant to Cathy Chow. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #28**  
Wednesday, April 2, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER** Meeting #28 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:36pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL** Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff
Gregory Arnold	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Rob Lewis	Gina Silva
Josh Beckman	Greg McCambridge	Deb Simon
Cara DeSio	John Riley	Sal Veas
Bill Eggers	Robert Rios	Marla Winitz
Michael Fahlbusch		

Excused were: Mary Anne Arabia, Peter Kim, and Gregory MacCrone.

**MINUTE OF SILENCE** To Josie and everyone else who was in on the Lottery ticket.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES** The ASUCSD passed this motion without any additions or corrections.

- ANNOUNCEMENTS**
- A. **Greg MacCrone** found a notebook in his box. The owner should see him.
  - B. **Mary Rose** announced that there will be a "Chatting with the Chancellor" on April 17, at 7 p.m. the place is to be determined. There will also be a lecture by Prof. Peter Gourevich on April 29, 4-5:30 at the International Center. He is involved in setting up the School of International Relations and Pacific Studies.
  - C. The "Last Supper" will be April 19 at the La Jolla Village Inn. Dinner will be provided for Council members, and afterwards there will be a party for student org. members and college council chairpersons. It is being paid for out of income earned this year.
  - D. **John** announced that the TKE teeter totter for MS marathon will be next week.
  - E. **Robert Rios** reminded the Council that the Third College Affirmative Action and Retention Committee is sponsoring a candidates forum on Monday at 4pm in PH 103. Questions will be asked by SAAC members.
  - F. **Marla** announced that the Alternative Housing Committee survey is being passed out. They could use help passing out the survey.
  - G. **Mary Rose** also announced the ASIO deadline for California internships (outside of San Diego) is April 4.
  - H. **Michael** reminded everyone to try to get people to vote in the elections no matter who they vote for. He wished everyone luck.

**STUDENT INPUT**

- A. **Monte** informed the Council that he was having a request submitted under Opens. It was for money for a band to play during the rally on Friday.

COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

REPORTS

- A. **Cathy Chow** spoke to the Council about SOURCE. She said she really needs the support of the Council on this project. SOURCE dates for this quarter are April 18-20. Many donors have been calling at the last minute for students to work, she has had a difficult time getting people to work. **Marla** also asked the the Council for support. The project will fail if people do not help. She added that during finals week SDG and E had requested help. No one was there to help. John Riley and a friend pitched in and the job worked out. SDG and E has given large donations to SOURCE and it is important to meet their needs. This year the money that SOURCE raises will go to the Cafe. Students that work get 40% of the money that is raised for their student org. or they can donate it to a project.
- B. **Eric** spoke for Rina from ASIO. He said she wanted the Council to know some important dates. May 4 is Open House. April 9 is Internship Information Night. They are holding a car wash on April 12 in La Jolla.
- C. **Pam Lawson** came to discuss the Student Health Advisory Committee. She said they will not have a brochure made this year to explain the services at the Health Center, instead they will have a flyer that will be easier to read. Also, the Subcommittee for Health Promotion is trying to abolish the sale of cigarettes on campus. She wanted to get the reaction of the Council on this issue. People can contact Dalynne Profitt at the Health Center and give her a response, or leave Pam a note in Greg MacCrone's box. She added that she is running for RCC.
- D. **Rob** reported on the student credit union. They had their first meeting today, and lots was accomplished. He introduced Doug Hutchings and Brett who went to the credit union conference. They reported that they have conducted a survey of students to get a response to the credit union. They are submitting a proposal for Council approval. They will offer high interest savings accounts and low interest loans. They thanked the Council for sending them to the conference. They learned a lot, enough to get it going.

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

- A. Allocation of \$50.00 for speaker on pornography, co-sponsored with Rape Prevention and Education, submitted by Barbara Higgins. Barb explained that the event is coming up and they need to get publicity going. She submitted this under Opens at the last meeting, but somewhere it was lost, and did not go through. This would be for a lecture by a professor from UCLA on pornography. The Council voted to make the item IOIC by consensus. It was clarified that the money would come from General. The motion passed by concensus.

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Appointment of Kevin Simon to Parking and Transportation Alternative Committee, submitted by Greg MacCrone. Personnel did not meet. There was discussion as to whether this should be pulled from committee. It was by a vote of 14 in favor, 2 opposed, and 1 abstentions. The motion passed by a vote of 14 in favor, 1 opposed and 2 abstentions.

NEW BUSINESS

- A. Appointment of Katherine Barnhisel as SOURCE assistant to Cathy Chow, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Referred to Personnel.

OPENS

- A. Request for \_\_\_\_\_ for trial run of Topsy Taxi Service from External Unallocated, submitted by Sal Veas. Referred to Finance.
- B. Approval of contract for Topsy Taxi Service, submitted by Sal Veas. Referred to Rules.
- C. Change in line-item budget of \_\_\_\_\_ in Cinco de Mayo allocation, submitted by Paul Fogarty. Referred to Finance.
- D. Reimbursement of \$125.00 to Brett Markson for airline ticket to go to the Credit Union conference in Washington D.C., submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Finance.
- E. Allocation to the Coalition for a Free South Africa for \$94.20 for sound and travel expenses for a band. A vote was taken to call the item to question to make it IOIC, which passed with a vote of 15 in favor and 3 opposed, 0 abstentions. The item was made an IOIC by a vote of 15 in favor and 4 opposed. Josh explained that the band would play on Friday so it was necessary to get the money. They were playing for free, but sound and transportation would cost money. Deb asked why they were charging for transportation costs when they were playing on Thursday, and were not charging for transportation. Katherine asked if this was supposed to be co-sponsorship, and if so who was co-sponsoring? Josh explained that the Che would provided lunch for free. Greg Arnold asked why they were coming to Council two days before the event to request money, and then ask for it to be IOIC. Josh answered that everyone has been on vacation, and Council has not met for two weeks. Mary Rose added that she felt the Council should not fund the event because it is the middle of elections week and many people in the Coalition are running for office. She said she did not know if it was their intent to use the rally and band as a campaign strategy or not, but if any candidate that is running for office spoke, then all candidates must be given an opportunity to speak. She added that no one from the Coalition was at the meeting to speak, so there was no one there to clear the matter up. Mary Rose added that the Council would not fund a rally of this type for any organization, and there were too many unanswered questions. Josh withdrew the item.
- F. Resolution on La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Referred to Rules.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #27 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:25pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff
Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Gregory Arnold	Robert Lewis	Gina Silva
Josh Beckman	Gregory MacCrone	Deb Simon
Cara Desio	Greg McCambridge	Sal Veas
Bill Eggers	John Riley	Eric Weiss
Michael Fahlbusch	Robert Rios	Marla Winitz

Excused was: Peter Kim.

**ASUCSD COUNCIL**  
**Meeting #29**  
Wednesday, April 9, 1986  
North Conference Room

**AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #28. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Appointment of Dana Alumbaugh to Metro Transit Development Board. Submitted by Greg MacCrone. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Appointment of Katherine Barnhisel as SOURCE assistant to Cathy Chow, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Personnel \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Request for \_\_\_\_\_ for trial run of Topsy Taxi Service from External Unallocated, submitted by Sal Veas. Finance \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Approval of contract for Topsy Taxi Service, submitted by Sal Veas. Rules \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Change in line-item budget of \_\_\_\_\_ in Cinco de Mayo allocation, submitted by Paul Fogarty. Finance \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Reimbursement of \$125.00 to Brett Markson for airline ticket to go to Credit Union Conference in Washington D.C. submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Allocation of \$247.00 to UC Student Lobby from External Affairs Unallocated submitted by Greg Arnold. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL



## THE UCSD TIPSYP TAXI PROGRAM

### Purpose and Background

An examination of programs that provide students with a viable alternative to driving under the influence was recently completed. Two programs, those of University of California, Davis and University of San Diego, were very helpful in creating a plan to implement a Topsy Taxi Service at UCSD. This examination helped answer many questions that arose. The most important question was what type of transportation should be used. A taxi-run service was picked as opposed to a student-run shuttle or other similar programs for a variety of reasons. The first is that there are many liability problems that the ASUCSD and University would be faced with if a student-run shuttle was used, and thus the cost would be too high to implement such a program. And secondly, it is important that the service be easily accessible and reliable for students if the program is going to be successful. Both UCD and USD drew similar conclusions and favored a taxi-run program. Their experience thus far, with a taxi-run program, has been positive.

In creating this program for UCSD, steps were taken to make the service as efficient as possible. In January, a survey was conducted to assess the need and feasibility of such a program. The 706 completed surveys were favorable and provided helpful information for the logistics of the program (see Table I). Next, letters were sent to various taxi companies soliciting their support for the program. Many replies were received and negotiations with the taxi companies are currently underway.

The purpose of the Topsy Taxi Service program is: one, to provide students with an alternative to driving under the influence; two, to give an alternative to passengers who feel that the driver is under the influence; three, to give hosts an alternative to letting their guests drive home under the influence; and four, to show that UCSD students believe that drinking and driving is of great concern and must take an active part in reducing this problem.

### Description of Proposed Program

Students will call the taxi company directly and present a valid UCSD I.D. and the taxi company will bill the ASUCSD directly. A trial run will take place on Friday May 2, Saturday May 3, Friday May 9, and Saturday May 10. That is, the weekend of the Cinco de Mayo celebration and prior to Greek Week, and the weekend of Greek Week and the Sun God Festival. This trial run will enable us to evaluate the program and decide whether or not to start a full program in the Fall.

#### Operations:

1. The Coordinator organizes all media correspondence and publicity.
2. The Coordinator continuously evaluates the taxi company's performance and verifies all charges.
3. The Coordinator handles all special requests for taxi operations from fraternities, sororities and private parties.
4. The Coordinator works with the President of the ASUCSD to ensure the program is being run according to the wishes of the student government. (See Table II).

FUTURE

If the trial run is successful, a year round program would hopefully be instituted this Fall. We would work with USD to ensure that the program is being run as efficiently and effectively as possible.

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR TRIAL RUN

Publicity:	UEO banner 1 x \$25.00	= \$25.00
	11 x 17 posters 150	= \$44.00
	card stock	
	Flyers 1000	= \$13.00
		<hr/>
		\$82.00

Taxi charges	\$318.00
\$1.26/mile = 252 miles of available use.	


Any unused money would be returned to External Unallocated.





TABLE II

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #29**  
**Wednesday, April 9, 1986**  
**North Conference Room**

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #28 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:33pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	Dave Sheldon
Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Gina Silva
Gregory Arnold	Peter Kim	Deb Simon
Josh Beckman	Gregory MacCrone	Hayley Sneiderman
Cara DeSio	Scott Pickett	Eric Weiss
Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley	Marla Winitz

Excused were: Robert Rios and Sal Veas

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

Campaigning is over!!!  
To the Election Commission

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion without any additions or corrections.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. **Peter** announced that he and Mary Rose figured out that April 30 will be the last Council meeting and he would like to arrange some type of last supper. He will put info in boxes and ask for donations.
- B. **Josh** announced that there will be a TG this Friday.
- C. **John** announced that there will be a noon concert on Thursday. The teeter totter for MS has 40 more hours to go, they need support.
- D. **Mary Rose** reminded the Council that the Last Supper will be April 19 at the La Jolla Village Inn. There will be dinner and a band and party.  
She also informed the Council that she is going to Sacramento next Monday to finalize the draft of the document on student control of student facilities. Drafts have been sent to all UC AS Presidents this week. The final draft will be presented to President Gardner.
- E. **Paul** announced that the Cultural Programming Committee will be meeting on Tuesdays at 11:15 and they need input.
- F. **Eric** informed the Council that he had to know who was interested in attending the Women's Conference in Davis by the time the meeting was over.
- G. **Greg McCambridge** announced that the movie "Stop Making Sense" will be shown in the Gym this Friday to raise money for MS.
- H. **Hayley** informed the Council that this is Earl Warren Birthday Week. There will be a band and BBQ on Friday.
- I. **Peter** also informed the Council that he and Mary Rose have copies of the Inner Self Study and Evaluation Report for anyone that is interested.
- J. **Mary Rose** explained that the study was done for the Western

Association of Schools and Colleges which re-accredits schools every 5-10 years, and this year they are looking at UCSD. The WASC team has been on campus this week and met with various groups. She and a few other students met with them, if anyone has any questions, please see her.

- I. Gina announced that Third is having a dance on Friday at Club La Casa.
- J. Dave informed the Council that he and some other Muir students also met with a WASC representative. They had an interesting discussion, and anyone with questions should see him.
- K. Michael reminded the Council that they only have a few meetings left, and they should be conducted properly. Members should conduct themselves in the proper manner.

#### STUDENT INPUT

- A. Byron came before the Council and held up a flyer. He said that the Students for Equity, Fairness, and Diversity will settle for nothing less than the expulsion of the students involved with the flyer. The flyer pertained to the Alternative Media Referendum Question.

#### COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

#### REPORTS

None.

#### ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

- A. Appointment of Dana Alumbaugh to Metro Transit Development Board, submitted by Greg MacCrone. Greg explained that the item Dana is very interested in getting involved. This is a city-wide committee. They have already had one meeting and will be having another within the next week, so there needs to be an appointment made. Personnel had met on the appointment. The item was made an IOIC by a vote of 15 in favor, 2 against, and 1 abstention. Greg said Personnel was very impressed by Dana and they highly recommended her. The item was called to question and passed by consensus with one abstention..

#### OLD BUSINESS

- A. Appointment of Katherine Barnhisel as SOURCE assistant to Cathy Chow, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. The item was withdrawn.
- B. Request for \$400.00 for trial run of Tippy Taxi Service from External Unallocated, submitted by Sal Veas. Finance did not meet. Mary Rose motioned that the item be pulled from committee. The motion passed by consensus. Mary Rose spoke for Sal. She said everyone had received a packet in their boxes which explains the program. This is only a trial run. If the results are positive, then hopefully the program will continue next year. It is necessary to find out how much money is needed to run the program and how many students will use it. The program is modelled after one at USD, and they have helped a lot. Students will have to present a valid student ID to use the program. The service will provide transportation to Del Mar, Solana Beach, PB, Mira Mesa, etc. It will not go to TJ, a question that has already been asked. The trial run will occur during Greek Week, Cinco de Mayo and the Sun God Festival. The item passed by consensus.
- C. Approval of contract for Tippy Taxi Service, submitted by Sal Veas. Mary Rose explained they are in the process of writing

the contract and they need more time. The contract will only cover the trial run with the stipulation that if everything works out, the program may continue next year, if the new council so desires. The item was **postponed**.

- D. Change in line-item budget of \$1,000 in Cinco de Mayo allocation submitted by Paul Fogarty. Finance did not meet. Paul explained that MEChA was allocated \$1,500 for Cinco de Mayo. Their other funding sources are slow and they need to secure the band so they want to use the allocated money for the band and have the other sources fill in the line-items. The item was pulled from committee by consensus vote. The item **passed** by consensus vote.
  - E. Reimbursement of \$125.00 to Brett Markson for airline ticket to go to Credit Union Conference in Washington D.C. submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance did not meet. Mary Rose requested the item be postponed. Brett was not present at the meeting to discuss it and finance had not met, Patty has not finished the travel for the trip and there is uncertainty whether the ticket was a direct flight or whether he stopped in New York. The item was **postponed** by consensus.
  - F. This item was left off the agenda. Resolution on the La Jolla Student Credit Union, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Rules recommended postponement for one week. The item was **postponed**
- A. Allocation of \$247.00 to UC Student Lobby from External Affairs Unallocated, submitted by Greg Arnold. There was some discussion as to whether this allocation is necessary. The item was referred to Finance.

NEW BUSINESS

OPENS

None.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #27 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 6:59pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley
Mary Anne Arabia	Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff
Gregory Arnold	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Josh Beckman	Gregory MacCrone	Deb Simon
Cara DeSio	Greg McCambridge	Hayley Sneiderman
Bill Eggers	Scott Pickett	Marla Winitz

Excused were: Robert Rios and Sal Veas



**ASUCSD COUNCIL**  
**Meeting #30**  
Wednesday, April 16, 1986  
North Conference Room

**AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #29. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Appointment of Robert Rios as Elections Manager, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Allocation of \$247.00 to UC Student Lobby from External Affairs Unallocated, submitted by Greg Arnold. Finance \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Approval of Topsy Taxi Service Contract, submitted by Sal Veas. Rules \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Reimbursement of \$125.00 to Brett Markson for airline ticket to go to Credit Union Conference in Washington D.C., submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Resolution on La Jolla Student Credit Union, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Rules \_\_\_\_\_ . Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Allocation of \$240 to African Research Collective, submitted by Diane Iaki. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to LAGO for Gay Awareness Week, submitted by Diane Iaki. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #30**  
Wednesday, April 15, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #30 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:32pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Peter Kim	Irene Sharkoff
Mary Anne Arabia	Gregory MacCrone	Gina Silva
Cara DeSio	Greg McCambridge	Hayley Sneiderman
Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley	Sal Veas
Paul Fogarty	Robert Rios	Marla Winitz

Excused were: Josh Beckman and Dave Sheldon

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

To the elections!

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion with the following corrections: under Student Input, it should read that the group that Byron represented would settle ... the expulsion of the student in Students for Equity Fairness and Diversity who were responsible for the flyer. Also, Adjournment, the Minutes read meeting 27, instead of meeting 29.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. **Peter** reminded the Council that he still has the reports, and candidates, especially, should look at them. They are important to the future of UCSD, and UCSD students.
- B. **Marla** announced that Cathy spent a lot of time on Monday making phone calls for SOURCE and she was able to bring in almost \$2,000 in donations.
- C. **John** thanked everyone that helped out with the TKE teeter totter for MS. They raised \$5,300!
- D. **Mary Rose** reminded the Council that "Chatting with the Chancellor is Thursday at 7pm in WHN? She went to Sacramento this week to finish the document on student control of student facilities. The draft will go to all UC AS presidents, and after they endorse it, then it will go to President Gardner, to be presented to the Council of Chancellors. SOURCE is this weekend, anyone who has some time should help Cathy out. Also, the "Last Supper" is Saturday. Mike had a stack of invitations to the after dinner reception/party. Each Council member could have 1 invitation. It was not for the dinner though, the dinner will be closed.
- E. **Bill** announced that there will be a TG at Warren on Friday, and the Ducks are playing.
- F. **Greg MacCrone** announced that he gave the check from the Skip-a-Meal project to the San Diego Food bank. The total amount was \$1,100.
- G. **Greg McCambridge** announced that the movie Stop Making Sense was a big success, and they were able to pay back the underwrite. They are unsure how much money was made for MS.
- H. **Eric** announced that there are appointments available for

University-wide committees. Anyone interested should see him.

- I. Mike also mentioned the last supper and said people can pick up their invitations after the meeting.

IDENT INPUT

- A. Nadir Haaq of SAAC came before the Council to explain a request that would be submitted under Opens as IOIC. He explained that he was contacted the day before by a member of the Rainbow Coalition, informing him that Jesse Jackson would be in San Diego next week, and that he would like to speak at UCSD. Nadir was requesting money for an honorarium. Third College pledged \$200, he was hoping to get the same from the rest of the College Council's. He was asking for \$500 from Council.

COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

REPORTS

None.

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

- A. Appointment of Robert Rios as Elections Manager, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Mary Rose explained that the elections were on hold, as everyone knew, due to the violation that was pending. Michele knew, when she took the position, that the Elections were scheduled to go through Wed. April 16. Due to financial and family problems, she has been living in Anaheim and commuting during the elections. She holds a full time job there and is only taking classes on Tues. and Thurs. She is not available to work on future elections, and someone must take responsibility immediately.  
The item was made IOIC by consensus.  
Mary Rose read a letter from Michele explaining her obligations and reasons for not being able to carry on in the position. She will be available to defend allegations made against the Elections Commission. Robert, at the beginning of the quarter, had volunteered to help with elections, he was the only one who could possibly assume the position, all other members of the Commission were appointed by their colleges. The question of what would happen to the stipend that Michele had received. Mary Rose said she was not sure, that problem was in the midst of discussion, Robert would probably receive the remaining amount until the end of the year. The official capacities of the position will go to Robert. Someone asked who would replace Robert as assistant. Mary Rose said he was never officially an assistant commissioner. One was needed because Michele was commuting and was not available at all times. Robert will be available, so an assistant would not be necessary.  
The appointment passed by consensus.

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Allocation of \$247.00 to UC Student Lobby from External Affairs Unallocated, submitted by Greg Arnold. Finance recommended \$247.00. Mary Rose explained that a van was sent up to the Legislative Conference, but it got into an accident, and 9 people did not attend the Conference. Their registration fees had already been paid and there was not way to get a refund. The Lobby lost money because these people did not show up. An agreement was reached with the Lobby that they would pay half and Council would pay half. There is a University policy that

registration fees cannot be paid for, so it will be done as a donation. She said the money would come from the Legislative Conference line-item, Paul added that Finance also recommended that the money come from the line-item.

The allocation passed by consensus with one abstention.

- B. Approval of Topsy Taxi Service Contract, submitted by Sal Veas. Rules found it Constitutional. Questions were asked regarding the multiple destination stipulation. Sal explained that based on experiences at USD and UCD, that is the best way to protect the service from abuse. It was also the recommendation of the Orange Cab Company, which is the one being used. If multiple destinations are used, the company will charge a flat rate of \$9.00/hour. Mary Rose asked if the cab could be used to go to another party, and the answer was affirmative. Sal reminded everyone that the contract was only for a trial run, and if there were any problems, they could be ironed out. The trial run's purpose is to pin point possible problems. A valid student I.D. would be required to use the service. The discussion continued along these lines. The contract was amended to read that there would be no multiple destinations, except to residences. Also the time of the service was determined to be 5pm to 4 pm. The amendment was passed by consensus.

The contract passed by consensus.

- C. Reimbursement of \$125.00 to Brett Markson for airline ticket to go to Credit Union Conference in Washington D.C. submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance recommended \$125.00. Paul explained the reason for the request. When Council allocated money for the Conference, it was \$400/person, which was based on the price of discounted fairs. The item was postponed, which meant the discount fairs were lost, since they must be reserved by a certain day. The tickets ended up cost of the tickets were more than \$400. Brett's ticket was \$194 more. Rob had Patty reserved a seat on a flight that left in the morning and Brett was unaware of this. When he went to pick up his ticket, he had already missed the flight, so he ended up paying \$125 out of his own pocket at the airport for another ticket. The by-laws were suspended to allow for a reimbursement, by consensus.

The item passed by a vote of 15 in favor, 1 against and 1 abstention.

- D. Resolution on La Jolla Student Credit Union, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Rules found it unconstitutional. The item was withdrawn.

#### NEW BUSINESS

- A. Allocation of \$240.00 to African Research Collective, submitted Diane Ioki. Referred to SOFB.  
B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to LAGO for Gay Awareness Week, submitted by Diane Ioki. Referred to SOFB.

#### OPENS

- A. Allocation of \$110.00 for Sun God dunking booth, submitted by Cara DeSio. Referred to Finance.  
B. Request for an underwrite of \$2,000 for La Jolla Marathon Relay, for Phi Delta Theta, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Referred to SOFB.  
C. Allocation of \$300.00 to Asian American Students and Delta Gam-

ma for Sun God Festival, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Michael explained that these groups attempted to get sponsors and they fell through. The allocation is for equipment for the booths. Neither group will see profits. Referred to SOFB.

D. Request for \$500 for Jesse Jackson to speak, from General Unallocated, submitted by Peter Kim. The money would go to SAAC's account. Mary Rose said it could come from Special Programming since it was a multiple organizational event.

The By-laws were suspended to make the item IOIC, by consensus. Peter explained that \$2500 would be needed for the honorarium. He passed around the letter from the Rainbow Coalition informing Nadir that Jackson would like to speak here. Third College Council had already pledged 200.

There was discussion as to what an honorarium is, and Peter explained it. Peter explained that the money would probably go to the Rainbow Coalition. The Coalition has been trying to bring Jackson down here for the Seagon Penn trial. He wanted to speak at a University while he was here and they selected UCSD.

Questions came up around funding from the colleges, since most of the College Council's are low on money. Peter said Nadir would probably ask Watson or the Council of Provosts for money. Jackson has not yet billed the University for anything. He might speak without money.

Eric said that this is a unique opportunity. Whether people agree with his politics or not, money should be found. He will be speaking on student apathy, which is of interest to everyone. If he does not come, then the money would come back to the Council.

Greg MacCrone said all the College Council's are tight on money, but maybe the first step towards getting the money is to allocate the \$500.

The allocation passed by consensus.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #30 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:30pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Barbara Higgins	Gina Silva
Mary Anne Arabia	Peter Kim	Deb Simon
Katherine Barnhisel	Gregory MacCrone	Hayley Sneiderman
Cara DeSio	Greg McCambridge	Sal Veas
Bill Eggers	John Riley	Eric Weiss
Michael Fahlbusch	Robert Rios	Marla Winitz
Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff	Marla Winitz

Excused were: Josh Beckman and Dave Sheldon

**ASUCSD COUNCIL**  
**Meeting #31**  
Wednesday, April 23, 1986  
North Conference Room

**AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #30. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Eric Weiss--External Affiars
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Change of Elections Budget line-item to cover \_\_\_\_\_ for copying, paper paints, for candidates in the upcoming elections. Submitted by Robert Rios. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Allocation of \$140 fpr U.C. Riverside Women's conference from External Affairs, submitted by Eric Weiss. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Allocation of \$240.00 to African Research Collective, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to LAGO for Gay Awareness Week, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Allocation of \$110.00 for Sun God Dunking Booth, submitted by Cara DeSio. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Allocation of \$250.00 to Asian American Christian Fellowship for Sun God Festival, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Allocation of \$50 to Delta Gamma for Sun God Festival, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - F. Request for underwrite of \$2,000 to Phi Delta Theta for La Jolla Marathon Relay, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**

**Meeting #31**

Wednesday, April 24, 1986

North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #31 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:36pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Anne Arabia	Gregory MacCrone	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Greg McCambridge	Gina Silva
Bill Eggers	Scott Pickett	Deb Simon
Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley	Sal Veas
Paul Fogarty	Robert Rios	Eric Weiss
Barbara Higgins	Irene Sharkoff	Marla Winitz
Robert Lewis		

Excused were: Greg Arnold, Josh Beckman, Cara DeSio, and Hayley Sneiderman

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

To the elections!  
To Sal's untanned butt  
To the A.S.'s stance against terrorism  
To the San Francisco Giants--best record in the league!!!!  
to Barton Lynch

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion with no additions or corrections.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. **Greg MacCrone** discussed a flyer advertising a film presented by the Organization of Arab Students. The film was on Isreal and "zionist terrorism". Greg said he did not remember voting on the funding for such a film, but if he did, he was embarrassed. The Council must delineate between funding a student organization, and funding specific events. The Council should determine if the event will offend a portion of the student body.
- B. **John** announced that the Greek Week 5k charity run will be held on May 10. The money will go to UCSD daycare.
- C. **Greg McCambridge** also spoke on the film flyer. He asked if indeed the Council did sponsor the event, because it is a more political event than anything else. The flyer did say sponsored the the ASUCSD.
- D. **Paul** noted that he would closely examine the original request for money for that film.
- E. **Eric** said he remembered when a representative from the Org of Arab Students came before the Council to discuss the request. No one questioned the contents of the film. The Council should be careful, this type of thing is very offensive.
- F. **Katherine** announced that the A.S. will sponsor a dunking booth at the Sun God Festival, and they will need help at the booth.
- G. **Peter** announced that the Academic Senate CEP committee is re-

vising the policy on academic dishonesty. They are looking at how instructors should deal with it. He thinks the changes are good. A copy of the document is in everyone's boxes and they should look at it.

- H. **Mary Rose** said that the Academic Senate is looking into noise on campus i.e. the dorms, noon concerts, TG's etc. They are working on a policy and many professors would like to get rid of noisy events. Anyone interested in working on a policy should see Mary Rose. Next week the Parking Committee Rep will hopefully give a report. They are thinking of raising the cost of permits to build up their reserves. The International Center is looking for students interested in international programming. Mesa Distributors have summer job openings for any interested students. Dr. Watson would like information from students that he can contribute at the Willie Brown Conference on Retention. He needs information by April 28.
- I. **Liz and Lisa** from Sun God, announced that they need people to help in the ticket booths and they would pass around a sign-up.
- J. **Denise** thanked the Council for their support for Jesse Jackson. It was a big success. The Chancellor contributed \$1,200. The total raised was \$5,400.
- K. **Robert Rios** announced that the Cinco de Mayo celebration will be May 2, and there will be events all over campus. Also, Third College Leadership applications are due May 14. As of the meeting, only two people had withdrawn from the elections, he does not know if candidates are aware that they need to come in to sign a public disclaimer. The Elections Commission is tightening up for the elections. Voting will only occur at the Gym steps, each college will have a separate table with a print out for that particular college. The polls will be open Tues. 8:30 a.m.-7:00p.m. and Wed. 8:30 a.m.-4:00p.m. He needs poll workers, student org members will receive \$4/hr/person for the orgs. There will be a meeting for poll workers Friday 3 in the Multi-purpose room, and Monday at 4:30 in the A.S. office.
- L. **Greg Hom** introduced Emma-lee Caprio from the Guardian. She will be covering the Council meetings.
- M. **Michael** told the Council that Parliamentary Procedure at the meetings has been way out of hand. Members were urged to follow procedures.
- N. **Rob Lewis** announced that space allocation requests are due Friday by 4:30.

STUDENT INPUT

None.

COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

REPORTS

Withdrawn

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE

- A. Change of Elections Budget line-item to cover \_\_\_ for copying, paper, paints, for candidates in the upcoming elections. Submitted by Robert Rios. Robert Rios amended the item to read \$1,839.95 from General Un-



allocated. He added that it should be IOIC because, as everyone knew, the elections had been invalidated. The item was made IOIC by consensus.

Robert explained a budget that he passed around. He explained what money was available. There were some questions regarding expenditures in the last election from miscellaneous. Mary Rose explained the budget was set up for two elections, a general election and a Winter referendum. Robert explained that running a new election would require more money for the Registrar of Voters and graphics etc. The money to pay poll workers was never added into the original budget, so they need to be paid for the last election and the upcoming one. Robert was asked if the figures included the cost of a run-off, and he said no, but they should so he would refigure the numbers. He added that money was needed to help pay campaign expenses since the first election was invalidated, this would require \$1300. Candidates would only be paid through a purchase order. Eric asked if the \$200 being given to a slate would include paper and paints (promised at the candidates meeting). Robert said the money would cover t-shirts, posters, flyers, any campaign materials. There was some discussion as to whether this would be done through purchase order or through reimbursement. Mary Rose said it would come from purchase orders. Paul asked how much money would have to go to poll workers if there was a run-off. Robert said \$352.00. Deb asked if money would also be allocated for slates. Robert said that should be done. It would cost another \$480.00. Robert noted that almost half of of the Council members are running for office, only 11 could vote if all candidates abstain. Greg Hom said the question of abstention has come up before, and the issue is the same. It is in their best interest to abstain. Robert said the total that he was requesting was \$2,671.95. Mary Rose wanted to amend the item to say everything must be bought through the University or on-campus. She also wanted to amend the Elections By-Laws Chapter 3 Section 4 B and C by amending the limits of expenditures to those that the A.S. will pay. The reason, she explained, is that the Elections Commission has allocated 1/3 of what can be spent, presently. People have filed accusations that this is unfair. People that have more money will have an advantage over people that are not so well off. If the by-laws were amended, there would be no financial bias. The questions of what would happen to people who have already spent over that limit? Campaigning starts Thursday, and many people have gone out and spent money to be prepared to start right away. Greg McCambridge said the candidates were told that the whole question of reimbursement for expenses had to go before Council and a decision would have to be made then.

Mike asked if Mary Rose only wanted to change the By-laws for this election and then change them back again. Robert said to make the change would free people from financial problems, this would make the campaign more equitable. Mike said the campaign was only being financed this time, why change the by-laws? Robert explained that unless the by-laws were amended, then no limit could be set. Mary Anne asked what would happen to the people that have already spent over the limit? Mary Rose said

they would not be able to claim the materials and they would have to keep the items. Barb said it would be difficult to prove exactly how much money was spent. Paul said that the candidates had already been told how much they would be reimbursed, and that the limits would remain the same. The campaign starts "tomorrow". Robert said people are attempting to get financial redress. Now they would be limiting expenditures. The Commission did tell the candidates that Council's approval was needed to finalize everything. Greg McCambridge asked if the \$200.00 limit would include reclaiming old campaign material. Robert was not sure. Deb said that if \$200 included reclaiming everything it was ridiculous. She is opposed to a \$200 limit for a slate. She has \$400 worth of materials. She would be penalized for having spent that money already. The candidates were not informed of such limits, and it would be a waste of money.

Eric wanted to make the allocation of money IOIC but he did not want to change the by-laws. In other words, he wanted to divide the question. Robert said Mary Rose's amendment was never seconded so it is not on the floor to be divided. Sal said he is on the Elections Commission. The idea to limit the funding was thought out very carefully. The Election Commission does not want to get into a bind. He said the Council should allocate money for the campaign and forget the limit. The arguments against the limit are valid, especially because the candidates were never told such a limit might be instigated. Lily asked if the by-laws could just be suspended for this campaign and the answer was affirmative. Mary Rose withdrew her amendment. The Council passed the allocation of \$2,671.95 to the Elections Commission by a vote of 8 in favor, 0 against, and 10 abstentions.

#### OLD BUSINESS

- A. Allocation of \$240.00 to African Research Collective, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB recommended passage. Diane explained that this was one of the organizations that requested money last quarter, but did not attend a hearing, thus they were 1 priority on the list. The item passed by consensus with 1 against, and 2 abstentions.
- B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ for Gay Awareness Week, submitted by Diane Ioki. The item was withdrawn.
- C. Allocation of \$110.00 for Sun God Dunking Booth, submitted by Cara DeSio. Finance recommended passage, with monies coming from General. The item passed by consensus.
- D. Allocation of \$250.00 to Asian American Christian Fellowship for Sun God Festival, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. SOFB recommended \$0. Lisa, from Sun God said this group tried to get sponsors, they had contracts signed. It made no sense that the Council had just voted to allocated themselves money for a booth when all the other orgs had to find sponsors. They allocated themselves money, yet they would not give money to anyone else, she said. Mary Rose explained that the item should have gone to finance, not SOFB. Robert asked if this group was included in the priority list. Diane said no. Paul suggested that the money come from General. Mary Rose said the A.S. does not usually sponsor groups because if they give one money, then they will

all want money, however, this group tried everything, and was actually promised money. Lisa explained that the organization will not make money, all the proceeds will go to a charity. Each group is given \$75 for participating. Michael asked where this group's \$75 go? Mary Rose said the money could be given as a subsidy, and the Council would get the \$75. Lisa said that the group has no reason to participate. They should get the \$75 because they are doing all of the work. Mike said they should either get all of the money, or none of it. It is not fair to ask them to work for free. John said it was silly not to give this group money. The item was called to question and passed by consensus.

- E. Allocation of \$50.00 to Delta Gamma for Sun God Festival, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. The item was withdrawn.
- F. Request for underwrite of \$2,000 to Phi Delta Theta for La Jolla Marathon Relay, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. The item was incorrectly referred to SOFB. Finance recommended \$2,000. Mary Rose asked if the event would be on campus, and the answer was affirmative. She noted that all advertising must list the A.S. as a sponsor. She added that applications have already been circulating, that do not have A.S. on them, but any advertising from this point on would have to, or the money would be withdrawn. Bill asked how anyone could determine what had already been purchased. Paul noted that the applications had already been printed, and the request said money was needed for applications. Would this be a reimbursement? Mike asked what money had been spent and suggested that the money for applications should be underwritten. Greg Hom said he had already seen an ad in Running and Triathlon News. Paul added that BMW was also a sponsor, maybe they were using that money. Michael said the problem was whether they really need the money if they have already spent money on the items listed. Katherine suggested postponement. The item was **postponed**

#### NEW BUSINESS

None.

#### OPENS

- A. Allocation of \$452.51 for A.S. Grove Cafe grand opening, for publicity. It would be a change in the line-item for the A.S. Quarterly paper, submitted by Barbara Higgins. Barbara said she would like this item to be IOIC because she would like to start working on it. There was some discussion as to whether it was really necessary to make the item IOIC, especially because Mary Rose had offered to cover costs until next week out of her discretion. The item was made IOIC by a vote of 9 in favor, 4 against, and 3 abstentions. The change in the line item was passed by a vote of 13 in favor, 0 against, and 3 abstentions.
- B. Change in amount for candidate and slate and expenditure to a cap of \$50 for independents and \$200 for slates, submitted by John Riley. John wanted the item made IOIC for obvious reasons, the elections would occur before the next Council meeting. He explained that he submitted this before Mary Rose brought it up under IOIC, and he did not get a chance to speak on it. The item was made IOIC by a vote of 10 in favor, 4 against and 2 abstentions. John said that all the comments previously discussed

were stated by a large slate. He wanted to give an independent's point of view. He said that he had already budget his money for this quarter to include the money he spent on the last election. That election was invalidated through no fault of his. He said it was courteous of the Commission to offer to help cover this election, but it still leaves the opportunity for large slates, or people with more money to spend more. He is under a financial burden, and can't spend more. That problem is more serious than someone who has already spent \$350.00 on t-shirts. Economic difficulty could always be a factor, but this is different and the new election will be warped because of it. Katherine asked if he wanted to limit what could be spent, or also add in what had already been spent. John said that it would be the limit, including redeclaring. Greg MacCrone asked why it was more serious for independents than it would be for someone a slate that spent \$40 which was all they had. John said it was probably not more serious, except that on a slate people pool their money. Greg MacCrone said that the Elections Commission should reimburse candidates, but a \$200 limit was ridiculous. There is an initiative before the State Legislature limiting how much candidates can spend. The Council should not decide something of this magnitude before the State Legislature has. It was noted that spending limit already exist in the by-laws. Greg also said that the candidates were told that the old limits would remain. Eric said by allocating \$200 it guarantees equal opportunity. Paul said he understood both sides of the argument, and there should be a compromise, maybe reduce the limits to \$500 and \$75. Mary Rose said that would still leave a bias. The reason she originally submitted the idea was to make the elections fair. If it had been done last week then it would be fair. Campaigning was set for Thursday so the Council could vote. Everyone has financial problems. Deb asked John if he would be willing to call all of the candidates after the meeting and tell them that the limits were changed. John said he could call some, but he would need help. Mary Rose said that a petition has already been filed to postpone the elections until Fall quarter. The reasons for this are that academically and economically, the elections will be unfair. She could not predict how the Judicial Board would rule. The people filing the petition have requested that in the interim, until Fall, all the candidates would form a cooperative government. She just wanted to let everyone know what was going on. Greg MacCrone asked if he should bother campaigning. There was discussion on this point, as to the justification of the allegations. The arguments followed the same lines as the previous one in IOIC. Robert Rios said the more arguments he heard the more reluctant he was to limit. He told everyone they could spend the same amount. The Commission would face more problems if they do change the limits. No one has proven that the results of an election correlate with the amount spent. Mary Rose told the Council that when they vote on the matter, they should stand firm. If the elections go to the Judicial Board, she must be able to defend the decision, and would like others to do the same.

There was a call for a Council Caucus for 5 minutes, which passed, 8

in favor, and 5 against.

Mary Rose said the limit cannot be changed at this time. If the A.S. allocates up to the limit, then people could spend more and would, just because it wasn't their money. This would encourage everyone to spend up to the limit. There will always be a bias. Any candidate can fund raise. The A.S. is being as fair as possible. If the allegation goes to the Judicial Board, they could put a restraining order on the elections. The item was called to question and failed 1 in favor, 4 against, and 9 abstentions.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #31 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 9:05pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Peter Kim	Robert Rios
Mary Anne Arabia	Robert Lewis	Irene Sharkoff
Katherine Barnhisel	Gregory MacCrone	Dave Sheldon
Bill Eggers	Greg McCambridge	Deb Simon
Paul Fogarty	Scott Pickett	Sal Veas
Barbara Higgins	John Riley	Eric Weiss

Excused were: Greg Arnold, Josh Beckman, Cara DeSio, Hayley Sneiderman, and Marla Winitz.

PROPOSED REVISED ELECTIONS BUDGET

ITEM	ALLOCATED	SPENT	DIFFERENCE
Salary	1017.00	1017.00	0.00
Elections Data	3500.00	2400.00	+1100.00
UC Registrar's Recharge	160.00	?	?
Guardian Ads	792.00	720.00	+ 72.00
Graphics	21.47	31.55	- 10.08
UEO Tech	48.00	35.96	+ 12.04
Truck Rental	50.00	?	?
Balloons	98.97	80.00	+ 18.97
Helium	38.00	40.00	- 2.00
Miscellaneous Supplies	3259.28	268.94	+2990.34
Campaigning Polls	14.74	0.00	+ 14.74
Total(as of 4/23)	<u>9000.00</u>		<u>+4196.01</u>

Allocations for new election:

Elections Data	2400.00
Guardian Ads	360.00
UEO Tech	35.96
	<u>2815.96</u>

Balance from last elections:	4196.01
Current Allocations	- 2815.96
	<u>1400.05</u>
Bills unreceived as yet	210.00
	<u>+1190.05</u>

Needed allocations

UC Registrar's Recharge	- 160.00
Pollworkers(for bothelections)	-1320.00
	<u>-1480.00</u>
	<u>+1190.05</u>
	- 289.95

Proposed additional allocation	1300.00 (200.00 per slate x 4; 50 per independent
Miscellaneous supplies	250.00 x 10)
	<u>1550.00</u>
	<u>+ 289.95 deficit</u>
	1839.95

UNDERWRITE OF \$2000.00 FOR THE LA JOLLA MARATHON RELAY

Allocation to go to the student organization of Phi Delta Theta

BREAKDOWN

\$600.00 for advertisement in Running and Triathlon News

\$300.00 for advertisement in Race Place Magazine

\$600.00 for printing of applications (25,000 applications)

\$500.00 fee to In Motion race consultants up front for work done

---

\$2000.00


The underwrite will easily be paid back as only 20 teams must apply to get the \$2000.00 needed. Entry fee is \$100 per 5 person team and \$150 per corporation team.

**ASUCSD COUNCIL**  
**Meeting #32**  
Wednesday, April 30, 1986  
North Conference Room

**AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #31. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Eric Weiss--External Affairs
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Advisory Judicial Board Request: Creation of Election Review Committee, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Request for underwrite of \$2,000 to Phi Delta Theta for La Jolla Forum Marathon Relay, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Pan American Paseo Resolution, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Alternative Housing Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Student Control of Student Facilities Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Allocation of \$155 to send next year's President to California Collegiate Foundation Council Meeting at UCI on May 9-11, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Request of line item allocation of \$67.51 to Sun God Budget for lock and keys for A.S. Bin, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL





April 30, 1986

A D V I S O R Y   O P I N I O N

The A.S. Judicial Board hereby requests that the following action be taken immediately by the A.S. Council:

- I. A panel of students (the "Elections Review Committee") shall be created by the A.S. Council at the earliest possible date to perform the following functions:
  - A. Investigate the management of the A.S. Elections held this quarter with the goal of assessing deficiencies and weaknesses in the current A.S. Election Bylaws.
  - B. Submit specific recommendations as to modifications of the current A.S. Election Bylaws, and as to the structure, composition, and performance of the A.S. Election Commission for future elections, to the A.S. Council.
  - C. Should any previous unpenalized violations of the A.S. Election Bylaws or the A.S. Constitution be found to have occurred this quarter, report them to the A.S. Judicial Board.
- II. The Elections Review Committee shall use the following methods to perform the above functions:
  - A. Direct analysis of election materials and data.
  - B. Interviewing of persons involved in the past elections.
  - C. Consideration of proposals and suggestions from outside parties submitted to the committee.
- III. The Elections Review Committee shall be composed of the following:
  - A. Four registered undergraduate students appointed by the A.S. Council through their usual procedure.
  - B. A Chairperson to be appointed by the A.S. President.
  - C. No member of this committee shall have been involved with the A.S. Elections of this quarter.

UNDERWRITE OF \$2000.00 FOR THE LA JOLLA MARATHON RELAY

Allocation to go to the student organization of Phi Delta Theta

BREAKDOWN

\$600.00 for advertisement in Running and Triathlon News

\$300.00 for advertisement in Race Place Magazine

\$600.00 for printing of applications (25,000 applications)

\$500.00 fee to In Motion race consultants up front for work done

---

\$2000.00

The underwrite will easily be paid back as only 20 teams must apply to get the \$2000.00 needed. Entry fee is \$100 per 5 person team and \$150 per corporation team.

# PAN AMERICAN PASEO

EACHING OUT TO 100 MILLION CHILDREN IN THE AMERICAS — ONE CHILD AT A TIME

Proposed Resolution for Student Associations  
Declaration of support for "Children of the  
Americas'" Pan American Paseo.

Whereas, we recognize that too many people suffer from  
malnutrition and hunger, not only in the poorer countries,  
but also in the United States and in our own community and,

Whereas, we recognize the great resources of this university  
community, i.e., economic, intellectual and personal, we  
believe that UCSD students can make an important  
contribution towards eliminating hunger and reducing the  
inequalities of poverty.

Therefore let it be resolved, that AS UCSD student council  
supports the Pan American Paseo bike journey as an  
opportunity for UCSD students and staff to make a  
concrete contribution towards eliminating the suffering due  
to hunger and poverty.

## California Collegiate Council

*a statewide network of student leaders from over 175 colleges and universities*

April 14, 1986

Dear Student Body President,

Student leaders today face many pressing issues. Ranging from student programming to governance of our universities, these issues tax our limited resources. Attempting to tackle such issues alone is often a fruitless and frustrating endeavor. As student advocates, we strongly believe that a statewide network of student leaders incorporating all four segments of higher education (UC, CSU, community college, and independent) is sorely needed and long overdue. In addition, former student leaders, with experience and commitment to our ideals, are valuable resources that need to be tapped.

After several months of planning, we intend to establish the **California Collegiate Council** and the **California Collegiate Foundation**. The California Collegiate Council will serve as a permanent, statewide network of student leaders like yourself. The California Collegiate Foundation will serve as a non-profit body through which former student advocates can support and promote student leadership. In addition, the foundation will fund statewide student projects through contributions it receives from former student advocates as well as foundations, corporations, and private citizens. Several projects under consideration include a statewide student magazine, student leader computer network, student leadership training institute, and student issues research program.

You are invited to be a **founding member** of the California Collegiate Council. 175 student body presidents from colleges and universities throughout California are requested to convene at the **University of California, Irvine campus on Saturday, May 10**. This is an excellent opportunity for you to meet your counterparts from other colleges and begin to share ideas, goals, and resources.

One of the highlights of this one-day event is a **special demonstration of the Apple Macintosh computer** and its potential for student leaders. Apple Computer and Orion Computer Systems agreed to provide computers to allow everyone hands-on training of various applications of the Macintosh. We will demonstrate graphic programs for flyers, newsletters and newspapers, telecommunication programs for electronic mail and accessing databases, and other programs. In addition, we are negotiating a **special offer for Apple products** to be announced at the meeting.

Your support will ensure the success of our undertaking. You are welcome to bring other student leaders to the meeting. The agenda for the meeting is enclosed. There is no charge except for meals and housing. Please **RSVP before April 25** by calling Paul Hamada at the UC Irvine Associated Students office, (714) 856-5547. In addition, if you would like to assist us with the preparation for the meeting, please let us know.

We look forward to meeting with you.

Sincerely,



Jim Lofgren  
Acting Director, California Collegiate Foundation  
Former Director, University of California Student Lobby



Jim Caras  
President, Associated Students  
University of California, Irvine

## California Collegiate Council

Heritage Room, University Center

University of California, Irvine

### AGENDA

#### Friday, May 9

#### Early Arrival Program

4:00-10:00 pm Shuttle from airport (John Wayne Airport/ Orange County only) and hotel  
4:00-6:00 pm Registration (Associated Students office, University Center, UC Irvine)  
6:00-8:00 pm No Host Dinner (Twohey's Restaurant, next to campus on Campus Dr.)  
8:00-10:00 pm Shuttle to hotels

#### Saturday, May 10

#### Meeting at Heritage Room, University Center, UC Irvine

6:30-8:30 am Shuttle from airport and hotels  
8:00-9:30 am Registration/Coffee and Donuts  
9:30 am Welcome/Introductions/Purpose  
10:00 am Discussion: Reasons Why We Need To Work Together  
10:30 am Proposal for the California Collegiate Council/Foundation  
11:00 am Potential Project: Student Leader Computer Network ("AppleTree")  
Demonstration of Apple Computer Equipment  
Noon Lunch (\$5.00 per person)  
1:00 pm Potential Project: *California Student Magazine*  
1:30 pm Potential Project: California Student Leadership Academy  
2:00 pm Potential Project: Student Issues Research Program  
2:30 pm Plan for Implementation: Council and Foundation  
3:00 pm Budget/Fundraising Campaign  
4:00 pm Open Discussion: Input from Campuses Around the State  
5:00 pm Adjournment  
5:00-7:00 pm Informal Discussions (optional)  
5:00 -8:00 pm Shuttle to Airport  
7:00-8:00 pm Dinner (Twohey's Restaurant)  
8:00-10:00 pm Shuttle to Hotels

#### Sunday, May 11

#### Late Departures and Discussions (If there is sufficient interest, a second day of meetings can be scheduled to discuss any items.)

6:00-9:00 am Shuttle to Airport

### HOUSING

Call the UC Irvine Associated Students office at (714) 856-5547 for the following housing options:

\*Free Housing Provided by UC Irvine Students (limited spaces)

\*Irvine Hilton & Towers, 1234 Jamboree Blvd. \$25 per person (shared room) or \$50 for single

**Rooms at Hilton are on space availability only.** We cannot guarantee housing for last minute reservations so please RSVP as soon as possible.


### TRANSPORTATION

**All airplane travelers:** Please provide us with your flight information (times and airline).

The Irvine Hilton & Towers offers free shuttle service to and from the John Wayne Airport. Call the Hilton from the courtesy phone at the airport terminal. Students not staying at the Hilton or going directly to the campus should wait on the curb (look for car/person with "California Collegiate Council" sign). If unable to find anyone within 30 minutes, call the Associated Students office at 856-5547.

New B. (E)

# SUN GOD



# FESTIVAL

---

Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023  
La Jolla, California 92093

---

April 29, 1986

I am putting in a request that \$67.51 be transferred from somewhere, General Unallocatted, to Sun God for the lock and keys that belong to the A.S. Bin. Sun God ordered them, but it is the A.S. Bin, and we are only using it for some storage and the Bin is for storage of all A.S. material, not solely Sun God shit.

It was recharged in March from Physical Plant, a nice woman named Lois did it. \$ 67.51. What do you say? Sun God just doesn't have the budget to be professionally courteous in this matter.

Muchos gracias-

Liz

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #32**  
Wednesday, April 30, 1986  
North Conference Room

- CALL TO ORDER** Meeting #32 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:39pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.
- ROLL CALL** Present for the first roll call were:
- |                     |                  |                   |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Mary Rose Alexander | Paul Fogarty     | Dave Sheldon      |
| Mary Anne Arabia    | Barbara Higgins  | Gina Silva        |
| Katherine Barnhisel | Peter Kim        | Deb Simon         |
| Josh Beckman        | Gregory MacCrone | Hayley Sneiderman |
| Cara DeSio          | Scott Pickett    | Eric Weiss        |
| Bill Eggers         | Irene Sharkoff   | Marla Winitz      |
| Michael Fahlbusch   |                  |                   |
- Excused were: Robert Rios and Sal Veas.
- MINUTE OF SILENCE** To the red eggs  
To nuclear power  
To Muir students  
To Robert and the Elections Commission for running the elections
- APPROVAL OF MINUTES** The ASUCSD amended the Minutes to say that Peter was present for the first roll call. The Minutes were passed by consensus with 1 opposed and one abstention.
- ANNOUNCEMENTS**
- A. **Peter** announced that the academic dishonesty policy was approved by CEP. He also said that the last noon concert will be held on May 8th because there have been complaints about noise from professors. Instead of "irking" the professors, the committee decided to appease their anger. There have been too many events that were loud, and at least 50-60 faculty members are not happy.
  - B. **Cara** said she was passing around the sign up sheet for the Sun God Festival and she still needed people to help with the booth.
  - C. **Irene** explained that it was Greek Easter and that was why everyone had red eggs in front of them. She explained the tradition behind the eggs.
  - D. **Josh** announced that there would be a TG on Friday, it will be Cinco de Mayo. Also that last noon concert will be on Thursday.
  - E. **Mary Rose** read a letter from Hands Across America. They are encouraging colleges and universities to get involved. They will be having a briefing for Southern California students on May 3 at UCLA. She has cards to sign up if anyone is interested. It would be nice to have UCSD involved. She also announced that ASIO will be "sending" Mother's Day singing telephone grams. They are also having a directors search. She also noted that she will be out of town from Friday until Wed.
  - F. **Paul** again announced that the Cultural Programming Committee meets on Tuesdays at 11:15. He also provided information about

last weeks discussion on the flyer by OAS for their movie. They were not funded by the A.S., the money came out of their income. There was a misunderstanding about whether or not they should put the A.S.'s name on the flyer. The OAS representative said that the Isreal Action Committee sponsors the same type of political events, but they publicize them in a different manner. She also said she was upset by some of the value judgements that were made by members of the Council.

- G. **Eric** announced that there will be a rally against financial aid cuts at SDSU on May 13. They have invited all the universities in San Diego to participate.
- H. **Michael** announced the Mesa Distributing Company jobs that Mary Rose had discussed last week. See him for details.

#### STUDENT INPUT

- A. Alan Schulman came before the Council to discuss the underwrite to Phi Delta Theta that was postponed. He explained that the fraternity wanted to initiate a project that could run on an annual basis to make money for the Heart Association. They chose to do the relay. It is difficult to start an event like this. BMW gave them a donation, but they have not received the check yet. He did the applications and advertisements in the magazines on credit. They are running late, and have been looking for sponsors but they have been unsuccessful. They are asking for help to meet the costs. He discussed the issue of listing the A.S. as sponsors. It is too late to put the logo on the applications or the magazine advertisements, but he will put it on any ads that he does in the Guardian or at SDSU and he will let them put up a banner at the race. He said he hopes the underwrite will pass. It is a first time event and it will make UCSD look good, and the Heart Association and others will look to UCSD again. Paul asked what they would do if the Council did not fund them. Alan said he would go to Scripps Bank, where the Relay account is, and ask them for a loan. The bank would loan them money, but there would be a lot more paperwork which everyone would prefer to not have to do. Katherine asked him what advertisements had been done, and what other advertisements would he do. Alan said that he has already done two magazine ads, and he will run one at SDSU and in the Guardian. He may also run public service announcements, but those announcements do not usually mention sponsors. He thanked the Council for their time.

#### COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

#### REPORTS

- A. Eric introduced Cheryl Cartwright. Cheryl said that she attended the Women's Conference at Davis and wanted to let the Council know what she and others had learned. She said there were panel discussions on topics such as feminism. There were varied events, some concerned professions, some were presentations by lobbyists. They saw a presentation on the feminism of poverty. She said the conference was beneficial. They were able to network with other women in the system. She thanked the Council for their support and the opportunity to go. Eric said that he will make material from the conference available.



ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE A.

Advisory Judicial Board Request: Creation of Election Review Committee, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Mary Rose noted that it was submitted by Robert Baizer, Judicial Board Chair. Robert explained that the Judicial Board, as everyone knew, has been dealing with complaints regarding mismanagement of the elections, and this complaint requested that a temporary restraining order be placed on the elections. The Judicial Board ruled against a temporary restraining order. However, they agreed that it would be appropriate to look into the Election By-laws and see how they should be re-written. They also need to look at the elections, and decide what needs to be done to prevent similar problems from occurring again. Nothing was proven, no one was found guilty, but an investigation is a good idea. The item needs to be IOIC so things can get rolling, there is only 4 weeks left in the year. The item was made IOIC by a vote of 14 in favor, 3 against and 0 abstentions. Robert explained that the person who filed the violations wanted the Judicial Board to rule on 14 charges, and he also requested the Board to make a declaratory statement to the Council. The Board found no one guilty and decided to make an advisory statement to the Council. If the Council does not accept the advisory statement, and act on it, the Board could submit a writ forcing the Council to set up the committee which would make suggestions. Greg MacCrone said that he thought his type of project would be something the Student Advocate should do. Mary Rose said that the Student Advocate's job is not to look into elections. Her job is to represent the student body in cases such as cheating, etc. She said she was planning to appoint the Student Advocate as chair of this committee, but to give it to the office of Student Advocate is adding a burden that is not under their jurisdiction. There is a need to examine the problems now. Paul asked if the undergraduate students that would be selected would go through personnel. Mary Rose said yes. Gina asked if this would be a subcommittee under the Elections Commission. Mary Rose said no, it would be an ad hoc committee, no one who was at all connected with the elections, either on the commission or as a candidate would be able to serve on the committee. Eric asked Robert specifics on the wording of their advisory statement. He did not understand if they would also possibly be recommending changes in the Constitution. Mary Rose said the Council can change the By-laws, they cannot change the Constitution. They can change the date of the elections, by having a referendum. They will examine the elections and

recommend what changes should be made. Greg MacCrone said they thought this would be something for the office of the Student Advocate, there is no need to burden the A.S. with this. The Student Advocate is supposed to advocate student rights, and a student filed the petition. He said maybe the Student Advocate and the committee could do it. There is not much time, and creating a new committee will take even more time. Mary Rose agreed that maybe it would be a good idea to give this to the Student Advocate, the only problem is some of the Student Advocate representatives were candidates, so they could not do it, then what? The Student Advocate would have to evaluate the representatives to decide if they could serve on the committee.

It is important that things are taken care of in the Spring, with everything still fresh in people's minds. Hayley said if a representative on the Student Advocates was a candidate, then the colleges should appoint someone. If the A.S. appoints someone, it would probably be someone with close ties to the A.S. after all if someone comes in and applies to be on the committee, it may be because they have a personal interest in the outcome. Mary Rose said the reason they wanted the A.S. to appoint people is because it can be done faster, the colleges are often slow with appointments. If the A.S. is appointing, it gives everyone a chance to get involved. Hayley again said that if someone wants to get involved, there may be a motive. It would be better if it is just part of someone's job. If the colleges need to appoint someone fast, they will. Eric wanted Robert to clarify what the Board meant by "penalize". Robert said they might change the By-laws. He said if there were violations found that were done by a Commission member, then the penalization would have to depend on the violation, but the Judicial Board would have to look at the problem. The Judicial Board will only examine the first election, since that is the one in question. It is now too late to file anything more on that election, however, violations on the present election can be filed until 10 days after the election. Mary Rose said that since the first elections were invalid, the results of the investigation would be based on that election, the present election is considered valid. Eric said the past election has been very dirty. He said he understands the intent of the Judicial recommendation, but it is unclear. Because of the way some people look at these things, it is important to have everything very clear. This should not be an avenue to destroy the winners. He suggested a friendly amendment to clear up some of the wording, which was accepted (see document). Mary Rose said that it should go to the office of the Student Advocate. Bill asked what would happen if a college did not make an appointment. Mary Rose said the committee would still have quorum. It was noted that the Muir representative serves on the Elections Commission. They do not want people applying for the position for the reasons that Hayley said, and if colleges make appointments, the same thing could happen. Hayley noted that colleges often end up appointing people that already sit on the Council. Mary Rose made a friendly amendment to state that the committee would be made up of the Student Advocates, and cleared up appointment questions (see document). Eric asked if the Student Advocate would then write up a charter. He said he did not understand why this was going to the Student Advocate. Mary Rose said that the Student Advocate is charged with protecting student's rights--with representing students. It is the right of the students to have a fair election. This way, the investigation could start immediately. The item was called to question by a vote of 12 in favor and 4 against. The item passed 14 in favor, 2 against, and 1 abstention.

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Request for underwrite of \$2,000 to Phi Delta Theta for La Jolla Marathon, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Finances recommendation remained the same, pass. The item was called to question and passed with a vote of 14 in favor, 2 against, and 1 abstention.

NEW BUSINESS

- A. Pan American Paseo Resolution, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules
- B. Alternative Housing Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules
- C. Student Control of Student Facilities Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules
- D. Allocation of \$155 to send next year's President to California Collegiate Foundation Council Meeting at UCI on May 9-11, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Finance
- E. Request of line-item allocation of \$67.51 to Sun God Budget for lock and keys for A.S. bin, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Referred to Finance.

OPENS

- A. Allocation of \$125.00 for SDSU Financial Aid Rally, from External Unallocated, submitted by Eric Weiss. Referred to Finance.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #31 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:39pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	John Riley
Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Irene Sharkoff
Katherine Barnhisel	Peter Kim	Dave Sheldon
Josh Beckman	Greg MacCrone	Deb Simon
Bill Eggers	Greg McCambridge	Hayley Sneiderman
Michael Fahlbusch	Scott Pickett	Eric Weiss
		Marla Winitz

Excused were: Cara DeSio, Robert Rios, Gina Silva, and Sal Veas

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #33  
Wednesday, May 7, 1986  
North Conference Room

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #32. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Eric Weiss
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Pan American Paseo Resolution, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Alternative Housing Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander.  
Rules \_\_\_\_\_, Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Student Control of Student Facilities Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_, Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Allocation of \$155 to send next year's President to California Collegiate Foundation Council Meeting at UCI on May 9-11, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance \_\_\_\_\_, Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Request for line-item allocation of \$67.51 to Sun God Budget for lock and keys for A.S. storage bin, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Finance \_\_\_\_\_, Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - F. Allocation of \$125.00 for SDSU Financial Aid Rally, from External Unallocated, submitted by Eric Weiss. Finance \_\_\_\_\_, Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Reimbursement to Paul Fogarty of \$86.25 for travel to Sacramento, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #33**  
Wednesday, May 7, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #33 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:35pm in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Irene Sharkoff
Katherine Barnhisel	Peter Kim	Dave Sheldon
Cara DeSio	Rob Lewis	Gina Silva
Bill Eggers	Gregory MacCrone	Deb Simon
Michael Fahlbusch	Greg McCambridge	Sal Veas
Paule Fogarty		

Excused were: Mary Rose Alexander, Greg Arnold, Josh Beckman, John John Riley, Robert Rios, and Marla Winitz.

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

To Switch Hitting  
To Election Results  
To the new Council

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion without any additions or corrections.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. **Peter** explained that it was the CEP's decision to stop noon concerts, it was not the decision of the Academic Senate. He is going to Sacramento on May 20 to speak on the Master Plan, which is being reviewed this year. He also announced that he has a copy of the preliminary academic plans for 5th college for anyone who is interested in looking at them.
- B. **Lilys** spoke regarding the Judicial Board Advisory Opinion that was passed at the last meeting. She said she was not at that meeting, and was not sure if the Student Advocate is supposed to just examine the last elections or all elections. Michael suggested she discuss it with Robert Baizer of the Judicial Board.
- C. **Greg Hom** announced that the next Council will need a Parliamentarian, so anyone who is interested should see him.
- D. **Sal** said that the Topsy Taxi Service is running again this weekend. He encouraged people to use it and give him feed back. He used it last weekend and the system seems to be good, but he would like some comments.
- E. **Robert Rios** announced that the Third College applications for Judicial Board are due May 14. Leadership Training applications are due May 31. He also said the Reg Fee budget proposal has been sent to Dr. Watson.
- F. **Rob Lewis** announced that the Student Center space allocations have been posted in Edna.
- G. **Robert Rios** announced the Election Run-off results. He noted

that they were not official, they would not be official until they were read into the Minutes, he was just doing an announcement.

STUDENT INPUT

A. Laura, from the Organization of Arab Students spoke before the Council. She wanted to clear up matters concerning the flyer and movie that were presented by the OAS. She said that she was told by someone in the business office that she had to list the ASUCSD as sponsors since the money came from that office. She wanted to address comments that were made by Council members. She said that people said that they the Council does not fund political events, but the Isreal Action Committee is funded and they are solely a political organization. It is erroneous to say that the OAS is more political. CWD and the Free South Africa Coalition are also funded and they are political. She said some members said that the film was offensive. She asked, offensive to whom? Isreal Action Committee films are offensive to Arab students. To label something "offensive" is subjective. All voices should be heard. The films the OAS shows are about conflicts in third world countries, they have no direct bearing on America. The OAS's opinion is not mainstream America, but they should still be heard. She wanted to clear up the confusion about funding--the film was funded out of income, no money was allocated for it.

Kate Thompson also came before the Council to discuss the same issue. She said that she was a member of the A.S. a few years ago. It is the responsibility to become educated about all matters. To label something "offensive" is subjective and amounts to censorship. How can anyone label one event "more political than anything else"? She urged the Council to think about what they say in the meetings. She said the Council backed supporters of the anti-apartheid movement, which is supporting a group that is trying to break down a government. There was no problem with that because everyone supported it. She said the Council should think critically. The "Jewish" issue is a sensitive issue.

Another student spoke before the Council concerning the same issue. He said that he felt that the whole thing came about because of a misunderstanding. He said that he wanted to clarify the whole question of anti-zionism. He said a lot of people assume that the OAS is anti-seminist. He said the organization is not anti-semitist, they are anti-zionist. All kinds of massacres occur, and they condemn them all.

Laura again spoke and said that they don't want their freedom of speech swept away because there is currently strong anti-arab sentiment.

COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

REPORTS

Withdrawn.

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE

None

OLD BUSINESS

A. Pan American Paseo Resolution, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander.

Rules found it Constitutional. They recommended changing the wording to say "the Associated Students" instead of "we". This was accepted by the Council and the resolution passed by consensus with 2 abstentions.

- B. Alternative Housing Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it Constitutional, and the item passed by consensus.
- C. Student Control of Student Facilities Proposal, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. rules found it Constitutional. The item passed by a vote of 10 in favor, 1 against, and 2 abstentions.
- D. Allocation of \$155 to send next year's President to California Collegiate Foundation Council Meeting at UCI on May 9-11, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance recommended 0. Paul explained that they had questions about the conference, and neither John nor Greg wanted to go. The Council voted to allocate 0 by a vote of 11 yes, 1 no, and 0 abstentions.
- E. Request for line-item allocation of \$67.51 to Sun God Budget for lock and keys for A.S. storage bin, submitted by Michael Fahlbusch. Finance recommended \$67.51, but the By-laws needed to be suspended because it was a reimbursement. The Council voted 11 in favor 1 against, and 2 abstentions to suspend the By-laws. The item was called to question and passed by consensus.
- F. Allocation of \$125.00 for SDSU Financial Aid Rally, from External Unallocated, submitted by Eric Weiss. The item was withdrawn.

NEW BUSINESS

- A. Reimbursement to Paul Fogarty of \$86.25 for travel to Sacramento, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. The item was referred to Finance.

OPENS

- A. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to MEChA, BSU, APSA, KASA and CSA for graduations, submitted by Diane Ioki. Referred to SOFB.
- B. La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union Resolution, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Referred to Rules.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #33 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:10pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Cara DeSio	Rob Lewis	Deb Simon
Bill Eggers	Gregory MacCrone	Sal Veas
Michael Fahlbusch	Robert Rios	Eric Weiss
Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff	

Excused were: Mary Rose Alexander, Greg Arnold, Josh Beckman, John Riley, and Marla Winitz

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #34  
Wednesday, May 14, 1986  
North Conference Room

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #33. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Eric Weiss--External Affairs
  - B. Mary Rose Alexander--UCSA
  - C. Greg MacCrone--parking
  - D. Robert Rios--Reg Fee
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
  - A. Appointment of Barbara Persons to the Educational Policy and Courses (CEP) Committee of the Academic Senate Committee, submitted by Greg MacCrone. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Reimbursement to Paul Fogarty of \$86.25 for travel to Sacramento, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to MEChA, BSU, APSA, KASA, and CSA for graduations, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union Resolution, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Rules\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
  - A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the Administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Resolution regarding Gays, Lesbians, and Bisexuals in the University of California, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL



REPORT ON UCSA MEETING

Santa Cruz  
May 10 - 11

By: Mary Rose Alexander, A.S. President

Issues discussed:

1. Master Plan of Higher Education
2. Divestment
  - UACIR student boycott
3. Student Wage Cuts (see attached)
  - Action at Berkeley
  - Resolution passed by UCSA
4. Standardize Testing
  - Resolution passed by Santa Cruz
5. Multiple Filing Results
6. Resolution regarding the status of Gays, Lesbians and Bisexuals at U.C. (see attached)
7. Financial Aid (see attached)
  - graduate
  - merit based
8. Human Corps Proposal by Assemblymember John Vosconcellos
9. Collective Bargaining
10. Facilities Fee and Referenda Policy
11. Protocol for Peace
12. Action on encouraging Chancellors to uphold student referendas on the name of the mascot.
  - i.e. we want to be koalas

That's all!

Mary Rose Alexander

REPORT ON UCSA MEETING

Santa Cruz  
May 10 - 11

By: Mary Rose Alexander, A.S. President

Issues discussed:

1. Master Plan of Higher Education
2. Divestment
  - UACIR student boycott
3. Student Wage Cuts (see attached)
  - Action at Berkeley
  - Resolution passed by UCSA
4. Standardize Testing
  - Resolution passed by Santa Cruz
5. Multiple Filing Results
6. Resolution regarding the status of Gays, Lesbians and Bisexuals at U.C. (see attached)
7. Financial Aid (see attached)
  - graduate
  - merit based
8. Human Corps Proposal by Assemblymember John Vosconcellos
9. Collective Bargaining
10. Facilities Fee and Referenda Policy
11. Protocol for Peace
12. Action on encouraging Chancellors to uphold student referendas on the name of the mascot.
  - i.e. we want to be koalas

That's all!

Mary Rose Alexander

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA STUDENT LOBBY

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

926 J Street, Suite 616  
Sacramento, California 95814  
(916) 442-3827

May 6, 1986

TO: UCSA Board Members

FROM: Adrienne Graham

RE: UC Financial Aid

A number of events have transpired to make this a watershed year of sorts for financial aid at the University of California. In addition to the passage of Gramm-Rudman, which will result in major cuts in federal aid, the University has found itself facing potentially drastic changes its program mix and funding source. Marilyn Jaeger, Director of Financial Aid and Loan Collection, will be addressing the Board on May 10. The attached paper is meant as a background sketch financial aid for UC students. Please look it over before Director Jaeger addresses the Board. If you have any questions, or would like more information, please let me know.



926 J Street, Suite 616  
Sacramento, California 95814  
(916) 442-3827

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA STUDENT FINANCIAL AID

Adrienne Graham  
Associate Director, University Affairs  
University of California Student Lobby

May 6, 1986

This analysis provides an outline of recent changes in financial aid programs, the major policy questions which have resulted, a brief history of financial aid policy, a breakdown of the programs administered by the University, and their funding sources. Some of the current policy issues, particularly AB 2931, are discussed in more detail.

Financial aid at the University is a \$275 million program. The state and federal governments provide about \$120 million in grants, loans, fellowships and work-study. The private sector adds another \$95 million in grants and loans. The University's own Student Aid Program has a budget of about \$70 million which is financed through state general funds, Education Fees and private contributions and endowments. A brief delineation and description of the actual programs begins on page five.

In order to discuss the policy issues, a basic understanding of financial aid programs is necessary. Before addressing the history and nature of aid programs, two definitions may be helpful. "Need-based" applies to programs in which the student must prove that he or she has financial need. Generally, an analysis of need looks at the assets and income of the student's parents. In the case of independent students (those who for the previous three years have not lived at home more than six weeks, and have not been claimed as dependents on either parent's tax forms for the same period), the individuals assets and earning potential are assessed.

"Merit-based" aid requires that the student demonstrate some sort of academic or scholarly achievement. Usually these are scholarship or fellowship programs. Sometimes both merit and need must be shown, as in the case of Cal Grant A (see below). Regents undergraduate scholarships are also a combination program. An honorarium is given to students based on merit. Of those who receive an honorium, anyone who can show "need" also receives a stipend. While merare generally "non-need" based, not all non-need programs are merit-based. For example, almost any student whose parents earn less then \$30,000 may qualify for a Guaranteed Student Loan without going through needs analysis or demonstrating exceptional scholarship.

## History

Prior to 1973, student fees were not used for any financial aid programs. That year revenue from the Education Fee, which had been instituted in 1970-71 in response to severe budget cuts, was shifted from capital outlay to financial aid. The Ed Fee was originally \$150/year. In 1971-72 it doubled, again due to budget cuts. It remained at \$300 until 1981-82 when the state again faced another fiscal crisis and the fee was raised to \$475, and then to \$725 the following year. For 1985-86, Ed Fees averaged \$722 per student.

Most of the fee increases in those years were in Ed Fees. Reg Fees were fairly stable, never increasing more than \$55 in any year after 1967-68. After 1981, programs other than financial aid, such as admissions, were also funded by Ed Fees. Each increase in fees required an increase in the financial aid which covered those fees for needy students. Since this was funded by Ed Fees, a feedback loop was created whereby every increase in fees necessitated further increases in fees because of the need for additional financial aid. As fees have not increased for the last two years, and because of recent legislation, this last problem has been temporarily put to rest.

Because fee-funding of financial aid compounded the impact of fee increases, UC and CSU students sponsored a bill in 1984 which stated legislative intent that financial aid used to offset fee increases not come from student fees.

In 1984-85, the state rolled fees back in both systems. CSU fees were reduced \$42/student, exactly the amount used to fund their State University Grant—the only fee-funded financial aid program in the State University. UC fees dropped \$70, at a cost of roughly \$12 million to the state. All of that money was used to replace revenue from fees in the University Student Aid Program. The following year another \$12 million replaced Ed Fee funding of aid. The same item is also proposed for 1986-87 (see Table 1). The last two buy-outs have not resulted in a net reduction in fees. Rather, the money has been distributed among both Ed and Reg Fee programs to fund COLAs and inflation costs.

TABLE 1  
General Fund and Ed Fees as Sources of Revenue for Financial Aid  
(in millions)

	1984-85*	1985-86	1986-87 (proposed)
General Funds	\$12.7	24.2	36.2
Ed Fees	50.8	41.2	29.2
Total	63.5	65.4	65.4

Note: No increase total revenue next year  
\*First year of any state funding

In response to fee increases, Assembly Concurrent Resolution 81 (Hart), calling for a study of the impact of fees on enrollment, was adopted. In 1982 CPEC issued the first in a series of reports which called for, among many

other things, the elimination of fees as a source of revenue for financial aid. That same year the Appropriate Use Policy was adopted by the UC Student Fee Advisory Committee, made up of students, faculty and administrators. The Policy ranked those programs it felt were inappropriate for fee funding. Financial aid was high on the list. Unfortunately the policy was never adopted by the President or the Regents. However, the Student Body Presidents' Council, Reg Fee Committees and the Student Lobby have used the Appropriate Use Policy as a policy guide.

The discussions about level and use of fees, begun with ACR 81, culminated last year in the adoption of SB 195, the Long Term Student Fee Policy. This bill reiterated the state's commitment to low fees, as well as increases that are gradual, moderate and predictable. The bill put into place a mechanism for changing fees, based on a three-year average of changes in state funding for the University. SB 195 left the use of fees up to the segments, in consultation with students.

### Current State Policy

Several recent and separate events will impact future policy decisions concerning University financial aid: the proposed 1986-87 fee buy out (if adopted), the implementation of SB 195, the introduction of AB 2931 and the completion of a financial aid study being conducted by the California Postsecondary Education Commission. Each of these are discussed briefly below.

Fee Buy-out: For the third consecutive year the Governor has proposed to "buy-out" student financial aid at the UC. That is, he plans to "buy" the fee increase triggered by the Long-Term Fee Policy with state money. The state funds will go into need-based financial aid, freeing up \$12 million in Ed Fees which will then be used to fund COLAs and inflation costs in Ed and Reg Fee programs, as occurred in 1985-86. The problem for the University is that they don't have \$12 million of need-based aid left in their Ed Fee accounts. Consequently, they will have to change the program mix--increasing the amount of need-based aid and decreasing merit awards. This means that budget changes are driving policy changes when it should be the other way around.

SB 195: Passed last year, this bill put into place a long-term fee policy with a mechanism for increasing fees. The bill specifically left the use of fees to the segments, which are supposed to develop a policy in consultation with students. While Reg Fee Committees fulfill this requirement, there is no consultation process for Ed Fees. The Student Fee Advisory Committee has been charged with developing such a process.

AB 2931: Sponsored by the Student Lobby and authored by Jack O'Connell, this bill would stipulate the legislature's intent that all financial aid be funded from sources other than student fees (see page seven for more detail).

CPEC Study: The California Postsecondary Education Commission has undertaken a study, coordinated by Jane Wellman, to look at the entire financial aid picture in California. Topics will include sources of revenue; planning assumptions; the impact of aid on choice, access and success; the relationship between fees and aid; and the impact of specific programs, such as loans, work-study and grants.

There are several policy questions that arise from the above. Specifically:

- How should financial aid programs be funded?
- Should the state fund merit based financial aid?
- Do UC students receive a greater proportion of aid than those in the other segments? If the legislature believes they do, will they cut funding for UC programs?
- How will the shift to state funding affect the University's flexibility when packaging aid? What will be the impact on students if the University loses its present flexibility?
- How will changes in the funding mix drive changes in policy?

### The Programs

Almost \$300 million is received in loans, grants, scholarships and work study by 22,000 graduate students and 45,500 undergraduates at the University of California. Most of the current programs are listed below with the dollar level and the number of recipients. The figures are from the Report on Student Aid, 1984-85, presented to the Regents in March, 1986. This section should give you a fairly good idea of the scope and nature of aid in the University.

Federal Aid                    \$155,733,000                    33,015 Recipients\*

GSL                                \$79,451,000                    27,469 Recipients

The Guaranteed Student Loan program is authorized by the federal government and administered by the state through the Student Aid Commission. The actual funds are provided by private lending agencies. Undergraduates may borrow \$2,500 per year and graduates \$4,500. Those with family incomes above \$30,000 must show need. Although default rates have been newsworthy lately, UC students have a fairly low incidence of default: only 7.2% versus 14.5% for the CSU and 22.3% for the Community Colleges (February 1986).

Pell Grants                    \$26,186,000                    26,186 Recipients

The largest of the federally funded aid programs, Pell Grants are awarded to disadvantaged undergraduates. All eligible applicants receive an award.

NDSL                               \$19,917,000                    15,762 Recipients

The National Direct Student Loan program awards low interest loans to needy students. Revenue is generated through new federal monies, University allocations (from Ed Fees) and repayment of past loans.

College Work-Study    \$11,021,000                    8,837 Recipients

CWS provides the funds for up to 80% of the jobs available to students on the campuses. Federal dollars are matched by the employer, who pays 20-50% of the student's wage. CWS is a need-based program.

Fellowships                    \$10,862,000                    2,412 Recipients

These fellowships, awarded only to graduate students, are granted to those

doing research in fields that the federal government is especially interested in.

SEOG                               \$ 6,085,000                               7,214 Recipients  
Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants are awarded to needy undergraduates. They can be used for tuition and fees only.

\*excluding GSL

State Aid                               \$18,361,000                               17,734 Recipients

Cal Grant A                               \$12,013,925                               13,825 Recipients  
The only scholarship program currently funded by the state. In 1984-85, undergraduates had to have a GPA of 2.86 and show financial need in order to qualify. Originally, these Grants were supposed to cover the full cost of fees at the UC. In 1984-85 the awards were only \$869, several hundred dollars short.

Cal Grant B                               \$ 6,000,000                               3,490 Recipients  
In order to qualify for this grant, a student must be eligible and/or admitted to the University. Essentially a need-based program, those with greatest need are funded first. Recipients receive a stipend, and after their first year, funds to partially offset their fees.

Fellowships                               \$ 369,000                               419 Recipients  
This program is for graduates who demonstrate high potential and are disadvantaged, or those who have achieved a high level of academic success and can show a certain level of need--basically, the same principle as the Cal Grant programs. The state tries to direct these fellowships toward students in programs where there appear to be labor shortages.

Private Sources                               \$12,311,000

Private agencies funded \$12,311,000 in grants, scholarships and fellowships and \$4,815,700 in loans during 1984-85.

University Sources

Most of the University's monies are from the state general fund and Ed Fees. The state funds are the result of the last two buy outs. About 40% of the Ed fee is used for Financial Aid. Ed Fee revenue is discretionary--the University determines how it will be used. For the most part, state and federal regulations are not applicable. For example, undocumented aliens and men who have not registered for the draft are not eligible for government aid, but may receive funds from the University.

Table 2 is an approximate breakdown of the level of support for USAP programs in 1984-85. These numbers were pieced together, so they are not completely accurate. Keep in mind that this represents only about a quarter of the aid received by students in the University. Also, while the aid for graduates may seem high, remember that undergraduates receive far more state aid.



TABLE 2

University Funded Programs  
 -approximate figures in thousands of dollars-  
 (percentages are of total University funding)

Need Based

Grants			
Undergraduate	22,774	(31.3%)	
Graduate	18,961	(26.0)	
Total			41,735 (57.3%)
Work-study			783 (01.1)
Regents Scholars (ug)			1,800 (02.5)
<i>Total Need-Based</i>			<i>44,318 (60.9%)</i>

Non-Need

Undergraduate			
Alumni Scholars	140	(00.2)	
Regents Scholars	500	(00.7)	
University Scholars	750	(01.0)	
President's UG Fellowships	200	(00.3)	
Misc.	40	(00.1)	
Total Undergrad Non-need			1,630 (02.2)
Graduate			
Regents Fellows	4,950	(06.8)	
Grad Opportunity Fellows	2,000	(02.7)	
Nonresident Waivers	7,100	(09.8)	
In-Candidacy Offset	2,000	(02.7)	
Total Grad Non-need			16,050 (22.1)
<i>Total Non-need</i>			<i>17,680 (24.3)</i>

Loans

UC Loan Program			5,400 (07.4)
NDSL Matching			375 (00.5)
<i>Total Loans</i>			<i>5,375 (07.9)</i>

Misc.

5,000 (06.9)

Total University Aid

72,373 (100%)

## Major Issues

**Appropriate Sources of Funds:** Currently there are five funding sources for student aid--federal, state, University, private and student fees. Because need-based aid is designed to promote access, students have long argued that it is the responsibility of the state. Merit aid is considered primarily an institutional responsibility, unless the state has a commitment to maintaining quality, in which case they too should provide funds. Students have argued that students should not finance other students. Many administrators and policy makers agree in principle. However, some fear that putting this into practice would result in a net loss of aid or unwieldy changes to the programs.

**Impact on Existing Programs:** If we can agree that student fees should not fund student aid programs, we must then evaluate the impact on existing programs. An unfortunate aspect of the fee buy-out has been the problem of fiscal decisions (that state funds go only toward need-based aid when there isn't an equivalent amount available) driving policy decisions. Ideally this should be the other way around. In this case, the University may have to cut some of their non-need programs, not because they have been given careful consideration and deemed low priority, but simply because the state funds can only go toward need-based programs.

**Flexibility:** Federal and state, and even many private, funds come with all sorts of strings attached. Since the University has complete discretion over Ed Fees, the monies can be used to fill the various gaps as they occur. They can also be used to fund merit programs and loans, including the matching funds for NDSLs. State funds have been designated for need-programs only, can not be carried from one year to the next (so that predictions on each campus must be incredibly accurate) and can not be used for most loan programs. While this may seem primarily to be an administrative problem, there can be a detrimental affect on students receiving aid.

**Graduate and Merit Aid:** Currently, graduates receive a disproportionate amount of the University Student Aid Program funds. However, they do not receive a great deal in state aid. At this time graduate fellows and scholars are not subject to needs analysis; however, because of their independence, many recipients could probably show need. Nonetheless, given the reluctance of the state to fund graduates in general, there is a danger that they will refuse to fund most of the USAP's graduate programs. This is true for merit-based undergraduate aid, as well. Consequently, if fees are eliminated as a source of revenue and these programs are to continue, the money will have to be found in other University accounts, in competition with the vast array of other University-funded programs. See Table 3, page , for a comparison of undergraduate and graduate aid levels.

**University's Total Aid:** About the same proportion of students in the UC receive some form of aid as those in the CSU--roughly half. However, the average award per student is much higher in the UC. A number of things may account for this. First of all, fees are twice as high in the UC and many of the campuses are located in higher-cost areas. UC students are, on the whole, much younger than CSU students, so their average income (not including parental income) is much lower. Although young, they generally live away from home, thereby incurring higher costs. Almost all of UC's students are full-time, while many CSU and community college students are part-time and work.

Finally, a fairly large number of students, especially graduates, are from out of state. See Table 4, page , for a comparison of aid by segment.

These points are raised because there is a fear that increased state funding will be accompanied by additional state scrutiny, and once some legislators discover how much aid UC students receive, the funds may be cut or diverted to the other segments. There is no guarantee that the state will be sympathetic to the reasons that UC seems to get more money. Also, keep in mind that "needy" students in every segment are underfunded. They must fill the gap between expenses and income from grants and work-study with loans and additional jobs. This adds to the indebtedness problem and takes time away from school.

#### AB 2931

All of this brings us to AB 2931 (O'Connell), which we are sponsoring this year. This bill amends the Ed Code to read:

It is also the intent of the Legislature as a matter of long-term policy that funds for all student financial aid at public post-secondary educational institutions be provided from sources other than student fees. In addition, it is the intent of the Legislature that need-based student financial aid be state funded and that nonneed-based student financial aid be funded from sources other than student fees.

The last sentence was amended in at the request of Assemblymember Hayden. Originally, he requested that the bill also say that nonneed-based aid would not be funded from state sources, but the author did not accept that addition. Hayden's intent was to make clear the University's responsibility for merit aid.

The University has some realistic concerns about the impact of this bill on graduate and merit aid (see above). However, the legislation is intended to set the stage for the development of a long-term plan to replace fees as a source of revenue for student aid with state or University funds. Because we do not want a net reduction in aid, the plan would have to be timed with the availability of other monies, or methods of securing additional funds, or simply recommend the "trading" of programs currently funded by the state with Ed Fee-funded financial aid.

Staff has thought of some technical mechanisms which could be used to implement the policy. For example, SB 195 stipulates that in years when the mechanism results in a fee which generates more revenue than is necessary to cover COLAs and inflationary costs, the additional money will be given to the state. However, the state could let the University keep the unneeded revenue to buy-out a portion of the Ed Fee. Funds would then be available for the expansion or initiation of other Reg or Ed Fee programs. We will discuss this and other possibilities in more depth at Santa Cruz.

TABLE 3  
Undergraduate and Graduate Aid in Dollars and as Percentage of the Whole  
-dollars in millions-

	Undergraduates	Graduates	Total
<u>Federal</u>			
<i>Total</i>	51.5	24.52	76.02
Grants	32.2	.02	32.22
Scholarships & Fellowships		10.8	10.8
Loans	11.3	10.7	22.0
Work-Study	8.0	3.0	11.0
<u>State</u>			
<i>Total</i>	18.0	.5	18.5
Grants	6.0	0	6.0
Scholarships & Fellowships	12.0	0.5	12.5
<u>University</u>			
<i>Total</i>	32.1	43.6	75.7*
Grants	22.8	18.9	41.7
Scholarships & Fellowships	6.1	21.7	27.8
Loans	3.0	2.4	5.4
Work-Study	.2	.6	.8
<u>Private</u>			
<i>Total</i>	49.2	47.1	96.3
Grants	5.4	6.9	12.3
Loans	43.8	40.2	84.0
<u>All Sources</u>			
Grants	66.4 (30,429)*	25.8 (12,606)*	92.2 (43,035)*
Scholarships & Fellowships	18.1 (18,697)	33.0 (9597)	51.1 (28,294)
Loans	58.1 (27,259)	53.3 (10,134)	111.4 (38,082)
Work-Study	8.2 (7,265)	3.6 (2,012)	11.8 (9,277)
<i>Total</i>	150.8 (45,473)**	115.7 (22,029)**	266.5 (67,502)**

\*Number of recipients


\*\*Unduplicated count

Source: Report on Student Aid, 1984-85

TABLE 4  
Student Aid by Segment  
-dollars in millions-

	UC	CSU	CCC	PRIVATES
<u>Federal</u>				
<i>Total</i>	74.4	87	66	68.7
Grants	38.8	67	52.8	36.2
Scholarships & Fellowships	11.0			
Loans	14.7	11.4	2.4	18.8
Work-study	9.9	8.6	11.4	13.8
<u>State</u>				
<i>Total</i>	44.5	36.6	25.2	59.1
Grants	44.1	36.6	25.2	59.1
Scholarships & Fellowships	.4	.02		
<u>Institutional</u>				
<i>Total</i>	56.5	22	17.7	150.4
Grants	11.2	2.3	.4	121.5
Scholarships & Fellowships	29.4	2.5	1.7	1.2
Loans	13.4	1.5	1.0	12.2
Work-study	2.5	1.9	2.6	
Employment		14	12	15.5
<u>Other</u>				
<i>Total</i>	102.9	127.6	65.9	221.6
Grants	5.4	9	.3	
Scholarships & Fellowships	6.9		1.7	
Loans	90.6	118	60.2	196
Work-study		.6		
Employment			3.7	
<u>All Sources</u>				
Grants	99.5	114.9	78.7	216.8
Scholarships & Fellowships	47.7	2.5	3.4	1.2
Loans	118.7	130.9	63.6	227
Work-study	12.4	11.1	14	13.8
Employment		14	15.7	15.8
<i>Total</i>	278.3	263.4	175.4	474.6

From: Report of the Legislative Analyst, 1986-87 (figures are for 1985-86)



# University of California Student Association

An Association of University of California Student Governments

Berkeley • Davis • Irvine • Los Angeles • Riverside • San Diego • San Francisco • Santa Barbara • Santa Cruz

## DRAFT RESOLUTION REGARDING STUDENT WAGE CUTS AT U.C. BERKELEY

*Whereas*, University of California student employees provide services integral to the effective functioning of the University; and

*Whereas*, University of California student employees, just as any other University employees, deserve to be fairly compensated for the services they provide; and

*Whereas*, the imposition of a two-tiered student wage system at the University would result in students being paid different wages for identical work; and

*Whereas*, the establishment of different wages for identical work is inherently unfair, and contradicts the principle of fair compensation for services performed; and

*Whereas*, student library employees at U.C. Berkeley were informed of impending two-tier wage cuts during the 15th week of the second semester; and

*Whereas*, these adjustments will result in wage cuts totaling approximately \$1.90 per hour for new student employees; and

*Whereas*, these student wage cuts are being carried out through implementation of a "locally comparable wages" methodology; and

*Whereas*, development of such a methodology is by its nature extremely easy to manipulate in order to reach a desired wage level; and

*Whereas*, the Interim Student Wage Policy approved by the Council of Chancellors provides for student consultation before implementation of any student wage cuts; and

*Whereas*, both written and verbal agreements between the University of California Student Association and the U.C. Systemwide Administration provided for a continuing dialogue regarding the process for implementing the Interim Student Wage Policy; and

*Whereas*, neither the University of California Student Association

or the A.S.U.C. at Berkeley were informed of these wage cuts or given the opportunity to provide input to U.C. Administration prior to the notification of students of these impending cuts; and

*Whereas*, this lack of official student consultation would appear to violate both the letter and intent of the Interim Student Wage Policy and other agreements between the University of California Student Association and U.C. Systemwide Administration; and

*Whereas*, verbal agreements between the University of California Student Association and the U.C. Systemwide Administration provided for student consultation in the establishment and implementation of the survey process to be used for determining "locally prevailing wages" for work being performed by students; and

*Whereas*, there was no student consultation regarding the methodology employed in determining the size of wage cuts to be levied against new student library employees at U.C. Berkeley; and

*Whereas*, this lack of formal student consultation regarding the methodology employed for determining the size of wage cuts for U.C. Berkeley student library employees constitutes a serious violation of the good faith under which the University of California Student Association assumed U.C. Systemwide Administration was operating; and

*Whereas*, the abridgment of both the letter and the spirit of the Interim Student Wage Policy and the abridgment of informal agreements reached with U.C. Systemwide Administration represents the exhaustion of appropriate administrative appeals; now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association*, That U.C.S.A. objects, in the strongest possible terms, to the development of a "locally prevailing wages" methodology for U.C. Berkeley student library employees. U.C.S.A. specifically objects to the fact that this methodology was developed with no student consultation, in violation of verbal agreements and existing University policy; and be it further

*Resolved*, That U.C.S.A. objects, in the strongest possible terms, to the *implementation* of a "locally prevailing wages" methodology, resulting in significant wage cuts for U.C. Berkeley student library employees. U.C.S.A. specifically objects to the fact that this methodology has been implemented with no student consultation, in violation of both verbal agreements and existing University policy; and be it further

*Resolved*, That U.C.S.A. objects, in the strongest possible terms, to the fact that student employees were informed of the implementation of this policy during finals week of the Spring Semester, when it is particularly difficult, if not impossible, for students to effectively respond to the policy; and be it further

*Resolved*, That U.C.S.A. calls on U.C. Systemwide Administration and/or the U.C. Berkeley Administration to immediately revoke the decision to impose two-tiered wage cuts through implementation of the

"locally prevailing wages" methodology; and be it further

*Resolved*, That U.C.S.A. calls on U.C. Systemwide Administration and the U.C. Berkeley Administration to immediately revoke whatever "locally prevailing wage" methodology has been established without student consultation, as called for in University policy; and be it further


*Resolved*, That U.C.S.A. calls on U.C. Systemwide Administration and the U.C. Berkeley Administration to delay development of any "locally prevailing wage" methodology until the beginning of Fall quarter/semester, so that appropriate student representatives can be thoroughly involved and consulted in development of the methodology, as called for in University policy; and be it further

*Resolved*, That adoption of the previous three *Resolved* clauses by the appropriate University authorities will make clarification of University Student Employee Policies in the State Legislature unnecessary; and be it further

*Resolved*, That if adoption of the above mentioned *Resolved* clauses is not forthcoming, the U.C. Student Lobby is hereby authorized to pursue in the California State Legislature, either through legislation, resolution, or the annual University budget process, appropriate protections of the rights of University of California student employees; and be it further

*Resolved*, That the President of the University of California Student Association transmit a copy of this resolution to the President of the University of California, the Chancellor of the University of California, Berkeley, the Dean of Students of the University of California, and any other persons or constituent groups deemed appropriate.





Associated Students  
University of California, San Diego  
Student Center, B-023, La Jolla, CA 92093

---

May 1, 1986

### RESOLUTION

SUBMITTED BY: Greg McCambridge, Muir Junior Senator

SUBJECT: La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union

WHEREAS, UCSD students are in need of better financial services than those provided by the local banks; and

WHEREAS, the ASUCSD pledges to provide service for students in the preamble of the ASUCSD Constitution;

THEREFORE, let it be resolved that the pursuant to the preamble of the ASUCSD Constitution, the following be declared:  
The La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union will be recognized by the ASUCSD, with the understanding that the organizers of this credit union pledge to act in the interest of UCSD students past and present.

SPEAKERS/LECTURES

82	Nuclear Disarmament
61	Financial Aid
55	Apartheid
54	Nicaragua
48	Financial Budget Deficit
45	South Africa
36	Housing (Alternative, Affordable)
33	Toxics
30	El Salvador
27	Divestment
26	E.R.A.
25	Farm Labor
22	Student Services
20	Student Control of fees & facilities
19	Libya
18	Student Fees
18	Affirmative Action
15	Better Community Services
14	Weapons Lab
12	Coor's Boycott
4	Lobby Annex
4	Central America
4	Transportation Services
3	International Affairs
3	Student - Employee Wage

Muir 197 - 1%  
 Third 194 - 1%  
 Warren 190 - 1%  
 Revelle 162 - 1%  
 Blank 101 -  
 Total 844 - 7%

ASUCSD LOBBY ANNEX SURVEY

Section I

1. Do you feel that divestment is the most likely strategy to end apartheid in the Republic of South Africa?

28% Yes      45% No      27% Undecided

2. Did you feel that way when and if you voted in last spring's A.S. elections/referenda?

47% Yes      15% No      38% Undecided

3. Do you feel you were objectively and accurately informed about these above issues?

34% Yes      51% No      15% Undecided

4. Are you aware of the Regent's actions regarding apartheid and divestment?

54% Yes      46% No

5. If answered "yes" to #4 are you satisfied with the Regent's actions?

6% Very Satisfied      30% Moderately      15% Moderately Not  
37% Not Satisfied      12% Undecided

7. When voting in last spring's A.S. Elections/Referenda did you vote against UC investments in corporations doing business with South Africa or against Apartheid itself?

6% UC Investments      17% Apartheid      51% Didn't Vote  
7% No Opinion      11% Both      7% Neither

Section II

1. Did you know you have a professional lobby in Sacramento lobbying legislators on a wide range of student concerns?

39% Yes      61% No

2. Did you know that this lobby has a branch office on this campus?

22% Yes      78% No

3. Given that your lobby at UCSD and Sacramento has limited resources where would you like to see those resources spent? (Please rank in descending order your priorities in each category: #1 being most important).

Federal

- 1 Financial Aid
- 5 Equal Rights Amendment
- 4 Apartheid
- 3 Federal Budget Deficit
- 2 Nuclear Disarmament
- 7 El Salvador
- 8 Nicaragua
- Libya

State

- 5 Affirmative Action
- 1 Financial Aid
- 2 Student Fees
- 3 Student Control of fees & facilities  
(e.g. University Center)
- 6 Student Exposure to dangerous toxics
- 4 Student Services Funding

Campus/University

- 3 Student Employee Wages & Work  
Conditions
- 5 Weapons Lab
- 4 Divestment
- 1 Quality of Student Services
- 2 Alternative on-campus housing
- 6 Student Exposure to dangerous toxics

Municipal

- 1 Affordable off campus housing
- 3 Better Community Services (restaurants,  
entertainment)
- 2 Transportation Services (buses,  
shuttles, ride sharing)

4. Do you feel that your lobby should spend resources on issues that don't directly affect students (e.g. South Africa, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Coors Boycott, Farm Labor)?

10% Strongly Agree      30% Agree      27% Disagree  
17% Strongly Disagree      16% Undecided

5. Of the above listed issues (in Section II) indicate which 2 you would most want speakers/lectures on?

1) Nuclear Disarmament      2) Financial Aid

6. Would you be willing to support a separate fee for this lobby?

16% Yes      50% No      34% Undecided

7. Did you know the lobby is A.S. supported?

30% Yes      70% No

Section III

1. Are you registered to vote?      78% Yes      22% No

- If yes: 45% Republican 41% Democrat 14% Independent
2. Are you registered to vote in San Diego? 62% Yes 38% No
3. Have you voted in the last two years?  
62% Yes 38% No
4. Indicate in which elections you have voted.  
89% Federal 70% State 51% Municipal

Section IV

1. Do you live off campus? 70% Yes 30% No
2. If yes, where? 15% Del Mar/Solana Beach 34% University City/La Jolla  
11% Kearny/Mira/Clairemont Mesa 10% Mission Beach/Pacific Beach  
12% San Diego 18% Other

Section V

1. Do you support Affirmative Action?  
14% Very Strongly 17% Strongly 30% Moderately 10% Not at all  
10% Undecided 19% Don't know what Affirmative  
Action is
2. Do you think there is a problem of underrepresentation with certain groups of faculty, staff and students?  
51% Yes 20% No 29% Undecided
3. Do you think more needs to be done on Affirmative Action?  
For Students: 22% Strongly agree 32% Agree 12% Disagree  
4% Strongly disagree 30% Undecided  
For Staff: 14% Strongly agree 30% Agree 13% Disagree  
7% Strongly disagree 36% Undecided  
For Faculty: 16% Strongly agree 31% Agree 14% Disagree  
6% Strongly disagree 33% Undecided

Section VI

- 1) Of the top ranked issue would you be willing to help in a letter writing campaign, community outreach or other grass roots support? If so please fill out card from surveyor. (Your card will remain separate from this survey)

List additional comments on reverse side of this sheet.

RESOLUTION REGARDING GAYS, LESBIANS AND BISEXUALS  
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Whereas discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation is widespread in the University of California,

Whereas homophobia and hysteria has increased in the University of California as a result of the AIDS crisis,

Whereas institutional barriers prevent the full participation of openly gay, lesbian and bisexual students, staff, faculty, and administrators in the University of California,

Whereas the University of California should encourage equality of opportunity for all persons, regardless of their sexual orientation,

Whereas gay lesbian and bisexual students are an oppressed group in the University of California, often considered inferior human beings by heterosexuals, and

Whereas the University of California Regents recognized that it should eliminate such discrimination by the adoption of its policy on prohibiting discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation (in June 1982),

Therefore be it resolved that the ASUCSD endorse the University of California Student Association requests that the President of the University of California form an Advisory Committee on the Status of Gays, Lesbians and Bisexuals in the University of California, which would include the following representatives:

- 2 Students nominated by the University of California Student Association.
- 2 Faculty members nominated by the UC Academic Senate,
- 2 Staff members, one nominated by the UC Staff Assembly and one nominated by the union with the largest UC staff membership,
- 2 Administrators, selected by the UC President, and
- 2 Alumni nominated by the President of the UC Alumni Association.

At least one representative from each of the five listed categories should be openly gay, lesbian or bisexual.

Be it further resolved that the Advisory Committee will address the following issues:

- a. Investigate the institutional barriers to the admittance and academic success of gay, lesbian and bisexual students in the California secondary and post-secondary education system. Develop policies to eliminate these barriers to academic success.
- b. Investigate barriers to societal acceptance of gays, lesbians and bisexuals. Determine what actions the University of California can take to break down these barriers.

- c. Develop public education programs that will help dispel myths and misconceptions about gays, lesbians and bisexuals in the University of California.
- d. Investigate the feasibility of including gays, lesbians and bisexual in the EOP/SAA programs of the University of California, or new institutional programs to ensure their recruitment, retention and acceptance in the University of California.
- e. Investigation of the effectiveness of Regental Policy on non-discrimination in career service, housing, employment and other appropriate areas to the University.
- f. Other areas relating to the participation of gays, lesbians and bisexuals in the University of California.

Authors: Jason Kendy, UCSC Student Union Assembly representative  
Dan Greening, UC Student Association President  
Ricky Bluthenthal, UCSA Academic Affairs Committee Chair

ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES

Meeting #34

Wednesday, May 7, 1986

North Conference Room

LL TO ORDER

Meeting #34 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:35pm in the North Conference Room by Greg MacCrone, Muir Senior Senator and Chair pro tem.

LL CALL

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Gregory Arnold	Robert Lewis	Deb Simon
Katherine Barnhisel	Gregory MacCrone	Hayley Sneiderman
Josh Beckman	Greg McCambridge	Sal Veas
Cara DeSio	Scott Pickett	Eric Weiss
Bill Eggers	John Riley	Marla Winitz
Paul Fogarty	Robert Rios	

Excused were: Barbara Higgins, Irene Sharkoff, and Dave Sheldon

NUTE OF SILENCE

To the A.S. Grove Cafe  
To Ramba  
To Eric's sister's wedding  
To Dave Marchick's birthday  
To Robert Rios' world record  
To the Winitz 30+ club

PROVAL OF  
MINUTES

The ASUCSD passed this motion with the following correction: under announcements, Peter said that it was "our" decision, meaning the A.S.'s decision to stop noon concerts, not the decision of the CEP.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

- A. Peter said that they are looking into the Master Plan of higher education. He said anyone who is interested in the Master Plan and the discussions surrounding it, should see him.
- B. Josh announced that the A.S. Grove Cafe would be opening soon. Thursday they are serving free coffee. It looks great, and Brad has done a good job.
- C. Mary Rose discussed the lecture that Dr. Guerovich presented. She said the turn-out was okay, thanks to the fact that she passed out flyers in her Chinese class. She explained the transition process for the Council. Next week the current Council will conduct the first half of the meeting, meeting #35. Then the new Council will take over with meeting #1!!! There will be a separate agenda for each. She also announced that any new Council members present should see Sarah after the meeting to pick up their "A.S. Bibles".
- D. Robert Rios announced that the Third College Faculty/Student Interaction Committee is going to see a play in L.A., tickets are available in the Third Dean's Office.
- E. Deb announced that there are live shows in the Pub on



Thursdays.

- P. Hayley announced that Warren is having a block party on Friday. Also the D.G. Anchor splash is on Sat.
- G. Bob Lewis announced that the Student Center Board has made their space allocations for next year. They are in the process of developing a budget.
- H. Scott "G.Q." Picket said he had nothing to say (surprise!)
- I. Greg Hom announced that he is still looking for a Parliamentarian.

**UDENT INPUT**

None.

**UNCIL CAUCUS**

None

**PORTS**

The order of the reports was changed.

- A. Kevin Simon, the Parking and Transportation representative spoke. He said he has not yet attended a meeting because he was appointed after the most recent meeting, but he has met with another student representative and people in the administration. He said there will definitely be an increase in parking fees next year. The question is, why. The student representative said the the increase is needed to cover the costs of the structure that was built at the Medical Center. The administration told him that it is so they can set up a reserve because they need more capitol for long-term structures.

Torrey Pines is scheduled to be red-curbed a year from July.

They are planning future parking structures at Revelle and Muir, but before they are built they will build one at the over-flow parking lot at Third. They are also going to put more metered spaces around campus, they will be 2 hr. meters, not four hour meters. They are also considering making this a closed campus. Also, they are considering the question of night parking, and specified parking for commuter students and residents.

- B. Eric spoke about the Lobby Annex Survey that was conducted this year. He highlighted some of the interesting results of the survey. He said many people thought the question that was asked concerning divestment was biased. The interesting aspect is that half of the people that thought it was biased thought it was biased for divestment, and the other half thought it was biased against divestment. Many people were unaware of Student Affirmative Action. There is also a breakdown of political party membership which will be helpful for the Lobby when they are talking to legislators. The sampling that they did was of a considerably large group of students which is important when the survey is used for statistical reasons.
- C. Mary Rose spoke about the UCSA meeting she attended last weekend. She explained the purpose of UCSA to the new Council members that were present. She briefly went over the topics of discussion that the meeting covered. They discussed the Master Plan and divestment. The committee that was set up by the regents to examine companies that UC

invests in, UACIR, was discussed. The two student representatives on this committee have both boycotted the committee because it is ineffective. Many consider it a farse, especially because the regents have not upheld any of the committees recent recommendations. UCSA also discussed student wage cuts, and UCSA adopted a resolution concerning this. Berkeley is currently trying to set up a two-tiered student wage system, where new workers would be paid less money than workers that were already working the same job. Berkeley is trying to institute this in their libraries. The President of Riverside discovered that he has not been receiving 20 cents per hour per paycheck since the beginning of the year, an amount he was supposed to receive because of the raise that everyone gets in July. There is a definite need to educate the students on this issue. Standardized testing was another issue that was discussed. Many studies show that standardized testing is biased against lower income, especially minority, students. Santa Cruz has come up with a document and a resolution concerning this topic. The resolution says since it is discriminatory, the school should not use it as a requirement for entrance. They also want them to examine graduate entrance exams.

She also presented some preliminaryv results on the new multiple filing system. She talked about how many students each campus wanted and expected. UCSD and UCSB have over-enrolled--more people than expected have sent in their intent to register forms. UCSD is the highest with 350 more than expected. Berkeley and UCLA underenrolled, by 3,000 and 1,700 respectively. There is some talk that maybe UCSD will have to refer students to Berkeley. She added that it says something about the "big names" images of themselves, and where students want to go.

She mentioned attached documents on financial aid. She also discussed the Human Corps Bill, submitted to the assembly, by an assemblyman. It would require all students that receive any type of state subsidy (i.e. attend a public school, or private, but receive state funding), would have to work 1 hr a week in some type of public service. This is a big pool of people, and it would required them to give something back to the state.

She also discussed the student funded facilities document, which UCSA endorsed. Protocol for Peace happened, they are now waiting for the Nicaragua students to come up here, and they are having problems with visas. They will be in Sacramento on Tuesday.

She also discussed the resolution which would request that Chancellor's uphold student referenda regarding the school mascot. At UCSC, they voted to become the Banana Slugs, but the Chancellor would not uphold this vote. It is similar to the situation here with the Koala.

- D. Robert Rios discussed Ref Fee committee. They have made their final budget recommendations to Dr. Watson. University Events will receive and increase of \$20,000 for their budget--their requests were very valid. This would

enable them to by a floor covering for the Gym so concerts could be held there, among other things. Speech and Debate will receive money for a temporary coach and some transportation costs. The Reg Fee recommended that they try to get a department to sponsor them, because it is not really Reg Fees duty to fund them. The Recreation Dept. will receive money for an assistant director, and for student workers. The same is true for intercollegiate. Psychological and Counselling Services will receive money for a psychiatrist, as UCSD is the only UC not to have one on campus. Student Health Services will receive money for a vocational nurse and a gynocologist. The results of the referenda from the resent elections, if they are accepted by Reg Fee, would not go into effect until 1987-88.

EMS OF IMMEDIATE withdrawn

AD BUSINESS

- A. Reimbursement to Paul Fogarty for \$86.25 for travel to Sacramento, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance recommended \$86.25. Mary Rose motioned that the By-laws be suspended since this would be a reimbursement, which was done by a consensus vote with one abstention. Paul explained the episode of the accident that occurred on the way to the Legislative Conference. He said he had planned to fly up to Sacramento and the total airfare was \$130.00. However, while he was at LAX waiting for his flight, he was robbed while he was in the restroom. His per diem, and about \$20 of his own money was stolen. Travel will not reimburse him for the stolen money because he has no legitimate receipt for the stolen money. Out of the \$130, they would only refund him \$40.00. Mary Rose said he must pay for the stolen per diem even though it was not his money. Eric amended the item to state that the money would come from the Legislative Conference line-item, and if there was insufficient funds there, then it would come from External Unallocated. This amendment passed by consensus. The entire reimbursement passed by consensus.
- B. Allocation of \_\_\_\_\_ to MEChA, BSU, APSA, KASA, and CSA for graduations, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB did not have quorum. Paul said he had been waiting for underwrites to come back in so the priority system could be fulfilled. However, Josie informed him that none of them would come in until late May, early June and that is too late for the organizations to plan anything. They need money now. So Paul worked out a compromise. Each org would get a total of \$527 which is enough to cover the basic costs--location, printing etc. He discussed CSA as an example. He added that there needs to be a stipulation that there must be a line-item budget request, and none of the money can be used for food. The wording was changed to say that it would be a reallocation of the underwrites that were originally given to the organizations. None of the orgs. would get an underwrite. The amendment passed by consensus, with 1 abstention. The total item passed by consensus with 1

**abstention.**

- C. La Jolla Student Federal Credit Union Resolution, submitted by Greg McCambridge. Rules found it Constitutional. Robert said he found the wording awkward. Mary Rose said the statement "pursuant to the preamble" would mean that it needs to be added to the Constitution, which the Council cannot do. Greg MacCrone said that he thought that just meant that the credit union should abide by the Constitution. Eric said that the resolution should mention graduate students. Rob Lewis said the statement "UCSD students" would obviously encompass graduate students, they are students. Eric said that was implicit, are alumni UCSD students? Rob said the statement regarding "past" UCSD students meant alumna. Marla said she did not understand the purpose of the resolution, it appeared that they were putting the responsibility of the credit union on the Council, when they had already agreed that it would be separate from the Council and the Council would have nothing to do with them. Rob said there will be no connection, this is just an endorsement, a pat on the back. Eric tried to amend it to say undergrads and grads, past and present. The Council is not always cohesive with the GSA, and it would help if they mention that graduate students and undergraduates. Greg said the statement UCSD students was encompassing. Eric proposed his to be a formal amendment. The Council voted to call this amendment to question, and it failed, 6 in favor, 9 against, and 0 abstentions, so the amendment was not called to question. Rob again said that graduate students were already included and to mention them would be unnecessary. Hayley said the statement regarding past and present was vague, it could mean people that were students for two weeks and they could then be members. Mary Rose said that it should state that they meant alumni. Eric added alumni to his amendment. This amendment passed by consensus. Mary Rose formally amended the line containing pursuant to say "in accordance with. This amendment passed by consensus. The entire item passed by consensus

**BUSINESS**

- A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules.
- B. Resolution regarding Gays, Lesbians, and Bisexuals in the University of California, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Rules

**ENS**

- A. Allocation of \$172.00 for all college senior send-off on May 30, submitted by Sal Veas. Referred to Finance.
- B. Approval of graduation line-item budgets for APSA, CSA, BSU, KASA, and MEChA, submitted by Diane Ioki. Referred to SOFB.
- C. Motion to rescind \$\_\_\_\_\_ for Clip Art, submitted by Barb Higgins, Robert Rios, Marla Winitz, Josh Beckman, Greg MacCrone, Sal Veas, Peter Kim and Rob Lewis. Referred to Finance.

D. Impeachment of Mary Rose Alexander for conduct unbecoming to an A.S. President, submitted by Greg Arnold. Referred to Residential and Domestic Committee (!!!!)

Meeting #34 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:35pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Peter Kim	Deb Simon
Gregory Arnold	Robert Lewis	Hayley Sneiderman
Katherine Barnhisel	Gregory MacCrone	Sal Veas
Cara DeSio	Scott Pickett	Eric Weiss
Bill Eggers	John Riley	Marla Winitz
Paul Fogarty	Robert Rios	

Excused were: Barbara Higgins, Irene Sharkoff, Dave Sheldon.

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #35  
Wednesday, May 21, 1986  
North Conference Room

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE: a job well done by the out going Council (contrary to popular belief)
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #34. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
  - A. Peter Kim: The Master Plan
  - B. Mary Rose Alexander: meeting with Dr. Watson
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules\_\_\_\_\_.  
Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. Resolution on Gays, Lesbians, and Bisexuals in the University of California, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Allocation of \$172.00 for all college senior send-off on May 30, submitted by Sal Veas. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Approval of graduation line-item budgets for APSA, CSA, BSU, KASA, and MEChA, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Motion to rescind \$\_\_\_\_\_ for Clip Art, submitted by Barb Higgins, Robert Rios, Marla Winitz, Josh Beckman, Greg MacCrone, Sal Veas, Peter Kim, & Rob Lewis. Finance\_\_\_\_\_. Action\_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

REPORT

by Mary Kose Alexander

SUBJECT: Meeting with Dr. Watson, May 15, 1986 7:00 a.m.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

1. Possibility of raising fees next Fall
2. Student wages
3. Classroom situation for next year
4. Academic concerns of women students
  - A. lack of role models
  - B. faculty treatment
  - C. lack of mentoring
  - D. absence of women students
5. Noise on campus  
Dr. Watson agrees that the current policy is fine (i.e. amplified sound during lunch hour only)  
Feels that we should look into outside noise near the dormitories
6. Special Admission Procedure for next year
  - no more special admits
  - will accept those that are regularly admissable

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #35**  
Wednesday, May 21, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting #35 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:6:35p.m. in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications, and Chair.

**ROLL CALL**

Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	Robert Rios
Mary Anne Arabia	Barbara Higgins	Irene Sharkoff
Gregory Arnold	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Katherine Barnhisel	Robert Lewis	Deb Simon
Cara DeSio	Gregory MacCrone	Sal Veas
Bill Eggers	Greg McCambridge	Marla Winitz
Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley	

**MINUTE OF SILENCE**

The minute of silence was dedicated on the agenda, but due to the circumstances, new dedications were made.

To Dave the dog for man of the year  
To a Financial Controller  
To the elections  
To Eric Weiss' change to liberalism  
To Paul's birthday on Sunday  
To UCSD alumni

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The ASUCSD passed this motion with the following corrections: under announcements, Dr. Gourevich's name was misspelled. Also, on page 3, it should be noted that it was the A.S. President who noticed the difference in his pay. Also, on page 3 item D should read Reg Fee not Ref fee, and it should be noted that they had made budget recommendations, but the recommendations were not guaranteed.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- A. **Rob** announced that he is still willing to make University Center presentations around campus. Also the Student Center Board budget is done. The new University Center Board Charter was accepted, but has not yet been voted on.
- B. **Mary Rose** announced that some students had started a petition to be sent to the Chancellor. She read the petition, which stated that the signers backed the A.S. elections as fair, and that the Chancellor should ignore the petitions being sent to him by another group, who wants to demolish the A.S. as the form of government, and return to the student cooperative union. She requested that Council members sign it, new and old, and that they pass it around. She said it was time that the A.S. begin to play hardball in regards to this matter. She also announced that the Alternative Housing proposal is ready to be sent to the Chancellor.
- C. **Robert Rios** announced that \$5 bonds for the March for Justice are available at the Third College Dean's office.



He also announced that he had received an Injunction notice from the Judicial Board preventing him from officially reading the names of the new Council members into the minutes until violations that are pending have been heard. He said that he decided to uphold the injunction.

- D. Barb announced that the poster for the Undergraduate Arts Festival is out. There will be lots happening so people should get involved.

#### STUDENT INPUT

- A. Michael Chavez came before the Council to represent Voz Fronteriza. He said he wanted to emphasize the facts - that the results of the last two referenda questions support information that the Voz has maintained all along. He said the duties of the Council as representatives are clear - they must repeal the Fahlbusch proposal.
- B. Joe Wainio discussed a conversation that he had with Michael Fahlbusch when Michael said he would be the first to repeal the Fahlbusch proposal. He said that the Fahlbusch proposal failed by an overwhelming vote. Students want the old method of allocating funds. He requested that Michael motion to repeal the proposal. He appealed to Bill Eggers and John Riley to do the same.

#### COUNCIL CAUCUS

None

#### REPORTS

- A. Peter spoke to the Council regarding the Master Plan of Higher Education. He said while some people at UCSD were spending all of their time of the past elections, some very serious things were going on. He explained what the Master Plan is and some background on it. It was done in 1960, and it set up a hierarchy of higher education in California. It put the UCs on top, CSUs second and community colleges last. It decreed that the UCs would get the top 12% of high school seniors, the State system would get 30%, and the rest would have the option of attending community colleges.
- This plan is currently being reviewed. He said he was in Sacramento and heard some of the testimony that was done before the committee which was set up by the Governor to review the Plan.
- Peter explained that the committee is reviewing the Plan, and they are looking at the value of education and of Bachelor degrees. They are trying to get as many bachelor degrees out in the world as possible.
- Many say the UCs have gone more and more towards research and concentrate much less on undergraduate education. The committee has hopped on the bandwagon with the community colleges and the State colleges who want to get more quality students. One idea is that they will eliminate undergraduate education from the UCs. This could happen four or five years down the line, UCSD could become only a graduate school, and no one will be around to argue about A.S. elections, they won't matter.
- Peter said he heard eight testimonies, including one by President Gardner, and one by the Superintendent of the community college system, who said that the UCs are only research oriented.

Peter turned the floor over to Michelle Hopkins who was with him in Sacramento. She said the state legislature wants to review the Master Plan because they are looking at the year 2000. She said they figure the state will no longer be run by a minority of white people, but that the state will consist of a lot of minority groups.

Time was extended for five minutes by a consensus vote.

Michelle continued: she said there are two fears: underrepresented groups (minorities) are not getting college degrees right now, and there is a need to get these groups educated. There is a fear that society will become two-tiered with whites and asians getting an education, and all other groups at the bottom with no education.

She said that a representative of UC students, Chris Cabalden testified. He discussed four areas of concern: admissions; the use of standardized tests, which many feel are biased; increased student fees which can discriminate against lower income students; and he discussed the quality of education. He said that the General Education requirements are incoherent, and that there is a lack of advising. Students need to have more contact with faculty in their first and second years. He also discussed concerns about teaching quality vs. research.

President Gardner has set up a commission to look at lower division courses in the UC. Also a representative of the ASB from State spoke before the committee. They discussed the role of undergraduate education in the CSU system. They discussed the quality of teaching in the State system, mentioning that student/faculty interaction is high. The CSUs do not want to become more "UC-like"

There was more discussion of the use of standardized tests, some suggested using diagnostic tests instead.

Peter also talked about Protocol for Peace. He said the Nicaraguan students are in the US and he attended a press conference with the students that participated.

Time was extended for 3 minutes.

He said that they are in the negotiation processes right now, and they will come out with a declaration-which will suggest something to the effect that the US should not be putting money toward the contras. If Nicaragua is left alone, things would be resolved. Peter said after speaking with a Senator, that it appears that a majority of them believe that the US should get out of Nicaragua, that the money could be better spent.

B. **Mary Rose** discussed her "basic 7 am meeting with Dr. Watson".

She said that she asked him if a commission could be set up to look at student wages on campus, to look at if and when they might be changed. He was in favor of this proposal, and took it to the appropriate Vice Chancellor. Watson was told the commission cannot be set up because staff would want the same thing. Mary Rose noted that staff have a union to negotiate their wages.

Robert Rios asked what was meant by possibly raising fees, what type of fees might be raised. Mary Rose explained that last year the legislature passed a bill that would enable them to raise fees, and last year, it was not abided by. Gardner has not recommended that fees be raised, and the Governor's budget

does not recommend that they be raised, but the Legislature will raise them because of the bill.

Mary Rose also explained what is happening with special admit students. She said because UCSD has overenrolled, as she explained last week, Watson said that no more special admits will be let in this year, they will stop at this point, and Watson said that at this point that would only affect 5-6 students, and they have let in as many as EOP recommended, many have already been admitted. Peter noted that there is a meeting on Affirmative Action on May 30 and he will get all of the statistics at that time.

Mary Rose also discussed the concerns of women students that Watson has been working on. He has talked to the Women's Resource Center, Panhellenic, Mary Rose and Marie Steckbauer. The Women's Resource Center has requested that a full-time staff person be hired. The various groups have voiced academic concerns to Dr. Watson, and the students will go before CEP to present these concerns.

- C. **John Riley** spoke on a meeting he attended about intercollegiate sports and recreation on this campus. He said it was a commission to review Intercollegiate sports and recreation. There were a number of issues raised including Reg Fee funding of Intercollegiate sports. The idea of fundraising for intercollegiates was brought up, because budgets are limited and other schools have tried this. Mary Ruth Warner said that the entire intercollegiate women's volleyball team is in her dorms and she had observed lots of rallying behind them in the dorms. She suggested that maybe each college could take on 1 or 2 teams and then more people would rally behind them. Jack Huggs spoke on facility usage. Muir field needs to be renovated, and the new field by the Supercomputer is ready. He also discussed the new rec facility targetted for that area. One concern is that during freshman orientation and welcome week, intercollegiate athletics are not emphasized. No one talks about spectators at the event. John brought up the referenda results concerning athletics to the committee, especially the funding increase. He also talked about change to Division I, and many agreed with staying in Division III. Next year there will be a basketball tournament with MIT, Chicago U. UCSD and Berkeley--to be called the "Brain Tournament".

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION      withdrawn

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the Administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found this Constitutional, but the item was postponed one week because the results are not yet official.
- B. Resolution on Gays, Lesbians, and Bisexuals in the University of California, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules found it Constitutional. Mary Rose explained that there is discrimination of individuals on the basis of their sexual orientation. This resolution was adopted by the UCSA. A

similar resolution was passed two years ago. This one specifically calls for a review committee. The resolution passed by consensus.

- C. Allocation of \$172 for all college senior send-off on May 30, submitted by Sal Veas. Finance recommended \$172.00. Sal explained that the quad council had planned this, but they have no money. Each quad council has each donated what they could, and they need \$172. Coca Cola is donating the soda. The party will be June 30 at noon on the Revelle Plaza. The item passed by consensus.
- D. Approval of graduation line-item budgets for APSA, CSA, BSU, KASA and MEChA, submitted by Diane Ioki. SOFB did not meet. Paul explained that the line-items for each of the orgs add up to more than the \$527 that was allocated to them last week. BSU's was 5.25 over, and a couple of others were over by one dollar. Some of this is because costs of services such as AV have changed and it is not the fault of the organization. The item was amended to add that a total of \$7.25 be allocated to the organizations' total allocated last week. The money is to come from General Unallocated. The total allocations to the organizations are as follows: \$532.25 to BSU, \$528 - KASA, \$525 - CSA, \$527 - MEChA, \$528 to APSA. This amendment passed by consensus with 1 abstention. The entire item passed by consensus with one abstention.
- E. Motion to rescind \$382.59 for Clip Art, submitted by Barb Higgins, Robert Rios, Marla Winitz, Josh Beckman, Greg MacCrone, Sal Veas, Peter Kim and Rob Lewis. Finance recommended \$382.59. Paul explained that Finance recommended rescinding the allocation because it is not appropriate for this Council to pay for something that the previous Council decided to buy. Greg MacCrone voiced the same concern and said that it was something that was railroaded through by the last Council. Robert Rios added that it is an important fiscal point that this allocation was passed by the last Council, but required that this Council pay for it. This sets dangerous precedent. There was a motion to call the item to question and it failed. Greg MacCrone said it is important that all views on the issue be heard, but that the payment would set a dangerous precedent. Mary Rose asked if the money had already been spent. The answer was affirmative, and she added that it could not be rescinded if so. There was a motion for a roll call vote, which passed by a vote of 14 in favor, and 1 against. The roll call vote was taken and the motion to rescind the money passed by consensus with one abstention.

#### NEW BUSINESS

None

#### OPENS

- A. Resignation of Robert Rios as Third College Senior Senator, submitted by Robert Rios. Robert motioned that this item be IOIC. He explained that he no longer has the time to serve on the Council or Third College Council. He did not expect his term to last this long, and he needs to graduate. The Council voted to make the item IOIC by consensus. The entire item passed by a vote of 16 in favor, 2 against and 1 abstention.
- B. A.S. Budget 1986-87, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred

to Finance. It should be noted that Mary Rose explained she was submitting this in case the new Council could not take office by next week. A budget must be submitted 9th week.

- C. Reimbursement to Diane Ioki of Jill Lifschiz's salary for Spring quarter, pending Judicial Board review, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Referred to Finance and Judicial.
- D. Appointment of Kim Fisher as Associate Director of Federal Affairs of Lobby Annex; appointment of Jill Marchick as Executive Director of Lobby Annex; appointment of Lisa Volovick as Associate Director of Affirmative Action; appointment of Daniel Martin as Associate Director of State Affairs, submitted by Greg Arnold and Eric Weiss. Referred to Personnel.
- E. Repeal of Fahlbusch proposal, submitted by Rob Lewis. Referred to Rules and Media.
- F. Resignation of Greg MacCrone as Personnel Chair, submitted by Greg MacCrone. Referred to Rules.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #35 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:42pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Mary Anne Arabia	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Gregory Arnold	Gregory MacCrone	Deb Simon
Katherine Barnhisel	Greg McCambridge	Hayley Sneiderman
Cara DeSio	John Riley	Sal Veas
Bill Eggers	Robert Rios	Eric Weiss
Michael Fahlbusch	Irene Sharkoff	Marla Winitz
Paul Fogarty		

ASUCSD COUNCIL  
Meeting #36  
Wednesday, May 28, 1986  
North Conference Room

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. MINUTE OF SILENCE
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, Meeting #35. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- V. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- VI. STUDENT INPUT
- VII. COUNCIL CAUCUS
- VIII. REPORTS
- IX. ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION
- X. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - B. A.S. Budget 1986-87, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance \_\_\_\_\_  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - C. Reimbursement to Diane Ioki of Jill Lifschiz's salary for Spring quarter pending Judicial Board Review, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance \_\_\_\_\_.  
Judicial Board \_\_\_\_\_. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - D. Appointment of Kim Fisher as Associate Director of Federal Affairs of Lobby Annex; appointment of Jill Marchick as Executive Director of Lobby Annex; appointment of Lisa Volovick as Associate Director of Affirmative Action; appointment of Daniel Martin as Associate Director of State Affairs, submitted by Eric Weiss and Greg Arnold. Personnel \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - E. Repeal of Fahlbusch proposal, submitted by Rob Lewis. Rules \_\_\_\_\_  
Media \_\_\_\_\_. Action \_\_\_\_\_.
  - F. Resignation of Greg MacGrone as Personnel Chair. Rules \_\_\_\_\_.  
Action \_\_\_\_\_.
- XI. NEW BUSINESS
- XII. OPENS
- XIII. ADJOURNMENT/ROLL CALL

**ASUCSD COUNCIL MINUTES**  
**Meeting #36**  
Wednesday, May 28, 1986  
North Conference Room

**CALL TO ORDER** Meeting #36 of the ASUCSD Council was called to order at 6:31p.m. in the North Conference Room by Michael Fahlbusch, Commissioner of Communications, and Chair.

**ROLL CALL** Present for the first roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Paul Fogarty	Irene Sharkoff
Gregory Arnold	Barbara Higgins	Dave Sheldon
Josh Beckman	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Cara DeSio	Robert Lewis	Deb Simon
Bill Eggers	Gregory MacCrone	Sal Veas
Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley	Marla Winitz

Excused was: Mary Anne Arabia

**MINUTE OF SILENCE** To another convincing show of support today, on the plaza, for for the Undergraduate Arts Festival  
To the Judicial Board.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES** The ASUCSD passed this motion without any corrections.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

A. **Robert Rios** read the official results of the A.S. Spring elections as stipulated by the Elections Bylaws: John Riley, President, Greg Hom, V.P. Admin., Eric Weiss, V.P. External, Ira Rubenstein, V.P. Financial, Michelle Hopkins Commissioner of Academic Affairs, Bill Eggers Com. of Communications, Joel Schachne Com. of Programming, Katherine Barnhisel, Com. of Public Relations, Dave Marchick Com. of Operations and Services, Rob Lewis Com.-University Center Board, Craig Gomberg Revelle Sr. Senator, Danny Hom Revelle Jr. Senator, Luis Ramirez Revelle So. Senator, Gregory MacCrone Muir Sr. Senator, Deborah Goodfried Muir Jr. Senator, Russ Heilig Muir So. Senator, Alina Kulikowski Third Sr. Senator, Larry Gilbert Third Jr. Senator, Johnny Paterson Third So. Senator, Dave Friend Warren Sr. Senator, Dave Manheim Warren Jr. Senator, Adam Torem Warren So. Senator. Referenda results, as they are tabulated on the vote tally sheets, are available from the A.S. Secretary. All these results are certified as official and valid.

Robert then said that the Judicial Board found him guilty of violating a clause in the Constitution, due to a technical error. Therefore, he resigned from office.

B. **Deb** introduced Lisa Hatch as the new freshman senator from Revelle.

C. **Robert Baizer** Judicial Board Chair, announced that the Judicial Board was going to remove Robert Rios from office for violating the Constitution, but it would now be a moot point. He presented Robert with the letter stating that he had to step down.

- He also announced that since the present Council served an extra week, they needed to fill out evaluation forms. They are due Friday, May 30 by 12:00 noon in order to receive a pay check.
- D. **Josh** announced that the TGIO would be June 6. "X" will be featured.
  - E. **Rob** announced that the Directors Search for the University Center is underway, interviews are being conducted. Anyone interested in participating should look at the time sheet in their boxes.
  - F. **Paul** announced that there would be a band in the Pub on Thurs. from 5-6.
  - G. **Greg MacCrone** announced that the chalkboard from the Senior Senators office is missing, and he would like to know where it is.
  - H. **Dave** announced that applications for Muir appointment to the Student Center Board are due.
  - I. **Mary Rose** announced that most of the interviews that Rob discussed were being conducted at noon, she and Rob were the only A.S. representatives participating, and more people should get involved, as it will affect the A.S.
  - J. **Mike** presented Mary Rose with her award from the last supper.

#### STUDENT INPUT

- A. **Joe Wainio** spoke to the Council regarding the "Fahlbusch Bill". He said he was speaking as an individual, not as a representative of the New Indicator. He said last week the Council postponed sending the results of the referenda to the administration because they were not considered official. These referenda are the only evidence that the Council has of a broad cross-section of students' opinions. Over 50% of the students favored the "old" method, the Fahlbusch way only received 25%, and the Council cannot ignore these results. By saying that the results are not official showed that Mary Rose is "bent" on not funding the alternative media as they should be funded, and no one on the Council offered dissent to this action. He said if the Council did not take action, then the A.S. would lose any semblance of respect that they hold, if there is any at all. If this Council does not respond, he added that he hopes that the next Council will. He said that he had suggested that they do something and no one did, so they should do something this week.
- Rina** from ASIO, came before the Council to discuss cuts in their budget. She said she would like to thank those responsible for granting them their new Minority Affairs Director. She said there is still a need for other items though. One of their typewriters was cut. Their office staff has increased a great deal this year, and it would be next to impossible for the whole staff to function with just one typewriter. She is open to any suggestions on alternatives for obtaining another typewriter.
- Byron Morton** came before the Council to discuss the concept of Student Input. He said he likes the idea of Student Input, but he finds it awkward that students are not given time on specific topics when they are up for discussion. He suggested that it would be wise, at some point in the future for the Council to discuss allowing student input time on specific issues. He said that they need to have student input on specific topics. He also said that the A.S. should take more of a stand on South



Africa. He said that the Council should do and act they way a majority of students have backed. He suggested that they allocated money for shanties, and speakers on South Africa, which the A.S. could sponsor.

COUNCIL CAUCUS           None

REPORTS

ITEMS OF IMMEDIATE   None  
CONSIDERATION

OLD BUSINESS

- A. Acceptance of referenda results to be forwarded to the Administration, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Rules recommendation stood from last week. Mary Rose explained that it is not the job of this Council to act on the referenda questions, they were not elected under them. However, it is necessary to accept them as valid and forward them on behalf of next year's Council, on behalf of John. This item **passed** by consensus.
- B. A.S. budget 1986-87, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. **Withdrawn.**
- C. Reimbursement to Diane Ioki of Jill Lifschiz's salary for Spring quarter pending Judicial Board review, submitted by Mary Rose Alexander. Finance recommended \$423.15. Judicial approved. Mary Rose explained that Diane had been acting in Jill's capacity all quarter. Originally, they only expected the appointment to last two weeks until elections, however, she continued in the job for 9 weeks. If Mary Rose had known that it would turn out as such, she would have recommended that Diane be paid from the beginning. The money being paid is the Vice President's salary for a quarter. It was already allocated in the Executive Budget, it is just being redistributed. The Bylaws were suspended by consensus, to reimburse the salary. The motion **passed** by consensus.
- D. Appointment of Kim Fisher as Associate Director of Federal Affairs, Jill Marchick as Executive Director, Lisa Volovick as Associate Director of Affirmative Action, and Daniel Martin as Associate Director of State Affairs for the Lobby Annex, submitted by Eric Weiss and Greg Arnold. Personnel did not meet, the item was moved from committee by a vote of 14 in favor, 2 opposed and no abstentions. Greg said he felt all of the candidates were qualified. Jill Marchick explained her goals as Executive Director. The motion **passed** by consensus with 1 abstention.
- E. Repeal of the Fahlbusch Proposal, submitted by Rob Lewis. Greg Arnold objected to the consideration of this question, so there was no discussion, and the Council voted to consider the question by roll call vote, 9 in favor 7 against. 2/3 was needed to prevent discussion. The item was still on the agenda. Media and Rules did not meet. There was a vote to pull the item from committee which failed 8 in favor and 9 against. The item is **still in committee.**
- F. Resignation of Greg MacCrone as Personnel Chair, submitted by Greg MacCrone. The item was withdrawn.

NEW BUSINESS

None

OPENS

- A. Allocation of \$100 for speaker on South Africa, submitted by John Riley. John explained that the item needed to be IOIC because the event is June 1st. John turned the floor over to Monti Rosen, on whose behalf he had submitted the item. Monti apologized for asking for money at such a late date, but they just received confirmation that the speaker could attend. The item was made IOIC by consensus with 1 opposed. Mary Rose said that it is against the Financial Bylaws to support an overtly political event of any kind. Paul said that the money would have to come from General Unallocated. Mary Rose asked what the policy of SOFB has been in this type of situation. Diane said that the handbook says that money cannot be allocated if the organization is supporting a political candidate running for office. There was question whether the Coalition for a Free South Africa is a register student organization, and it was determined that they are not. Diane said if the organization is charging an entrance fee, then the money could only be allocated in the form of an underwrite. Monti said he could understand that the Council might have problems funding partisan politics, however, it is a perspective that should be available to students. The \$100 would not go to the African National Congress, it is an honorarium for the speaker. Money raised at the event would go to the ANC. The money could be allocated either to the Che or the Progressive Student Alliance. The A.S. should allocated money for a speaker that is educational. The money that is being charged is in the form of a donation. Anyone who wants to attend the event but does not have the money will be let in. The flyers state that it is a \$2 donation. Mary Rose explained that there cannot be anyone at the door collecting money, there could only be something like a box. No one could request that people contribute money. Greg Arnold said that he objected to the item coming before the Council at the last minute, it is inappropriate. He said said that the money would be supporting the cause. He said the students at UCSB have shown support for divestment and against the South African government. However, even if the event is underwritten or "whatever" students have never shown support for the ANC. If the A.S. gives money it means that the A.S. is supporting the ANC, which he recommended against. Deb said the Council gives money for the political film series and this event is no more political than the series. It would be no more of a political statement to allocate money for this than it was to give money for Jesse Jackson, a political candidate. The motion to allocate \$100 to the Progressive Student Alliance, **passed** by consensus, with 1 opposed.
- B. Resolution on Navajo Indian Tribe relocation submitted by Irene Sharkoff. Irene explained that the item must be IOIC because this is the last meeting of the Council. The item was made IOIC by consensus. Irene explained that the relocation is set to begin July 6. These indians have lived in the region for 100 years. The government is not considering their lives. She discussed the governments reasoning behind its actions. Eric

said that this matter was first handled by the Third College Student Council. He said that he had been pursuing the subject on their behalf. He was looking into both sides of the issue. There is a potential for oppression. Eric said that TCSC concluded that it is a very complicated subject, and that they did not have enough information to make a decision one way or the other. TCSC had hoped that Eric could bring a resolution to the A.S. asking to educate the students on both sides of the issue and let them decide. Eric said that Irene's resolution was a big surprise to him. Paul said that the relocation is supposed to begin July 6, when was TCSC going to take action? Eric said that there is a potential for a lot of problems with the relocation. History shows that these things should not be rushed. Mary Rose asked what good the resolution was, it should be sent to someone. Irene said she had hoped that the A.S. would pass it and provide more information to the students on the subject, along with sending it to the Indian Council. Mary Rose amended the last paragraph to say that the Council would research the problem and disseminate information to the student body. Paul said it was obvious that time was of the essence, there is no time to try to disseminate information. Either the Council should vote for it or vote it down, there is no time for the Council to research the subject. Greg MacCrone said that the amendment was good, but it was necessary for the Council to take a stand. Resolutions are partially symbolic, but they do have substance. He recommended that the Council accept the amendment. Eric said he would like to clarify the amendment to say that the Lobby Annex would disseminate the information. It is impossible and improbable to collect and disseminate information so quickly. At least the Lobby could put something in the Guardian. He said that they would encourage people to take a stand. Mary Rose accepted this as a friendly amendment. The amendment passed 9 in favor, 6 against. There was a motion to call the resolution to question, which failed. Greg MacCrone said that the amendment was good, but it diluted the resolution some. There should be another amendment. There was a motion for a 5 minute recess which failed, 5 in favor 10 against. Josh said that he was in the area where the indians presently live, it is ridiculous to try to move them out. If action is not taken, it will just get filed. The Council must act. Marla asked who would take care of it if the Lobby didn't. Greg MacCrone said the Lobby should investigate, but the A.S. needs to show support. Eric was asked if TCSC took any action at all, did they refer it to anyone? The answer was no. Mary Rose said she is the one who suggested changing it in the first place. She has read about the issue in the papers, but unless everyone else has read about it and knows what is going on, they should not vote. If Irene wants to organize people to help get the information out that would be fine. If the Council does know what is going on, then they should go ahead and vote. Josh amended the item to read similar to its original form. Greg MacCrone asked if there shouldn't be something in it regarding an investigation. Mary Rose made a friendly amendment to state such. The amendment passed 12 in favor 1 against, and 1 abstention. The entire resolution passed

by consensus. It was noted that the Council never voted to rescind Mary Rose's original amendment. The Council did this by consensus. The item **passed** as amended.

- D. Motion to override the President's veto on Clip Art, submitted by Josh Beckman. The item was made IOIC by consensus with 1 opposed. Josh said that it should be the last act of the Council. It is overwhelming consensus that the money should not have been allocated. The President's decision to veto was dictatorial and secretive. The item was called to question by 12 approving 2 against and 2 abstentions. The item **passed** by roll call vote: 10 in favor, 3 against.

ADJOURNMENT/  
ROLL CALL

Meeting #36 of the ASUCSD Council was adjourned at 7:50pm. Present for the final roll call were:

Mary Rose Alexander	Michael Fahlbusch	John Riley
Gregory Arnold	Paul Fogarty	Dave Sheldon
Katherine Barnhisel	Peter Kim	Gina Silva
Josh Beckman	Robert Lewis	Hayley Sneiderman
Bill Eggers	Gregory MacCrone	Eric Wiess
		Marla Winitz