

4 II

IEGO

to the Southern California I the Spreckelses became sole ov tant contract under which it n water supply. Its storage dawas extended to the city rescommenced in the summer of

The San Diego Water Con and in 1894 the Consolidated ater Company. Later, ompany has an importing the city with its entire reno and its pipe line he delivery of water

ncorporated in 1889, pany was formed for



C. S. ALVERSON

To whom the public and the government is largely indebted for exact knowledge concerning the water resources of the western slope of San Diego County, which he has studied for twenty years

the purpose of uniting the San Diego Water Company and the San Diego Flume Company under one ownership. The Consolidated acquired by exchange of securities all the stock and bonds of both the water and the flume company. On July 21, 1901, the system of distribution within the city limits became the property of the municipality, a bond issue of \$600,000 having been voted for its acquisition. The city obtained its supply from the pumping plant in Mission Valley until August, 1906, when its



Mount Cecarte Land and Mater Co.

SAN DIEGO CAL, ELLY 4 18.95

Dear Sir. Will it be convenient for you to su me this efternoon for a few moments or evening name some hour Tourons. Mant to suryon in a name to your note of the 6th which I do not writty under the this munger calls please thegraph me can if the Hotel when you do ne sive it what time sill be convenient rolling forms broky & Sabout6 Towed Grant.

HARRY L. TITUS.

GIBSON & TITUO,

Law Offices,

San Diego, California, Seby 9 1/95 2 mm. [1895]

Dear Mr Grant. Since seining you and Sudge Outerbangh information that seems reliable, has come to me that leads me to think no matter how sincere, I earnestly you may ask the Council to act on Monday might in favor of one or the other of the two propositions that other interest, after your withdrawal will take up the proposition and contine the apposition and as I have always told you it would rigin the united efforts of your friends and mice to carry either proposition I ask as a favor agreed from between in the abey ance intil you your brother to can have a conference Monday efter his miral & Lack now for you to - Though name an hour when I can went you both Monday. I say in confidence that it is reported you will not be allowed to decide absolutely to han the question decided one way or the other Monday on I ful as if the THE Treart enemies have proteinely killed it of Mison you have not been a party to it knowing 4- him I not for an interview with you opon brother as early a preside Estabust

Feby 11 = 195 Muhrstending that your broken - Jusie is due on the 12 to Om hair today I I write to ask whether you still profer me to call up at Noon or wait until Respectfully etc To U.S. Grant

U.S.Grant, Esq., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Sir:-

The Wayer Question has reached a cruciall stage, as I said to you it would, and nothing is likely to be done. Acting on your statements made previous and in the council Chamber when they took your propose ition under advisement, that you omly wanted an opportunity to withdraw your proposition if the Council would only express themselves, I to make it exactly equal purposely made a proposition following yours word for word, and had an informal vote taken which stood nine for you, and sixteen for Tecarte. I then expected to hear a withdrawal from you as promised, but was disapointed to note from a purpoted interview with your brother, a decidedly opposite intention.

I cannot conclude as appearances would indicate and therefore before coming to a conclusion write this note for any explanation which might throw lighton the subject. As long as we are both before the public I doubt if either will succeed and the Public will be the suffered, and having spent many thousands and much time, feel that dilatory tactics should not prevail, and it is notorious that four of the men who voted in favor of your proposition would vote against it and any other proposition, were it likely to succeed.

Respectfully Yours,

Elithont

U.S.Grant, Esq., San Diego, Calif.

My dear Sir:-

Your favor left at the Mt. Tecarte office only reached me late yesterday after-noon, and I have not had time to look up the matter to try and convince you that you are wrong in the position taken, or at least that I was warranted in forming the conclusions that I have come to

If you will remember meeting me on the street when I handed you the letter O.K.d by your brother you said that you agreed with him fully and would be willing to go to the Council and say to them that they must vote and end the matter, that you didnot propose to be used as a club to defeat the Tecarte proposition. When in the house in the presence of your wifethe substance of this was repeated and you said that you had begun to think that some members of the Trustees were using you for the purpose of delay and that you would not put up with it. On the day that you went to the coty Council when you sat next to me you told me that you were going there and were going to have it ended one way or the other, and that if a vote showed that a majority of the Houses was for ourselves then you would withdraw yours. When Mr. Brandt and the other manipulators, after knowing your intentions so manipulated it that they had the question taken under advisement so as not to express an opinion one way or the other. You then leaned over and told me that you did not think it would be the proper thing to say anything or make any remarks on account of the position they had put the matter in, and I agreed with you though I said it had been done intentionally to prevent you from expressing your self.

I certainly had the impression that as soon as you found out that a majority of the Houses were in favor of the Tecarte proposition that you would not allow yourselves to be used to delat action in favor of our preposition. Unfortunately your proposition from the very first has been used in that way, against your wishes I think, to the serious disadvantage of the Tecarte Company. When which I am just starter

On my return from a shoot I think that I can show you conclusively that I was warranted in all of the conclusions that I have though you may not have meant to give me the impression as strongly as I have it.

I will write you again on the subject and quote from correspondence and conversations in support of my belief.

Very Respectfully Yours,

E.S. Babcocky

HOTEL DEL CORONADO

E. S. BABCOCK, MARAGER

CORONADO, CALIF. 2-28-95 18

U.S.Grant, Esq., San Diego, Calif.

My dear Sir:-

I have just retured from my shoot and notice from the papers that the gan Luis Rey has been withdrawn, but at such a time and in such a manner, for which I do not blame you, as to indefinitely post-pone the water question and probably defeat any proposition, but as the least said is the soonest mended, I will not refer to the subject again.

Yours Truly,

Estabeoch

Ed Fletcher Papers

1870-1955

MSS.81

Box: 72 Folder: 19

Writings and Interviews - Water History - Papers assembled for history from U.S. Grant, Jr. (for Grant photo see "Writings: Autobiograph) - Correspondence of U.S. Grant, Jr - Babcock, E.S., to Grant



Copyright: UC Regents

Use: This work is available from the UC San Diego Libraries. This digital copy of the work is intended to support research, teaching, and private study.

Constraints: This work is protected by the U.S. Copyright Law (Title 17, U.S.C.). Use of this work beyond that allowed by "fair use" requires written permission of the UC Regents. Permission may be obtained from the UC SanDiego Libraries department having custody of the work (http://libraries.ucsd.edu/collections/mscl/). Responsibility for obtaining permissions and any use and distribution of this work rests exclusively with the user and not the UC San Diego Libraries.