

CIA Researcher to Head Graduate Studies and Research...

Declining Research Funding Leads To Attiyeh Appointment

In the wake of the appointment of CIA researcher Richard Attiyeh to the position of Dean of Graduate Studies and Research—and his subsequent confirmation by the Board of Regents—much important information has come to light.

The university, over the last two years, has been losing considerable ground in research funding. Most of UCSD's major sources of research funding have actually decreased the amount of money they are now spending at UCSD for research. This trend, which began with the 1980-81 academic year, is continuing according to our sources.

Administration sources reveal that this was the motive behind the University's "resignation" of former OGSR dean Rotenberg, and Attiyeh's appointment. Attiyeh is expected by these sources to push for major increases in military-related research, and for an easing up of university regulations which serve to discourage (to a minor extent) such research. Attiyeh's job description seems to confirm these reports. Inside this issue we discuss the decline in research support, its extent, and its implications.

We have also learned that Attiyeh is publically committed to the dismantling of public-sector education, and has called for the creation of profit-driven, market oriented institutions in their place.

In this article we look at the question of how Attiyeh's background will affect

the performance of his job, his attempt to conceal his CIA ties from the University community, his sympathies towards the CIA (which he has called "a legitimate agency of the U.S. government"), and other questions. In

Inside this issue:

Argentina, Palestine, Letters, Co-ops, A.S. and much, much more

earlier issues (Disorientation Manual, and Vol. 7, #s 15 and 16) we have discussed the research carried out by Attiyeh and the Institute for Policy Analysis (IPA) for the Central Intelligence Agency, and the campus opposition to Attiyeh's appointment. Other questions remain.

Just how extensive are UC-CIA ties? To what extent is the University willing to abandon its pretensions to academic neutrality in order to secure funding from agencies like the CIA and the so-called Defense Department (DoD)? What concrete steps will the University take to reverse the decline in research funding here, and how will these impact on other aspects of the University (especially education)? Attiyeh's appointment makes these and similar questions especially crucial, and our investigation will continue through the summer.

CIA ties concealed on application

The fact that Attiyeh concealed his CIA ties from the Search Committee which selected him (by not reporting them on his application form) is continued on page 5

Students Hurt By Administration Foul-Up...

Behind the EE/CS Crisis

UCSD students are picking up their Fall class lists today, and some of them are in for a nasty shock. 139 students who tried to register for EE/CS 170A are going to be on a waiting list instead of in a lecture seat—almost exactly twice as many students as got into the class. Many other EE/CS classes are also closed, with large numbers of students shunted onto waiting lists. Students expect a repeat of last Fall's debacle, when hundreds of students lined up in the fourth floor hall of AP&M during add week, hoping to get their cards stamped.

The problem underlying the overcrowding is a lack of teaching personnel and resources in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science department. There is a shortage of professors to teach the courses, and there aren't really enough laboratory facilities for all the students either. The EE/CS Department attempted three weeks ago to implement severe restrictions on registrations for upper-division Computer Science classes, which would have eased the crunch at registration but would also have left many students hanging, unable to get the classes they needed to continue their major programs. However, the Committee on Educational Policy of the Academic Senate, finding that the Department had given students too little warning of the new regimen, voided the "Red Poster" policy (as it was known), and ordered the Department not to interfere with registration until next year, when lower-division students will have been warned in advance.

Origins of the Crisis

The problem began in 1977. The number of upper division students in the Applied Physics and Information Science Department (now EE/CS) was around 200, the teaching load was ok,

and there was plenty of room in the labs. Nobody had any idea that the department was about to grow wildly, and thus nobody pushed for expansion of the faculty.

But in 1978 there were about 300 upper division students, and things started to cramp up. The Department asked for some more faculty positions, and started thinking about more lab space.

The student load was similar in 1979, about 300. Things weren't so bad in the upper division, nothing a few more teachers couldn't take care of. But there were twice as many freshpeople declaring EE/CS majors that year, and the big increases had come in the Computer Engineering and Computer Science majors. And it was proving difficult to hire new faculty. A few courses were overcrowded, but juniors could still always get the class in the next year.

1980 saw upper-division strength reach almost 400, a 100% increase in three years. The number of freshpeople went up again, by 25%. There was a 60 percent increase in Computer Science freshpeople. Two faculty positions went unfilled, as PdDs went to industry rather than academic positions. The labs were quite crowded, and students were beginning to murmur. Students began to have trouble getting the classes that they wanted, finding them full. No action had been taken to restrict enrollment in EE/CS majors before, but the EE/CS Department could see the writing on the wall, and tried to make all the students apply for their EE/CS major or minor.

It was too late. In 1981, the number of upper-division students reached 554, and the line in front of the EE/CS Department undergraduate office at Add time reached all the way down the

continued on page 6



82,000 people filled the Pasadena Rose Bowl on June 6th to show their support for Peace with Justice and Global Nuclear Disarmament. The "Peace Sunday" rally was the kick-off to "seven days in June," a week of similar events throughout the world focussing attention on the U.N. Special Session on Disarmament (for information on local activities see "Massive Protests Planned..." below), where the question of international disarmament will be argued.

photo by: Byron Morton

San Diego Targetted for several 20 Megaton Bombs...

Over 2,000 Attend Local Symposium on Nuclear War

Over 2,000 people took part in a symposium on "The Medical Consequences of Nuclear War: Rx for Prevention" here on Saturday, June 5 at the San Diego Civic Center. The day-long educational event was sponsored by Physicians for Social Responsibility and endorsed by several U.C. Schools of Medicine and the SDSU School of Public Health.

Perhaps, the most poignant talk of the day was entitled the "Acute Medical Problems of a Nuclear Attack on San Diego" presented by Jack Geiger, M.D., which dealt with the direct and indirect effects of a one-megaton nuclear air burst over Balboa Park.

He noted that a one-megaton explosion, equivalent to 80 times the size of the Hiroshima blast, would totally flatten the downtown area, "equally distributing everything," vaporizing the inhabitants and hurling people through the air as far away as five miles from "ground zero", the blast site. All hospitals, save the V.A. (which would no longer be functional), would be

devastated; most San Diego medical personnel would be killed. Transportation and communication would be virtually impossible. Outlying conventional fall-out shelters would become crematoriums due to firestorms fanned by blast-induced winds ranging from 100 mph over six miles from the blast site to 700 mph within 1.5 miles from the center.

San Diego, however, would not receive a single one-megaton weapon—rather, it is thought to be the target of from three to seven 20-megaton nuclear weapons.

Another speaker, Admiral Thomas Davies, Retired, expressed the view that nuclear "explosives" should not even be considered "weapons" due to their lack of utility. Their "excessive destructive power" renders them useless for warfare; furthermore, he noted that they are not a credible threat for deterrence in that no nation would actually consider global suicide. (On the contrary, the U.S. openly maintains "first strike" capability.) continued on page 3

Unemployment climbs past 9.5%...

Massive Protests Planned For Summer

Unemployment is edging past 9.5% as summer approaches, and the popular protests of spring will continue unabated as the Reagan budget is debated in Congress. On June 12 the largest demonstration in the history of the US disarmament movement will happen in New York city in support of the second UN special session on disarmament, followed by mass civil disobedience on June 14.

In San Diego, the Peace Resource Center and Peace and Justice Coalition will sponsor a WALK FOR ECONOMIC CONVERSION beginning at 10am from the Pacific Highway General Dynamics/Convair plant. The walk will follow a cruise missile mock-up up Laurel Street to 6th and Laurel, where more people will join for a walk around Balboa Park, to arrive at noon at the park UN Association Building for a BREAD NOT BOMBS PICNIC. The BREAD NOT BOMBS PICNIC will feature food, speakers, music and a symbolic conversion of the cruise missile

mock-up. And on Sunday, June 13th at 7pm at Golden Hills Community Center, the Organizing Committee for the Federation for Progress will hold a program of speakers entitled "The Impact of Nuclear Disarmament."

The probable failure of ERA to achieve the necessary states for ratification will be met, in San Diego, by protests on Wednesday, June 30 at the Community Concourse. To be held at 5pm, this "Commemoration of the ERA" is sponsored by NOW (National Organization of Women), CISPEs (Committee In Solidarity with the People of El Salvador) and the Committee in Solidarity with Nicaragua will sponsor a Central American Cultural Pena with speakers and music on Monday, July 19. And Community Energy Action Network (CEAN) will commemorate Hiroshima Day on Friday, August 6.

Word is out that Edward Meese III continued on page 7

UCSD Co-operatives, the Draft and "The Whole Damn Pie Shop"...

Three weeks ago, the New Indicator Collective was informed that the Food Co-op had decided to discontinue the benefits exchange which existed between us and the Food Co-op.

Originally an agreement was reached between all of UCSD's co-ops and collectives—including the n.i.—to work together in order to make this network stronger through mutual solidarity and the exchange of benefits. After this latest development, the only co-ops which continue the agreement with the New Indicator Collective are the General Store and Groundwork Books.

The basis agreement was that the N.I. Collective would allocate a certain amount of space to the co-ops (to be used for advertising, and printing articles submitted by the co-ops about their activities and philosophy), and that the co-ops would extend member prices to Collective members (and to each other). In addition, the N.I. Collective was asked to send a representative to the Student Center Board's Co-ops and Enterprises Subcommittee (as were two other organizations); those representatives were ousted last Fall through bureaucratic maneuvering.

To become more clear on the motives behind the Food Co-op's actions, some members of the N.I. Collective attended the Food Co-op meeting held Monday, May 24th. During a short discussion held on the problem, two points seemed to emerge as the reasons for the action. First was the belief by some co-op members that the *new indicator* is not a suitable vehicle for their advertisements and articles (few of which have been

submitted recently); and second that we were "not cooperative" with the co-ops and didn't see ourselves as a part of them. In addition, some members expressed differences with our politics, asserting that we alienated members who were Democrats and Republicans.

To place this action in perspective, we will attempt to give an overview of what a co-op movement is, what its goals should be, and how those goals can best be accomplished.

The capitalist society in which all of us live is based on individualism. Throughout people's lives—from the day we are born—we are brainwashed to believe that it is only natural for each person to fight only for ourselves. The implications of this are readily seen; the majority of people are exploited by the owners of the means of production. Clearly lacking under capitalist society is the element of equality. Although all work is done by the workers, decision-making and control rests in the hands of a very few.

There are various forms through which people fighting for change/abolition of this system can become organized and struggle. The co-operative movement is one of these. Co-ops are a mechanism in which people act together in mutual solidarity, in which those who work make the decisions and share the profits (or reduce the costs of necessary items).

While the progressive movement is under attack, the need for unity and mutual support is all the more urgent.

Systems such as the Inter-Coop Benefit System are set up to help bring different sectors closer to each other, and to encourage mutual solidarity. The notion that the mutual benefit system is created in order for the parties to reap direct economic benefits (or profits) is a result of the capitalist mentality implanted into our minds during many years of socialization, and a notion which must be struggled against. Such cooperation is primarily to strengthen the movement as a whole against the forces of reaction.

Thus, the collective views the recent action of the food co-op as a defeat of the progressive elements in this co-op by this society's mentality. We believe that had the co-op's members considered the true objectives of the movement, they would not have decided to break ties with the New Indicator Collective because of profit-centered reasons. Noting the behaviour of the Food Co-op in this respect—which follows an earlier decision of similar nature by the Che Cafe Collective—we will continue to run the co-op ads.

The New Indicator Collective has a long-standing policy of making advertising space available to progressive organizations either for free or at reduced rates (according to need), insofar as our resources allow. This is a part of our commitment to mutual solidarity with the entire progressive movement, and a result of our repudiation of the profit system. Thus we will continue to give our critical support to the co-ops—and seek to expand our listing of co-ops to include those in the surrounding community. And ultimately, we hope that the members of the Food Co-op will look back critically at this recent action, and their other mistakes, and strive for a change of direction. Only through uniting on a principled level, and struggling together, can we end exploitation and oppression.

Most observers expect the government to begin prosecutions of draft resisters this summer. Faced with massive nonregistration, Selective Service desperately needs prosecutions in order to help them coerce young men into registration, and ultimately the draft.

Everyone should watch out for notices of prosecution, in order to organize protests, support groups, etc. Contact CARD, the N.L.G. (Military Law Panel) 233-1701, or the *new indicator* 452-2016, in order to get involved, get information on protests, etc.

It is especially important that people be prepared now, because according to the latest information, SSS has completed cross-checking the 100 or so names it has for compliance with the extended deadline for registration. Names will be turned over to local FBI agencies in the near future; therefore we can expect notice of prosecutions soon.

If you get a warning letter from SSS or the Justice Department, contact draft counselors at 233-1701 or 452-4450 (in San Diego) as soon as possible.

It is critically important that we continue fighting to stop the draft, continue encouraging people to refuse to register, and mobilize to counter the upcoming prosecutions.

The New Indicator Collective wishes to extend congratulations and solidarity to the Borderlands Education Committee on the occasion of their first issue of "The Whole Damn Pie Shop." The journal is designed to serve as an open organ of discussion for San Diego's progressive activists. We feel this is a type of community media project sorely needed here. The first issue is dedicated to the San Diego Peace Movement. Copies are available from: B.E.C./P.O. Box 7904/San Diego, Calif., 92107, or at Groundwork Books.

continued from page 1

Nuclear War...

The role of weapons production in the U.S. economy was discussed by Lloyd Dumas, although somewhat inadequately. He approached military spending as being "inherently unproductive" and a source of unemployment, inflation and over-taxation. He failed to point out, however, that a profit-oriented society is based on economic and military expansion for its perpetuation. The production of nuclear (and conventional) weapons in the U.S. is inextricably related to both profit-hungry corporations and concomitant military strategy.

UCSD Professor of Neurosciences and symposium speaker, Robert Livingston, MD, was asked by the *new indicator* at a press conference to comment on the apparent contradiction of the University of California being, on the one hand, the primary research-developer of all nuclear warheads in the U.S. and, on the other hand, endorsing anti-nuclear symposia such as this one. He stated that contradictions are inherent in institutions, but added, "I think the (U.C.) Weapons Laboratories can be converted, and I think that it's likely that they will be." He acknowledged that it probably won't happen immediately. Livingston went on to note that faculty responsibility has "already had an impact on the Regents." He cited, as an example, the role of Physicians for Social Responsibility, which includes many U.C. faculty members, in taking initiative.

Other speakers included such notables as Senator Alan Cranston, Helen Caldicott, Jonas Salk and William Cheek, among others.

On the positive side, the Symposium succeeded in disseminating substantial information about the dangers and absurdities of nuclear "warfare," drawing an unprecedented number of professionals, providing motivation and considering possible outlets for action

(something not emphasized in past events of this nature).

On the negative side, many anti-Soviet statements were made by speakers such as Cranston, Herbert York, PhD and Paul Beeson, MD, which could further tension between the Super-powers. Furthermore, the conscious exclusion of any consideration of conventional warfare had the effect of acquiescing to its proliferation. Overall, there was a notable absence of women and non-white speakers in the make-up of the program. Complete lack of attention to the dynamics of the U.S. production system must also be criticized. But on the whole, this event was a great step forward for the San Diego peace movement.

Thurs. June 10th, take the message to the nuclear weapons industry's heart, Rockwell International (one of the largest world military contractors), 2230 E. Imperial Highway, El Segundo, CA. There will be a civil disobedience/legal vigil; participants must attend non-violence training. For more info: 997-9922.

Time For A New Disorientation..

The 1982 Disorientation Manual is now in its planning stage and we would appreciate your input on any aspect of the Manual. Readers are encouraged to submit materials (research papers, graphics, etc) for the Manual before July 7th. We would like to make the Manual a truly coalition effort as it is part of our ongoing attempt to develop a thorough critique of the University and its role in society, and to record the history of movements in opposition to that role.

For more information contact us at B-023, UCSD, La Jolla, CA, 92093, or call (714) 452-2016. We will continue to hold meetings Tuesdays at 6 pm in Room 209, UCSD Student Center.

Communications Finally Gains Departmental Status

The Academic Senate voted overwhelmingly in favor of granting UCSD's Communications Program departmental status May 25th. The resolution also called upon Communications to submit a proposal for a graduate program within three years.

The Academic Senate resolution is the first step towards department status. Now the Chancellor must make his decision (which is expected to be favorable), before the issue is sent to U.C. systemwide administration. Sources in the Communications Program expect final approval sometime next fall.

Departmental status has a number of implications for the program, originally established as part of Lumumba-Zapata College. As a department, Communications will be able to hire full-time faculty (currently it is obliged to make joint appointments with other departments), will have freer access to resources, and will be less vulnerable to attacks such as those which have threatened the program throughout its existence. Communications has survived up until recently only because of strong and militant student support, organized

primarily through the Communications Student Union.

Most Communications faculty and students are glad that the Academic Senate and the administration appear to be winding up their long campaign to smash the program, and expressed optimism for the future. Some, however, were critical of increasing bureaucracy in the program, and the decisions in recent years to water down the program's critical emphasis in order to minimize conflict with the administration.

ERRATUM:

In our last issue part of the article on the growing right wing offensive was omitted due to an error in lay-out. The missing section dealt with the Moral Majority, and specifically cited an article that appeared in Detroit's *Metro Times* (April 29-May 13, 1982, p 1) which points out that the Moral Majority increased its combined income by nearly 16 million dollars last year, with revenues continuing to grow.

We regret any confusion this omission may have caused.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

CAMPUS:

New Indicator Collective, Student Center, UCSD, 542-2016
Tuesday, June 8, 6pm: UCSD Student Center, Rm 209: **New Indicator Collective** meeting. To discuss current issue and plan disorientation manual. Volunteers welcome.

Tuesday, June 15, 6pm: UCSD Student Center, Rm 209: **New Indicator Collective** meeting. To discuss disorientation manual. Volunteers welcome.

Groundwork Books Collective, Student Center, UCSD, 452-9625
Friday, June 11 (& every 2nd Friday of month), 4:30 to 6:30pm: **TGIF**. Lively conversations on how to smash imperialism. Refreshments.
Friday, June 25 (& every 4th Friday of month), 4:30 to 6:30pm: **Work Party**. Hard work and more conversation. No experience necessary. Come meet the Groundwork Collective people.

COMMUNITY:

The **National Lawyers Guild** will host two student interns this summer, who will develop draft counseling materials for use in minority communities, and materials to train draft counselors and activists in the special problems facing Black and Chicano draftees. The interns will be working from mid-June through August, for subsistence, and the Guild hopes to find free or very inexpensive housing for them. If you need a house-sitter over the summer, or have some spare room, and would like to help this project, please call the Guild at 233-1701

Sunday, June 13, 7pm: **Golden Hills Community Center, \$2: The Impact of Nuclear Disarmament**. Speaker Ziola Serpes, Dee Contreras, Ozzie Venzor and Makeda Cheatom. Sponsored by the Organizing Committee for the Federation for Progress.

Friday, June 18-Sunday, June 20: c/o **Berkeley Resistance/ASUC Berkeley Draft Counseling Center, 300-c Eshleman Hall, Berkeley, CA, 94720, (415) 642-0165, 548-1719. National Resistance Gathering** for nonregistrants, induction refusers and resistance activists and supporters.

For **Grass Roots Cultural Center events**, call 232-5009, or come by 1947 30th Street at Grape Street in Golden Hill.

Stop the U.S. War in El Salvador!
Money for Jobs and Human Needs, Not for War and the Pentagon

PICKET
MEESE AND WEINBERGER
Thursday, August 12
Vacation Village Grand Ballroom
Time and Site To Be Announced

Community Protest: Edward Meese III and Caspar Weinberger will be speaking before the San Diego Coalition on August 12 at the Vacation Village Grand Ballroom. Bring signs with demands and slogans reflecting your organization's protests.

Peace and Justice Coalition of San Diego County
459-4650

SUMMER PROTEST CALENDAR PROTEST AND SURVIVE

Saturday, June 12

WALK FOR ECONOMIC CONVERSION

10am, General Dynamics/Convair Plant

Walk will follow mock-up of cruise missile up Laurel Street to 6th and Laurel, where more people will be picked up for a walk around Balboa Park.

BREAD NOT BOMBS PICNIC

12 noon, UN Association Building, Balboa Park

Picnic begins when Walk for Economic Conversion arrives. Food, speakers, music and a symbolic conversion of cruise missile mockup. WALK FOR ECONOMIC CONVERSION and BREAD NOT BOMBS PICNIC sponsored by Peace Resource Center (265-0730) and Peace and Justice Coalition (459-4650).

Monday, June 21

BLOCKADE AND DEMONSTRATION AT LIVERMORE NUCLEAR WEAPONS LABS

Wednesday, June 30

E.R.A. COMMEMORATION

5pm, Community Concourse

Sponsored by N.O.W. to protest failure to ratify ERA.

Monday, July 19

ANNIVERSARY OF SANDINISTA REVOLUTION

Central American cultural events sponsored by Committee In Solidarity with the People of El Salvador and Committee in Solidarity with Nicaragua.

Friday, August 6

HIROSHIMA DAY

Commemorative events sponsored by Community Energy Action Network.

Thursday, August 12

PICKET MEESE AND WEINBERGER

Vacation Village Grand Ballroom

Community protest called by Peace and Justice Coalition.

Sunday, September 19

SOLIDARITY DAY

Possible events sponsored by San Diego Labor/Community Coalition.

the new indicator

is officially recognized as a campus newspaper. The views do not represent those of the Communications Board, the Chancellor or the Regents.

the new indicator is a collectively produced university-community newspaper, distributed to San Diego County college campuses and selected community action/human services organizations and other sites.

articles and letters are welcomed. Please type them double-spaced, on a 55-space line and send to: new indicator collective/UCSD B-023/La

Jolla, CA 92093. Ph: (714) 452-2016

the new indicator is a member of the Alternative Press Syndicate (APS).

copy which is printed without a byline may be assumed to represent the position of the New Indicator Collective. contributors and workers: kevin, jon, monty, lee, jori, paul, mike, robin, kyr, casey, coll, barry, nancy, reggie, lisa, daniel, mark, paul, greg, susan, antone, iraj, pam, karen, marcos, leti, karl, steve, shirhan, randall, mary-lynn, byron, robin, megan, penny, javie, rick, david, vic, victor, beth, charles, charlie, & shirley. thanx a lot.

Letters

N.I. Coverage of San Diego Left Criticized by FFP, GrassRoots

To the New Indicator Collective:

The San Diego Organizing Committee for the Federation for Progress must formally protest the coverage of the FFP in the 4/13-26 issue and, most recently, in the article "San Diego Protests Draft Board Training," continued as "Sectorialism on the Left" in the 5/11-23 issue of your paper. While the first article is not derogatory, it does contain gross misrepresentations of the program, goals and actions of the FFP nationally, as well as omitting any mention of a local chapter. The second article, however, contains nothing but base, self-serving lies, written to discredit the FFP nationally and locally, as well as other groups such as the CWP. In effect, it is a conscious smear tactic to block the building of a strong, broad FFP chapter in San Diego, so that a small, elite minority of individuals can maintain hegemony over the peace movement.

The Federation For Progress is a young organization, which already has established 40 organizing committees in 23 different states. It was structured as an on-going, multi-issue "federation," rather than "coalition" to insure the maximum input from its local chapters. In other words, each local organizing committee has a different character, different focuses of activity, and is free to determine its own local agenda. Nationally, we are united around the principles of active opposition to the policies of Reagan and big business, and around the slogans of Jobs or Income, Peace, and Equality. Our national program and calendar of events will not be finalized until our National Organizers Convention in July, at which all FFP members are invited to attend and democratically decide our annual goals.

To compare the National FFP to the local Peace Coalition or to the Peace and Justice Coalition, as "Kyr Dylan" does, is to confuse an elephant with an ant. This is not a put-down of those groups, but rather that they are limited by their local character. The FFP has already established itself as a national force, with a grassroots, progressive network bigger and broader than any local-oriented group. The 3000 militant Afro-Americans, Haitians, and other black protesters at African Liberation Day, an event co-sponsored by the FFP, in Oakland on May 25th attests to that. So does the May 27th protest in L.A., initiated by the FFP, at which 10,000 people from over 100 local groups, converged against Reaganism. And there have been, and will be, scores of other protests—from Nashville, to Newark, to Albuquerque, to Gary. This is the power of the FFP to nationally unite the broad majority against Reagan and his cohorts.

The Federation For Progress does not seek to be THE progressive group or to swallow up existing local organizations; instead it seeks to affiliate the existing streamlets of protest into an invincible sea. Because of its broad, national character, the diverse struggles of member groups and individuals, will nourish each other—with numbers, national networks, varied skills and constituencies. In this way, local struggles can be sustained and elevated, and a national consensus of the broad majority of Americans around a program for social change—opposed to Reagan's "solutions" of corporate tax breaks, unemployment, racist scapegoating, and increased militarism—can be developed.

For "Kyr Dylan," the coward who dared not sign his/her own article, the facts about the FFP are not important; nor, apparently, are the needs of the American people and our brothers and sisters throughout the world. At a time when all of us, especially minorities, women, the elderly and the unemployed, are suffering under an economic crisis which is deteriorating our standard of living, and Reagan's budget priorities that value war and destruction over human needs, it is imperative that all progressives, Communists and left-Democrats alike, achieve whatever unity we can around concrete principles and paths of action. The danger of fascism in this country is increasing daily, and it's not hyperbolic to say that the very existence of the world may depend on our ability to achieve this now in organizations such as FFP.

The "Kyr Dylan(s)", however, sublimate the interests of the majority to their own petty interests. The fact that the CWP is actively involved in the Federation is sufficient reason to trash it as a CWP "front" "to push its own agenda and program on the San Diego peace movement." This exemplifies Sectorialism. It is not sectarian for a group to be a hardworking participant in a coalition; if the group tries to subvert democracy for its own ends, then the accusation would be valid. But none of the groups involved have done that. Instead, you, "Kyr Dylan", are the sectarian one, because your subjectivity towards one group has clouded your perceptions towards the whole FFP.

The local FFP believes that it doesn't serve the progressive movement as a whole to continue mud-slinging around 6/12. For those interested, we'd be glad to relay the facts around the 6/12 struggle. What is important are the differences in actions and political program of the groups. We in the FFP feel that the political issues omitted by the P and J (*and Peace Resource Center*) event, such as Cut the Military Budget for Human Needs; U.S. Out of El Salvador; Stop Racist Scapegoating, are too critical to nuclear disarmament to not be addressed. That's why our 6/13 program, through such tremendous speakers as Ziola Serpes, aunt of Jose Duarte (former president of El Salvador), will deal concretely with the issues of peace and nuclear disarmament in a way that the P and J's "Walk for Conversion" and "Bread Not Bombs" picnic doesn't. And we welcome all of you to attend this event at 7 pm, 6/13, at the Golden Hills Community Center, and to check out the FFP meetings, which are open to everyone (for more info., call: 234-7020).

At the same time, however, the FFP is not only concerned with its own political program, but with the interests of the movement as a whole. That's why, after much soul-searching and discussion, we decided to reschedule our event to June 13 and to change its character, from a march and rally to a program of speakers, food and culture. We recognized that, despite our intentions to the contrary, two 6/12 events would objectively split the peace movement, and thus, hurt the progressive movement generally in San Diego. So we compromised for the sake of unity. This, "Kyr Dylan," is the antithesis of sectarianism, and a lesson you should study hard and well.

Rhonda Levine
Steering Committee
San Diego Organizing Committee
Federation For Progress

Dear friends,

We strongly object to your characterization of the Grass Roots Cultural Center in an article by Kyr Dylan on sectarianism in the May 11-23, 1982 issue of the *new indicator*. Briefly, in one careless sentence, Mr. Dylan ties our organization and Womancare to the Communist Workers Party and to aiding the establishment of the Federation for Progress which he claims is bent on disrupting the local peace movement.

First, as a community based, non-profit organization with a broad and open membership; we have no party ties, nor do we intend any. Second, we've heard from both Womancare (who was similarly mentioned) and the Organizing Committee for the Federation for Progress that they were never contacted regarding this article and that your facts are also wrong regarding their organizations. We leave it to them to respond themselves.

The Grass Roots Cultural Center was established to help build a broad, united front in San Diego's progressive movement—including the peace and anti-imperialist movements. Over the last months we have supported the growing disarmament efforts, and tried to build ties that will strengthen this movement. On April 21, 1982 the operations committee of Grass Roots distributed a call for united action on June 12 supporting disarmament with a broad political focus including economic and anti-racist demands. We continue to advocate a united action for disarmament on June 12

continued on page 6

womancare

A FEMINIST WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTER

424 Pennsylvania Avenue - San Diego, CA 92103

298-9352

- Pregnancy Screening
- Abortion — to 16 weeks
- Lesbian Well Woman
- Childbirth Information
- Self Help
- Fertility Awareness
- Birth Control

Speakers Bureau
THE CERVICAL CAP IS NOW AVAILABLE



AS Singles Out Progressive Groups for Budget Cuts

In their annual budgetary rite, the ASUCSD Council approved a highly uneven spending plan for the coming Academic year. Sums of unprecedented enormity were funneled into internal administration accounts leaving non-council student services with a substantially smaller share of the overall budget. One service singled out for the most belligerent attack was the Committee for World Democracy, whose highly successful political film series was ideologically attacked by many council members, and which was forced to take a budget cut for next year.

Council members also attacked alternative media. While claiming to support the concept of diversified student media, Public Relations Commissioner Marc Geiger, among others, blindly asserted that mainstream advertising should be sought in order to make these papers self-sufficient. Certain council members chose to ignore the obvious fact that mainstream advertising is precisely the cause of the grave logistical limitations on alternative media in this country. AS President Chu suggested that the AS should consider revamping alternative media, and issuing them as supplements to the UCSD Guardian. Newspapers such as *Voz Fronteriza*, *People's Voice*, *L'Chaim* and the *new indicator* may soon become victims of a council whose economic philosophy has shifted over the years from one of financial facilitation to that of a capitalist corporation.

Fortunately, the fiscal adroitness to pull this off is lacking. It took an in-house finance committee over eight hours to merge two opposing budgets

into a compromising contradiction; stipends for council members were eliminated, but countered by such expenditures as \$1,500 for promotion of intercollegiate athletics, several thousand for an extravagant public relations operation and a \$1,500 summer travel budget. Past executive abuses of such funding led Academic Affairs Commissioner Lee Anderson, in his alternative budget (put forth in opposition to AS President Henry Chu's), to radically reduce this frivolous provision.

In addition, certain elements on the Council are pushing for a Fall referendum on funding for the Committee for World Democracy, KSDT, alternative media, and the question of AS stipends. The proposed referendum question is not only deliberately organized to limit the possibilities for favorable response to less than subsistence levels, but studiously avoids subjecting budgets to conservative or 'nonpolitical' activities to referendum vote, and carefully excludes the outrageously high levels of funding allocated to AS administration as well. Of perhaps greatest concern, however, for student organizations on campus is the Council's total disregard for an unallocated reserve. The annual budget of 279,200 dollars has been completely earmarked, leaving less than \$6,000 for unforeseen expenses. In past years, it's not been uncommon for such a sum to be used during a single quarter. It is perhaps a mixed blessing that the A.S. has effectively crippled itself by deciding in 9 unbearable hours its financial priorities for the entire year.

FINANCIAL AID FOR 1982-83 REMEMBER JULY 1

This is a reminder to all financial aid applicants who want to be considered for financial aid for 1982-83. The deadline to complete your financial aid file to be considered for aid for all three quarters of 1982-83 is **July 1, 1982**. The Student Financial Aid Services Office will determine if your file is complete. It is your responsibility to respond promptly to any request for documents or information from your Student Financial Services Office. If your file is complete after July 1, 1982, you will be considered for Winter and Spring Quarters financial aid only; depending on the availability of funds, late applications may not be fully funded.

DO IT NOW

The SFS Staff suggest that you complete your file as soon as possible to be considered for Fall Quarter aid. Plan ahead and avoid the inevitable last minute rush.

All students, except those who are from very economically disadvantaged backgrounds, will be required to apply for a Guaranteed Student Loan (GSL) or work for an estimated \$1,000 for California residents and \$2,500 for non-california residents to supplement their costs in 1982-83. This "gap" will be part of the 82-83 award. The GSL requires a separate application. Guaranteed Student Loan applications for 1982-83 should be available in our office on June 1, 1982.

Collective Commentary

Palestine Liberation Organization supported...

Self-Determination for Palestinians

The following is another in our series of articles on the Palestinian issue by members of the *New Indicator* Collective.

The **new indicator** collective supports the struggle for Palestinian self-determination in general, and the PLO in particular. Some of our members do not support many of the PLO's positions, and the collective as a whole is also critical of the PLO. Nevertheless, the **new indicator** supports the PLO as part of our support for Palestinian self-determination.

We do not support Israel or Zionism. We do not support the reactionary Arab regimes that use the Palestinian issue to deflect protest, reform and revolution in their own countries. We do not support the Camp David accords, nor do we support the United States or the Soviet Union using the conflict to gain influence in the region.

The **new indicator** reaffirms the right of the oppressed to define their oppression, the solution to their oppression, and the means to realize that solution. Historically, Jews are an oppressed people almost everywhere in the world. Despite the Argentine/British war, the Jews of Argentina may face a holocaust similar to the Nazi genocide of six million Jews in the Second World War. In the United States, anti-semitism is on the rise, in the fundamentalist Moral Majority and the growing KKK/Nazi movement. We support Jewish self-determination and its corollary, self defense, in the face of increasing anti-semitism and imminent holocaust.

However, the **new indicator** realizes that one of the historical solutions to Jewish oppression—Zionism and the creation of a Jewish State in Palestine—has created its own contradictions. In trying to solve Jewish oppression by creating a purely Jewish State and attempting to gather all Jews into Israel, Zionism has placed a small portion of the Jewish people—Israelis by nationality—into the position of oppressor. Zionism has created, in Israel, a complex society suffering from class, racial and sexual oppression and existing in perpetual domination over and opposition to the Palestinian Arab.

Whether in the occupied West Bank and Gaza Strip, or in the surrounding Arab nations, Palestinian Arabs aspire to return to their homeland. The Palestinian Arabs are oppressed by Zionism and the State of Israel because these things not only prevent the Palestinians from achieving their aspirations but also exist in a continuous state of war against the Palestinian Arabs. On the West Bank and Gaza Palestinian Arabs are economically exploited as well.

Palestinian Arabs outnumber Israeli Jews regionally, and in a few generations, the Palestinian population of the West Bank and Gaza will outnumber the Israeli Jewish population. The oppressed have the right to define their oppression, the solution to their oppression, and the means to realize that solution. The Palestinian Arabs, through the PLO, have chosen to define Israeli colonialism

and imperialism as the source of their oppression, socialism and socialist revolution as the solution to their oppression, and national liberation as the means to achieve that solution.

The Palestinian Arabs do not see Israel as a solution to Jewish oppression; instead they see it as a colonial settler state of European origin backed by imperialism. And in their liberation war against Israel they indeed have negated the potential Israel had to be a solution to Jewish oppression. Jews as oppressors in Palestine are no safer than Jews as oppressed people in the rest of the world, and the Zionist ingathering of world Jewry has been a dismal failure.

In responding to the Palestinian struggle for self-determination, and the real threat posed by the numbers of Palestinians in the occupied territories now that Begin wants to make the part of historic Erez Israel, Zionism's left wing has revived the call for a two-state solution. The Palestinian Arabs will be allowed a state on the West Bank and Gaza, with a possible corridor between the two parcels, and Israel will retain a state along pre-1967 boundaries. The **new indicator** rejects this call as amounting to nothing more than a Zionist Bantustan proposal. The resources, land fertility and geographic potential of a West Bank/Gaza state cannot compare to those of an Israeli state, economic development of a West Bank/Gaza state undoubtedly would be controlled by Israeli capital, and Israel would use its security forces to keep a West Bank/Gaza state from "falling" under Jordanian or other "foreign" influences.

In turn, the **new indicator** has always favored a regional, federal solution for Palestine. Autonomous Jewish and Arab communities, practicing workers' self-management and agrarian communalism, achieve regional self-determination, in our rosiest picture, through a combination of mutual and parallel community, industrial and agrarian federations. However, we are also realists. In a world of nation-states, a solution must be sought that maximizes the potential for socialist self-determination while minimizing national chauvinism and capitalist hegemony. In a world of nation-states, the **new indicator** prefers the solution of a single, secular, democratic and socialist Palestinian state over the Zionist two-state solution.

The history of Zionist incursion into Palestine amply illustrates how Zionist nationalism has led to the degeneration of Israeli socialism, and history is one tool to be used to analyze the consequences of the Zionist two-state solution. The founding of a solid Zionist community in Palestine after the First World War, under British Mandatory auspices, was accomplished by Jews who were ardent socialists as well as ardent nationalists. They practiced extreme forms of communism and syndicalism, but Zionist socialism was always subordinated to Zionist nationalism. Zionist socialism was exclusive; the *kibbutzim* were exclusively Jewish as

continued on page 7

Graduating? Leaving Town?

Take the *New Indicator* with you

Subscriptions only \$7.00 per year

for eighteen issues PLUS Disorientation Manual

Send check or money order to: *New Indicator*, UCSD B-023, La Jolla 92093

continued from page 1

Declining Research Funding Leads to Attiyeh Appointment

interesting—primarily for what it tells us about the way he is likely to work—but not critical. Such breaches of professional "ethics" are hardly new at UCSD, and are always tolerated (if not encouraged) when they serve the interests of those who run the institution. And, as search committee chairperson Gabriel Jackson has stated, Attiyeh would have been appointed even if his application had listed his CIA ties, or his ongoing presidency of the Institute for Policy Analysis (the corporation through which the CIA research was channeled).

Jackson told the *UCSD Guardian* that he thought "it was a mistake of judgement for him not to put it on (the application), because wherever the CIA is involved people are going to ask questions... (but) I think if we had known all of those things, we wouldn't have made a different decision."

Attiyeh claims that his failure to list his CIA/IPA ties was innocent, stating that "I used to list it on my resume, but I don't anymore because it's been such a non-existent part of my life that it seems trivial."

However, the resume which Attiyeh has on file with the campus Public Information Office—which keeps such information on file for professors and administrators in order to distribute them to media upon request—prominently lists his IPA presidency as a "present position," along with his post as a UCSD professor. That resume, which Attiyeh updated in 1981, notes that he has been president of the Institute since 1972 (when it was established).

Thus, it is clear that Attiyeh consciously attempted to conceal his CIA ties, presumably to minimize the possibility of public controversy. Although the University is not reticent to maintain strong ties to such agencies, or to carry out research and other services for them, it does like to maintain such ties at a low profile as part of an ongoing campaign to maintain the myth of institutional neutrality. In this instance the attempt backfired.

Regental Approval

However, the University has plowed ahead. Attiyeh was unanimously approved by the Board of Regents at their meeting two weeks ago. Although the ASUCSD is officially on record as opposing the appointment, they did not make the futile effort of sending a representative to the meeting to oppose ratification. And student regent designate (and UCSD student) Linda Sabo failed to voice any opposition to the appointment as well. She has stated to a *New Indicator* Collective member that people were going after the "wrong person", and that Attiyeh was a comparatively little fish in the realm of cooperation with the CIA and military establishment.

It is undoubtedly true that the University harbors many individuals with much closer ties to the CIA and to the military complex. The 1981 Disorientation manual discusses some of these persons, their historical ties, and how they impact on UCSD's development. This, however, does not exonerate Attiyeh; nor does it eliminate the effects of his work for the CIA on people around the world against whom the CIA has used this, and similar, research.

Furthermore, even if Attiyeh had never worked for the CIA—and when pressed he does admit his ties—his support for the agency would still have serious implications for the university which would mandate that we fight his appointment.

Sweeping Powers

As Dean of Graduate Studies and Research (OGSR) Attiyeh has sweeping powers. He administers policies and requirements for graduate study; oversees affirmative action and the granting of degrees; assists faculty,

departments and others to get research and program grants; focuses interaction with industry; and works to foster a climate in which research can flourish through the initiation of policies to enhance research. In addition, Attiyeh will review and approve all contract and grant proposals on the general campus (all of UCSD except the medical school and SIO).

In carrying out these responsibilities, Attiyeh is in a position to dramatically reshape the University, should the administration allow him to do so and should he be interested in substantial change. However, his background indicates that he is more likely to continue upon UCSD's chosen path as a large, government research institution. And Attiyeh's job description indicates the Universities intention to intensify this concentration, as a part of an overall strategy to maintain UCSD's revenues and prestige, while government expenditures for education decline, by drawing in increasing levels of government research, and by increasing the relatively low levels of corporate research. Inevitably, given the declining levels of federal support for non-military research, this means that UCSD must move into heavier reliance upon research contracts from the mis-named Department of Defense (DoD). This has serious implications for the university and its future development. Departments which are unable or unwilling to draw in massive military-related research grants (such as literature) can expect to face budget cuts as the administration struggles to develop strengths in the areas of greatest interest to the Pentagon. Faculty salaries for professors not heavily involved in research can be expected to drop, as the University is forced to reallocate resources to compete with other major research institutions. And the University's historic reluctance to carry out classified research can be expected to be dropped.

As Dean of OSGR, Attiyeh will oversee these changes, and play a major role in the ongoing process of transformation. And this is where the real danger arises. Attiyeh's CIA ties are legitimate grounds for concern, not only because they link him to an agency which exists for the express purpose of international repression and covert actions, but also because they indicate certain things about his sympathies and about the type of activities he is likely to condone.

Not only do Attiyeh's pro-CIA leanings represent a real danger, but he has publicly advocated the dismantling of the public university system, calling for a profit-driven "market responsive" system to take its place (see box). Had the University community been allowed the opportunity to discuss his ties, and his institutional philosophy, it seems highly unlikely that he would have been able to mobilize any support (except from the most firmly committed reactionary elements).

The Future for UCSD

Attiyeh will not be permitted to impose his program of "market responsive" universities at UCSD; at least not in the near future. However, he is likely to take dramatic action to increase the quantity of research at UCSD. In fact, our sources report that former OGSR Dean Rotenberg was "retired" in response to the declining

Declining Research Support

(in millions of dollars)	1980-81	1979-80
Funding Agency	2,891	3,284
DOE	38,757	41,731
DHEW/HHS	12,229	13,687
DOD	9,460	9,647
NASA	42,958	39,700
NSF	5,914	7,044
Misc. Federal	9,874	9,029
Nonfederal	122,087	124,122

Dismantling the University

In an article published in the July, 1973 issue of *Minerva*, entitled "A new look at the Economics of Higher Education," Attiyeh argues for the elimination of public funding to universities; arguing that universities ought instead to become dependent upon "market forces." In that article, Attiyeh outlines three functions for the University: (1) Teaching (which he ties to increases in students' productivity and income as workers); (2) the reporting of students' attributes and educational attainments to students and prospective employers; and (3) Research.

Attiyeh's article then goes on to discuss the relative importance of these functions, and the way in which they interact with each other. At one point, by way of example, he notes the possibility "that educational output (i.e. learning) would be increased if students were not... graded, but elimination of such procedures might well be detrimental to the university's supply of information to the labor market." Attiyeh concludes that the lack of solid data as to what levels of services are needed by society in these areas complicates—and ultimately renders impossible—systematic planning and allocation of resources by those who run our universities.

Thus, in order to increase the "efficient" and "rational" allocation of resources within and to the university, Attiyeh calls for each university to organize "as a firm which hires resources to produce educational, informational and research services which it sells to interested buyers. Its aim is simply the maximization of social profit, which would be obtained when each of the components of output is produced to the point where the value (i.e. the market price) of an additional unit of output just equals the cost of producing it..."

Attiyeh continues, "The use of profit as a criterion would make it possible to judge the allocation of resources by means of a single measure." He argues that existing methods encourage such "inefficiencies" as small class sizes, and that under his proposed system unprofitable ("inefficient") universities would be driven out of the market.

In his article Attiyeh does not shy away

from the implications of his proposals. He notes that decisions such as which classes to offer would be made only on a cost/income basis under his proposal, and that universities would do just as much research—and just the type of research—that the government or others were willing to pay for.

He continues: "Significant reorganization within universities would have to be made so that internal decisions could be evaluated in accordance with their effects on profits... One scheme involves setting prices in accordance with the marginal cost of the educational services purchased by students. In a system wholly organized in the form of a market, this might involve charging a separate fee for each course taken, so that the total tuition fee paid by a student would depend on whether he purchased services which were more or less expensive to produce."

He goes on to advocate various ways to pursue these objectives on a short-term basis while moving to the "ideal" of a market-governed university. Attiyeh notes that his recommendations would result in substantially higher costs to students, currently subsidized through government allocations of funds to universities to support educational programs, as well as through (less significant) student aid programs. He argues that this could best be resolved by establishing a program of long-term loans to help students pay the dramatically increased tuition costs; and suggests—hesitantly—that if the market was not working to produce sufficient numbers of students in some fields, that the government could offer subsidies to help meet this (presumably) short-term need.

Attiyeh also concedes that research programs might suffer under his proposals, and again suggests the possibility of governmental intervention to fund important research programs that weren't surviving under the "free market."

copies of this article are available for inspection in the new indicator office. A copy of Minerva magazine is also available at Central library.

level of research here. This appears to be the primary rationale not only behind Rotenberg's replacement, but also behind the strong emphasis on research in the OSGR Dean job description.

Administrators have been shaken by the recent decline in research funding, which if not reversed could threaten UCSD's entire orientation. UCSD is firmly committed to being a top-ranked research institution, but recently has been unable to draw in the money.

Information obtained by the *New Indicator* Collective from the Contracts and Grants office shows a significant decline in research support to the university from most of the University's federal funding sources (see chart). Total incoming research dollars fell by over two million dollars from 1979-80 to the 1980-81 academic year. Only two agencies showed any increases in research funding to UCSD; the National Science Foundation, and the category "Nonfederal" (which accounts for a very small fraction of UCSD's research revenues). Given the massive budget cuts proposed for the NSF, the University can hardly expect continued growth from this sector.

Thus, the data indicates that not only did research dollars fall over the period, but that the two funding categories that resisted this trend are unlikely to be able to bolster UCSD's research-dependant budget. The decline in DOD research—while not unwelcome by many campus activists—appears to have particularly concerned the University; as the so-called Defense department is the only federal agency which is currently increasing its research expenditures. Our sources indicate that research revenues should show a continued decline (at best a very mild stagnation) for the 1981-82 academic year, although data for that period is not yet available.

Specifically, the NASA funds should plummet, according to our sources, along with a continued decline in DHEW/HHS and DOE funding. At best, the NSF is expected to hold steady, preparatory to a decline in coming years. Our sources do expect a slight increase in DoD research for the 1981-82 year, at least in part a result of an administration attempt to reverse declining research levels.

It appears that Rotenberg was "resigned" in response to this problem, and that Attiyeh was selected specifically to reverse this trend, which has aroused great concern among the administration. Because UCSD has defined itself as a research institution—almost to the exclusion of any other functions—this situation threatens the campus's very roots, its reason for existence.

Attiyeh is expected to push for dramatic increases in DoD research at UCSD, in order to stop the continuing decline in research revenues and give him time to establish a more diversified research base. Attiyeh declined to speak to our reporters at this time, however, explaining that he is still in a "learning stage," and that he would "prefer" to talk about his plans after he has taken office and has "more command of the details of the situation." Attiyeh will take office July 1st.

Perhaps in an attempt to suppress news of UCSD's ongoing decline as a research institution, the UCSD Contracts and Grants Office has ceased publication of their annual publication summarizing UCSD's research activities, and summarizing where research dollars are coming from and going to (as well as summarizing long-term trends). This publication was useful not only for its statistical summaries, but also because it provided descriptions of some of the campus's major research projects. The university's decision to cease publication of this booklet means

continued on page 8

continued from page 1

Behind the EE/CS Crisis...

hall to the fire stairs. Students trying to add EE/CS classes which they hadn't gotten into at pre-registration kept the hall filled for a week at the beginning of each quarter. There were four times as many freshpeople in the department as in 1977. The Committee on Educational Policy and Courses of the Academic Senate (CEP) had thrown out the prior year's attempt at restricting enrollment, and Department control of student entry to the major wasn't scheduled to begin until 1983. Besides the declared majors, the number of undeclared students planning on an EE/CS major was unknown.

The labs were too crowded. The Department was hiring temporary faculty to teach some courses. This did not always work out. One class was taught by a moonlighting NCR employee in the Fall who quit in the sixth week. EE/CS remained unable to fill its faculty positions.

The situation in the EE/CS Department is the result of a rapid increase in the number of students, coupled with a slow response to the circumstances. There is a problem of lead time necessary for faculty and facility enlargements. It takes one or two years from the time a need for new faculty or equipment is felt for a position to be funded or equipment approved. In addition, it is extremely difficult for the Department to hire new faculty. Industrial employment is so much more lucrative than academia that the university cannot hire new professors.

However, the EE/CS Department has not done all that it could to improve the lot of students. After 1980's abortive attempt at restricting the majors, there was no warning to students that this year would be one of crisis, with perhaps as

many as 650 students trying to take upper division courses. The attempt at implementation, one week before registration for 1982, with no warning, of new enrollment restraints has angered many students. There is a feeling that the Department should have made its plans known long in advance, especially since next year's crunch has been visible since at least last summer.

The Department may have as much as 30 percent temporary faculty teaching upper-division courses next year. If the State Legislature, which has not yet determined the University of California's budget for next year, takes a threatened 5 to 10 percent cut from the budget, EE/CS may even lose faculty. The acquisition, this summer, of two new large multi-user computers and the already approved expansion of lab facilities will improve the capacity of the undergraduate labs, but they will still be overcrowded. It may not matter to students who cannot get into their classes.

The only acceptable solution to the problem in the EE/CS Department is to devote increased resources to undergraduate education there. EE/CS students represent more than ten percent of the undergraduate population at UCSD, and they have a right to register for their required classes without having to enter a lottery through which only one in three can get in a class such as 170A. The CEP, in ruling on the "Red Poster" registration limits, promised to send its recommendation through channels that more money be devoted to EE/CS. The Department is asking for increased funding. The Administration should take note of the emergency in Computer Sciences, and devote the necessary effort to securing more backing for the Department and its students. However—due to the nature of this institution, and the Administration's priorities—it seems likely that the Administration will refuse to secure the necessary resources.

Letters...

with democratic input from concerned individuals and organizations resulting in a sophisticated political focus.

Grass Roots is a member of the San Diego Peace Coalition and the Solidarity Coalition. Concerning our relationship to the Organizing Committee for the Federation for Progress, we have attended a few meetings to observe its development (as we have attended many coalition meetings in San Diego) and the group has rented our space for two public meetings (as have many progressive organizations).

These are simple facts your writer would have learned by calling our public center during working hours. Irresponsibly, he chose not to. Rumor and innuendo are the stuff that feed sectarianism. We are straightforwardly critical and angry that such material was printed and distributed in the community. We expect this from adversaries, not from allies.

A full retraction is in order. For peace and Justice, Lynn Eldred representing the membership of Grass Roots

Collective response: Bylined articles in the new indicator reflect the opinions and views of the author, and not necessarily those of the New Indicator Collective. Because our members and contributors are students or have jobs in the real world, their desire for

anonymity is respected by the newspaper. Kyr Dylan replies to these letters:

"I stated, in the article cited, that the Communist Workers Party, through the Committee to Defend NASSCO Workers, is attempting to build a chapter of the Federation for Progress in town as a front to push their program and leadership onto the Peace Movement. I will not retract this statement. I further stated that Womancare and Grass Roots Cultural Center were participating in this effort, which was inaccurate.

"Whereas the L.A. Federation for Progress has some 100 member organizations, which can mobilize 10,000 people for a demonstration against Reagan, the local Federation for Progress has only 2 or 3 member groups. I will personally reply to these letters in detail, and for people interested in the facts about the local San Diego Federation for Progress as well as the June 12 event, these letters will be available through the new indicator. As for elephants and ants, the elephants of the world are either endangered species or in zoos, while ants are everywhere and ever multiplying."

The New Indicator Collective would like to stress that we in no way oppose working with the Federation for Progress, or any other progressive organization in San Diego. However, we do not support the naive popular frontism expressed in Rhonda Levine's letter. Critical differences too easily become submerged in the "invincible sea," to the detriment of grassroots organizing and real change.

"House Un-American Activities Committee is dead, but California Review is here!"

California Review Attacked, Boycotts Ensnue...

An Open Letter to the California Review
We find your attempt at right-wing, pseudo-satirical journalism to be tasteless and gutless in addition to its admitted elitist, racist, sexist and ageist viewpoint.

While you obviously think that a thin guise of humor will temper the ferocity of your remarks we find this rather feeble attempt at satire only emphasizes your already archaic attitudes. Your "President" and "Editress" founded the paper to preserve the "American Way." This questionable attempt at journalism certainly carries this out. You preserve the American way of blindness and ineptitude in dealing with the rest of the world.

We cannot call for you to cease publication. We, thankfully, do not have that right. But we can call on anyone who reads your periodical to leave your stacks of papers untouched upon publication. If your advertisers do not see any significant circulation they certainly (and hopefully) will discontinue their support. We also call upon all UCSD students and staff to boycott your advertisers: Conoco (an oil conglomerate), See Dory gift store, La Jolla Barbering and Styling, The Toggery Shop, Lilly Pulitzer Resortwear, and Coors (an oppressive outfit to begin with).

We are sending copies of this letter to the new indicator and to the UCSD Guardian. While we may not always agree with their stances on issues, we know that they believe in equal time.

—Jean Dangler & Dean J. Haas
Addendum: La Jolla Barbering and Styling has retracted and apologized for its support for the California Review.

New Indicator Collective:
I wish to offer my apology and deepest regret for not heeding the new indicator's advice regarding the California Review. Although I find the new indicator too Marxist for me to agree wholeheartedly with its stances on current issues, it attempts an honest critique of today's problems. The California Review presents nothing but biased trash. The paper admits to being elitist, but a better description of it is ignorant and narrow minded. I find its views on women and homosexuals especially offensive and refuse to read another issue. From its Ivy League correspondents to its endorsement by William Buckley, it is a paper of the extreme right and can only be taken seriously by

preppies and rightist reactionaries. How embarrassing that a school offering such high-quality education can produce a paper like the California Review. Anyone of reasonable intelligence and possessing a social conscience should boycott that paper.
—I wish to remain anonymous

Dear Editor:
Bravo! The California Review will put college satire back on the map. Their humor can offend everyone regardless of age, race or favorite "unnatural" sexual activity.

Sullivan and Crocker have provided us with "iconbusting, bawlingly staid, and wonderfully highbrow" humorous ridicule of their own political beliefs. And all this just because they lost their referendum election by a minor two to one margin. Such charitable acts demonstrate motives well beyond free market mechanisms.

We chuckled at their elitist claim of having "little to say." We roared at their royal review of the student run alternative food service. And their attempt to demonstrate the folly of the church of religious croquet players had us rolling the aisles. Such a well planned and well executed spoof of regressive thought—complete to the point of boring layouts and few articles over three paragraphs—deserves applause. Hurray for the resurrection of college humor. They deserve the Luxurious Fiats they drive across their masthead.

On the other hand, we feel sorry for Eric "shutterbug" Young who felt obligated to abbreviate his name as "E." Perhaps he thought his tasteless humor a shame to his Honor, Country and Mummie. But we can expect Mark "cheap thrills" Diamond to sign in full his "investigation" of 25¢ movies at San Diego's Pleasure Palace in CR's next issue.

And we hope to see the next issue from "Sullivan and Young, two American history fanatics" (read American as white, ruling class, European traditionalists.) We have no knowledge of Eric's or Lisa's personal lives or their reason for publishing, but if, by chance, their inadequate "natural" sexual relationship leads them to masturbate in the form of the California Rehash, then we encourage it. This parody is the start of a great periodical—soon to bring tears of laughter to students' eyes—just like the National Lampoon.

The Lesbian and Gay Organization at U.C.S.D.

Write for the new indicator

Send submissions to:
new indicator, UCSD, B-023, La Jolla, CA. 92093

Draft Counseling

If you are registered for the draft and don't know what 1-A, 1-A-O, 3-A, 4-F or 4-E means, YOU NEED A DRAFT COUNSELOR.

If you haven't registered and want more info on all your options YOU NEED A DRAFT COUNSELOR. If you can't decide what to do YOU NEED A DRAFT COUNSELOR.



Call 452-4450 to make an appointment for counseling

continued from page 4

Palestine...

was the *Histadrut* syndicalist labor union. Zionist exclusivity, combined with Zionist immigration and land policies, antagonized the Palestinian Arab population as early as 1919.

Continued antagonism and warfare between Palestinian Arab and Zionist Jew since then had disastrous effects for Zionist socialism. National interests became paramount in the face of Arab resistance. The Zionist community developed a quasi-state structure—the Jewish Agency—under the British Mandatory government which began usurping the functions formerly held by the *Histadrut* labor union. The left wing of Zionism, as exemplified by *HaShomer HaTzair* and *Brith Shalom*, moved away from a binational solution to the Palestinian question, in which Jew and Arab would share one state, to a bi-state solution, forerunner of the current two-state proposal.

Immigrants fleeing the approaching holocaust of Nazism diversified the Zionist community in Palestine, giving rise even to a fascist form of Zionism—the Revisionist Zionism of Jabotinsky and its paramilitary terrorist wing, the *Irgun Zvei Leumi*. After establishing the State of Israel, socialism continued to degenerate, helped along by a series of wars and the massive non-socialist Jewish immigrations after the Second World War. The government nationalized vast sectors of the economy from worker ownership and control, and then proceeded to permit capitalist incursions. Israel first aligned with France and England in an imperialist venture to retake the Suez Canal in 1956, and since 1967 Israel has closely aligned itself with the interests of American imperialism in the region. Israel's socialist options were severely limited when the left-wing MAPAM party fused with the centrist MAPAI party to form the current labor bloc, and the position of this labor bloc in Israeli politics has

continued to deteriorate. Today, the former commander of the *Irgun* during Israel's so-called "War of Liberation" and a firm champion of Milton Friedmann/Pinochet Chile-style laissez faire capitalism, Manachem Begin, heads a narrow right-wing majority in the government.

At first glance, the conflict between Zionist nationalism and the Palestinian nationalism it generated would seem to have forced Palestinian nationalism along socialist lines. After all, the PLO is an avowedly Marxist socialist organization. However, the history of Palestinian nationalism belies this observation. During the Second World War Palestinian nationalism flirted with Nazism through the notorious Mufti of Jerusalem, Husseini, who used the 1936-39 Palestinian civil war to assassinate Arab rivals. Palestinian nationalism finally arrived at socialism by first passing through the stage of Nassarism; a questionable, national-socialist ideology. So whether we consider the history of Zionist socialism or Palestinian socialism, the conclusion is inescapable. Nationalism, and the struggle between nationalism, leads to the degeneration of socialism.

The Zionist two-state solution would continue to pit Israeli Jewish nationalism against Palestinian Arab nationalism, to the detriment of Israeli and Palestinian socialism. The PLO secular, democratic and socialist Palestinian state solution, while by no means ideal, would limit such national chauvinism and allow for the development of a true, regional socialism. Jewish self-determination within such a state could take the form of cantonalism and community autonomy; for despite examples of failed binational states (Lebanon and Cyprus) there exist successful multi-national states (Yugoslavia and Switzerland) which operate through cantonal arrangements that guarantee self-determination through decentralization.

—Ken Underwood

Political Repression follows Protest vs. Colby...

"USD 3" Face Trial June 17

On June 17 at 8:45 in the Presiding Court of the Municipal Court (downtown), three proletarian internationalists and supporters of the RCP will be tried for their role at the Nov. 9, 1981 appearance of ex-CIA Director William Colby at University of San Diego (USD), San Diego's Catholic university. Lisa Johnson, Sheldon Scott and Doug Sollosy were arrested for contaminating the sterile environment of the USD campus where Colby had been invited to speak on the need for the U.S. to get off its Vietnam syndrome and get down to the "realities" of today's world. The "contamination" in question was to expose the fact that U.S. imperialism's crimes in Vietnam and Chile were not misadventures or foreign policy "bumbles" but part and parcel of their "expand or die" imperialist system. Even the San Diego Police, when they arrived to take custody of the three, remarked: "You guys are against William Colby? He's for national security, and I'm all for that." Out come the handcuffs.

protecting the "privacy of their students," even though there is every reason to believe that the same witness was actually intimidated to remain silent by them. Presiding Municipal Court Judge Johns even refused to enforce an already granted discovery motion to give the defense access to all pertinent witnesses. These are not the actions of a ruling class which is confident of the loyalty of its subjects, but rather reflects their fear of exposure at a time when there system is bursting with contradictions. This is exactly what the defense will be in court. Political repression in this "democratic" bastion of imperialism is always carried out in the name of criminal law and that is why it must be exposed. Come and take part in that exposure, attend the trial.

—Red Ryter

continued from page 1

Summer Protests...

and Caspar Weinberger will be speaking to the San Diego Coalition (the big business/big labor, pro nukes/pro growth coalition) on Thursday, August 12 at the Vacation Village Grand Ballroom, and the Peace and Justice Coalition has called for a community protest/picket. Coalition-wise, the San Diego Peace Coalition is slowly getting organized, as is a Labor/Community Action Coalition. Whether the latter can get it together in time to sponsor something for Solidarity Day, September 19, in San Diego is another matter. And remember, draft registration prosecutions are likely to begin this summer, so when the first one comes down, protest on the first working day afterwards at noon at the Federal Building.

Photographic Exhibition
by Byron Morton
Wednesday, June 9 thru
Friday, June 11
at the UCSD CHE CAFE

UCSD COOP Announcements

GENERAL STORE COOP
Run By Students For Students
....And....
The Lowest Prices On Campus
School Supplies, Backpacks, Art Supplies
Photo Finishing, Levi Jeans, & More
South Side Student Center
Monday thru Friday
10:00am - 4:00 pm
open during Summer Session 11:00-3:00 452-3932

FINALS WEEK HOURS
9:00-7:00pm, M-F (reduced menu)
closed summer

A Cafe there was called Che, Serving salads tofu by day, With readings at night And prices just right And gallery works on display.

Breakfast
Wednesday 8-11 am
expresso bar & omelettes
Lunch
Mon - Fri ... 11-2:30 pm
Dinner
Tues & Wed 5-7 pm

Come relax and enjoy yourself at the Southwest corner of campus.

GAY • LABOR • LATIN AMERICA • ECOLOGY
MARXIST-HUMANISM • POLITICAL ECONOMY
WOMEN • MEN • BLACK • NON-VIOLENCE
MID EAST HEALTH ENERGY ART ALBANIA
Groundwork Books
UCSD Student Center
1-5 to Gilman, left at wooden footbridge, right into parking lot
452-9625
Tues-Sat 11:00am-8:00pm
MARCUSE CHINA IRELAND MEXICO JAPAN
SCIENCE FOR THE PEOPLE • ASIAN ART • JAPAN
NUCLEAR POWER • MARXIST/LENINISM
LEGAL HASSLES • YOUNG PEOPLE
MARXISM • NATIVE AMERICAN
FOOD • US LEFT • LIFE • ESPANOL

SALE! 16% OFF
May 13 June 12

Food Co-op
LOCATED IN STUDENT CENTER
Yogurt
Sushi
Fresh Baked Goods
Fresh Juices
Staples
Fresh Produce
FINALS WEEK HOURS
Monday-Friday, 11:00-2:00
closed summer

Recycling Co-op—Have you ever wondered what happens to all those newspapers once they have been read? If you are like most people, you probably haven't. But, if you are not like most people, you should come to one of the meetings of the recycling co-op, (also known as "R Gang"). At our weekly meeting we organize the activities for the following week and discuss what to do with the money we generate. In the past, we have loaned money to student organizations, given parties and generally kept ourselves entertained. Join us. There's plenty of work to be done. Volunteer meetings every other Tuesday, 6pm, Che Cafe.

10,000 Protest Reagan in L.A.

As 10,000 protestors demonstrated May 25th outside the Century plaza hotel in Los Angeles, Ronald Reagan helped the California Republican Party raise over one million dollars at a dinner where he was the keynote speaker. The money will be used to elect right-wing hawks in the November elections. Ray-gun spoke of a near and coming economic recovery, despite all evidence to the contrary.

The demonstration was organized by the Federation for Progress, which consists of union, minority, environmental, feminist, peace and anti-nuclear groups. By far the largest contingent of protestors were the anti-interventionist and anti-imperialist groups, calling for an end to U.S. sponsored terror in not only El Salvador, but in all of Central America. Various speakers were heard from at a rally following the demonstration.

The Young Americans for Fascism—oops, I mean Freedom—were 20 strong, proudly displaying the American flag while pleading with the crowd, through a bullhorn, to join their cult/crusade. A few radicals (this reporter included) started calling these people "communists", "Marxists-Leninists", "K.G.B. agents", "radical extremists", and "revolutionaries". The YAF were so startled and stunned it took them 10 minutes to gather their thoughts

together and begin speaking again. Don't fight the enemy at his own level, freak the fascists out!

—Larry Fiske

Good Things?

No one will contest that General Electric produces a good light bulb. But equally uncontested is that GE mines and mills uranium; makes fuel rods and reactor cores; stores nuclear wastes; makes vital components of bombers, submarines, missiles; built the guidance and firing control systems for the Atlas, Chapparral, Polaris and Poseidon missiles and MIRVs for the Minuteman III missiles; produces Mark 12A nuclear warheads and B-1 bomber engines; provided police equipment and training to the Shah of Iran's police force; and sells weapons to some of the most repressive governments on earth.

In response to these latter activities, an international boycott of G.E. products has been initiated. Boycott organizers are calling on us to boycott *all* GE products—light bulbs, toasters, blenders, washers, etc.

For more information, contact GE Boycott Committee, CARE, 3960 Winding Way, Cincinnati, OH 45229.

—The Mobilizer



'Cut pollution? Why that could cost these people their jobs!'

Proud and Free

The former chief press officer for a company the government calls one of the nation's worst polluters has been nominated to head the EPA's toxic waste disposal program. Rita Lavelle, named to head the \$1.6 billion 'superfund' to clean up industrial pollution, says she's "proud" of her past association with the Aerojet-General Corporation. Lavelle served as chief spokesperson for the firm while it was the defendant in a multi-million dollar lawsuit for contaminating the water supply in Sacramento.

—Delaware Alternative Press/
Prairie Sun

Draft Update

The Senate adopted an amendment, by a unanimous voice vote, to the fiscal year 1983 Pentagon budget which would deny all federal education benefits and loans to men determined by the SSS to be nonregistrants.

The amendment, introduced on May 12th by Senators S.I. Haykawa (R-CA) and Mark Mattingly (R-GA), requires that persons applying for student loans "shall certify to the satisfaction of the secretary of education that he has presented himself for and submitted to such registration," and the secretary "shall certify with the director (of the SSS) each certification."

—the Guardian

continued from page 5

Attiyeh...

that there is no centrally available information on UCSD's research activities, and severely handicaps the work of those who attempt to report on these activities. Thus, even if this is not the primary intent, the elimination of this publication (which published its final issue last summer, summarizing 1979-80) serves to insulate research activities from public scrutiny, and increases the ability of the administration to act without any accountability in this area.

Thus it will be difficult, given this action, to monitor the University's campaign, reported by our sources, to attract more military research on campus, and publications which seek to monitor this campaign—or just to gain an idea of what types of research are being done here—will be forced to do extensive investigations.

IPA Still Active

Although Attiyeh has stated on several occasions since his appointment that the Institute for Policy Analysis (IPA), through which he and other professors in the Economics department did research for the CIA, is inactive, the California Secretary of State's office has informed the *new indicator* that IPA is an active corporation, in good standing. Attiyeh has been president of IPA since its incorporation on June 1, 1972, and his current resume prominently lists that position.

In addition, although Attiyeh has claimed that IPA is being maintained because it would be too big of a hassle to close it down, we have learned from a local attorney specializing in non-profit corporate law that it is a relatively easy matter to close down an inactive corporation, and that an ongoing effort to fill out a variety of state and federal reports is required in order to sustain it. This attorney was of the opinion that the

only reason to maintain an inactive non-profit corporation on the books was if its officers anticipated reactivating it (such as for another CIA contract) in the near future.

FOIA Request Filed on IPA

As part of its ongoing campaign to document and expose all UC-CIA ties, the Organizing Support Group of the Student Cooperative Union (SCU) is continuing to press a FOIA request for documents from the CIA on the Institute for Policy Analysis, as well as a large quantity of documents on a variety of UC agencies. The CIA released several hundred pages of documents last week to the SCU on UC-CIA relations, the first of several releases it has agreed to make, and the SCU hopes to complete indexing and analysis of those documents by next fall.

However, the CIA is currently refusing to release documents on the IPA unless the SCU agrees to pay search and duplication costs in an undetermined amount. Last Tuesday, the SCU appealed that ruling, asking that the documents be released without charge as a matter of "general public interest." These documents, when released, should detail the extent of the CIA research carried out by Attiyeh and the IPA, as well as revealing at least some of their findings, and the applications the CIA intended for their work. At the time that the IPA CIA research was first exposed, persons in the Economics department (but not involved with the research) speculated that the research was aimed at generating a firmer data base for manipulation of critical resource flows to aid in trade wars such as the one carried out against Chile.

Persons interested in working with the SCU on this project, or in donating funds to help cover the costs of obtaining, processing and disseminating documents, should contact the Student Cooperative Union at UCSD, B-023, La Jolla CA 92093.

Falklands/Malvinas Battle Continues

The following article is reprinted from *Iran Today* published on May 19th 1982.

It has been over one month since the day that Argentinian Armymen landed in the Falkland islands 350 miles east of Argentina and ended the rule of the British government, which had lasted many decades. In a very short time, the take over of this small island with 1800 inhabitants was to become the front page news of the world media. Clearly, this incident was to capture the minds of the people of the world, especially the British and Argentinian people for quite a while.

Both governments, the Argentinian and the British, have had many economic and political problems. The Argentinian regime, a capitalist and fascist government led by Army general Leopoldo Galtieri, has been encountered with mass resistance to its repression of political and civil rights. The country's economy is in shambles. With 100 to 200 percent inflation per year and about 15% unemployment, Argentina's economy stands among the shakiest in the world. At the same time, the British government is not doing much better. Extremely high inflation, unemployment and the constant decline in the value of the pound, is the story of the day in England. The results of the above have shown themselves many times. The most important of them were the recent uprisings of the lower class and minorities in cities throughout England.

In cases such as this, where danger seems closer for the ruling class and those being ruled escalate their attacks on the government, the elite searches a way out. A war seems a plausible method of escape, since by taking advantage of national patriotism, millions of people can be misguided to think that the capitalists and their machinery (the military, the police...) are to protect the entire nation's interests, including themselves. A look at the Iran-Iraq war, in which both of those governments took advantage of the war to both induce a false sense of satisfaction with the status quo among the masses and to repress revolutionary forces inside their borders.

Today, searching through the British media, one can easily find out that the public is being made ready for a war. One day after the Falkland seizure, *London Times* made an open call to use force to get the Islands back. This was

even before the negotiations were started. Also, British officials including Thatcher, have been talking war for many days now although 50% of the British firmly oppose any military action. Of course, it is claimed that the war would be to give the right of self-determination to the islanders. One wonders why Thatcher or her predecessors did not think of that during the tens of years that they were ruling the islands.

On the other hand, General Galtieri, the Argentinian dictator states that the Falklands have now gained their long wished for independence. He claims that the seizure was to free the islands' residents from British colonialism. It has been proven over and over that a government which exploits and represses its citizens, can not bring freedom for the masses. All it can do is to gain more profits for the class it represents, the capitalist class.

But there is more at stake in the Falklands than what was mentioned above. The islands are located in an important point in the South Atlantic ocean. In 1971, it was revealed that Shell Oil Company had made some studies in that area. A preliminary report showed that an extremely large reserve of oil exists in the ocean between the Falklands and mainland Argentina. The report commented that these reserves are so enormously large that they could turn the area into "another Kuwait". This seems like enough reason for the governments of England and Argentina to fight the islands, considering the effect these oil reserves could have on their devastated economies.

But, more important than the profits of this government or that, are the working people and the poor in the countries involved. For the true revolutionaries of these countries, now is an important period. Today, revolutionaries and communists should condemn the rulers. They should open the eyes of the working class to the fact that capitalist war will bring them nothing but more misery. The oppressed must be educated to not take part in the war and to take only one side, and that must be the side of the revolution. The only means by which a true solution to the social and economic problems can be found.

Union of Iranian Students
supporters of Peykar

New Indicator
Student Organizations
UC San Diego, B-023
La Jolla, Ca. 92093

Non-Profit Org.
U. S. Postage
PAID
La Jolla, Calif.
Permit No. 256