Sittsford, Mouroe bo. Ni.y.
My Dear Mavivin: Apr. 11, '5g.
I hane been designning to write for some, ruecks; But me have, brisy a-moving ot getting settled in our meirysa+somage in the villnge; began some time before the 1st of April, so ds not to have it come all in heaps. My newe stady not being finished, \& having mo place to prepore aidiocourse except a moisy room or a cold chanher, $\phi$ Lad to take ane cld one. It mout so pororly, that Iffed proforind $l y$ mortified; don't kuw where to hide nyyseld, exeept in the sleasment place of qieiar ship. Haue finished my Sotimmelpeiminck's Fort Eryae, 2 thick rols. 8vo. It is not a comprehemive of seientific digest of the whole of fanienisu, like たanke's, ugree able chapiter on thut sulject; Gut à collectivin of separate pupers, giving minute of pleasint inforimation of the life \& charucter of thouse devoted rechuses. Bhh history opens to me mone \& more us a profituble study, te. Jing, if rightly usod, toward spivitual mindedeess. N. Eng. List. is continwally beconing to we more interesting; hane tho't
of your discourse at least a Limited times since you ware Lase; \$ antiteotily obliged for it. Feel wat her pleased at Falfnay? view of Royerithms. as I Lave sean it in the papers, the bk itself Lame not yet bot. Dovit fail to tell me yo opinion of $: i$ it, us soovian you Lame read it. - A few weeks ago read some articles of Dickinison's on d the East, in the Examiner, at his request, Emitted then up in Rochester, It gave Aim in y opinion; Lame Not room hae to tell you whout it. Irbile laving for his articles, focmid others, especially once on "Sissified", which filled me with indigmuLion ; it is a piece of ignorance of presumption e in a fire litersiny chess. It is tull disgusting to hear the eternal bray of those Mhitanians about their "liberne culture"; this is their religion; surely life has a higher i in port. The wants of siliankiid can linear Te ansmaded by it conceited literary coterie cooped up in Boston \& irichity. F hear there is a pminpleet by Coolidge, divining the workings of hit mind while a Bhniti.nian; if you ever get hold of it, don't fie to se id it to hie, mu y Ekselless don't yet truck of it. OL Look with miexpresible int west on New England; TestasivNogork is a region of outumid activist.
but of ald ideas. - My mind is much ilisturthed La the dreadful norite of money of thrice on the piano; our American give, are be bt shallow \& silly by it; it is marvelous thant a great civilised nation skid contime to teach it dungiters whit they are sure to do op way early, while the same Labor wild Lave secured them something which mil mix itself with the cuties of ifs. Soon as I get tine, mean to write something of a sort which will call ont mate if nothing else. Any thinning to get it before the public. Dr. Sclinff? seugre remark concerning our woman is certainly true of the miss of them

Gan tardy afford to gigo down to M.Yyork to ammivassivies; mount the money for books; wo ruthar go it see you, if $\Phi$ yo any where. -Presbytery of Fachester moats here in a few mocks; this will encroach our the sorely time of summer. My plan for summer is, to finish sermon early is the week., 8 visit whit the ugnimetinule habits of the people wile permit, especially in the neighthorhoid of truro or thrice Large sethool-Ľowes, in pueporutione for weekly moetinge another minter; it will be Laborious. The winter here Las been soft mud, no snow at ill; this

Las broken mp min plans for misssiain lictor. --The fattest joke $\Phi$ Lane Lards for a good while, is Rufus binate's compliment to M.. Adan es, that "his beveling Lido never carried him once buck to the moved he had left."

Lowe to wife \& Aunghters. Mr.. North's cough
is bad; but she is about.
Mound vex Whection utes
A. North

Pittsford, Mouvae bo. Ny. Give 6,'5g.
My Dear Marvin:
$\$$ And resolved, as $\$$ supposed, with a most Ooh Foster-like sort of decision, that Sail, the first thing, to day beg in my next Sabbathi' discourse, finish early, th then for the rest of the week, friend Ganplell-like, visit t be happy. Putfind ing yo letter in the post-office this morning, my decision melted away. This morning, don't feel well; on Saturday, my subject not shaking itself to snit me, sat up most of the might, which, with a large audience in the morning, the Supper in the afternoon, \& mon. con. at 50 clock, makes me nervous to-day. $\Phi$ must'nt do so again. Subject: Dutarcession of Ghnist, from the words : "Ifittiato ye have asked nothing in my mane; usk It receive, that yr joy may be full."

The weather here is shuddering cold; \$an actwally sitting by a considerable fire; but the village shrubbery, f the fields round about, we as beamtiful as can be.

Since any last letter to you, yr article arrived in the Bibliotheca; I Lave vend it twice with close attention. It is, without the possibility of doubt, truly excellent. But it will have this obstacle to contend with: The American mind is averse to history. It is only a few that lave it; the mass even of those whom me call educated men, are unwilling to turn back it nerd that old dead Letter. A port of the reader of the Sa era will prize it: \& it will mingle itself. Long hence, with the thoughts of the few who will study our religious history with enthusiosur.

Is to stifle, \$ have long been thinking what $\$$ should soy; the more $\$$ think of it, the move unwilling $\Phi$ feel, to say may thing. My reasons ave: 1. It is already a good \& agreeable style; to attempt any frmidamental change widkordey pay. 2. Ifina it difficult to express what $\Phi_{\text {mean ; }}$ the points in question are exceedingly subtile ot ab-
 of $y$ r more characteristic sort of sentences; but the principles thus brought ont are so variously apple-

Since my last letter to you, yr article arrived in The Bibliotheca; $\$$ have read it turice with close attention. It is, without the possibility of doubt, truly excellent. But it will have this obstacle to contend withe: The American mind is averse to history. It is only a few that lave it; the mass even of those whom me call edineated men, are muvilling to tupi back 4 reid that old decid Letter. A part of the readers of the sacra will prize it; \& it will mingle itself. Long hence, with the thoughts of the few who will study our religions history with enthusiosmi.

As to stifle, $\$$ have Long been thinking what \$ should say; the more $\$$ think of it, the more moiling $\phi$ feel, to say may thing. My reasons ave: 1. It is already a good \& agreeable style; to attempt any fundamental change wad hardy pay. 2. Ifind it difficult to expires what \$ mean ; the points in question are exceedingly subtile \& abstrive; I might indeed present an analysis of a few of yr more characteristic sort of sentences; but the principles thus brought ont are so variously apple-
cable over a large space, tr minify so minutely \& tomsourly, that it after all verolues itself, almost, in to mutter of mere personal taste, th hance might mot co maid assent. The process of stating such things both accurately, $t$ comprehenviady is vexations. This leach me to: 3. "The style in the man", i.e. so interwoven with all yr habits of that for many years, that even if you skid assent, a change wed be hard; the most that c'd he expected wii he a gevarile col losing from the nervily adopted principles, perhaps heavily worth while.

It may perhaps be questioned, withal, whet the style is now so very important on it used to be; such is the vast insnare of general knowledge, $t$ so to learnable the accuracy of most public men, that mimer has in part disappeared as a great power. Much that is called style by the undiscerning, is really matter. Take the thousands of common-place sermons in our county pulpits forty years ago; they ave in good style; let a good unite of the present time ve-wnite one of them, in order to innprove the style, what does be do? He intiocinces a great deal of new knowledge. The style indeed in more lively; but the main addition is new mutter, it least implied \& Enggested.

The ace of the teamphelliter I vend, \& liked it ; (the \$6, though, rather starts up a less literany sort of feeling $\therefore$ me; I may toke it into mung head to unite;) the appearance of ale yr articles is rather that of a cautions, considerate man, whetter you Kure sturlied the sulyject as in the Sacra, or write off hand, as in Ohio.

Masson's Milton $\oint$ have not bought; but in all ny Reviews \& papers \$ find nothing bent hearty praise. It ot Polfory Amen to have; but my expenses use too great just now.

My enemies last foll were silenced for the moment, but thy are mot dead; it is astonishing whit malice will do; our people generally do not talk of it; $D_{\text {do not }}$. The veal trouble is, a predecessor was hae 16 years, who was a perfect mon-committal on all suljeets; he sustained himself ley the force of Pleasant chat in privirite; the Democrats woe hano-t-glove with him ; he rarely distwher them with the serious aspects of the Gospel; ny immediate predecenor six years was also a man. Who preached a good deal about "Christianity," \& voted the Democrat ticket. You ore mot to suppose my preaching has been rash, or personal, or in m-
 people. But so different is it from what they are acenstomed to hear, that this class of wicked man is much displeased; noting but a revival will set us night. Trill bred, honorable men of the world find no fault. I visit \& work diligently this summer; next winter will come, probably, another contest. Our chs, too, is terriby corrupt; discipline has been dead for 20 years. Yet \$nay be mistaken; no contest may come on. Ifeel happy, he cause blameless; \% God may pour out his spirit. It is hard, very hard, to yet money here, en en for the mich. If \$ cam, \$ will come ot see you. Sous with much Rove, They hare lavilt me a beantione study.
A. Norths.

Fittsford, Monroe bo. N.... Any. 25, 5y.
My Dear Marvin:
My time has been so much taken up of late, that it is mot easy to write a mote. Went last reek to Praidabo. to see my volativer; had to Liursy back the same week, hawing nobody to occupy my pulpit. When. I made my agreement last Dec. with my Trustees, they were unwilling to allow me, like other ministers, three or four meek s for an airing, because they wished to take away from the enemy evan g possible reason for faultfinding. \$yielded, fut will never do it again. It is hard to fulfill so barbarous an agnement. The people here are fifteen or treaty years Lakind the world, in their twatment of a minister. This cause, among otters, $\$$ fear will keep me from coming to see you this year.

Twas present, while in Soomville, ut the Laying of the cormer-stome of a new Episc.bhth. In the aclichers, the Puseyite declared that his che has nears varied in doctrine. He concealed, or was ignorant of, the frect, that for a long time, in the blk of Engin. Single chk-ism was not

Known; Bp stall \& other dignitaries went us delegates to the Dherb. Synod of Dort. He also declared that the Eprici but is blessed with unity at the present tine. If so, it in hard to tall the object of truately" "Ing of of Christ," a learned t vigorous rebuke of Elise. exchsiveness in England; so of He Pleraines writings in this conctiy. My cousins in Boomille have gone in, strong, for the Epic. Ch; their minds are embittered by reason of discreditable irregularities \& corruptions avicing from former protracted sueetings. My own sister wd Nave gone over top; but I" "took her muts me, t expounded unto her the wo ny of god move perfectily", showing her the chivelling mature of the Amerniean high che, \& its small thistorng; also the import ance of distingriisting between Fish \& Low. How sire the common rind is, to be influenced by the nearest olyect in space or time, t to think e the whole niniresse like it! It rieur occurred to then, that the Presb. Cit might he different elsewhere.

The article on Sir IIA.. Hamilton you speak of. I Lave; have no rom to newark on it here. As to Dr. Taylor, $\Phi_{\text {should probably ane witturyou, }}^{\text {sh o }}$, i.e, as to his greatness tsoundreess, but mot as to the use to be meade of him in orr ministry. A remand
of Sac Taylor (spiosp,260) is not acidly out of place hare: "Our Christianity of today is Laboring sander the ins rt residues, or lees, of three grand cosmuptions. 1. Of the Martyr CWM. 2. Of the metaphysic ot dialectic corruption of the soloolven. 3. Of the metaphysic \& logical corning. of the systenn-making theologians of the yt \& 18 th centervie, "The.. Dr. Taylor's character was formed, the American beth Lad not begun to feal the effect of the Labors of Stuart \& - thor Biblical selelors; all velig.seiance was conceived of under the form of systematic theology - a ve...ote but real result of Taylor's 2 \& 3 . His shriving intellect Lack mo balance, or check, or versatility; it was all theology; it that theology mulder a N. Eng. bias. Hence Lir great confidance in such systematising; thence the propriety of $D_{n}$.
 Vol.4.343) A vemark of Bibs Sacra, Vole, p. 749 , is in point: "Our Amer. theoly. is hipased to know too much". The Taylor certainty ivies is rather repulsive to me; there seems hardly room for faith. The sort of preaching which talk of "moral agency". "moral responsibility", "moral influence", \&c. is, I think very moprofitable, \& vary disagreeable. How mich mover excellent tr impressive such as N. 'Adains'! drawn from a close contemplation of the four Gospels. The metaphysical preach
ans seen to be of one type，mon ot ono us，it coll，t confined；the Seriptinal beautifully varied．N．Adams，Prof．Mtg dom，Tholuck， \＆numerous others，differing widely，from excl otter：the Saniptungsine so mich，that any one who studies them deeply，will have a masked individuality．I care not how munch indued with syst．theolg．a man may be，if he will keep，it out of sight， ie．in its scientific toms of propositions．But \＄fear the tend－ eng y of Dr．T．＇s training wis to keep up a popetine obtrusion of it into papular instunction．Says Tholuck：＂The highest lit－ er any effort de winded of a the ologian in，to form a clear con－ caption of the Redeemers life on orth＂．IV d not Ir．T．Leave said：The highest effort is，to conceive clearly of moral gout． Those Taylor considerations can not，it seams to mes，reach the heart；whenever they have seemed to do so，it has been from surromiding circminstances．In the revivals of＇ 25 \＆＇31，the impression came from Seriptere anttionity driving out a metaphysical dogma；Finney？metaphysical weazoming？ were only so much abatement of the effect．But on a subject of So extensive heoxinys，\＄can mot give you my id las cleverly in so small a spice．
lidye，giving hirpapkaliely delighted withe the discourse of boo－ lidye，giving his experience；what grand composition．－
Ifind in the Sept．Edectic a review of Maviell，findingfonlt． Ifind in the Sept．Eclectic a review of Marvel，Findingfoult． to bigitonsprings；the gouty there were delighted；I lu doubt，with painstaking，the circulation might he much increase．

It is finally settled that i leave Fittsford at the end of this years，four months more．＂The carse is mainly $D_{e-}$ noerat hated；the 1 he is wader pro－slawey inflenence； they must suecamblo，or think they mint，to a few widened

The details you wd not care to hear；it is suffi－ cent for you to know that it in not my fault． not live in a noise；$\$$ want quiet，where $\$$ can study it visit；without internption．And then must cove can－ diduting，that miserable，clegraiding process．But \＄ farted；mover felt happier．This also will 隹－dbably keep me from coming to see you，at least this year．

Your vac y affectionately，
A．North

Gittsford, Monroe bo N.OY. Sep. 28, 1859.
My Dear Marvin:
I Lave just got back from synod of beresse, which Las been sitting at Buffalo, \& to which this chs belongs. It is a var respectue be body; 130 ministers belong to it. We met in the new Westministor Ch, a grand building; the dedication sermon, by Dr. Wismer of Lockport, was good; fut the other three sermons \& hard were of a very small sort. They were attempts to show the philosophy of Treshyterianismi cold, destitute of mention, ot contrary to historical facts, instance of something refreshing, drawn from the riches of the everlasting Gospel.

Visited, for the first time, Niagara Falls; the minister of Lewiston, in return for my preaching for Lime, was my guide. Fris amazed at the suspension \&vidlye; the Falls too, t especially the banks below, are grand; but compared with the stupendons scenery of the Chants Mrs. in Southern India, hardly worthy of notice; tho' \& slid not like to say
so, to the good folks of the vicinity. All around Lewiston are places of historical interest; sarthe old road made by the Fromeh as a part of the grand chain from the StLomrvence to the Missis sippic, by which they mene going to crowd the English Lexctios into the At lan tic.

Otter ministers, $\varnothing$ find, as well as myself. are i troubled with Democrats in their congreganttions trying to drive then nova became they pray for the slave. It is so at Lewiston, It at suspension Bridge; only they are mot strong enough; if they $\mathcal{L}$ id. the control, as they Lave have, they wd do it might quick. The minister at the Bridge lately had frank talk with the chief Demeonat of the place, $t$ succeeded in reducing him en to some reason. I shasild like to see some of those wicked men put for a while in the atcrios-- please of Massachusetts, it see how they wed act miner the Lail-stones of that general indignation. The time is coming when the cha of Inestern M.York will Live to become out-\&-out abolition chaos, of take a stand against this iniquity.

When I have time, it wd please me to give you some of ny ideas of Dr. Taylor; neauntile please look at Bib. Sac. 1855, page 418, top half of the page. Finney may be vegiriled as the extreme of that N.Eng. tendency, fancying himself to be oringimal, while meonscionsly the slave of a localided. I know of no bk move tedious than his theology; it makes distinctions difficult to apprehend, t useless in practice. Its veal use will be in warning future students to study two things, vie. Dogmatic history, \& the Bible, to protest them from such a distorted development. I do think we have iven Old School people some reason to find fault; tho' $\$$ don't like to receive a rebuke coming from a theology so distorted in another way.

Fe shall buy to go to County Fair to-morrow; our communion is next $S_{\text {ab }}$. So $\$$ have little time this week; must hung \& block ont a sermon. Do mot think it necessary to answer soon, or ansuer at all; only write when convenient; it in - ll respect take for granted, t do, as you wed to a person whose lowe is deep t firm.
A. North.

Hevifr It f: syn properapp onsgittsford, Nowise bo. Nit.

EXec. 20,54.
My Dear Marvin :
If have been reading, in the Last N.Englauder, Prof. Martin's artie on Dr. Taylor. It is an artie of great interest; yet, to use a phrase \$ Lane heard from Englistmen,"my mind is not to it". \$feel my soul heave within me to tell you wi nat $\$$ mean; but to try, in a small bit of a mote, $\$$ fear will only make you mismiderstand.

My reasons for not feeling the same confidence toward Taylor that you seen to do, are: 1. Scam not rid myself of the historical objection: The metafthysieal periods of Christianity Lane been the poriads of its inferior 4 move indirect influence upon the human mind. It was so in the primitive ogle; the Reformation, again, was a new love of the Bible \& a rejection of the scholastic reasonings. Mon will say those veavoninge were popish. But the same is time in Protestantisur ; in the 1 y th \& 18 th centuries, in any cometry, wherever there has been an eager theologising skirit, true religion has declined; revivals have set it
reside. If $\$$ ha $\partial$ room, $\$$ think $\$ c^{\prime} d$ illustrate $2 a r y e l y$ 4 leal. Puritanism was mot strengthened, but weakene, by its high speculations. No one will untune to assent, that with its Saxon blood, stimulated by oppression \& the desire to establish a Alrisitione commonwealth, it word not hive been equally great tivergetic with a different theology.
2. Jaylori circamstances were unfavorable to a kealtiyy of symunetical action of hin mind. The true study of the Bibe had mot begum in this coventry, when the outline of his system was formed. The see this in the ridiculons quotation from Scripture, of Edwards. It the Liter N.E.v. fathers (E.g. Ps.110:3) Gwen hickok quotes it for a neetaphys. purpose; \$ was helped by Mir systtenn; but $\Phi_{\text {nequetted }}$ he has not first been tang lt $\therefore$ the Bible. Calvinistic speculation was a cornet shot across the track of the et ; Taylor was in its tail (as the so, the in sometimes said to be) without knowing it. Gook, in his sermon on Stuart, expressman my mind: "He found theology under the dominion of an ivow-handed metaphaysies. For ages Lad the old scholastic philosophy pressed docion the free meaning of inspiration. His first \& last aim wo as, to disenthrall the word of life from its slavey to rm ortifieicl logic."

It so oui, page By, wary instructive. It ir pleasant to find a passage ofthir kind, ofto \& had formed my opinion independent. I do not affirm that Jay Lori's systemic is mot meorere the truth than the older ones; but ale suet twig to reduce the miknowable to a system must ie unsatifuctony; Poof. Mortin feel it here of there. I do think stodge is portly right, N.Eng.P.g12, yet $\$$ abhor the bigotry of his party, \& a port of lir theology; he ir in the tail of the comet, in the Scoter port of it. 3. Superior piety is jealous of metaphysical theology. See Payson, p. Vg. Edward, it appears to me, was an exception, from peculiar cirenmstances. I have aluvays noticed, in theory sen. ate all students eager in that department, rene of inferior piety, \& less blessed, afterward, in their ministry; and when blessed, had leaned to slight their theology. And in such a revival as that of lust year, how do sued speculations go to the moles of the bats! I do not say no theology shed be taught to student; but the trine system $I$ believe is yet to come; surely it can mot be formed till a new enthusesidsme Lias arisen for the Bible; there must be a character about such as wad be mande by a fur sion of Avchbp. Leightore with Htengstenherg. It
is only some 30 or 40 years since the Stuart ideas have been grafted into N. England; surely too short a time for displacing the accumulated impression of centereies.

I am growing mare of more interested in this idea, that the higher forms of spinitial mindedness, or "the highs experience", of different ages, st' il he carefully separated from their Local spenlations. Baxter thought well of Lir ponderous scholastic volumes ; Lir Saint's Rest lives. Oberlin will stand high in history for it expaicice, when.. its perplexed theology shall be laughed it. At Haly burton o Me blague's faith \$ admire; their High Calvininn is worthless; even the lovely llablegue talks monsense when the leaver hin heavenly experience, tr ruedtees witt" sinning in Ada..." The various phases of peofectionis... have been only wa absurd local prejudices operating mischievously on the passion for a higher experience. - Inch thought as these were hamesing ane, when.. I fell in with Boardman' Higher boristion Life; do get it. It needs polish; but it contanis a vary large cargo of sunde gold; you will confess that your gOcts, or your dollar, is well laid out. Two on three slid he kept circulating in a che, till all have read it. It is near you, a Boston bk.
\$ do not carting, know, tile the en of my year, Dec. 31, what $\$$ shall do; our Society is in ababstute. It is not dirsuditable to me. Rather think $\$$ shall stop preaching hare, tho' not move till spring; have no place in view. ban not live an aug hostile parties. The main trouble arose before $I$ cane; the chi is so weak \& corrupt, that the diseifilime of an offending

