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## Summary

This report presents data from a survey of cigarette smoking behaviors and attitudes among Californians conducted between June 1990 and July 1991. The prevalence of current smoking among adults in California was $22.2 \%$, with males ( $25.5 \%$ ) smoking more than females ( $19.1 \%$ ). This represents a sharp decline in smoking following the increase in the tobacco excise tax and implementation of a comprehensive tobacco control program by the State of California. The decline in prevalence is on track for reaching the goal of $75 \%$ reduction in smoking prevalence by the year 1999.

Black Californians were more likely to be cigarette smokers than other racial or ethnic groups, and Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander women were less likely to be cigarette smokers. Smoking prevalence was also lower among those who have completed more years of formal education and among those who were over the age of 65 years.

Current smoking prevalence among adolescents aged $12-17$ years was $9.3 \%$; little difference in prevalence rates was found between boys and girls.

The prevalence of smoking prior to pregnancy among women who had been pregnant in the last 5 years was $16.1 \%$, and $32.8 \%$ of those who did smoke quit before the pregnancy reached term.

Approximately one-half of California smokers made an attempt to quit in the 12 months before the survey. The rate of quit attempts was higher among Black smokers of both sexes and among Hispanic males. However, California smokers were unable to translate their high rate of cessation attempts into successful cessation. Only $11.3 \%$ of those who were smokers one year ago were nonsmokers at the time of the survey. This high rate of failed cessation attempts is most evident for Black males where $60.2 \%$ of those who were smoking one year ago attempted to quit, but only $4 \%$ were current nonsmokers.

The status and effectiveness of several of the components of the tobacco control campaign were assessed. Among adolescents, $72.6 \%$ reported receiving at least one class in school directed at tobacco education.

Among nonsmoking Californians who work indoors, $31.3 \%$ reported recent exposure to environmental tobacco smoke at work, but this exposure was substantially lower among the $38.7 \%$ of indoor workers who work where there was at least a ban on smoking in the immediate work area. Exposure was further reduced for those workers who work in jurisdictions that have strong ordinances to limit smoking in the workplace. Those who worked in worksites that ban smoking in the work area are less likely to be cigarette smokers, and male smokers who worked where there was a ban on smoking in the work area were more likely to be successful when they attempted to quit. Social pressure not to smoke, as manifest by the reliuctance of smokers to smoke when they were the only smoker, was associated with an increased frequency of quit attempts by smokers, particularly female smokers.

Tobacco advertising, particularly the Camel cigarette advertising campaign using cartoon characters, was differentially recognized by younger adolescents. The recognition of cigarette brand advertising was closely related to the brand of cigarettes purchased by adolescent smokers, suggesting that tobacco advertising may promote smoking initiation among adolescents.

Over $60 \%$ of adults and two-thirds of adolescents reported exposure to some anti-smoking media message in the 7 days prior to their survey interview. Those who reported exposure to the television spots funded by the tobacco tax revenues were more likely to support anti-tobacco education in schools.

Adolescents reported that tobacco products were readily available, even among those aged 12-14. Small stores were the most common site of purchase of cigarettes for adolescents of all ages, but there was a suggestion that purchases from vending machines were relatively more common among younger adolescents than among older adolescents.

Only $40.4 \%$ of those smokers who saw a physician in the last year were advised to quit on the last visit. Advice to quit on the last visit appeared to be associated with both an increased interest in quitting and an increased number of quit attempts.

There was widespread support for taxation of tobacco products. The support for increasing the tax was lower among smokers, but Hispanic smokers were more supportive of increasing the tax than were California smokers as a whole. Black and Hispanic smokers were more strongly supportive of efforts to ban advertising and promotion of tobacco products as well as to restrict access of children to tobacco products. This picture is consistent with a substantial level of concern in the Black and Hispanic communities about the targeting of their communities by the tobacco advertisers.

## Introduction and Description of the Survey

In November 1988, California voters approved an increase of 25 cents per pack of cigarettes in the tobacco excise tax, a part of which was designated to support a comprehensive campaign to lower smoking prevalence among Californians of all ages. As an early component of this effort, a survey of smoking behavior and attitudes was conducted by the University of California, San Diego and Westat Corporation under contracts with the California Department of Health Services and the County of Los Angeles. This report, which includes data on the combined samples of the surveys funded through both contracts, is intended for use by individuals and groups who are designing and implementing tobacco control programs.

The data presented in this report were collected between June 1990 and February 1991 under the contract with the California Department of Health Services and from February 1991 to July 1991 under the contract with the County of Los Angeles. Separate samples were drawn to be representative of each of the ten largest Counties in California by population, and the remaining Counties were grouped into eight Regions with separate samples drawn for each Region. From each of these geographic Regions, clusters of telephone numbers were generated and a Waksberg random digit dial procedure used to contact the household. A short 5 minute survey was completed with an adult member of the household which included full household composition with sociodemographics and smoking status on each member of the household. Members of the household were scheduled for an extended tobacco interview in the following manner:

1) Any adult (over 18 years) who was reported to have smoked within the last 5 years was automatically scheduled.
2) Twenty-eight percent of all other adults were scheduled.
3) All youth aged between 12 and 17 years were scheduled.
4) Any adult woman who was reported to have been pregnant within the past 5 years was included in a pregnancy module of the adult questionnaire.

Statewide estimates were obtained by combining the weighted data for all the Regions. All estimates were weighted using 1991 Current Population Survey data and the 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

The data are presented as a discussion of smoking patterns for California, followed by an appendix containing tables that present the survey results by major demographic characteristics. Two separate estimates of smoking prevalence are presented in this report. The first is derived from the screening questionnaire, in which the smoking status for all household members was reported by the individual who answered the telephone. The larger number of individuals for whom proxy data were available allows more precise estimates of smoking prevalence at the County and Region levels. The second estimate of prevalence was obtained using only those interviews in which the individual reported on his or her own smoking behavior. These self-
reported data allow more complete examination of the current and past smoking behavior of the individual. Most tables in this report are restricted to data obtained from interviews of individuals who reported their own smoking behavior. Some tables are limited to the responses of smokers, ever smokers," adolescents, women who have been pregnant within the last 5 years, or other subgroups. The sample sizes are included in these tables.

| $\cdot$ | State Sample | Los Angeles <br> Minority Supplement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Screener Households | 32,125 | 25,111 |
| Adult Survey | 24,296 | 2,519 <br> (Asians Only) |
| Teen Survey | 5,040 | 2,727 <br> - <br> (All Minorities) |
| Pregnancy Survey | 5,115 | 227 <br> (Asians Only) |

## Table I

Interviews (see Table I) were conducted by telephone, and data on smoking prevalence were collected for 118,448 adults. Detailed telephone interviews on smoking behavior and attitudes were completed by 26,815 adults and 7,767 adolescents. A detailed interview on smoking behavior in relation to their last pregnancy was conducted with 5,342 women who had been pregnant within the last 5 years. The interviews were conducted in either English or Spanish.

Racial and ethnic data are presented in two formats. The first conforms to that used by the US census and defines mutually exclusive racial groups of Whites, Blacks, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and others. Since several racial groups in this format include individuals of Hispanic ethnicity, we have presented ethnic data independent of racial category and defined the population as either of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin. The second format combines racial and ethnic categories and classifies individuals as non-Hispanic Whites, Hispanics, Blacks or Asians and Pacific Islanders. The Hispanic classification also includes the subclassifications of Hispanics of Mexican origin and other Hispanics.

There is some concern about the validity of one person reporting smoking status for another. In this survey the adults who responded to the screener questionnaire correctly classified $96 \%$ of all those members of the household who indicated that they were daily smokers. This level of accuracy enables the larger sample sizes from the screener survey to be used to estimate smoking prevalence. This extra precision is important to provide estimates of smoking behavior among subgroups (such as Regions) that can be compared over time.

The sample was designed to be representative of the State as a whole, with subsamples representative of the ten largest Counties and of the eight Regions formed from the remaining Counties on the basis of geographic and demographic similarities. The data are presented for the State as a whole, as well as for each of the 18 Counties and Regions.

Table II lists the 18 Regions for which separate samples were drawn, and Figure 1 shows the Regions used superimposed on a map of all the Counties of California.

## Counties Included in Each Region

| Region 1 | Los Angeles |
| :--- | :--- |
| Region 2 | San Diego |
| Region 3 | Orange |
| Region 4 | Santa Clara |
| Region 5 | San Bernardino |
| Region 6 | Alameda |
| Region 7 | Riverside |
| Region 8 | Sacramento |
| Region 9 | Contra Costa |
| Region 10 | San Francisco |
| Region 11 | San Mateo, Solano |
| Region 12 | Marin, Napa, Sonoma |
| Region 13 | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humbolt, Lake, Lassen, <br> Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, <br> Trinity, Yolo |
| Region 14 | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura |
| Region 15 | Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, <br> Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, <br> Yuba |
| Region 16 | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz |
| Region 17 | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus |
| Region 18 | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare |

Table II


Figure 1

# Current Tobacco Use Among Adults in California 

In 1990-91, only $22.2 \%$ of Californians age 18 and older were current cigarette smokers, in contrast to a predicted $27.3 \%$ of the total US population. This translates into 4.78 million adult Californians who smoke cigarettes.

Considerable differences were found in smoking prevalence among Californians of different ethnic and racial backgrounds (see Figure 2). Black Californians were more likely to be current smokers than are White or Asian and Pacific Islander Californians. Observed smoking prevalence was higher among men than among women in each group; with the greatest differences among Hispanic and Asian and Pacific Islander (PI) Californians (see Appendix Tables 1 and 2).

## 1 <br> Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking Among Males and Females




Figure 2

The relationship between smoking prevalence and chronological age is complex and is determined both by the increased likelihood that smokers will quit as they age and by the environmental influences promoting smoking that existed during their adolescence and young adulthood.

Individuals born in the early part of this century, particularly White males born between 1910 and 1930, became cigarette smokers in large numbers (up to $80 \%$ in some groups). Individuals born more recently were much less likely to ever have been cigarette smokers. Even in the face of this much higher rate of taking up smoking,earlier in life, the current prevalence of smoking among those over the age of 65 was almost $50 \%$ lower than that for younger ages. This lower prevalence at older ages reflects the cessation of smoking with age, the virtual absence of new initiation of smoking after the age of 25 , and the higher mortality among cigarette smokers at these older ages. The percentages of men and women of different age groups who were current or former smokers are presented in Figure 3, and the low rate of current smoking among those over the age 65 is evident. However, it is equally evident that men over age 45 were much more likely to have been smokers at some point in their lives than were younger men, and that the lower prevalence of current smoking was the result of men over the age of 65 having quit. A similar pattern of increased cessation with age was present for women, but the fraction of women who had ever been smokers was somewhat lower (see Appendix Tables 3 and 4).

One strong predictor of current smoking status was the number of years of formal education completed (see Figure 4). Smoking prevalence fell from $25.9 \%$ in those with less than a high school education to $12.7 \%$ in those who had completed college. In contrast with age, however, the difference in prevalence of smoking with education was composed of both a lower rate of ever having been a smoker and a higher rate of cessation among those with greater educational attainment (see Appendix Table 3).

This decline in smoking with increased educational level must be due to phenomena that occurred before the age of 25 , since the initiation of regular smoking occurred only rarely after that age. Environmental influences during adolescence, including primary and secondary education, are the factors that most affect both the likelihood of becoming a smoker and the likelihood of achieving a higher level of education. Adolescents who are successful scholastically and athletically are more likely to go to college and less likely to smoke.

- Smoking prevalence was assessed for the ten largest Counties in California and for eight Regions comprised of the remaining Counties grouped by geographic and demographic similarities. Smoking prevalence varied considerably among the Counties and Regions ranging from 18.9\% to $26.6 \%$ (see Table III and Figure 5). In general, smoking prevalence was lower in the more affluent and urbanized Counties than in the more rural and less affluent Counties. This difference in prevalence of smoking among the different Counties and Regions is statistically significant and probably reflects the sociodemographic differences among the Counties as well as differences in environmental influences that promote cessation and inhibit initiation. These estimates, specific to each County or Region, establish the starting points for changes in smoking behavior in each of these areas by the tobacco control efforts funded through the tobacco excise tax.

Male and Female Current/Former Smokers

$\square$ Current Smokers $\quad$ Former Smokers

Figure 3

## Smoking Status of Those with Different Years of Education



Figure 4

## Adult Prevalence of Smoking



Figure 5

## Adult Prevalence of Smoking for the Counties and Regions

| Region | Total | C.I. | Male | C.I. | FemaleC.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles | 21.8 | $\pm 1.47$ | 26.6 | $\pm 1.61$ | $17.3 \pm 1.66$ |
| San Diego | 23.1 | $\pm 2.22$ | 25.9 | $\pm 2.93$ | $20.3 \pm 2.47$ |
| Orange | 19.3 | $\pm 2.12$ | 22.8 | $\pm 2.89$ | $16.1 \pm 2.24$ |
| Santa Clara | 19.7 | $\pm 2.27$ | 21.4 | $\pm 2.71$ | $18.1 \pm 2.54$ |
| San Bernardino | 26.6 | $\pm 1.66$ | 29.7 | $\pm 2.51$ | $23.6 \pm 1.99$ |
| Alameda | 22.9 | $\pm 2.37$ | 26.1 | $\pm 3.30$ | $20.1 \pm 2.30$ |
| Riverside | 23.9 | $\pm 1.75$ | 27.8 | $\pm 2.54$ | $20.5 \pm 1.81$ |
| Sacramento | 25.2 | $\pm 1.99$ | 27.1 | $\pm 2.73$ | $23.5 \pm 2.62$ |
| Contra Costa | 22.0 | $\pm 1.61$ | 25.1 | $\pm 2.42$ | $19.1 \pm 2.01$ |
| San Francisco | 22.0 | $\pm 2.41$ | 25.5 | $\pm 3.60$ | $18.4 \pm 2.47$ |
| San Mateo, Solano | 20.9 | $\pm 1.45$ | 22.7 | $\pm 2.15$ | $19.4 \pm 2.00$ |
| Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 21.7 | $\pm 1.96$ | 24.2 | $\pm 2.85$ | $19.3 \pm 2.42$ |
| Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humbolt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 23.6 | $\pm 1.56$ | 25.4 | $\pm 2.18$ | $21.9 \pm 2.06$ |
| San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 18.9 | $\pm 1.76$ | 20.2 | $\pm 2.33$ | $17.7 \pm 1.87$ |
| Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 24.1 | $\pm 2.42$ | 26.4 | $\pm 2.34$ | $21.9 \pm 3.51$ |
| Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 19.0 | $\pm 1.96$ | 20.0 | $\pm 2.69$ | $17.9 \pm 2.52$ |
| Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 25.1 | $\pm 2.47$ | 29.3 | $\pm 3.46$ | $21.2 \pm 2.61$ |
| Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 23.9 | $\pm 2.06$ | 26.9 | $\pm 2.94$ | $21.0 \pm 2.58$ |

## Table III

## Prevalence of Other Forms of Tobacco Use by Males



$\square$ Pipes $\quad$| Cigars $\quad \square$ |
| :--- |

Figure 6

In California, the use of tobacco products other than cigarettes was largely confined to males, and the pattern of use varied with age (see Figure 6). Pipe use was more common among older men, while cigar smoking was more evenly distributed across all age groups. The most dramatic differences with age were evident for the use of snuff and chewing tobacco. The heaviest use was in the youngest age group (18-24 years old), and use was almost nonexistent among those over the age of 45 years. This pattern of smokeless tobacco use (preferential use by younger males) probably reflects the reintroduction of these products during the last 15 years through an advertising and promotional campaign directed at young men. There is little evidence to suggest that older male Californians ever used these forms of tobacco in large numbers. The use of smokeless tobacco was largely confined to non-Hispanic White males in the population, with only $0.9-1.0 \%$ of Hispanic males, $1.2 \%$ of Black males, and $0.8-0.9 \%$ of Asian and Pacific Islander males having used these products. The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use varied widely among the Counties and Regions: Smokeless tobacco use by adult males varied from $0.5 \%$ in Los Angeles to $6.1 \%$ in the northernmost Counties in the State (see Figure 7 and Appendix Table 7).

# Adult Male Other Tobacco Use (Snuff and/or Chewing Tobacco) 



Figure 7

# Current Tobacco Use Among Adolescents 

For this report, current cigarette smoking by adolescents was defined as any use of cigarettes within the last 30 days. This definition was used because of the more irregular pattern of cigarette use among adolescents who call themselves cigarette smokers; it conforms to the definition of adolescent cigarette smoking used by most national surveys. In California in 1990-91, the overall prevalence of cigarette smoking among adolescents aged 12-17 years was $9.3 \%$, with only a slightly higher rate for boys (9.7\%) than for girls (8.9\%). As would be expected, the prevalence of cigarette use climbed steadily with age (see Figure 8) reaching a prevalence of $17.4 \%$ among those adolescents aged 16-17 (see Appendix Table 16).

Prevalence of Tobacco Use Among Adolescents


Figure 8

The initiation of cigarette use is not uniform across all subgroups. Although the prevalence of cigarette use among Hispanic and non-Hispanic adolescents was similar, the rates for Black and Asian and Pacific Islander adolescents were approximately one-half of those of White adolescents (see Figure 9).

School performance has one of the most powerful correlations with adolescent cigarette smoking. Almost a threefold difference was seen in the prevalence of cigarette smoking between those adolescents who described their school performance as average or below average and those who described their performance as much better than average (see Figure 10). Clearly, poor school performance and, specifically, adolescents' perception of their own poor school performance define a group at very high risk for taking up smoking.

Smoking prevalence among adolescents appeared to vary by geographic Region within the State of California (see Figure 11), and the geographic pattern of adolescent tobacco use may be different from the geographic pattern of adult smoking prevalence (see Figure 5).

The fraction of the adolescent population that had tried smokeless tobacco was actually higher than the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the last 30 days: $15.2 \%$ of male adolescents had tried smokeless tobacco. The rates increased with age for adolescent males from $4.4 \%$ of those aged 12-13 years to $13.6 \%$ for those aged 14-15 years and $28.2 \%$ for the 16 - to 17 -years old males. Rates were almost twice as high among non-Hispanic (18\%) as compared to Hispanic male adolescents ( $9.9 \%$ ), and rates among Black ( $7.7 \%$ ) and Asian and Pacific Islander (5.4\%) male adolescents were even lower (see Appendix Table 17).

In marked contrast to the decline in cigarette smoking noted with school performance, very little difference was found in the fraction of adolescent males who have tried smokeless tobacco between those who reported average or below average school performance ( $15 \%$ ) and those who reported much better than average school performance (12.4\%).

The fraction of male adolescents who regularly use smokeless tobacco was much lower than the fraction of those that have tried this form of tobacco; however, the very high penetration of this form of tobacco into the adolescent male population is of great concern because it suggests that

- the use of smokeless tobacco found among young adult males may continue to increase. Clearly, the marketing of smokeless tobacco products to adolescent males has been highly successful in convincing even the youngest adolescents to at least experiment with the use of these products, and this experimentation is taking place even among those adolescents who have traditionally not taken up smoking cigarettes, e.g., those with better than average school performance.


Figure 9

## Smoking Status of Teens by Perceived School Performance



Figure 10

## Adolescent Prevalence of Smoking



Figure 11

## Trends in Tobacco Use Before and After Proposition 99

The prevalence of smoking found in the current survey can be compared to national estimates of smoking and to the change in smoking prevalence in California over the years preceding the 1989 increase in the tobacco excise tax. Figure 12 shows smoking prevalence in California from 1974 to the time of the current survey (1990-91) using a series of estimates derived from multiple National Health Interview Surveys (NHIS). The individual survey estimates for California and

## Adult Smoking Prevalence: California and US Without California



Source: NHIS 1974-1988
1990 California Tobacco Survey
Figure 12
for the rest of the United States are plotted on the graph: They are consistent with a linear decline in smoking prevalence. The average annual rate of decline in prevalence from 1974 to 1987 is greater for California than for the rest of the Nation. Smoking prevalence in California after the excise tax increase was lower than would have been expected on the basis of the preexisting trends. The smoking prevalence for the year before the tax increase (1987) was $26.8 \%$, and the 1990 estimate according to this survey was $22.2 \%$, a $17 \%$ decline in the last 3 years. Thus, the difference between the current prevalence of smoking in California and that of the rest of the Nation resulted from the combination of a more rapid decline in prevalence among Californians preceding the tax increase and a steep decline in prevalence that coincided with the increase and implementation of the tobacco control effort.

## Adult Smoking Prevalence: California and US Without California



Source: NHIS 1974-1988
1990 California Tobacco Survey
Figure 13
The California legislature has established a target of $75 \%$ reduction in the prevalence of smoking among adults in California by the Year 1999. Figure 13, Figure 14 and Figure 15 present the smoking prevalence measured from this survey compared to the estimated prevalence in California for the year 1988 in relation to a line that connects the estimated 1988 prevalence to the defined target for the year 1999. By tracking smoking prevalence in relation to this line, we can determine whether the tobacco control campaign in California is on schedule to achieve the targets set by the legislature. The data for the total adult population (see Figure 13) and for adult males (see Figure 14) and adult females (see Figure 15) are presented in these figures and demonstrate that the campaign is currently on track to achieve the 1999 goals if the current rates of decline in smoking behavior can be sustained. Similar graphs that track the progress toward the 1999 goals for several of the target populations of the tobacco control campaign are presented later in this report in the sections that discuss those target populations.
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## Smoking Prevalence of California Females Aged 20+ Years
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1990 California Tobacco Survey
Figure 15

## Per Capita Consumption of Cigarettes in

 California from 1980 Through 1990

Figure 16

A similar picture is seen when the data on cigarette sales are examined. Figure 16 shows the per capita consumption of cigarettes in California from January 1980 to December 1990, with a 12 -month running average of the data to eliminate seasonal variability. Per capita consumption is the total number of cigarettes sold divided by the total population over the age of 18 years and adjusts for differences in population size. A sharp acceleration in the rate of decline in tobacco sales can be observed at the time of the tax increase, again indicating that the passage of Proposition 99 was the pivotal event in accelerating the decline in smoking prevalence in California. This change occurred at the time the tax was increased and before the implementation of the tobacco control effort, suggesting that this initial acceleration in the decline in prevalence received a substantial boost from the one-time increase in the price of cigarettes. The rate of change in smoking prevalence for the latter half of 1990 seems to be much less and may represent a diminishing effect of the price increase with time.

The change in cigarette consumption in California can be contrasted with that of the rest of the United States using per capita consumption data. Figure 17 compares the per capita consumption of cigarettes in California with that of the rest of the United States. The line for California over


Figure 17
the last decade demonstrates that the cigarette consumption has been both lower in actual consumption and declining more rapidly in California than in the rest of the United States. In addition, the acceleration in the rate of decline in consumption that occurred with the increase in the excise tax in California was not part of a national trend, but rather one specific to California.

Both the change in smoking prevalence and the decline in cigarette sales seem to indicate clearly that the increase in the California tobacco excise tax, the media coverage and controversy that surrounded the passage of the tax increase, and the programs supported by that tax revenue have resulted in a substantial decline in cigarette smoking among Californians.

The tobacco consumption data suggest that the rate of decline may be slowing as the acute impact of the tax fades. A sustained effect from the tobacco control programs funded by the tobacco tax revenues will, therefore, be needed to achieve the legislative goal of a $75 \%$ reduction in smoking prevalence by 1999.

# Smoking Cessation in California 

In order to achieve the goal of a $75 \%$ reduction in smoking prevalence by the year 1999, many current smokers will have to quit smoking. Quitting smoking is a dynamic process that includes developing interest in and motivation for quitting, actually making the attempt to quit, overcoming smoking withdrawal, achieving short-term success, and resisting relapse to achieve long-term success. Individual components of the current tobacco control effort are designed to influence different points in the cyclic process of cessation, relapse, and new cessation attempts that mark the progress from smoking to becoming a nonsmoker. In addition, the various target populations for tobacco control efforts may have different interests in cessation and may have different rates of quit attempts and successful long-term cessation.

## Readiness to Quit

Current cigarette smokers can be classified as being in one of three stages according to their willingness to consider quitting in the future: precontemplation (not interested in quitting), contemplation (considering quitting) and preparation (considering quitting in the immediate future). The distribution of smokers into these categories defines the interest in cessation for each target population, and motion within this continuum over time defines the effect of the campaign on the willingness of smokers to attempt to quit smoking (see Appendix Tables 12 and 13).

Figure 18 shows that the majority of California smokers were considering quitting smoking within the next 6 months (contemplation and preparation stages). Very little difference was found between male and female current smokers in their readiness to quit. This classification of smokers into different stages of readiness to quit can also be used to better focus the cessation efforts of tobacco control programs. Efforts to motivate smokers to want to quit should be focused on those smokers who are in the precontemplation stage. The remaining smokers (contemplation and action) are already convinced that they should quit and are the appropriate targets for smoking cessation assistance programs.

The readiness to quit smoking varied among different age groups in California. In Figure 19 the percentages of males and females of different ages in California who smoke are represented by the total height of the bars, and each bar is divided into the three stages of interest in quitting. Among males, both the highest prevalence of smoking and the greatest interest in quitting occurred in the 25 - to 44 -year-old age group. For women, the greatest interest in quitting was found among those aged 25 to 44 . The smallest percentages in the contemplation and preparation stages occurred in smokers over the age of 65 for both sexes, suggesting that the bulk of the decline in smoking prevalence observed in this age group has occurred among those who were in the contemplation and action stages earlier in life. It also suggests that messages targeted at older smokers should include strong emphasis on the importance and benefits of cessation for older individuals in order to stimulate more of them to think about quitting.

## Readiness to Quit

 Overall

Figure 18

## Readines's to Quit Among Smokers at Different Ages



Figure 19

Readiness to quit varies much less with the smoker's educational level. Figure 20 shows the readiness to quit among male and female smokers with different levels of formal education. The readiness to quit was remarkably constant across all levels of education, although women who had a college education had a slightly higher chance of being in the precontemplation stage. The fraction of smokers in the preparation stage was slightly higher for the group with less than a high school education compared to those with a college education. Clearly, a substantial readiness to quit existed among individuals of all levels of educational attainment in California,


Figure 20
and educational level did not seem to be a major determinant of readiness to quit. This contrasts with the powerful effect of educational attainment on smoking prevalence. Messages to motivate smokers to think about quitting should continue to be directed toward smokers of all levels of educational achievement, but there is no reason for those programs that focus on less educated smokers to direct a higher level of effort toward those in the precontemplation stage. Lack of education is not a barrier to the intention to become a nonsmoker.

## Readiness to Quit



Figure 21

Current Status of Cessation Attempts Among Those Smoking 12 Months Prior to Interview


Figure 22

The readiness to quit among the target populations for the tobacco control effort is an important determinant of the types of programs that should be developed to aid these populations to quit. Figure 21 shows the readiness to quit among smokers of different ethnic and racial groups. An increased readiness to quit was found among Hispanic and Black Californians. Hispanic and Black smokers had the lowest rates of precontemplation (not thinking about quitting in the next 6 months). Clearly, the goal of tobacco control efforts directed at these groups should be to facilitate cessation attempts and promote long-term success rather than to motivate these groups to think about quitting. Even though Black Californians had the highest rate of current smoking, they also had the greatest interest in quitting.

## Cessation Behavior

The interest in quitting among smokers provides the substrate on which cessation occurs; however, the major impact of any tobacco control program on adults must be measured by the actual number of individuals who attempt to quit and the frequency with which they are successful. In the current survey, we measured cessation activity in the population by using the fraction of those who were smoking one year before the survey who intentionally stopped smoking for at least one day during that year. We measured successful quit attempts by using the fraction of those who were smoking one year ago who had been successfully quit for three or more months at the time of the interview. We also report the fraction of smokers who quit in the last year and are still not smoking, but who had quit for less than 3 months. In 1990, almost half (47.8\%) of California's smokers attempted to quit smoking. Of those Californians who attempted to quit during the last year, over three-quarters relapsed (see Figure 22) by the time of the interview. This picture of cessation behavior confirms the data on readiness to quit. Smokers in California are interested in quitting and are attempting to quit in large numbers, which indicates that existing efforts to motivate smokers to quit have been very successful. The largest remaining barrier to a successful tobacco control program is the failure of those smokers who attempt to quit to achieve long-term success. Efforts directed toward relapse prevention may be the most effective use of new resources in cessation assistance for the individual smoker (see Appendix Tables 10 and 11).

Figure 23 shows bars indicating the fraction of smokers who have made a quit attempt; each bar is divided into three segments, representing those who have been quit for three or more months, those who are still quit but for less than three months, and those who have relapsed. The rate of cessation attempts appeared to be slightly higher among male smokers, but female smokers were more likely to have been quit for three months or more. Cessation attempts were highest in the youngest age group for both males and females. Female smokers may have made slightly fewer attempts to quit than male smokers, but they were more likely to have successfully quit for three or more months (see Appendix Table 10 and 11).

When attempts to quit were examined for the racial and ethnic groupings, (see Figure 24) Black and Asian/Pacific Islander smokers were more likely to have made an attempt to quit than were White smokers. Hispanic smokers were more likely to have tried to quit than were non-Hispanic smokers. A slightly higher fraction of Hispanic smokers of both sexes were also likely to have

Rates of Cessation Attempts and Their Results by Gender and Age



Figure 23
Rates of Cessation Attempts and Their Results by Gender/Race and Ethnicity


Figure 24
been quit for three or more months, suggesting that the increased cessation activity among the Hispanic population is translating into increased long-term success. Black males had the highest rate of cessation attempts of any racial or ethnic grouping, but they also had the lowest rate of long-term success. The increased rate of cessation activity among Black males has not produced much long-term success. Black women also had rates of cessation attempts that were higher than any other racial or ethnic group, but their rates of long-term success were no higher than the rates in the White female population and were lower than the rates among Hispanic women. It appears that Black females, like Black males, were more likely to attempt to quit smoking, but their attempts were slightly less likely to be successful than ones by women of other racial groups. However, the rates of long-term success among Black women still exceed those of men from any racial or ethnic group.

## Rates of Cessation Attempts and Their Results by Gender/Years of Education



Figure 25
The pattern of cessation attempts and success was more complicated when examined across groups with different levels of education. Figure 25 presents the cessation behavior of male and female smokers in California with different levels of education. The total height of the bar represents the fraction of smokers who have attempted to quit, and each bar is then divided into those who relapsed and those who had been off cigarettes for different lengths of time at the time of the interview. Men who smoked in the last year and had less than a high school education were slightly more likely to have made a cessation attempt than women smokers with the same
level of education, but they were less likely to be currently nonsmokers, which indicates a higher rate of failed attempts. Cessation attempts were more common among women with higher levels of education, but the rate of cessation attempts actually declines slightly among men with at least a college education.

The fraction of smokers who made attempts to quit (see Figure 26) and the fraction of those attempts lasting 3 or more months (see Figure 27) varied considerably among the Counties and Regions of California.

## Fraction of Smokers <br> Making a Quit Attempt



Figure 26

## Fraction of Quit Attempts Lasting Greater than Three Months



Figure 27

## Timing of Relapse

The data on readiness to quit and on the rates of cessation attempts among the smokers of California indicate clearly that the main problem is not getting people to quit but rather keeping them from relapsing once they have quit. Programs to prevent relapse are critically needed if the tobacco control programs currently being implemented in California are to be successful. To be effective, these relapse prevention programs must be delivered at the times when relapse is

## Length of Quit Attempts



Figure 28
likely to occur. Most data on the timing of relapse come from studies of relapse after the delivery of a formal cessation program, and many of the relapse prevention approaches developed for use in conjunction with these cessation programs intervene at one month, three months, and six months after the end of the program. However, over $90 \%$ of the smokers who successfully quit, and an even higher percentage of those who attempted to quit, did so without attending a formal cessation program. The delivery of relapse prevention assistance to these spontaneous quitters has enormous potential benefits for improving long-term cessation rates. The timing of relapse for California smokers who attempted to quit in the last year is presented in Figure 28. This curve was adjusted for the tendency of smokers to under report shorter attempts to quit as the time interval between the attempt and the interview increased.

The vast majority of relapses occurred within the first two weeks after the cessation attempt. Delivery of relapse prevention assistance one month after a cessation attempt is often too late. The overwhelming majority of smokers will have already relapsed. The data presented in this
figure suggest that relapse prevention strategies should begin almost immediately after the cessation attempt if they are to reach the majority of those who relapse. The development of flexible and readily available relapse prevention approaches that can be accessed by smokers once they have made an attempt to quit, or when they are planning to quit, may be a more effective strategy than either attempting to recruit smokers to participate in smoking cessation programs or adding relapse prevention programs to what are already time and energy intensive cessation programs. For relapse prevention assistance to be effective in aiding the majority of smokers who try to quit, it must be readily available at the time the smoker makes the attempt to quit, and such assistance will often be needed almost immediately after the cessation attempt.

## Variation in the Success of Smoking Cessation Attempts

Table IV uses the history of recent quit attempts from this survey to estimate the probability that those who report voluntarily abstaining from smoking for one day during the last 12 months will remain quit for 360 days. The method of estimation, which takes into account the under reporting of unsuccessful quit attempts, is applied to different subpopulations of smokers to predict the number of quit attempts that will be made by 1,000 smokers, the probability that a single quit attempt will succeed, and the net result - the number per 1000 smokers who will have successfully quit for 360 days. Younger smokers were more likely to make a quit attempt but less likely to be successful than older smokers, with the exception of the 18 - to 24 -year-old female group. The most dramatic reduction in the fraction of the population who smokes occurred among Hispanic women, where $20 \%$ of those women who were smoking one year ago are projected to achieve long-term cessation. The high rate of cessation attempts among Hispanics in this analysis may be due to the high rate of occasional smoking among Hispanics (see section on Hispanic Californians) which would result in a high number of individuals who would voluntarily abstain for one day.

## - The Effect of the Tobacco Control Program on Rates of Cessation Attempts

Cessation attempts are one measure of the motivational impact of the tobacco control campaign on smokers. Figure 29 presents the percentage of smokers who made a quit attempt during each month. The bars are derived from data on the actual self-reported quit attempts by month, and they are adjusted for the failure to recall short quit attempts as the interval between the quit attempt and the interview increases. The frequency of quit attempts increased over the period of time covered in this figure, coinciding with the introduction of the media campaign in April 1990 and the initiation of program activity by Counties and agencies funded to conduct special projects in July 1990. These efforts are probably at least partly responsible for the increase in cessation activity that occurred during this interval.

Also apparent in this figure is a substantial increase in cessation activity that occurred during January (possibly due to New Year resolution quitting) and in November (coinciding with the Great American Smokeout).

Rates of Cessation Attempts and Their Estimated Results
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Quit Attempts (per smoker-day) by Month


Figure 29

# Use of Survey Data in the Evaluation of the California Tobacco Control Program 

The ultimate and clearly most important measure of success for the tobacco control effort currently underway in California is a change in prevalence of smoking among the target populations for the campaign. Figure 30 provides a description of this measure for the adult population of California and shows that the current decline in prevalence is on track for reaching the legislatively mandated goal of a $75 \%$ reduction by the year 1999. Graphs similar to this one are presented in this report for many of the target populations of California's tobacco control campaign. These graphs provide measures of the progress achieved by each of the target

## Adult Smoking Prevalence: California and US Without California



Source: NHIS 1974-1988
1990 California Tobacco Survey
Figure 30
populations, but do little to assess the relative effectiveness of different components of the campaign or to define whether the campaign is actually reaching and influencing California's smokers.

Evaluation of the tobacco control efforts that are under way in the Counties of California can also be evaluated using the prevalence of smoking for each County. Table V presents the prevalence of smoking for each of the Counties and Regions of California, and demonstrates that there are substantial differences among California's Counties and Regions with regard to smoking


Table V
prevalence. However, a large part of these differences is due to differences in demographic characteristics among the counties. Those counties with large populations of those groups with low prevalence of smoking, such as Hispanic women or adults over the age of 65 , will have a lower smoking prevalence for the population as a whole. Since the goals of the tobacco control campaign are to decrease the probability that an individual will start smoking and to increase the probability that individuals will quit, it is useful to examine the differences in smoking prevalence among California's Counties and Regions once the differences due to demographic composition have been removed. The Adjusted Prevalence column in Table V depicts the prevalence of smoking with all the Counties adjusted to a single demographic distribution. The unadjusted prevalence is the appropriate measure for the actual number of smokers in a County or Region, but the adjusted prevalence is a better measure of the differences among the Counties for those environmental forces that lead to smoking initiation and cessation.

The data available from this survey can define only a single point in time, and therefore, cannot differentiate the effect of programs funded by the California tobacco tax revenues from the effect of previous activity. However, it can define the power of and exposure to some of the components of the campaign as it began. Subsequent survey data can be used to estimate the increased exposure to each component that results from the activities associated with the current tobacco control efforts and can document changes in the power of the individual components as they are more effectively delivered. The result will be a comprehensive assessment of the overall impact of the campaign on cessation as well as a more limited view of the relative effectiveness of the different components of the campaign.

Evaluation of a comprehensive tobacco control effort, such as the one currently under way in California, requires an understanding of the dynamic nature of smoking initiation and cessation as well as an appreciation that the different components of the tobacco control campaign may affect different stages in the process of initiation and cessation. The impact of the total campaign may be larger than the sum of the effects of its individual parts, as there is probably a substantial amount of synergism between the separate components, but the only way to know the relative effectiveness of the different components is to examine their independent effects.

There are two parts to assessing the effectiveness of the separate components of the campaign. The first is measurement of the fraction of the population reached by the intervention channel, for example, the fraction of smokers counseled by physicians to quit smoking on their last physician visit. The second is measurement of the change produced by that intervention, for example, the fraction of smokers who quit on receiving advice to quit from a physician. The first is a measure of the exposure to the intervention channel, and the second is a measure of the effect of that exposure, or its power to create change in those exposed to it. A channel with minimal power can be very effective if a large amount of the target population is exposed, whereas an intervention with greater power but which reaches very few individuals would be much less effective.

The current state-of-the-art in tobacco control combines multiple environmental changes with multiple programs directed at individuals in different stages of the initiation and cessation
processes. It recognizes that no single approach is best for all smokers and that different smokers are most attracted to and most affected by different programs. Perhaps more importantly, it recognizes that no single channel reaches all smokers and that no single time is best for all smokers to make an attempt to quit. The delivery of persistent and inescapable messages to quit, or to not start, coupled with continuously available support for individual cessation efforts provided through multiple channels and reinforced by environmental incentives to be a nonsmoker is what currently characterizes a comprehensive tobacco control strategy.

## Smoking Initiation and Cessation as a Dynamic Process

One formulation of the processes involved in cigarette initiation and cessation is presented in Figure 31. Exploration and initiation of regular use of cigarettes is largely confined to adolescence, with the transition from regular use to dependence occurring in late adolescence and early adulthood. Experimentation with cigarettes and initial use is heavily influenced by those issues that are active during adolescent development, whereas dependent use develops when the personal psychologic and sociologic utility of smoking is incorporated into the methods used by the smoker to function and cope in the adult world. Many adolescents experiment with tobacco use, but never become regular smokers; and some adolescent regular smokers will stop before they develop a dependence on cigarette use.

The vast majority of smokers would like to quit smoking, and the process of stopping is often a cyclical one, with the smoker making multiple attempts to quit and failing before finally becoming successful. Almost half ( $47.8 \%$ ) of California smokers quit for at least one day during the last year. Eighty percent or more of these attempts, however, did not or will not last a full year. We estimate that $7.1 \%$ of quit attempts among California men and $10.4 \%$ among California women will last one year. Smokers have been categorized into those who are not thinking about quitting (precontemplation), those who are thinking about quitting (contemplation), and those who are in the preparation and action phases of quitting, based on their position in this cycle of cessation.

Clearly, those who have attempted to quit and failed need to be motivated to make another attempt. A useful conceptualization of the cessation process is one where smokers cycle through the stages of cessation, and each time they go around the cycle, a few more become successful in their efforts. One goal of tobacco control strategies then becomes moving smokers from one stage of the cessation cycle to another. Program efforts can be focused on those individuals in a given stage with the goal of moving them to the next, rather than using long-term cessation as the only goal and outcome measure of a program. Correspondingly, one does not have to wait two or more years to demonstrate long-term cessation, but can use shifts in the stages of cessation and cessation attempts as a more rapidly available measure of the impact of a program.

THE PROCESSES OF SMOKING INITIATION AND CESSATION

NEVER SMOKER


REGULAR USE


Figure 31

## Influences on Initiation

The development of tobacco dependence is not sudden. The process of initiating tobacco use is a gradual one that probably begins early in adolescence or preadolescence. Figure 32 presents the first step as thinking about smoking cigarettes, and as children move into their teen years, a substantial fraction change from believing that they will never use cigarettes to considering experimenting with cigarette smoking. The omnipresent images from tobacco advertising of the smoker as a confident, attractive, and secure individual, as well as the examples in their own environment from adults' and older siblings' smoking are powerful inducements to lead children to perceive smoking as an entry into adulthood.

Counter-advertising that creates a negative image of the smoker as inadequate and less mature can be used in an effort to counter these influences. Approximately one-half of California adolescents recalled seeing an anti-smoking media message on television within the 7 days prior to the survey, and when print and radio media were added, two-thirds of the adolescents were exposed to an anti-smoking message.

The transition from thinking about smoking to having the first cigarette may not lead irreversibly to becoming an adult smoker, but is clearly an important milestone in that passage. The widespread availability of cigarettes to teenagers, and particularly the free promotional distribution of cigarettes, many of which are either given to teens or wind up in the hands of teenagers, clearly facilitate experimentation with cigarette use. Almost one-half of 12- to 14-year-old adolescents and over three-quarters of 15 - to 17 -year-old adolescents report that it is easy to purchase cigarettes. Programs that immunize teens against peers offering cigarettes by assertiveness training and modeling of refusal responses can be used to block this stage of initiation. Access to cigarettes can also be reduced through community-based merchant education programs.

- The change from occasional experimentation with cigarette use to regular use of cigarettes is critical, because with regular use the adolescent first develops a body of experiences defining cigarette smoking as psychologically and sociologically useful. Clearly, the ability of the teen to purchase cigarettes easily, as well as the social rewards and peer acceptance of the teen's smoking behavior, is critical to the development of regular use. However, the images created by tobacco advertising may also play an important role. In California, $87 \%$ of adolescents were able to identify a brand of cigarettes as being the most advertised, and the two brands of cigarette advertising that are the most widely recognized (Marlboro and Camel) are also the two brands of cigarettes that dominate the market of adolescent purchasers of tobacco. The images of the smoker created by advertisements as a confident, physically and sexually attractive, successful, and secure adult are ones that may resonate strongly in adolescents who are desperately attempting to achieve and project exactly these images. The ability to superimpose these images onto an inadequate self-image makes adolescents feel better, at least temporarily, and they then begin to accumulate a body of experience using cigarettes to adjust their internal mood. This effect of advertising on self-image may explain why those adolescents who have the least external validation of their self-worth are also those who are the most likely to take up smoking.


Figure 32

Among California adolescents, $44.6 \%$ felt that smoking helps people feel more comfortable at parties and in social situations, and $33 \%$ felt that smoking helps people relax. One-third of adolescent female smokers felt that smoking was useful to keep weight down. These numbers clearly demonstrate the effectiveness of tobacco advertisers in convincing adolescents of the utility of smoking.

School-based health education programs and programs that raise adolescent self-worth and self-esteem, as well as efforts to restrict advertising and promotional activities, are directed at altering the transition to regular smoking. Raising the price of cigarettes for adolescents who have limited disposable income and the increasing social unacceptability of smoking, even among teens, are also barriers to this transition.

The transition from regular use to dependent use requires that the utility of tobacco use persist after maturity is reached and the pervasive concerns of adolescence dissipate. For the utility of the cigarette to persist, cigarette smoking has to be allowed in those situations where the smoker wants to use the cigarette. For the smoker to learn to use the cigarette to deal with stress within the worksite, he or she must be allowed to smoke when and where those stresses occur. If smoking is banned in the worksite, not only can the smokers not learn to use the cigarette to cope with those stresses, but they are also obligated to develop alternative mechanisms to handle stress that may be substituted for smoking in nonworkplace settings. Additionally, the socialization of an adolescent into the workforce may include powerful social reinforcement for smoking behavior, particularly in the military. Older role models and social norms that promote smoking can increase the utility of smoking for the young smoker and facilitate the transition to a dependent smoker. Conversely, the elimination of smoking from the worksite, and the development of workplace social norms that discourage smoking, may slow the development of dependence on tobacco and increase the development of non-tobacco related coping skills needed for successful cessation. Among California's indoor workers, $47 \%$ are currently working in environments that ban smoking totally or in the work area, and over half live in areas where there are some restrictions on public smoking.

## Influences on Cessation

The vast majority of smokers want to quit, and this desire culminated in an attempt to quit for approximately one-half of the smokers in California last year. The cyclical pattern of not thinking about quitting (precontemplation), thinking about quitting (contemplation), and preparing for and attempting to quit with success or failure generates a new set of nonsmokers each time a group of smokers passes through the cycle. One formulation of the process of cessation, and the points at which tobacco control efforts can influence the stages of cessation, is presented in Figure 33. This figure is a simplification of the effects of these tobacco control efforts, but is provided in order to give an overview of the interactions possible in a comprehensive tobacco control program, such as the one being conducted in California.


Figure 33

Many of the environmental influences and tobacco control programs currently active in California are intended to influence smokers at different points in this cessation cycle. Public information campaigns that present the risks associated with smoking are intended to move smokers from the precontemplation to the contemplation stage, as is personalization of the risk to the smoker through physician warnings. However, there are other reasons why smokers think about quitting, including concerns about being dependent on cigarettes and interest in being a good example. Recently, the negative image of the smoker and the social unacceptability of smoking have also provided strong reasons why smokers think about quitting. One goal of California's tobacco control programs is to alter the frequency and intensity with which these motivational issues are presented to the smoker.

The move from thinking about quitting to making an attempt to quit can be triggered by a variety of environmental stimuli. The tobacco consumption data for California presented earlier (see Figure 17) suggest that a change in the cost of cigarettes can be a powerful trigger for cessation attempts. Physician advice to quit, particularly around an acute illness, is also a powerful trigger for cessation activity, with $46.9 \%$ of smokers who were advised to quit on their last physician visit having made a cessation attempt in the last 12 months compared to $40.9 \%$ of those who have never been advised to quit. Media campaigns, particularly when coupled with cessation events such as the Great American Smokeout, are also able to trigger cessation attempts in large numbers of smokers. Changes in workplace rules to restrict smoking in the worksite have been associated with quit attempts in substantial numbers of workers.

Triggering cessation efforts, in and of themselves, is an important tobacco control strategy, because each round of cessation activity results in a few more nonsmokers. The large fraction of smokers who attempt to quit each year is a testament to the success of those components of the tobacco control effort that are designed to move smokers from precontemplation to contemplation and from contemplation to action. The major gap in current tobacco control efforts is in converting a cessation attempt into long-term success.

- Self-help programs, telephone hotlines, and nicotine gum are all useful enhancers of short-term cessation success, and clinic-based cessation programs have a substantial benefit for long-term cessation for those who can be recruited to participate. However, the major barriers to long-term success remain difficult to alter, and, with the exception of addiction, are largely in the smoker's environment. They include social norms and workplace rules that promote smoking and facilitate relapse, the continued smoking behavior of peers and family members, and unusual episodes of personal or environmental stress that lead the smoker to fall back on old coping strategies, including smoking. Long-term success remains the most elusive component of a comprehensive tobacco control strategy. The prospect of continued changes in social norms, coupled with increasing restrictions on where smokers can smoke, offers hope that even this component may show improvement in the future.


## Measures of Exposure and Power for Some of the Components of the California Tobacco Control Campaign

Evaluation of the effectiveness of individual components of the campaign is difficult; multiple components may influence an individual's behavior, and components may interact synergistically to produce a greater effect than they would separately. Within these limitations, however, individual components can be assessed using two steps.

1. Estimating the fraction of the population exposed to the component e.g., fraction exposed to the media campaign, or the fraction who have been told by their physician to quit. Change in these measures demonstrates that the program components are reaching the target populations. Baseline estimates of these measures are available from the California Tobacco Use Survey, and future estimates will be available from cross-sectional survey data to demonstrate the increasing exposure of the target population to these components.
2. Demonstrating that those exposed to the component are more likely to be in a different stage of readiness to quit, to have made more attempts to quit, or have greater success in staying quit for 3 or more months. Additionally, changes in attitudes about smoking can be used as a measure of the impact of specific media campaigns directed at those attitudes.

## Public Information

The effort to inform smokers of the health risks of tobacco use is a major component of a tobacco control strategy because perception of the risks associated with tobacco use is often the first step toward changing smoking behavior. Transmission of information to the smoker about risks occurs at several levels: the smoker recognizes the risk to occur generally, the smoker accepts his or her own smoking as harming his or her own health, and then the true magnitude of the risk is perceived. National studies have demonstrated that $95 \%$ of smokers agree that heavy smoking is generally harmful and over $70 \%$ agree that any smoking is harmful. The percentage of smokers who agreed that smoking was harming their own health in California was $84.4 \%$. Agreement with this statement was somewhat lower among older smokers, with only $64.4 \%$ of those over the age of 65 agreeing that smoking was harmful to their health. Agreement was slightly lower among Asians and Pacific Islanders and tended to be slightly higher among Black and Hispanic smokers suggesting that there has been a relatively successful transfer of risk information to the target populations and that future informational campaigns should be designed to promote cessation and enable successful long-term cessation rather than to simply present risk information.

The potential for this knowledge of the disease risks to lead to behavioral change depends on the perceived magnitude of the health threat and the relative value placed on future health compared to current desire to smoke. This potential can be assessed by asking those smokers who agree that smoking is harming their health whether they prefer to smoke even if it means that they will not live as long. Of those smokers who agreed that smoking was harming their health, $43.7 \%$
prefer to smoke even if it means that they would not live as long. This percentage was similar across education and gender groups, but increased with age (see Figure 34) to over $50 \%$ of those over the age of 65 preferring to smoke even if it shortened their life. Black smokers were far less likely to prefer continued smoking over longer life, whereas Asians and Pacific Islanders were more likely to prefer smoking. This difference was consistent with the higher rates of cessation attempts among Black smokers and suggests that the sense of personal vulnerability to the disease consequences of smoking may be a useful motivation for cessation attempts. The data also suggest that programs targeting Asian populations should emphasize information on disease risks, but that programs directed toward Black smokers can presume that much of this information has already been received and personalized by the Black population.

## Those Who Prefer to Smoke Even If It Harms Their Health



Figure 34

## Tobacco Prevention Education in Schools

A substantial percentage of the funds for the California tobacco control campaign have been allocated to the schools to provide educational programs to prevent adolescents from becoming cigarette smokers. Support for this increase in anti-tobacco education in schools was assessed in the survey and was extremely strong among all segments of California society. Almost $75 \%$ of all Californians supported increased education, and support was almost as strong among smokers ( $67.9 \%$ ) as it was among nonsmokers ( $76.6 \%$ ) (see Appendix Table 46).

Among the adolescents in California, $72.6 \%$ reported having received at least one class in school directed at tobacco education. Older adolescents were slightly more likely to have received a class than younger adolescents, and Hispanic adolescents were less likely to have received a class than non-Hispanic adolescents. There were substantial differences across the state in the frequency that adolescents reported having received anti-tobacco education, with the frequency ranging from $61 \%$ to $78.4 \%$ (see Figure 35).

## Restricting Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke

## Exposure of Children

One of the most important groups to be protected from exposure to environmental tobacco smoke are young children because their developing lungs are particularly vulnerable to damage caused by environmental tobacco smoke. Most of the regulation of tobacco smoking is directed at environments where adults live and work. Regulation of day care centers and schools is an important step forward in protecting children, as is sensitizing parents to the injury that their smoke is causing their children, but the best solution to the problem remains in influencing smokers to quit for their own good as well as that of their children. From $26 \%$ to $40 \%$ of children under the age of 5 years live in homes where there are one or more smokers, and the exposure of these children varied substantially across the geographic Regions of the state (see Figure 36). These children will be major beneficiaries of the tobacco control effort in California (see Appendix Table 18).

## Worksite

Restricting the locations where smoking is allowed is an important part of a tobacco control program because it limits exposure for the nonsmoker, creates an environment where smokers are encouraged to quit, and, once they have quit, makes it more likely that they will be successful. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke can occur either at home or in the workplace. This survey examines exposure in the workplace by asking those nonsmokers who work outside the home in an indoor work setting whether anyone had smoked in their immediate work environment within the last 2 weeks. Overall, $31.3 \%$ of those nonsmoking Californians who work indoors were exposed to environmental tobacco smoke with a higher percentage of men ( $38.3 \%$ ) than women ( $23.6 \%$ ) reporting exposure. Exposure was much higher among Hispanic nonsmokers $(42.3 \%)$. Blacks tended to report slightly less exposure (27.5\%). The largest differences in reported exposures occurred with age and level of education. Younger nonsmokers and those with less education were much more likely to be exposed to tobacco smoke at work (Figure 37 and Figure 38), possibly because they are also less likely to have control over their immediate work environment. It is these groups that are most likely to benefit from efforts to restrict smoking in the workplace. The increased exposure of those who are younger and have less education was more pronounced in males than in females (see Appendix Table 19).

## Fraction of Teens Receiving Anti-Tobacco Education
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# Exposure of Children 5 and Under to Tobacco Smoke at Home 
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Fraction of Nonsmokers Who are Exposed to Tobacco Smoke at Work


Males $\quad$ Females
Figure 37
Fraction of Nonsmokers Who are Exposed to Tobacco Smoke at Work
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Figure 38

Exposure to smoke at the worksite also varied substantially among the different Counties and Regions in this survey, from a low of $18.1 \%$ for nonsmoking workers exposed in Sacramento County to a high of $38.5 \%$ in Riverside County (see Figure 39). This marked variation among Counties in the percentage of workers exposed to cigarette smoke suggests there can be substantial progress achieved by disseminating the voluntary and regulatory approaches already enacted in those Counties with the lowest rates of workplace exposure.

## Non-Smoker Exposure

## to Tobacco Smoke at Work



Figure 39

## Percentage of Those Who Are Willing to Ask Someone Not to Smoke


$\square$ Recently Asked $\square$ Not Recently Asked $\square$ Not Willing to Ask

Figure 40
The increased workplace smoke exposure of younger and less educated nonsmokers, as well as of Hispanic nonsmokers, was not the result of a greater tolerance of smoke exposure by these groups. Among all nonsmokers, $87.4 \%$ would be willing to ask someone not to smoke and $59.2 \%$ have asked someone to stop smoking recently. This measure of nonsmoker activism was similar among men and women and was equally strong among individuals at different educational levels. Hispanic nonsmokers were even more likely than non-Hispanics (see Figure 40) to have recently asked someone not to smoke (65.3\%), and younger nonsmokers were more likely to be willing to ask and to have recently asked than older nonsmokers. These data suggest that "common courtesy" and voluntary programs to restrict smoking at the worksite are not effective in preventing exposure of nonsmokers to cigarette smoke at work. The groups who most frequently reported exposure were also the groups who were most active in asking smokers not to smoke, indicating that their activism has not been successful in protecting them from smoke exposure. This provides a strong argument for encouraging regulations to control smoking at the worksite rather than relying on voluntary programs to protect these groups of nonsmoking workers (see Appendix Table 27).

The fraction of the indoor working population that is covered by different types of worksite restrictions is presented in Figure 41. Overall, $37.4 \%$ of indoor workers worked in environments that have no restrictions on smoking and $30.1 \%$ worked where there was a total ban on smoking. The likelihood that a worksite would have restrictions on smoking was related to the size of the

## Types of Worksite Restrictions



Figure 41
Nonsmoker Exposure to Smoke in the Workplace by Level of Restriction


Figure 42
worksite. Worksites with 50 or more employees were more likely to have any policy that restricts smoking and it was more likely that the policy was a total ban. The percentage of nonsmokers who were exposed to environmental tobacco smoke in the worksite was also related to the level of workplace restriction. Figure 42 presents the percentage of nonsmoking workers who reported exposure to cigarette smoke at work for worksites with different levels of restriction of smoking. Restrictions less than a ban in the work area appear to have little effect on reducing workplace exposure. A ban on smoking in the work area substantially lowered the percentage of workers who reported exposure, but a total ban dropped the level of exposure to less than one-half of that which occurred where there was a ban only in the work areas. However, even with a complete ban, $10 \%$ of workers reported that they are exposed at work (see Appendix Table 21).

The major reason for restrictions on smoking at work is protection of nonsmokers from exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. However, an influence of restrictions on smoking in the worksite on prevalence of smoking would also be of public health importance. Figure 43 shows the distribution of current, former and never smokers who worked in worksites with different types of worksite restrictions. The prevalence of smoking was related to the extent of restriction in the worksite and the relationship was somewhat stronger for men than it was for women. The difference in the prevalence of smoking by level of worksite restriction was largely due to differences among daily smokers, with no clear difference noted for occasional smokers. The difference in current smoking prevalence was primarily due to the larger fraction of never smokers working in those areas where there were greater restrictions, rather than to the number of smokers who had quit. This suggests that either worksites with restrictions on smoking tend to attract nonsmokers, or restrictions are easier to implement in those worksites with fewer smokers (see Appendix Table 22).

A more direct way of examining the question of whether restrictions on smoking in the worksite influence smoking cessation is to look at the cessation behavior of those who were smoking one year prior to the survey to see whether those who work in worksites with greater restrictions are more likely to have attempted to quit. Perhaps even more important than the rate of quit attempts may be the rate of long-term success. Restricting the opportunity to smoke at work may reduce the chance of smokers' relapsing when they try to quit. Figure 44 presents the fraction of smokers who have made a quit attempt (the total height of the bar) for males and females who were smoking one year prior to the survey. When worksites with different levels of smoking restriction were examined, there was little consistent difference in the fraction of workers who attempted to quit. However, when the fraction of those smoking one year ago who are currently not smoking was examined, it appears that males who work in those environments where smoking is restricted were more likely to be currently successful in their attempts to quit. When long-term success was examined, $8.1 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ of those men who were smoking one year ago had quit for $3+$ months in worksites where there was a total ban or a ban in the work area, compared with $4.9 \%$ and $6.3 \%$ of those who work where there were lesser restrictions or no restrictions. There did not appear to be a similar effect for women either for quit attempts or for successful cessation (see Appendix Table 26).


Figure 43
Fraction of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt by Level of Ban


Figure 44

## Ordinances

Ordinances that restrict the locations where smoking is allowed cover the entire population living or working in the jurisdiction that enacts the ordinance. Ordinances may mandate policies in worksites, but they frequently also cover restaurants and other public locations, and often reflect the social attitudes toward smoking. When smokers who live in areas where there were strong ordinances were compared to smokers who live in areas where there were no ordinances, there was a slightly greater readiness to quit among those who lived in areas with strong ordinances; there were fewer smokers who are in the precontemplation stage and more smokers in the preparation stage. This difference in readiness to quit did not translate into a greater frequency of quit attempts or an improvement in the rate of long-term cessation in those who attempted to quit. It appears that the impact of ordinances that restrict smoking on the individual smoker may be largely to get them to think about quitting rather than to actually make an attempt.

> Effect of Local Ordinances Restricting Smoking in the Workplace on the Reported Extent of Workplace Policles Restricting Smoking

|  | Workplace Policy |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength of <br> Ordinance by <br> Worksite <br> TotalTotal <br> Ban | Work <br> Area <br> Ban | Lesser <br> Restrictions | No <br> Restrictions |  |
| Strong Ordinance | 30.1 | 16.9 | 15.6 | 37.4 |
| Weak Ordinance | 38.2 | 19.2 | 16.8 | 25.8 |
| No Ordinance | 29.5 | 18.9 | 15.3 | 31.2 |
|  |  | 15.4 | 15.3 | 36.8 |

Table VI

Local ordinances may have a greater impact on the exposure of nonsmokers to environmental tobacco smoke through a combination of increasing the likelihood that worksites would have a ban on smoking at least in the work area and increasing the likelihood that individual smokers would obey the restrictions that are present in the worksite. Table VI presents the influence of local ordinances on the likelihood that an individual working in that jurisdiction would be working in a worksite that had a policy restricting smoking. Even in those areas where there were strong ordinances, that is, ones that would require that the work area be smoke free, only $57.4 \%$ of workers reported that they were working in worksites that have policies that ban
smoking at least in the work area. However, the presence of a strong ordinance did substantially increase the chance that the worksite would have a total ban on smoking and reduced the chance that the worker would be working in an environment where there were no restrictions on smoking. Clearly the presence of a strong ordinance appears to facilitate the adoption of workplace policies that protect the nonsmoker.

There appears to be an interaction between the presence of a policy protecting the worker in a worksite and the existence of a local ordinance that restricts smoking for reducing the exposure of nonsmokers to cigarette smoke in the work environment. Table VII presents the influences of local ordinances and worksite policies on the percentage of nonsmokers who reported being exposed to cigarette smoke in their workplace during the 2 weeks prior to the survey interview. Even in worksites with a total ban on smoking, the exposure of a nonsmoker to cigarette smoke within the two weeks prior to the interview increased from $8.2 \%$ in those areas with a strong

Percentage of Nonsmokers Exposed to Environmental Tobacco Smoke
at Worksites With Different Policies Restricting Smoking by the
Strength of the Local Ordinance Restricting Smoking in the Workplace

| Total <br> Ban |  |  |  | Work <br> Area <br> Ban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ordinance Class | Lesser <br> Restrictions | No <br> Restrictions |  |  |
|  | Percent Exposed |  |  |  |
|  | 10.0 | 24.2 | 50.1 | 52.3 |
| Strong <br> Ordinance | 8.2 | 16.7 | 48.9 | 50.9 |
| Weak Ordinance | 10.9 | 29.0 | 45.5 | 46.1 |
| No Ordinance | 12.2 | 29.2 | 53.1 | 61.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table VII
ordinance to $12.2 \%$ in those areas with no ordinance. Conversely, in those worksites where there was no policy restricting smoking, the rate of exposure declined from $61.6 \%$ in those areas where there was no ordinance to $50.9 \%$ in those areas with a strong ordinance. It is clear that the more powerful influence on exposure of the nonsmoker was the presence or absence of a recognized policy in the worksite. There was, however, an additional benefit to having a strong ordinance even in those worksites with a total ban on smoking.

These data demonstrate that simply enacting an ordinance to protect nonsmokers in the workplace is not enough; a program to implement and enforce the ordinance is necessary to ensure compliance. However, changes in attitudes and norms that accompany a strong ordinance probably make an independent contribution to the compliance of individual smokers with a policy that restricts smoking in the workplace. It is likely that the effect of worksite policies on preventing relapse, at least in males, will be enhanced as compliance with these worksite restrictions improves.

## Social Pressure

The personal psychologic and sociologic utility of smoking for the smoker is critical to the continuation of smoking behavior. Negative social reinforcement for smoking, asking someone to stop smoking around a nonsmoker and excluding smokers from a group of nonsmokers while

## \& Percentage of Current Smokers Who Believe Their Smoking Annoy Others



Figure 45
they are smoking are powerful social pressures leading smokers to want to quit. The vast majority of smokers ( $73.7 \%$ ) acknowledged that their smoking annoys those around them (see Figure 45), and approximately two-thirds would not smoke when they were the only smokers in the group. Interestingly, the fraction of smokers who would not smoke when they were the only smoker was almost as high for those who denied their smoking annoys those around them as it
was for those who acknowledged the annoyance their smoking causes. This suggests that the social pressure not to smoke is based on a number of social perceptions rather than being related exclusively to the issue of nonsmoker's annoyance with smoke (see Appendix Table 28).

The fraction of smokers who will not smoke if they are the only smoker increased dramatically with age going from slightly more than one-half of smokers in the 18 -to 24 -year-old smokers to three quarters of smokers over the age of 65 years. Women were less likely than men to smoke when they are the only smoker, and the reluctance to be the only smoker persisted across all the racial and ethnic groupings.

## Percentage of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt



| Won't smoke when |
| :--- | :--- |
| only smoker | | Will smoke when |
| :--- |
| only smoker |

Figure 46
The importance of social pressure is demonstrated by examining the frequency of quit attempts during the 12 months prior to the interview among those who reported that they do not smoke when they are the only smoker. The rate of quit attempts was $4.4 \%$ higher in those who respond to social pressure than it was in those who do smoke even when they are the only smoker. Women were more responsive to social pressure not to smoke when they were the only smoker. The difference between the frequency of quit attempts in women who would not smoke when they were the only smoker compared to those who would (6.8\%) was larger than it was for men (2.4\%) (see Figure 46). The difference in quit rates was relatively constant across age and educational groupings, with the exception of the highest educational level, where there seemed

Percentage of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt
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Figure 47
to be little difference in quit rates. There were some important racial and ethnic differences in the influence of social pressure, however, Hispanic men who rarely smoked when they were the only smoker were actually less likely to make a quit attempt than were Hispanic men who would smoke when they were the only smoker (see Figure 47). A similar pattern was also observed among Asian and Pacific Islander women. Asian and Pacific Islander men and Hispanic women responded to social pressure similarly to the rest of the population. These data suggest that social pressure may be an important stimulus to cessation and that a major target for programs designed to increase social pressure not to smoke are younger smokers. The data on Hispanic men and Asian and Pacific Islander women suggest that attempting to use social pressure to stimulate cessation in these groups may be less successful (see Appendix Table 29).

A different form of social pressure that is somewhat more direct is nonsmokers asking smokers not to smoke. This form of nonsmoker activism was common among Californians, with $87.4 \%$ of nonsmokers willing to ask a smoker not to smoke and $59.2 \%$ having done so recently. The willingness to ask someone not to smoke declined among the older age groups, but was higher among Black and Asian and Pacific Islander than among White and non-Hispanic nonsmokers. The frequency of nonsmokers who had recently asked someone not to smoke also varied by geographic area across the State (see Figure 48), ranging from a low of $50.7 \%$ to a high of

# Non-Smokers Who Have Recently Asked Someone Not to Smoke 
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$62.7 \%$. This behavior on the part of nonsmokers demonstrates the growing strength of the social pressures on smokers, and it may be useful to encourage the growth of nonsmoker activism in those sections of California where it is currently less prevalent.

## Counter-advertising

A major component of the California tobacco control campaign has been an effort to use the media to present a series of messages to the public. This survey was not designed to evaluate the effectiveness of that campaign, but several questions were asked about the perception of the media campaign that could be related to the attitudes and behaviors of those surveyed. The measure of exposure to the media campaign used in this survey was intentionally very narrowly defined as recall of specific media spots within the last 7 days, in order to avoid the generalization and recall problems that occur when longer periods are used. The use of this measure will underestimate the total penetration of some of the spots because they were not run continuously during the entire period that the interviews for this survey were conducted. They were, therefore, unlikely to have been seen during the last 7 days in many of the weeks that interviews were conducted. Broader measures directed at recall of the spots over the entire campaign would be expected to show higher rates of recall and may be better measures of the total population reached by the media effort. Our assessment of the campaign is directed at defining the impact of the campaign on the different target populations of the tobacco control effort.

## Recall of the Media

During the time of our survey (June 1990-February 1991), $13.8 \%$ of adults were able to recall the content of an anti-smoking spot that was part of the television campaign funded by the State of California, and an additional $10 \%$ were able to recall some anti-smoking spots on television. Overall, $61.7 \%$ of Californians reported some exposure to a media message about smoking. The recall of the California TV spots was higher among smokers, with $18.9 \%$ recalling the spots in the last 7 days. The recall of the spots was greater among younger adults than older adults (see Figure 49) and was highest among adolescents (see Appendix Tables 43 and 44).

When the specific themes of the campaign were examined, only four showed significant amounts of recall: Manipulation by the tobacco industry (6.2\%), passive smoking (5.9\%), health consequences for Hispanics ( $5.4 \%$ of Hispanics), and smoking and pregnancy ( $4.9 \%$ ). The recall of the spots on manipulation and passive smoking appeared to have the greatest recall among the 18 - to 24 -year-old smokers ( $11.2 \%$ and $10.7 \%$ recall, respectively). Black Californians recalled the manipulation spot at a comparatively high rate (12.3\%), and Hispanic Californians recalled both the Hispanic spots and the pregnancy spots.


Figure 49

## Response to the Media Campaign

One indicator of the media campaign's effect is to examine the relationship between the recall of the specific themes of the spots and the attitudes of the groups that they were intended to influence. All media messages appeared to increase the support for anti-tobacco education in the schools among those who recalled the spots compared to those who did not. The attitudes supporting restriction of advertising and promotion of tobacco products were actually slightly lower among those who recalled the manipulation spots compared to those tho did not, with the exception of a slightly higher support for enforcing the laws banning sales to minors. Individuals who recalled the passive smoking spots were more likely to be willing to ask someone not to smoke, and they were also more likely to have asked someone not to smoke recently. Recall of these spots was also slightly increased among smokers who agreed that smoking annoyed those around them and those who did not smoke when they were the only smoker. It is not clear whether the spots precipitated these differences in attitude or whether the individuals with these attitudes were more likely to recall the spots.

It was difficult to evaluate the effect of the pregnancy spots because the knowledge and attitudes about the risks of smoking during pregnancy were uniformly high, but the agreement that smoking increased the risks for the baby increased from $82.3 \%$ among the smokers who did not recall the spot to $86.4 \%$ among those smokers who did recall the spot.

Recall of the spots on the disease consequences of smoking for Hispanics was associated with a greater support for restrictions on advertising and promotion, but the very high agreement on the health risks for all Hispanics precluded any demonstration of a difference between those who did and did not recall the spots.

## Access to Tobacco

One of the preconditions for adolescent experimentation with tobacco and for the initiation of regular smoking by adolescents is the availability of tobacco products. It is against the law for adolescents to purchase cigarettes in California, and $96.3 \%$ of those adult smokers surveyed said that they would not offer a cigarette to anyone under the age of 18 years. However, adolescents report that they have very little difficulty obtaining cigarettes at stores and no difficulty obtaining cigarettes from vending machines. It is a sobering observation that the single largest preventable cause of death and disability in California is so readily available to children in frank and open violation of the law.

## Point of Purchase for Majority of Cigarettes for Teen Smokers
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Figure 50

The locations where cigarettes are purchased by adolescents varies somewhat by age (see Figure 50). Small stores are the most popular locations for adolescents to purchase cigarettes at all ages. The percentage of adolescent smokers who purchase cigarettes increased uniformly with age for small stores and supermarkets, but purchase from vending machines increased in the 14-
to 15 -year-old group in comparison to the 12 - to 13 -year-old group but did not increase further among 16- to 17 -year-old adolescents (see Figure 50). Since vending machines are the most expensive source of cigarettes, it is likely that 14 - to 15 -year-old adolescents purchase cigarettes from vending machines because they know that the purchase will not be challenged, and older adolescents become less worried about the difficulty of purchasing cigarettes from stores and so purchase them from the less expensive source. This suggests that vending machines may well play a critical role in the development of cigarette use by adolescents by providing readily available and secure access to cigarettes for the youngest smokers. This suggestion is supported by observation that adolescents are able to purchase cigarettes from small stores $80 \%$ of the time they attempt to purchase cigarettes, and $100 \%$ of the attempts to purchase cigarettes from vending machines are usually successful.

Adolescent perception of the availability of cigarettes may be an important environmental factor predisposing adolescents to experiment with cigarette use. Among the 12 - to 14 -year-old nonsmoking adolescents, $47.1 \%$ reported that cigarettes are easy to obtain, and the percentage increased to $79.9 \%$ among those aged $15-17$ years. Purchase of cigarettes is illegal for both of these age groups, but it is clear that neither group perceived purchasing cigarettes as a substantial barrier to their use. The perception of the ease of access to cigarettes among 12- to 14 -year-old adolescents varied across the different Regions of the State (see Figure 51), from a high of 64.8\% of the 12- to 14 -year-olds saying that access was easy in San Francisco to $36.3 \%$ reporting easy access in Contra Costa (see Appendix Table 34).

# 1 <br> Reported Easy Access to Cigarettes in 12-14 Year Old Non-Smokers 



Figure 51

## Physician's Advice to Quit Smoking

One of the most important components of a comprehensive smoking cessation effort is to encourage physicians to intervene in their patients' smoking behavior. Physicians have been targeted by California in an effort to increase the frequency with which they counsel their patients to quit smoking. Of those current smokers who had seen a physician within the last year, $35.7 \%$ of the males and $27.6 \%$ of the females reported never having been advised to stop smoking by their physician. Only $40.4 \%$ of smokers were advised to stop on the most recent visit (see Appendix Table 31).

The percentage of smokers who had seen a physician within the last year was much smaller for males than for females, and smaller for Hispanics than for non-Hispanics (see Figure 52). In contrast, the likelihood was somewhat higher for Black smokers to have seen a physician in the last year than for White smokers. The probability that a smoker had seen a physician in the last year also increased with increasing level of education (see Figure 53). It seems clear that the opportunity for a smoker to receive advice to quit from a physician varies with gender, ethnicity, race, and education; but it is not simply based on economic factors, because Black smokers were more likely to have visited a physician as were the higher educated smokers, while Hispanic smokers were less likely to have visited a physician (see Appendix Table 30).

The probability that a smoker would report being advised to quit by a physician also varied with race, gender, and ethnicity. The probabilities that a current smoker who had seen a physician in the last 12 months was advised to quit on the last visit, had been advised to quit but not on the last visit, or had never been advised to quit are presented in Figure 54, Figure 55, and Figure 56. Figure 54 shows that female smokers were more likely to report being advised to quit at some time, possibly due to a greater frequency of visiting a physician, but were no more likely to report receiving advice on the most recent physician visit than were male smokers. The most striking difference in reported physician advice to quit occurred among Hispanic smokers who were not only less likely to have visited a physician in the last 12 months but were also less likely to report having been advised to quit when they did visit a physician (see Figure 55). Hispanic smokers were also less likely to report ever having been advised to quit than nonHispanic smokers. Black and Asian/Pacific Islander smokers were slightly more likely to report having been advised to quit on the last visit than were White smokers, as were older smokers compared to younger smokers (see Figure 56). There was little difference among groups with different levels of education in reporting physician advice to quit (see Appendix Table 31).
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Figure 52
Percentage of Smokers Who Have Visited a Physician in Last 12 Months
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Figure 54
Physicians' Advice to Quit Among Current Smokers
Who Visited a Doctor in the Last 12 Months
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Figure 56

There were also differences in the fraction of smokers in different Regions of the State that reported being advised to quit by physicians (see Figure 57). The probability of smokers reporting that they had received advice to quit on their last visit ranged from a low of $34.8 \%$ in Monterey, San Benito, and Santa Cruz Counties to a high of $48.8 \%$ in Alameda County.

These data suggest that there is substantial need to improve physician interaction with smoking patients to promote cessation, particularly among those physicians who care for Hispanic patients. Physicians appear to provide cessation advice to less educated and Black populations with substantial frequency and, therefore, are one potential route to these harder-to-reach target populations. These data also suggest that physicians interact with approximately two-thirds of California's smokers each year and, therefore, could influence a large percentage of the smokers to quit if they can be mobilized to provide cessation advice and assistance.

## Effectiveness of Physician Advice to Quit

The goal of physician advice to quit smoking is to convince the smoker to quit for good. The steps in that process documented from this survey are a change in the readiness to quit in those patients who had received physician advice compared to those who had not, and an increased frequency with which current smokers advised to quit had made an attempt to quit compared to those who had not received physician advice.

Figure 58 presents the readiness to quit for those who reported receiving advice to quit from their physicians on their last visit compared to those who never received advice to quit. Fewer smokers who received advice to quit were in the precontemplation stage and more were in the preparation stage. The effect of reducing the fraction of smokers in the precontemplation stage was greatest among older smokers and was also particularly strong among Black smokers. It seems clear that physician advice was associated with the smokers intentions to quit (see Appendix Table 32).

The fraction of smokers who made an attempt to quit in the last 12 months was also higher among those who reported being advised to quit on their last physician visit compared to those who reported never having been advised (see Figure 59), with $6 \%$ more smokers having made a quit attempt. The greatest differential with physician advice occurred among Black smokers: $64.3 \%$ of Black smokers who reported having received advice made a quit attempt compared to only $38.4 \%$ of those Black smokers who reported never having received advice (see Figure 60). In contrast, there appeared to be little difference in quit attempts among Hispanic and Asian and Pacific Islander smokers who did or did not report receiving physician advice to quit (see Appendix Table 33).

The data suggest that physician advice to quit can improve the readiness of smokers to quit and can convert that improved readiness into actual attempts to quit. However, the effectiveness of physician advice appears to be concentrated in the non-Hispanic and Black populations, suggesting that better approaches for physicians communicating cessation advice to the Hispanic and Asian and Pacific Islander smokers need to be developed.

## Received Advice to Quit

## From Physicians on the Most Recent Visit
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Current Smokers Who Visited a Doctor in the Last 12 Months


Figure 58
Percentage of Quit Attempts in Last 12
Months Among Those Who Have and Have
Not Recieved Advice From a Physician
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## Percentage of Quit Attempts in Last 12 Months
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## Target Populations

## Adolescents

## Age of Initiation

Almost $85 \%$ of those who are currently smoking in California began smoking regularly prior to the age of 21 , and $41.2 \%$ began before they were 16 years old. The age of initiation for regular smoking among California smokers has fallen steadily during this century. For the most recent birth cohort of smokers (those born between 1960-1964), $91.5 \%$ of the female and $89.7 \%$ of the male smokers began smoking before age 21 , and $55.4 \%$ of the female and $50.1 \%$ of the male smokers started by age 16. There are major sociodemographic differences in the proportion of smokers who started smoking at younger ages. Asian/Pacific Islander and Black smokers were less likely to start early as were those in the highest education group.

Only a small fraction of smokers began to smoke after they reached age 25, a clear demonstration that efforts to prevent initiation should be concentrated on adolescents and young adults. However, it is also a demonstration that initiation of smoking is seldom a mature decision. The development of smoking behavior occurs during the turbulent and vulnerable period of development into adulthood or it does not occur. Correspondingly, the influences that determine initiation of cigarette use are ones that operate on adolescents and young adults, the major targets for the development of new smokers by the tobacco companies.

## The Smoking Initiation Process

The initiation of cigarette smoking during adolescence is viewed as a continuum of uptake rather than a sudden transition. Younger adolescents contemplate trying cigarettes, experiment with cigarette use, and may or may not then become regular users. There are two major goals of smoking prevention programs that target 12- to 17 -year-old adolescents. The first is to maximize the proportion of adolescents who never experiment with smoking. The second is to maximize the proportion of adolescents who stop smoking before they become dependent on tobacco. This second goal recognizes that experimentation with tobacco does not mean that a person must become addicted.

We define adolescent "never smokers" in this report as those who have never taken a puff on a cigarette. Contemplation of smoking is defined by the response to three questions: 1) Do you think that you will try a cigarette soon? 2) If one of your best friends were to offer you a cigarette, would you smoke it? 3) Do you think that you will be smoking cigarettes one year from now? Unless an adolescent answers all three of these questions with a response which indicates that they are confident that they will never smoke, we classify them as "contemplators."

A current smoker is defined as anyone who has smoked in the past 30 days. We define experimenters as those teens who have puffed on a cigarette, but not in the last 30 days, and who are not confident that they will not have another cigarette (using the three contemplation
questions described above). Former experimenters are those who have experimented and who are confident that they will not have another cigarette.

The process of smoking uptake occurs dynamically throughout adolescence and into young adulthood. The categorization of an adolescent as a never smoker who is not contemplating smoking defines that individual at only one point in time and is not a guarantee of future nonsmoking status. Individuals may move in and out of contemplation, in and out of experimentation, and in and out of current smoking as they progress through adolescence. However, the stages described here represent important transitions in the process of smoking uptake and, as such, are useful both in describing the differences among adolescents relating to initiation and in defining those populations of adolescents who are at greatest risk of becoming cigarette smokers as adults.

## Stage of Initiation at Different Ages

Figure 61 shows the progression through the stages of initiation for California adolescents of different ages. As expected, (see Appendix Table 16) the rate of current smoking increased from $3.3 \%$ of those aged $12-13$ years to $17.4 \%$ of those aged $16-17$ years. It is also clear that the category of "never tried, not contemplating" declined substantially among older adolescents,

## Stages of Initiation Among Adolescents of Different Ages




Figure 61
indicating that this category is not a firm decision among younger adolescents. The fraction of adolescents who were contemplating smoking also declined with age, suggesting that fewer adolescents began to think about smoking once they were over the age of 16 and again demonstrating that the process of initiation was already relatively complete among 16- to 17 -year-old adolescents. The fraction of those who had ever smoked a cigarette increased with age. The fraction of adolescents who were currently experimenting with cigarettes peaked among 14 - to 15 -year-old adolescents and then declined in the 16 - to 17 -year-old group, again suggesting that the exploration of smoking behavior occurs among younger adolescents and declines by age 18 years. It is likely that issues related to smoking initiation for older adolescents and younger adults relate to the social and psychologic utility and the development of dependence, rather than to experimentation.

For each of the three adolescent age groups, a relatively consistent $50 \%$ of those who had ever experimented with cigarettes were currently convinced that they would not smoke in the future, suggesting that less than half of those who try smoking will become regular cigarette smokers. Programs that focus on preventing the adolescent who is currently experimenting with cigarettes from becoming a regular smoker may be able to capitalize on and accelerate this phenomenon.

## Gender

In California in 1990-91, there were only slight differences between boys and girls in the prevalence of smoking, but girls were slightly more likely than boys to be in the "never tried, not contemplating" category ( $53 \%$ vs $47 \%$ ). Girls were also somewhat less likely to have ever experimented with cigarettes (see Figure 62).

## School Performance

As with adults, a major predictor of whether an adolescent smokes was educational performance. For adolescents, school performance (see Figure 63) was measured by self-described performance in school relative to that of peers. Current use and current experimentation were substantially higher among those teens who described themselves as average or below average students, and the percentage in the "never tried, not contemplating" category was much lower. The contemplator and former experimenter categories did not change across the school performance categories. This suggests that students who perceive their school performance as average or less are more likely to experiment with cigarettes, and those who do experiment with cigarettes are more likely to become smokers than students who perceive their school performance as above average. Clearly, students who see themselves as performing poorly in school are a major target for prevention programs.

## Smoking Status Among Adolescents by Gender
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## Smoking Status of Adolescents by Perceived School Performance



| Current smoker | Experimenter $\square$ Former experimenter |
| :---: | :---: |
| 蛧雨 Contemplator | WIIIA Never smoked, not contemplating |

Figure 63

## Race and Ethnicity

Hispanic male adolescents were less likely than non-Hispanic males to be in the "never tried, not contemplating" category ( $40 \%$ vs $50 \%$ ) (see Figure 64). However, there was no such difference among female adolescents in the "never tried, not contemplating" category ( $52 \%$ Hispanic vs $53 \%$ non-Hispanic). As a corollary, Hispanic males were much more likely to be at the higher end of the uptake process with $22.8 \%$ currently experimenting or smoking. Hispanic females had

## Smoking Status Among Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Adolescents
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Figure 64
lower rates of current smoking but higher rates of current experimentation than did non-Hispanic females. These data suggest that the difference in smoking prevalence between Hispanic and non-Hispanic adult females may diminish as the current generation of Hispanic adolescents matures into adulthood.

Black male adolescents were the most likely of any subgroup in the survey to be represented in the "never tried, not contemplating" category ( $65 \%$ compared to $45 \%$ for White male adolescents), and they were also less likely to have experimented with cigarettes. These data corroborate the findings of a number of studies that indicate that smoking prevalence is declining
rapidly in many young Black males. These differences between Black and White males in smoking behavior were less evident for females.

Asian/Pacific Islander adolescents of both sexes were less likely to be currently smoking cigarettes than White adolescents, and Asian/Pacific Islander female adolescents were also less likely to be currently experimenting with cigarettes (see Figure 65).

## Smoking Status Among Adolescents of Different Races




Figure 65

Just over half of Asian male (52\%) and female (62\%) adolescents were in the "never tried, not contemplating" category. However, both Asian/Pacific Islander boys (17\%) and girls ( $16 \%$ ) were among the most likely to be contemplating starting to smoke. Both these findings concur with the data on age of initiation for adult smokers and indicates that the smoking uptake process occurred later in Asian/Pacific Islanders than in other groups. The established pattern of Asian women smoking much less than Asian men may be changing rapidly. Asian/Pacific Islander female adolescents ( $6 \%$ ) were currently smoking at the same or slightly higher rates than Asian/Pacific Islander male adolescents (5\%).

## Use of Smokeless Tobacco

The use of chewing tobacco and snuff is predominantly a male behavior: $15 \%$ of 12 - to 17 -year-old males reported having used either substance prior to the survey, compared to just over $2 \%$ among females. The process of uptake of smokeless tobacco appeared to occur mainly between the ages of 12 and 17 years since the fraction of adolescents contemplating use of smokeless tobacco dropped rapidly with age among adolescents (see Figure 66). Among the 12to 13 -year-olds, $4 \%$ of the boys had experimented and another $15 \%$ were contemplating experimenting. By the age of 16 to 17 years, $28 \%$ of boys had experimented and the proportion
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Figure 66
who were contemplating was reduced to $7 \%$. The fractions who had tried or were contemplating trying smokeless tobacco changed only slightly with perceived school performance, in marked contrast to the large differences observed for cigarette smoking.

Smokeless tobacco is predominantly a White male adolescent behavior: $18 \%$ of White males aged 12 to 17 years had tried smokeless tobacco, compared to $8 \%$ of Black and $5 \%$ of Asian males.

## Experimentation with Smokeless Tobacco

 by Male Teens: Geographic Distribution

Figure 67

There were differences across Counties/Regions in experimentation with smokeless tobacco (see Figure 67). There were only three Counties with more than $80 \%$ of the 12 - to 17 -year-old male population in the "never tried, not contemplating" category: San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Sacramento. There were two Regions, Region 13 in the north and Region 15 in the northwest of the State, in which approximately $30 \%$ of male teens had experimented with smokeless tobacco.

## Smoking as an Issue in Schools

Four different questions on the issue of smoking in schools were asked of the adolescents in the survey. The first question was whether the school had a rule that banned smoking. All respondents were asked what proportion of students they felt obeyed this rule, the proportion of teachers who smoked, and whether they had ever had a health class on the dangers of smoking.

The vast majority of California teens reported that their school had a policy which banned smoking for students. However, only $45 \%$ of the teens felt that most or all students obeyed that policy. In particular, those who were older and those who had smoked were less likely to feel that most or all students obeyed the policy. This perception of the adherence to the policy varied considerably with County/Region (see Figure 68) with the lowest adherence being reported in San Bernardino ( $36 \%$ ), Riverside ( $39 \%$ ), and Contra Costa Counties (36\%). There were only a few Counties in which more than half the teens reported that most or all teens adhered to the policy: these were Marin, Napa, Sonoma, and Orange Counties (see Appendix Table 37).

Overall, one-quarter of the teens reported that none of their teachers smoked, and this perception was strongly related to their own age and experience with cigarettes.

Of all adolescents, $73 \%$ reported that they had ever received a class on smoking. Hispanics were less likely than other groups to report having received anti-tobacco education in school (see section on Tobacco Prevention Education in Schools).

## The Effect of Tobacco Advertising

All survey respondents (both adults and teens) were asked about the brand of cigarette they perceived as most advertised in magazines or on billboards. Approximately $87 \%$ of teen respondents were able to identify a cigarette brand. These data are presented in Figure 69 and indicate that recall of tobacco advertising was greater among adolescents and younger adults than it was among older adults. Marlboro was almost universally identified as the most advertised brand, with teenage Blacks the only exception. They thought that Camel cigarettes were substantially more advertised ( $30 \%$ vs $18 \%$ for Marlboro) (see Appendix Table 36).

Overall, tobacco advertising was more recognized among adolescents than among adults, but the pattern of recognition was quite different for Marlboro and Camel advertising. The identification of Marlboro as the most advertised brand increased throughout adolescence, peaking in the 16to 17 -year-old group before declining with increasing age among adults. In contrast, the
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## Nomination of Most Advertised Brand of Cigarettes in California



Figure 69
identification of Camel as the most advertised brand was greatest among the youngest group of adolescents surveyed (12-13 years old). In this group, recognition of Camel almost equaled that of Marlboro. The highest recall for Marlboro among the teens was among the current smokers, while the greatest recognition of Camel was among those adolescents who were contemplating smoking but had not yet experimented with cigarettes. These data indicate that tobacco advertising is preferentially seen by the young, but that all tobacco advertising campaigns are not the same in their appeal to youth. The smooth character cartoon advertising campaign for Camel cigarettes clearly targets the very youngest group of adolescents as well as those who have not yet begun to smoke. These data, when combined with the high proportion of young smokers who buy Camel cigarettes, strongly suggest that tobacco advertising is a major force in promoting young people to take up smoking.

## The Perceived Usefulness of Smoking

The tobacco companies spend considerable time and effort marketing their product to prospective users as something that is useful to the smoker. There are many cigarette advertisements that promote the image that cigarettes relax the smoker, help them to handle stress, help keep weight down, help the smoker feel comfortable in social situations, and help the smoker overcome boredom. Prevention programs need to know the extent to which these messages about the utility
of cigarettes have become accepted by adolescents. Five questions in the survey asked adolescent respondents whether they believed that cigarette smoking helps people relax, helps reduce stress, helps people feel more comfortable at parties and in other social situations, helps people keep their weight down, and can help people when they are bored.

More than half of each smoking status group saw smoking as having some useful function. As expected, the proportion was highest in the smoking groups, in which $87 \%$ of current smokers and $83 \%$ of current experimenters thought that cigarettes served at least one of the five functions designated. A considerably lower proportion of former experimenters (70\%) and contemplators (68\%) also felt that cigarettes served, at least, one of these functions. However, the lack of a difference between these two groups suggests that the utility of smoking is not a major reason people cease to experiment. As expected, the lowest proportion was in the "never tried, not contemplating" category (56\%) (see Appendix Table 41).

## Perceived Utilities of Smoking Among Teens
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Figure 70
Of the five utilities of smoking (see Figure 70), the one that ranked highest was that smoking helps people to feel more comfortable at parties and in social situations: $44.6 \%$ of the population agreed with this statement. The second highest utility was that smoking reduces stress: $33 \%$ of the total population agreed with this statement. Current smokers were approximately twice as likely as the "never tried, not contemplating" category to agree with each utility. This differential
was threefold for the "smoking relaxes you" statement, which was agreed to by more smokers than any other utility ( $65 \%$ ). One-third of female smokers (33\%) thought that "smoking helped keep weight down," compared to $20 \%$ of male smokers. These data are suggestive that tobacco advertising is quite successful in convincing teens of the utility of smoking and that this image of smoking may be an important reason for adolescents to become regular smokers (see Appendix Table 42).

## Tobacco Use in the Social Network

An individual's social network is made up of those who live in the same house, the immediate family who live away from that house and those who are classified as best friends. The presence of smokers in this social network is a strong predictor of whether a teen will experiment with smoking and become a regular smoker. The 1990 CTS contained detailed measures on smoking behavior of family members both inside and outside the home. It also asked the respondents to detail whether their four best friends of each sex smoked and whether they had a date who smoked. Thus, we could use a four-category scale which reflected the amount of smoking in the social network. There are those who have no family members and no peers who smoke ( $43 \%$ of California teens), those who have family members who smoke but do not have any best friends who smoke ( $21 \%$ of California teens), those who have no family members who smoke but do have best friends who smoke ( $20 \%$ of California teens), and those who have smokers both in their family and among their best friends ( $17 \%$ of California teens).

As expected, the more exposure in the social network, the more likely the teen was to be a smoker. Of those who had no smokers in their immediate family and no smokers among their best friends, $65 \%$ were in the "never tried, not contemplating" category and $15 \%$ were in the former experimenter category, with only $6 \%$ as either current experimenters or current smokers. If there was exposure in the family alone, the probability that the individual would be a smoker increased ( $13 \%$ current smokers or experimenters, $20 \%$ former experimenters, and $50 \%$ "never tried, not contemplating"). Teens who were not exposed in their immediate family but had best friends who smoked had a higher probability of smoking ( $29 \%$ were either current smokers or experimenters, $23 \%$ were former experimenters, and $37 \%$ were "never tried, not contemplating"). Those with both family and best friend exposure were the most likely to be smokers ( $40 \%$ current smokers or experimenters, $23 \%$ former experimenters, and $28 \%$ "never tried, not contemplating").

## Perceived Substance Use Among Peers

There is concern that tobacco use cannot be separated from other substance use. Many feel that tobacco use is a gateway for other drug use among teens. Accordingly, we report the peer exposure levels for three groups of substances. We asked respondents a series of questions about how many people they knew about the same age who smoke cigarettes, chew tobacco, drink alcohol at least once a week, get drunk at least once a month, smoke marijuana, or use drugs such as cocaine or crack. We collapsed the responses from these questions into three variables:
peer exposure to tobacco, alcohol, and other drug use. All teenagers were divided into those who reported that no peers use a substance and those who reported such exposure.

Reported exposure to all three substances varied with age: $40 \%$ of 12 - to 13 -year-olds and approximately $90 \%$ of 16 - to 17 -year-olds reported exposure to tobacco and alcohol, while $17 \%$ of the 12 - to 13 -year-olds and $66 \%$ of the 16 - to 17 -year-olds reported exposure to illicit drugs. There were no marked differences across gender, although it was noticeable that percentages for females were higher than those for males for each substance. Blacks (57\%) were less likely to report exposure to tobacco than Whites (68\%) or other groups, but there was no difference in reported exposure to illicit substances. Asians were less likely to be exposed to alcohol (57\%) or to illicit drug use ( $31 \%$ ) than were Whites ( $69 \%$ for alcohol and $44 \%$ for illicit drugs) (see Appendix Table 39).

Each of these variables on reported peer exposure was strongly related to the smoking uptake process. As expected, the "never tried, not contemplating" group was lower for each of these measures than the other smoking uptake groups, with $55 \%$ reporting tobacco exposure, $58 \%$ reporting exposure to alcohol and $31 \%$ reporting exposure to illicit drugs. Almost all current smokers reported exposure to both tobacco and alcohol and a very high ( $81 \%$ ) percent reported exposure to illicit drugs. These data support the hypothesis that tobacco use is part of a general substance use problem.

## Other Risk Taking Behavior

Adolescents were asked a series of questions designed to elicit whether they participated in other risky or non-health conscious behaviors. We report seven such items separately (see Appendix Table 40). The first question was an agree/disagree response to the question "Do you get a kick out of doing risky things?" Other questions sought how frequently they had been in a physical fight (other than in the family) that involved hitting, the frequency with which they rode a motorcycle and whether they wore a helmet, whether they wore seat belts in cars, and whether they had ridden with a driver who had been drinking.

For each question, with the exceptions of wearing seat belts and riding with a driver who had been drinking, boys were more likely to report risky behavior than were girls. Answers to each of these questions varied markedly with age, with the exceptions of being in a physical fight and riding a motorcycle without a helmet. With the exception of the question on getting a kick out of risky behavior, all risky behaviors were less likely to be performed by higher academic achievers.

Current experimenters and current smokers were much more likely to perform each of these risky behaviors with the exception of wearing seat belts, than were other teens. The difference across smoking categories was particularly marked for having ridden with an intoxicated person: Of the current smokers, $27 \%$ had taken such a ride compared to $11 \%$ of the current experimenters and former experimenters and $4 \%$ of the "never tried, not contemplating" category. Similarly, $52 \%$ of current smokers had been in a physical fight, compared to $37 \%$ of current experimenters and
$20 \%$ of the "never tried, not contemplating" category. These data suggest that smoking may be part of a more general risk taking behavior.

## Negative Social Attitudes Toward Smokers

We asked a series of questions that indicated how the social networks of teens viewed smoking behavior. The first related to whether their parents viewed smoking as a behavior of adults. This question asked teens to agree or disagree that their parents would not mind if they smoked when they were older. There were three questions that related to their own social preferences. These were: "Smoking turns me off," "I'd rather date a nonsmoker," and "I don't like being around smokers".

Responses to the question on parental approval indicated that there was strong parental disapproval of smoking; the answer to this question varied by smoking status, with $30 \%$ of current smokers agreeing with the statement and $26 \%$ of current experimenters agreeing. Only $10 \%$ of those who reported that they had never smoked and were not contemplating it reported that their parents would approve of their smoking when they were older.

On the three social preference issues, there were even current smokers ( $40 \%$ ) who indicated that seeing someone smoking turned them off, $68 \%$ who would rather date a nonsmoker and $34 \%$ who did not like being around smokers.

## Exposure of Adolescents to the Mass Media Anti-Smoking Campaign

The media component of the anti-smoking campaign began in April 1990, some three months prior to the first California Tobacco Survey (CTS) interview and continued throughout the interview period. California consists of multiple media markets, and buying schedules were not synchronized with the survey interviews; hence, it was not possible to match actual television buying schedules with the individual recall data. All respondents were probed extensively on whether they recalled seeing an anti-smoking television commercial during the past week (they were asked to describe the commercial), whether they heard anything on the radio or whether they saw anything in newspapers or magazines. It is important to emphasize that the recall refers only to the previous 7 days. Recall data is always subject to questions of accuracy relating to timing, and it is well known that accuracy of reporting is related to the time interval covered in the question. A 7-day period was considered a reasonable time period over which the reporting might be accurate. The result of using such a short time period, however, is that these data cannot be used to estimate the proportion of the overall population who were exposed to the media campaign throughout the campaign. These responses are best used to identify differences in the impact of the different campaign messages on different subgroups of the population.

One-half of the adolescents surveyed reported seeing an anti-smoking television commercial, and $25 \%$ described one of the CTS commercials. A total of $20 \%$ indicated that they had heard something on the radio, and $23 \%$ reported seeing something in newspapers or magazines. Combining the media, two-thirds of California teens reported that they were exposed to some
anti-smoking media in the week prior to the interview. There were only small differences across sociodemographic characteristics or across smoking categories in the proportions who reported exposure to any of the media.

Recall of the CTS anti-smoking commercials varied by the month in which the teen was interviewed. Approximately one-third of all teens interviewed between the months of July through October 1990 recalled the commercials, compared to approximately $15 \%$ for the months of December 1990 through April 1991, and $10 \%$ for May and June 1991. These differences in recall probably reflect differences in campaign buying schedules over these same months.

The television campaign consisted of numerous commercials that can be grouped under 5 separate headings: manipulative, passive smoking, health consequences, pregnancy, and general (see Appendix Table 45). To obtain these classifications, we submitted our characterizations of each of the commercials to the media advertising group for approval. Following this approval, these characterizations were used to group commercials around the themes. Trained coders were used to interpret the verbatim statement of the respondent into one of the categories for an actual commercial. There were several commercials that had themes other than the categories chosen; however, the proportion who recalled these commercials was not large enough to give them their own separate category. Approximately $9 \%$ of California teens recalled commercials in this general category. There were no major differences in any of the sociodemographic subgroups in recall of commercials in this general category.

## The Manipulative Theme in Television Advertising

The first category was those commercials which had a theme related to the tobacco companies' manipulation of people to smoke. Included in this group is the Tobacco Industry board commercial which was used to launch the campaign, the subliminal advertising commercial where the message of tobacco commercials was shown over background exhortations that the viewer should smoke and the Rap commercial which had Blacks singing "first you want us to pick it, now you want us to smoke it".

Of all youths, $17 \%$ recalled seeing one of these commercials in the week prior to their interview. This proportion varied little by gender, age, race/ethnicity or smoking status. However, this type of spot was more likely to be recalled by those who reported that their school performance was better than average ( $19 \%$ ) compared to those who thought it was average or below (14\%).

## The Environmental Tobacco Smoke Theme

A number of commercials were made which depicted people being exposed to environmental tobacco smoke by another person smoking around them. These generally concluded with the statement, "When you smoke, you are not the only one who is smoking." Commercials were produced with a kitchen setting, a bedroom setting, a living room setting, and a car setting. They varied in their depiction of the race/ethnicity of the actors, but generally it was women who were subjected to the environmental tobacco smoke.

Approximately $12 \%$ of teens recalled one of the environmental tobacco smoke commercials. Girls (14\%) were more likely to recall one of these commercials than boys ( $10 \%$ ), although there were no differences across age. Hispanics ( $8 \%$ ) recalled the commercial much less frequently than non-Hispanics ( $14 \%$ ) and Blacks ( $8 \%$ ) had lower recall than Whites ( $17 \%$ ) or Asians ( $15 \%$ ). There was a clear trend across perceived school performance with those reporting that they were much better than average ( $14 \%$ ), recalling more than the other two groups. Among the five categories of smoking status, smokers were the most likely to recall one of these commercials (14\%), with the contemplators being the least likely ( $10 \%$ ).

## The Pregnancy and Smoking Theme

The harm of smoking to the fetus has been a regular theme in anti-smoking commercials over recent years. The California Tobacco campaign made a few spots which also picked up on this theme. One commercial was in a delivery room, another featured a fetus in a smoking woman, and a Spanish language commercial featured an undersized baby with low birth weight linked to the smoking of the mother. Just over $5 \%$ of the population recalled one of these commercials. Girls (7\%) were more likely than boys (4\%) to recall commercials with this theme. Hispanics ( $7 \%$ ) were also more likely to recall these commercials than were non-Hispanics (4\%). Recall was related to perceived school performance; these commercials were more likely to be recalled by those who perceived their performance as average or below ( $6 \%$ ) than by those who believed they were performing much better than average (4\%).

## Hispanic Californians

The pattern of cigarette use among Hispanic Californians differs markedly with gender. Male Hispanics had rates of current smoking ( $26.2 \%$ ) that were slightly above the rates for non-Hispanic males ( $25.5 \%$ ), but the rates for women of Hispanic origin ( $12.5 \%$ ) were substantially lower than those for non-Hispanic females ( $20 \%$ ). The current prevalence of smoking for Hispanic males and females in relation to the estimated 1988 prevalence (obtained using a backward extrapolation from the 1990 prevalence and quit-rates) and the 1999 smoking prevalence targets for California are presented in Figure 71. It appears that the decline in
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smoking prevalence is slightly greater than that needed to achieve the proposed goals. The prevalence of smoking among Hispanics may vary with geographic Region within California (see Figure 72), ranging from a low of $15.3 \%$ in Marin, Napa, and Sonoma Counties to a high of $24 \%$ in Contra Costa County.

Figure 73 shows the distribution of current, former, and never smokers among Hispanic Californians compared to the adult population of California and to the non-Hispanic White population. The distribution in a subgroup of Hispanics, those of Mexican origin, is also presented. The current prevalence of smoking for Hispanics of Mexican origin was similar to the other male populations, but there was a somewhat higher percentage of Hispanic males of
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## Current Smoking Status <br> Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Californians
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Figure 73

Mexican origin who had never smoked. Markedly fewer Hispanic women had ever smoked cigarettes, and their prevalence of current smoking was approximately one-half of that found among non-Hispanic White females. The percentage of those who had ever smoked who were currently quit was similar for Hispanic and non-Hispanic women ( $55 \%$ and $56.1 \%$, respectively), but Hispanic males had a lifetime quit rate ( $52.8 \%$ ) that was somewhat lower than that of non-Hispanic males (57.4\%) or non-Hispanic White males (58.7\%) (see Appendix Tables 1 and 3).The similarity of the lifetime quit ratio for Hispanic and non-Hispanic populations is somewhat deceiving, however, because the recency of smoking cessation was quite different among Hispanics. A larger fraction of Hispanics had quit in the last 12 months. Figure 74 shows the percentages of former smokers who had quit within the last 12 months, within the last 5 years, and for 5 or more years. Hispanic male and Hispanic female former smokers were both more likely to be recent quitters than non-Hispanic former smokers, and Hispanic female former smokers were more likely to have quit in the last 12 months than Hispanic male former smokers. This demonstrates a recent impact on Hispanic smoking behavior that may have been related to the increase in the excise tax and the tobacco control campaign. This higher rate of recent cessation was also seen in the higher percentage of those Hispanic Californians who were smoking 12 months ago and have attempted to quit in the last 12 months (53\%) in comparison to non-Hispanic Californians (46.5\%) (see Appendix Tables 10 and 11).

## Percentages of Former Smokers Who Have Been Quit for Different Durations Among Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Californians



Quit Last 12 Months $\square$ Quit 1-5 Years2 $\square$ Quit 5+ Years
Figure 74

## Age and Education

The prevalence of smoking among Hispanic males and females of different ages is presented in Figure 75. The pattern for males is similar to that found among the California population overall, and the pattern for women shows a lower prevalence of smoking in all but the oldest age group.The prevalence of smoking among Hispanic males declined dramatically with increasing level of educational attainment (see Figure 76). Smoking prevalence for those who have completed college were only one-half that of Hispanic males who have less than a high school education. There was much less change in prevalence with education among women, but Hispanic women had lower rates of smoking than Hispanic men at all levels of education.

## Number of Cigarettes Smoked Per Day

The regularity of cigarette use among Hispanic smokers differs markedly from that found in the non-Hispanic White populations. Over one-third (36.3\%) of Hispanic smokers reported that they
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Figure 77
did not smoke every day, in contrast to only $12.4 \%$ of non-Hispanic White smokers. Occasional smoking is a common pattern for those who are just beginning to smoke and may also be common around attempts to quit or around relapse following an attempt to quit. Figure 77 presents the prevalence of occasional and daily smoking for Hispanic and non-Hispanic Californians of different ages (see Appendix Tables 5 and 6). The pattern of high rates of occasional smoking among Hispanic smokers does not seem to be limited to those smokers who are in the youngest age group, the ages at which initiation would be more likely to occur. Among non-Hispanic smokers, the fraction of smokers who were occasional smokers declined with age. In contrast, a high rate of occasional smoking was present among Hispanic smokers at all ages under the age of 65 years. It is unlikely that the difference in rates of occasional smoking between the Hispanic and non-Hispanic populations can be explained by the small differences in cessation attempts between these two groups, and therefore, there may be a cultural difference in the use of cigarettes among Hispanic smokers. The daily use of cigarettes is one of the essential characteristics of the addicted smoker, and the high proportion of occasional smokers among the Hispanic population may indicate that addiction is less common among Hispanic smokers.

Percent of Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Smokers Smoking Different Numbers of Cigarettes per Day
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This difference in occasional smoking may explain of the lower fraction of Hispanic smokers ( $57.9 \%$ ) who believed that tobacco is as addictive as other drugs compared to non-Hispanic smokers (67.1\%).

This lower intensity of tobacco use was also evident when the number of cigarettes smoked per day among Hispanics is compared to non-Hispanic smokers (see Figure 78). Hispanic smokers were much more likely to be smoking less than 15 cigarettes per day than were non-Hispanic smokers, and this lower intensity of smoking persisted even when the comparison was restricted to those who reported smoking every day.

## Cessation

When readiness to quit was examined among Hispanic smokers, it appeared that they were more likely to be in the preparation stage and less likely to be in the precontemplation or contemplation stages, suggesting a high level of interest in quitting among Hispanic smokers (see Figure 79). There was very little difference in readiness to quit between Hispanic males and females or between Hispanic smokers of Mexican origin and all Hispanics. This interest in quitting is correlated with the knowledge and beliefs about the risks of smoking. Hispanic
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Figure 79
smokers ( $91.8 \%$ ) were more likely than non-Hispanic smokers (82.7\%) to agree that smoking harms their health and were less likely to prefer to continue to smoke if it means that they would not live as long. Clearly, efforts to inform Hispanic populations about the risks associated with smoking have been successful as educational campaigns and are at least partly responsible for the increased cessation activity among Hispanic smokers.The cessation activity of those who were smokers 12 months ago is presented in Figure 80, in which Hispanics of Mexican origin are compared with non-Hispanic Whites and other Hispanics. The total height of the bars in the figure reflects the percentage of those who were smoking 12 months ago who made an attempt to quit smoking, and the bar is divided into those who had been quit for 3 or more months at the time of the interview, those who had been quit for $0-3$ months at the time of the interview and those whose quit attempts ended in relapse.

Hispanic smokers of Mexican origin had an increased rate of both cessation attempts and successful long-term cessation. Higher rates of cessation attempts among male Hispanic smokers were largely confined to those Hispanics of Mexican origin. Among women, Hispanic smokers had a higher rate of cessation attempts for both Mexican and other Hispanic groups, but only the Mexican-origin Hispanic women had an increased rate of successful long-term cessation. The rates of successful long-term cessation were only slightly higher for Hispanic males and were similar for males of Mexican origin and other Hispanic males.

These data suggest that tobacco control efforts directed toward Hispanic smokers will benefit from the low intensity of smoking and reduced frequency of addiction, as well as from the substantial level of cessation activity and short-term success that exists among the Hispanic smokers, particularly those Hispanics of Mexican origin. However, relapse continues to remain a problem for several years after cessation. Programs aimed at facilitating the maintenance of nonsmoking status for the long-term are likely to continue to be useful among Hispanic populations. The high prevalence of occasional smoking among Hispanic smokers may offer an opportunity to substantially reduce the prevalence of smoking among Hispanic populations, and this phenomenon needs to be considered when designing smoking-cessation strategies for Hispanic smokers.

## Percentage of Quit Attempts and the Results of Those Attempts for Hipanic Smokers
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## Black Californians

Black Californians of both sexes were more likely to be cigarette smokers than either the overall California population or the White California population (see Figure 81). Overall, 27.6\% of Black Californians smoke cigarettes: $30.8 \%$ of Black males and $25.1 \%$ of Black females. The current prevalence of smoking for Black Californians in relation to their estimated 1988 prevalence and the 1999 smoking prevalence targets for California are presented in Figure 82. It appears that the decline in smoking prevalence is on track to achieve the proposed goals.

## Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking Among Whites and Blacks



Figure 81

Figure 83 compares the rates of current, former, and never smokers among Black Californians to those of the total California population and those of the White population. The percentage of Black males who had ever smoked and had quit (47.2\%) was lower than that for White males ( $57.3 \%$ ). The difference between Black and White women was similar ( $45.6 \%$ of Black female smokers had quit, compared to $56.7 \%$ for White female smokers) (see Appendix Table 3). It is apparent that the higher prevalence of current smoking among Black males was largely due to the smaller number of Black male smokers who had quit rather than to an increase in the number

## Smoking Prevalence of Black Californians Aged 20+ Years
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Current Smoking Status of Black Californians

$\square$ Current Smokers $\square$ Former Smokers $\square$ Never Smoked

Figure 83
of Black males who had ever been cigarette smokers. In contrast, the fact that smoking prevalence was higher among Black females than among White females was due to both a higher rate of initiation and a lower rate of successful cessation among Black women.The examination of current smoking status alone obscures important differences in recent smoking behavior among Black Californians, particularly for Black males. Figure 84 shows a more detailed presentation of the cessation status of all those who had quit smoking and compares Black Californians to

## Percentage of Former Smokers Who Have Been Quit for Different Durations Among Black, White and Non-Hispanic Californians
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White Californians as well as to non-Hispanic White Californians. Of those who had quit smoking, a greater fraction of Blacks of both sexes had quit in the last 5 years and a greater fraction of Black females had quit in the last 12 months. This indicates a recent increase in cessation activity among Black smokers.

## Age and Education

The prevalence of smoking among Black males and females of different ages is presented in Figure 85, and the relationship of smoking to age differed markedly from that of the general population. Smoking prevalence among Blacks in the youngest age group (18-24 years old) was substantially lower than among older Blacks for both genders. It is not clear whether this represented a true decline in the rates of initiation among Black Californians, a delayed initiation among Blacks, or a biased'sample of the Black population in this age range.

## Smoking Prevalence Among Black

 Californians of Different Ages

Figure 85

The relationship between smoking prevalence and level of education was similar to that found for other groups, with a steady decline in prevalence for both sexes as the level of education increased (see Figure 86). However, the prevalence of smoking was higher at each level of education among Blacks of both sexes than it was in the overall adult population of California, suggesting that differences in educational attainment alone between the Black population and other groups in California did not account for the higher prevalence of smoking among Blacks.

## Smoking Prevalence Among Blacks With Different Levels of Education
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## Number of Cigarettes Smoked Per Day

The fraction of smokers who did not smoke every day was higher among Black smokers than among non-Hispanic White smokers but lower among Blacks than among Hispanics. The number of cigarettes smoked per day, by those who smoke every day, was lower among Black smokers than among non-Hispanic White smokers (see Figure 87). Part of the difference in rates of occasional smoking may be due to the difference in the rates of recent cessation attempts for Black and White smokers (see Appendix Tables 5 and 6).

## Cessation

Black smokers were more likely than non-Hispanic Whites to be in the preparation stage and less likely to be in the precontemplation stage of cessation (see Figure 88) (see Appendix Tables 12 and 13). Black smokers were as likely as White smokers ( $84.6 \%$ and $84.8 \%$, respectively) to agree that smoking was harming their health, but they were much less likely to prefer continuing to smoke if it means that they would not live as long.

Percent of Black and Non-Hispanic White Smokers
Smoking Different Numbers of Cigarettes per Day
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Figure 89 presents the rates and the results of cessation attempts for Black and non-Hispanic White smokers of both sexes who were smoking 12 months ago. The total height of the bars in the figure reflects the percentage of those smoking 12 months ago who made an attempt to quit smoking, and the bar is divided into those quit for 3 or more months at the time of the interview, those quit for 0-3 months at the time of the interview, and those whose quit attempts ended in relapse.

Percentage of Quit Attempts and the Results of Those Attempts for Black and Non-Hispanic White Smokers


Figure 89

Black men had a pattern of cessation attempts and success that was markedly different from that of other groups (see Appendix Tables 10 and 11). Black men were far more likely to have tried to quit in the last 12 months, but their rate of success was extremely low. Although $60.2 \%$ of Black male smokers tried to quit, only $4 \%$ of those who were smoking 12 months ago were currently not smoking. This contrasts with a rate of cessation attempts for non-Hispanic White males of $45.2 \%$, with $6 \%$ of those who were smoking 12 months ago having quit for 3 months or more. Of those Black women who smoked 12 months ago, $55.3 \%$ made an attempt to quit, and $9.3 \%$ had quit for 3 months or more.

These data suggest that the efforts to educate and motivate Black smokers to quit are very successful. The major problem faced by the Black smoker, particularly the Black male smoker, is to convert the cessation attempt into an initial success. Programs directed toward motivating smokers to quit appear to be far less necessary for Black smokers than efforts directed toward improving the rate of success for those who are already trying to quit. Programs designed to prevent relapse after a cessation effort should be implemented very soon after the attempts, particularly for those attempts made by Black male smokers.

## Asian and Pacific Islander Californians

The prevalence of smoking among Asian and Pacific Islander groups in California varied dramatically with gender. The rate for men ( $23.5 \%$ ) was only marginally lower than the prevalence for men overall $(25.5 \%)$ or for non-Hispanic White males ( $24.8 \%$ ). However, the rates for women were dramatically lower. Only $8.9 \%$ of Asian and Pacific Islander women smoke, compared to $19.1 \%$ of all California women and $21.8 \%$ of non-Hispanic White women (see Figure 90). Among the different Asian subgroups there are substantial differences in the prevalence of smoking, most notably a much higher rate of smoking among Korean males and

## Prevalence of Smoking Among Asian and Pacific Islander Californians
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Japanese and Korean females. Chinese females appear to have a rate of smoking that is lower than the rate of Asian women overall (see Appendix Tables 1 and 2).

The current prevalence of smoking for Asian and Pacific Islander Californians in relation to their estimated 1988 prevalence and the 1999 smoking prevalence targets for California are presented in (see Figure 91). It appears that the decline in smoking prevalence is that needed to achieve the proposed targets. The 1988 prevalence is a backward estimate using the 1990 prevalence and cessation rates.


Source: NHIS 1974-1988
1990 California Tobacco Survey
Figure 91
Smoking Prevalence Among Asians and Pacific Islanders
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## Age and Education

The current smoking status for Asian and Pacific Islanders of different ages is presented in Figure 92 and shows that the pattern for males differs from that of females. Male prevalence of smoking is highest among 25- to 44 -year-old men, with a substantially lower rate among males in the 18- to 24-year-old group. In contrast, the rates for the two youngest age groups of women are similar to each other but higher than those of the two older age groups. This pattern may suggest that there is an increasing rate of smoking initiation among younger Asian and Pacific Islander women and that the low rates of smoking currently found among these women may rise in the future as they adopt a pattern of smoking initiation more similar to that of other California women (see Appendix Tables 3 and 4).

The pattern of smoking prevalence among Asian and Pacific Islander Californians with different levels of education also differed markedly between male and female subgroups. Smoking prevalence declined steadily with education among males but not among females. This pattern may have reflected the competing influences of education and acculturation on female smoking prevalence. As women become more educated they are less likely to smoke, but they are also less likely to be as strongly influenced by traditional cultural stereotypes for female behavior. These two influences may have counterbalanced one another to produce the apparent absence of an effect of education on the prevalence of smoking. The absence of a cultural prohibition for male smoking among Asian and Pacific Islander populations may explain both the higher prevalence among males as well as the effect of education on prevalence among males.

## Number of Cigarettes Per Day

Figure 93 compares the number of cigarettes smoked per day by Asian and Pacific Islander smokers to that of all California smokers and non-Hispanic White smokers. Asian and Pacific Islander smokers are slightly more likely to be occasional smokers than non-Hispanic White smokers but are less likely to be occasional smokers than are either Hispanic or Black smokers. The rates of occasional smoking are similar for Asian and Pacific Islander males and females, but females in this group are less likely than males to be heavy smokers. Asian and Pacific Islander smokers are more likely to be light smokers than are non-Hispanic White smokers (see Appendix Tables 5 and 6).

## Cessation

The readiness to quit among Asian and Pacific Islander smokers was very similar to that of all California smokers or non-Hispanic White smokers (see Figure 94). It appears that a slightly higher fraction of Asian and Pacific Islander smokers were in the preparation stage. The fraction of Asian and Pacific Islander smokers who agree that smoking harms their health was similar to that found in the non-Hispanic White population (see Appendix Tables 12 and 13).

Figure 95 presents the rates of cessation attempts and their results for those who were smoking 12 months ago; the rates are presented for Asian and Pacific Islander and White smokers of both
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sexes. The total height of the bars in the figure reflects the percentage of those smoking 12 months ago who made an attempt to quit smoking, and the bar is divided into those quit for 3 or more months at the time of the interview, those quit for $0-3$ months at the time of the interview, and those whose quit attempts ended in relapse (see Appendix Tables 10 and 11).

The percentages of Asian and Pacific Islander smokers who made a quit attempt in the last year ( $53.6 \%$ of males and $49.2 \%$ of females) were slightly higher than the rates among Whites. Asian and Pacific Islander males were more likely to have been successful in their quit attempts than White male smokers, but that higher rate of success was not found among Asian and Pacific Islander women.

These data suggest that there is both a high interest in quitting and a high rate of successful cessation among Asian and Pacific Islander populations. An issue of concern, however, is the possible increase in rates of smoking initiation among Asian and Pacific Islander women.

Asians and Pacific Islanders


Non-Hispanic Whites
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Percentage of Quit Attempts and the Results of Those Attempts for Asian and Pacific Islander Smokers Compared to White Smokers


Figure 95

## Pregnant Women

Pregnant women constitute an important target group for the California tobacco control effort because more than one life is affected by the mother's smoking behavior, cessation at the ages when pregnancy is most common will eliminate much of the long-term disease risk for the mother, and since they already interact with the health care system cessation assistance can be provided at a modest additional cost. In order to assess the smoking behavior of California women during pregnancy, this survey interviewed all women who were currently pregnant or had delivered a live infant in the last 5 years. Over 5,300 women were interviewed, and $16.1 \%$ of these women had smoked cigarettes before their last pregnancy. Of those who smoked before the pregnancy, only $32.8 \%$ quit after becoming pregnant and $50.4 \%$ of those who quit relapsed either during the pregnancy or, more commonly, in the first 6 months after delivery. Younger women were more likely to smoke than older women: $20.9 \%$ of those under the age of $20,18.6 \%$ of the 20 - to 29 -year-old group and $11.5 \%$ of women over age 30 were smoking before pregnancy. Hispanic ( $7 \%$ ) and Asian and Pacific Islander ( $4.1 \%$ ) women were much less likely to smoke before pregnancy; women with at least a college education were also less likely to smoke before pregnancy (see Appendix Table 14). The prevalence of smoking prior to pregnancy among women who have been pregnant in the last 5 years varies across the Counties and Regions of California (see Figure 96).

Figure 97 presents the timing of relapse for women who quit smoking during their pregnancy. Over $60 \%$ of the relapses occurred in the first 6 months following delivery of the baby. Relapse after spontaneous cessation efforts or after attending a cessation clinic tend to occur very soon after the cessation attempt, with $70 \%$ of relapse after spontaneous cessation occurring within the first two weeks. The data on timing of relapse among pregnant smokers suggested that they were much more successful in attaining short-term cessation during pregnancy; however, once the pregnancy was over, they resumed smoking. This pattern may reflect women quitting for the health of the fetus with little intention of remaining quit once the baby is no longer at risk. If this is true, then intervention programs that focus on the risks of environmental tobacco smoke for the infant and the value of long-term cessation for the mother may have a substantial benefit. Regardless of the reason for this pattern of relapse, it is apparent that large numbers of pregnant women are able to successfully quit smoking during their pregnancy and that the time of greatest vulnerability to relapse occurs in the postpartum period. This is also a time of diminished contact with the health care system for the mother and a time when the contact with health care providers shifts from the obstetrician to the pediatrician. Relapse prevention programs that focus on the postpartum period and interventions that can be delivered as part of well baby care should be a high priority for this target population.

Awareness of the risks of smoking during pregnancy was high among all California women: Over three-quarters agreed that smoking during pregnancy would harm the health of the baby. A similar awareness ( $77 \%$ ) was found among women who were pregnant in the last 5 years. This awareness was higher among Hispanic (93.1\%), Black (85.3\%), and Asian and Pacific Islander ( $97.7 \%$ ) women who had been pregnant in the last 5 years than among non-Hispanic
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## Timing of Relapse Among Those Women Who

 Quit Smoking During Their Pregnancy

Figure 97
( $73.4 \%$ ) and White ( $75 \%$ ) women; women with less than a high school education who have been pregnant in the last 5 years had rates of agreement ( $77.3 \%$ ) similar to those of the overall population. This trend of these target populations for smoking education efforts (Black, Hispanic, and the less educated) to have high agreement with statements assessing knowledge of smoking risks also occurred when the statement involved the increased risks of smoking for women using birth control pills. Answers by Black, Hispanic, and less educated smokers indicate knowledge of these risks that equal and exceed those of the overall population. Clearly, efforts to inform these population groups about the health risks of smoking have been effective, at least for the risks associated with pregnancy and oral contraceptive use. This suggests that programs directed toward tobacco control for pregnant women should be focused on motivating cessation and promoting long-term maintenance rather than on just informing women of the risks. This appears to be particularly true for Black, Hispanic, and less educated women with whom previous educational efforts seem to have been very successful. These groups, therefore, seem to need cessation assistance rather than more information about risks.

# Public Policy Issues Related to Tobacco 

Much of the recent focus of tobacco control strategies has been on environmental influences that effect the uptake and use of tobacco. This survey examined public support for several policy changes related to tobacco, including further increasing taxes on tobacco, restricting tobacco advertising and promotional activities, and limiting access of minors to tobacco products (see Appendix Table 46).

## Tobacco Excise Tax

In the current anti-tax environment, the support for taxing tobacco was remarkable. Approximately one-half of all Californians indicated support for an increase in the tax on tobacco only 18 months after the tax had been raised by 25 cents per pack. The support was substantially less among smokers, but still $56.7 \%$ of smokers approved the tobacco tax either being left the same or increased and only $37.9 \%$ of smokers supported lowering the tax.

## Fraction of Smokers Supporting the Increase of Tobacco Excise Tax
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Examination of the support for increased taxation among smokers of different racial and ethnic groups (see Figure 98) shows that Hispanic smokers were more likely to support increased taxation than were non-Hispanic smokers. This counters the tobacco industry's argument that
these taxes are discriminatory and regressive, because it demonstrates that one of the groups most affected, the group of Hispanic Californians, is even more supportive of these taxes than is the general population.

Support for the tobacco tax also cuts across different levels of income. Smokers of all income levels were equally likely to support a further increase in the tax, and over half of all income groups supported having the same or increased taxes on cigarettes.

## Restricting Advertising and Promotion of Tobacco Products

Tobacco advertising and promotion are designed both to make the product attractive and to create an image of the smoker as a confident, exciting, sophisticated, and physically and sexually attractive adult in control of his or her environment. There is great concern that this image, because it is especially attractive to adolescents, will induce adolescents to begin using cigarettes. This concern has led to efforts to ban or restrict tobacco advertising.

A second major concern about tobacco advertising has been the targeting of women and Black and Hispanic populations. Tobacco use in each of these groups was much lower than that in White males during the first half of the century, and the disproportionate uptake of cigarette smoking by each of these groups during the latter half of the century may reflect the influence of specific targeting by tobacco advertising.

Over half of all Californians supported banning tobacco advertisements in newspapers and magazines, banning tobacco billboard advertisements, and banning tobacco company sponsorship of events. This support was greater among nonsmokers than among smokers and is generally higher among adult women than adult men.

Black and Hispanic populations, those targeted by tobacco companies, were substantially more likely to support a ban on tobacco advertising than were Californians overall, and the difference in support was most evident among smokers (see Figure 99). The high rate of cessation attempts by Black and Hispanic smokers, their support for increasing the tax on cigarettes and for banning tobacco advertising suggests that there is substantial resentment within the Black and Hispanic communities toward the tobacco advertisers for targeting their communities; this resentment appears to be greatest among those who were influenced by that advertising, became cigarette smokers and then found they could not quit.

## Restricting Access of Children to Tobacco Products

There is nearly universal agreement that children should not be encouraged to smoke cigarettes. Even among California smokers, $96.3 \%$ of the adults would not offer a cigarette to anyone under the age of 18. In spite of this attitude, the vast majority of those who become smokers do so before the age when it is legal to purchase cigarettes. Therefore, easy access to cigarettes is an important societal condition that facilitates initiation and early development of smoking behavior. Cigarettes are readily available to children for purchase through both vending machines and

## Fraction of Smokers Supporting the Ban on Tobacco Advertising
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over-the-counter purchase in violation of existing law. Moreover, the tobacco industry promotional activities include free distribution of cigarettes at events and by mail with minimal safeguards to prevent adolescents from obtaining these free samples.

The majority of all Californians, including $74.9 \%$ of the smokers, supported banning vending machine access to minors. The majority also felt that enforcement of laws prohibiting sales to minors is inadequate. Banning free distribution of cigarettes on public property was supported by $84.3 \%$ of nonsmoking Californians, and $78.1 \%$ felt that free distribution through the mail should also be banned. Well over one-half of the cigarette smokers, the only legal recipients of these give-away promotions, supported banning free distribution through the mail or on public property. As was seen in other public policy issues, the support for banning these give-away promotions was even greater among Black and Hispanic smokers.

## Appendix

Table 1
Cigarette Smoking Prevalence and Recent Quitting of Household Members from Screener Survey Overall
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Cigarette Smoking Prevalence and Recent Quitting of Household Members from Screener Survey Female

Table 2
Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener Survey for Target Populations

|  |  | All Californians (\%) | NonHispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | Blacks <br> (\%) | All Asians (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Chinese } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Japanese } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Filipinos (\%) | Koreans (\%) | Other <br> Asians and Pacific Islanders (\%) | $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 22.2 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 18.0 | 27.5 | 15.9 | 11.7 | 17.4 | 15.9 | 23.5 | 16.5 | 21,562,588 | 118,448 |
| Sex | Male | 25.5 | 24.8 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 24.6 | 30.6 | 23.5 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 24.0 | 35.8 | 25.0 | 10,465,195 | 57,524 |
|  | Female | 19.1 | 21.8 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 25.0 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 7.7 | 11,097,393 | 60,924 |
| Age | 18-24 | 21.4 | 25.9 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 9.7 | 19.7 | 12.2 | 26.9 | 14.6 | 3,271,952 | 20,406 |
|  | 25-44 | 24.4 | 26.0 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 31.3 | 18.1 | 12.4 | 20.3 | 21.0 | 26.1 | 17.3 | 10,168,495 | 55,491 |
|  | 45-64 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 21.3 | 23.0 | 15.9 | 30.8 | 15.3 | 11.4 | 16.8 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 18.5 | 5,105,892 | 28,190 |
|  | 65+ | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 9.6 | 16.3 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 23.2 | 7.5 | 3,016,249 | 14,361 |
| Education | <12 Years | 26.9 | 34.3 | 21.6 | 22.0 | 19.9 | 36.9 | 21.4 | 17.6 | 23.4 | 19.2 | 38.1 | 23.0 | 5,084,426 | 16,774 |
|  | 12 Years | 26.5 | 28.8 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 29.8 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 21.5 | 20.3 | 21.3 | 18.6 | 6,938,291 | 37,117 |
|  | 13-15.Years | 19.9 | 20.8 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 15.0 | 24.3 | 15.2 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 15.2 | 25.3 | 15.0 | 5,018,626 | 34,834 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 12.8 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 15.6 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 19.1 | 9.7 | 4,521,245 | 29,723 |

Table 2
Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener Survey for Target Populations Overall (Continued)

|  |  | All Californians (\%) | NonHispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | Blacks <br> (\%) | All Asians <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Los Angeles | 21.8 | 23.2 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 27.3 | 16.8 | 6,390,997 | 60,561 |
|  | San Diego | 23.1 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 12.2 | 25.9 | 22.7 | 1,841,173 | 3,885 |
|  | Orange | 19.3 | 19.9 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 34.3 | 8.4 | 1,800,620 | 3,654 |
|  | Santa Clara | 19.7 | 21.6 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 25.6 | 13.7 | 1,099,336 | 3,422 |
|  | San Bernardino | 26.6 | 27.5 | 22.3 | 23.7 | 16.3 | 30.5 | 14.4 | 975,617 | 4,082 |
|  | Alameda | 22.9 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 25.8 | 15.5 | 938,584 | 3,326 |
|  | Riverside | 23.9 | 25.2 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 23.5 | 27.3 | 12.1 | 838,632 | 3,715 |
|  | Sacramento | 25.2 | 26.2 | 21.2 | 20.6 | 22.9 | 30.4 | 13.2 | 748,489 | 3,190 |
|  | Contra Costa | 22.0 | 20.9 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 25.4 | 27.1 | 18.9 | 572,424 | 3,554 |
|  | San Francisco | 21.9 | 21.9 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 17.8 | 32.8 | 16.4 | 582,130 | 2,890 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 20.9 | 22.5 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 22.7 | 14.5 | 717,018 | 3,136 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 21.6 | 22.0 | 15.3 | 14.2 | 18.4 | 34.1 | 19.2 | 550,786 | 2,807 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 23.6 | 24.0 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 23.1 | 30.3 | 14.8 | 691,415 | 3,431 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 18.9 | 20.2 | 15.6 | 14.7 | 22.2 | 24.3 | 14.3 | 920,857 | 3,541 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 24.1 | 25.0 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 13.9 | 15.0 | 812,406 | 3,240 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 18.9 | 19.2 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 18.7 | 33.8 | 18.7 | 441,627 | 3,249 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 25.1 | 27.1 | 20.2 | 19.6 | 25.1 | 29.5 | 15.9 | 891,234 | 3,381 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 23.9 | 26.8 | 16.8 | 17.4 | 10.7 | 23.5 | 17.2 | 749,243 | 3,384 |

Table 2
Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener
Survey for Target Populations
Male

|  |  | All Californians (\%) | Non- Hispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blacks } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Asians } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Chinese <br> (\%) | Japanese <br> (\%) | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Filipinos } \\ (\%) \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Koreans (\%) |  | Population <br> Size <br> $(N)$ | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 25.5 | 24.8 | 26.1 | 26.6 | 24.6 | 30.6 | 23.5 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 24.0 | 35.8 | 25.0 | 10,465,195 | 57.524 |
| Age | 18-24 | 24.7 | 27.1 | 23.3 | 23.9 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 19.0 | 13.0 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 34.3 | 19.5 | 1,682,466 | 10,282 |
|  | 25-44 | 28.3 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.9 | 28.2 | 34.2 | 27.1 | 20.9 | 24.7 | 29.2 | 44.1 | 27.6 | 5,024,716 | 27,289 |
|  | 45-64 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 27.6 | 29.3 | 22.4 | 35.1 | 24.0 | 19.9 | 22.1 | 25.8 | 22.6 | 26.5 | 2,465,009 | 13,761 |
|  | 65+ | 14.1 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 16.6 | 12.9 | 21.4 | 14.0 | 19.8 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 60.6 | 14.0 | 1,293,004 | 6,192 |
| Education | <12 Years | 34.2 | 38.9 | 30.4 | 30.7 | 28.9 | 40.2 | 36.9 | 35.4 | 18.4 | 32.1 | 70.6 | 43.6 | 2,455,119 | 8,156 |
|  | 12 Years | 30.2 | 31.7 | 23.8 | 23.5 | 24.6 | 34.1 | 28.3 | 26.3 | 28.7 | 27.6 | 35.3 | 28.7 | 3,147,342 | 16,762 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 22.0 | 22.3 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 19.9 | 26.4 | 20.9 | 18.1 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 32.4 | 20.5 | 2,412,333 | 16,591 |
|  | 16+'Years | 14.2 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 17.9 | 15.6 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 18.9 | 31.0 | 12.7 | 2,450,401 | 16,015 |

## Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener Survey for Target Populations Male (Continued)

|  |  | nians (\%) | NonHispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | Blacks (\%) | All Asians (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Los Angeles | 26.5 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 25.7 | 30.4 | 25.4 | 3,096,401 | 29,432 |
|  | San Diego | 25.8 | 24.7 | 26.1 | 28.9 | 10.9 | 28.7 | 33.5 | 915,217 | 1,899 |
|  | Orange | 22.7 | 21.7 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 10.3 | 867,478 | 1,826 |
|  | Santa Clara | 21.4 | 21.4 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 14.9 | 25.5 | 21.6 | 544,106 | 1,695 |
|  | San Bernardino | 29.7 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 29.4 | 16.4 | 34.6 | 15.4 | 475,720 | 1,968 |
|  | Alameda | 26.1 | 25.7 | 27.3 | 24.9 | 32.7 | 31.5 | 20.2 | 444,472 | 1,615 |
|  | Riverside | 27.8 | 28.2 | 27.4 | 25.9 | 40.0 | 25.9 | 14.6 | 396,820 | 1,783 |
|  | Sacramento | 27.1 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 28.2 | 32.3 | 15.0 | 355,912 | 1,494 |
|  | Contra Costa | 25.1 | 21.7 | 34.0 | 35.2 | 31.1 | 32.5 | 29.0 | 272,790 | 1,706 |
|  | San Francisco | 25.4 | 22.6 | 23.8 | 27.2 | 21.9 | 38.6 | 24.4 | 296,774 | 1,468 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 22.6 | 23.3 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 22.2 | 25.8 | 18.8 | 340,759 | 1,533 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 24.2 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 47.0 | 26.9 | 263,244 | 1,359 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 25.4 | 25.7 | 20.3 | 19.2 | 24.5 | 36.5 | 29.2 | 337,614 | 1,662 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 20.2 | 19.6 | 21.8 | 20.6 | 29.4 | 23.9 | 22.0 | 448,143 | 1,755 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 26.3 | 26.9 | 22.4 | 20.5 | 38.9 | 19.0 | 17.5 | 396,105 | 1,569 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 19.9 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 21.0 | 35.8 | 19.5 | 221,354 | 1,562 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 29.2 | 29.4 | 27.5 | 26.9 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 30.8 | 430,411 | 1,611 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 26.9 | 29.6 | 20.8 | 21.9 | 8.5 | 22.7 | 34.4 | 361,875 | 1,587 |

Table 2
Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener Survey for Target Populations

|  |  | All Californians (\%) | NonHispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | Blacks (\%) | All Asians (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Chinese } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Japanese } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Filipinos (\%) $\qquad$ | Koreans <br> (\%) | Other <br> Asians and Pacific Islanders (\%) | Population Size (N) $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 19.1 | 21.8 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 25.0 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 7.7 | 11,097,393 | 60,924 |
|  | 18-24 | 18.0 | 24.6 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 17.9 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 22.9 | 4.0 | 19.9 | 9.4 | 1,589,486 | 10,124 |
|  | 25-44 | 20.6 | 24.1 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 29.0 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 16.3 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 5,143,779 | 28,202 |
|  | 45-64 | 21.3 | 23.8 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 10.1 | 27.3 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 13.4 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 2,640,883 | 14,429 |
|  | 65+ | 12.0 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 12.6 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 3.4 |  | 1.4 | 1,723,245 | 8,169 |
| Education | <12 Years | 20.1 | 30.3 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 11.9 | 34.1 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 28.8 | 11.6 | 20.9 | 5.5 | 2,629,307 | 8,618 |
|  | 12 Years | 23.4 | 26.5 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 12.5 | 26.4 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 17.5 | 12.7 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 3,790,949 | 20,355 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 18.0 | 19.5 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 22.6 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 19.4 | 8.8 | 2,606,293 | 18,243 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 11.2 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 2,070,844 | 13,708 |

Table 2
Currrent Smoking Prevalence from Screener Survey for Target Populations Female (Continued)

|  |  | All Californians (\%) | NonHispanic Whites (\%) | All Hispanics (\%) | Mexican Origin Hispanics (\%) | Other Hispanics (\%) | Blacks <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Asians } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Los Angeles | 17.3 | 21.3 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 24.9 | 8.6 | 3,294,596 | 31,129 |
|  | San Diego | 20.3 | 21.1 | 20.2 | 21.6 | 13.4 | 22.8 | 11.3 | 925,956 | 1,986 |
|  | Orange | 16.1 | 18.2 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 38.3 | 6.9 | 933,142 | 1,828 |
|  | Santa Clara | 18.1 | 21.9 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 25.7 | 6.2 | 555,230 | 1,727 |
|  | San Bernardino | 23.6 | 25.1 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 16.1 | 27.0 | 13.6 | 499,897 | 2,114 |
|  | Alameda | 20.1 | 22.7 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 21.9 | 10.8 | 494,112 | 1,711 |
|  | Riverside | 20.5 | 22.6 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 28.7 | 10.0 | 441,812 | 1,932 |
|  | Sacramento | 23.5 | 25.2 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 17.1 | 28.8 | 11.6 | 392,577 | 1,696 |
|  | Contra Costa | 19.1 | 20.3 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 17.9 | 22.5 | 8.6 | 299,634 | 1,848 |
|  | San Francisco | 18.4 | 21.1 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 27.2 | 8.9 | 285,356 | 1,422 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 19.3 | 21.8 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 19.9 | 11.3 | 376,259 | 1,603 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 19.3 | 20.5 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 14.7 | 20.8 | 13.2 | 287,542 | 1,448 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 21.8 | 22.4 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 20.6 | 26.7 | 5.1 | 353,801 | 1,769 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 17.7 | 20.7 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 24.9 | 6.6 | 472,714 | 1,786 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 21.9 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 17.1 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 416,301 | 1,671 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 17.9 | 19.4 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 16.4 | 30.2 | 17.9 | 220,273 | 1,687 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 21.2 | 24.8 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 18.3 | 27.8 | 2.5 | 460,823 | 1,770 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 21.0 | 24.3 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.6 | 24.2 | 6.5 | 387,368 | 1,797 |

Table 3
Current Cigarette Smoking Status of Adults
from Extended Interview
Overall

|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Smoker (\%) | 95\% Cont Interval (\%) | Former Smoker (\%) | 95\% Conf. Interval (\%) | Never Smoker <br> (\%) | $95 \%$ Conf <br> Interval <br> (\%) | Quit Ratio (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | 21.6 | $\pm 0.8$ | 27.3 | $\pm 0.8$ | 51.2 | $\pm 1.0$ | 55.9 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |
|  | Male | 24.5 | $\pm 1.0$ | 31.7 | $\pm 1.3$ | 43.9 | $\pm 1.5$ | 56.4 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
|  | Female | 18.7 | $\pm 0.9$ | 23.0 | $\pm 1.0$ | 58.3 | $\pm 1.0$ | 55.1 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 22.4 | $\pm 2.0$ | 12.3 | $\pm 1.4$ | 65.3 | $\pm 2.1$ | 35.5 | 3,272,647 | 4,201 |
|  | 25-44 | 23.7 | $\pm 1.1$ | 22.7 | $\pm 1.1$ | 53.6 | $\pm 1.4$ | 48.8 | 10,169,556 | 13,076 |
|  | 45-64 | 21.8 | $\pm 1.4$ | 37.6 | $\pm 2.1$ | 40.6 | $\pm 2.3$ | 63.2 | 5,272,304 | 6,700 |
|  | 65+ | 12.3 | $\pm 1.7$ | 41.9 | $\pm 3.1$ | 45.8 | $\pm 3.3$ | 77.4 | 2,846,132 | 2,838 |
|  | Hispanic | 18.2 | $\pm 2.1$ | 21.3 | $\pm 1.8$ | 60.5 | $\pm 2.5$ | 54.0 | 4,843,051 | 3,482 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 22.5 | $\pm 1.0$ | 29.0 | $\pm 0.9$ | 48.4 | $\pm 1.2$ | 56.3 | 16,717,588 | 23,333 |
| Race | White | 21.4 | $\pm 0.9$ | 28.4 | $\pm 0.8$ | 50.2 | $\pm 1.1$ | 57.0 | 17,853,059 | 21,181 |
|  | Black | 28.7 | $\pm 4.1$ | 24.9 | $\pm 5.4$ | 46.3 | $\pm 5.7$ | 46.5 | 1,389,458 | 1,278 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 14.5 | $\pm 1.5$ | 19.4 | $\pm 2.7$ | 66.1 | $\pm 3.1$ | 57.2 | 1,805,099 | 3,725 |
|  | Other | 31.7 | $\pm 2.6$ | 22.1 | $\pm 2.4$ | 46.2 | $\pm 3.4$ | 41.0 | 513,023 | 631 |
| Education | <12 Years | 25.9 | $\pm 2.0$ | 27.5 | $\pm 2.5$ | 46.6 | $\pm 2.3$ | 51.5 | 5,081,709 | 3,108 |
|  | 12 Years | 24.6 | $\pm 1.1$ | 26.5 | $\pm 1.4$ | 48.9 | $\pm 1.4$ | 51.9 | 6,940,794 | 8,451 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 21.0 | $\pm 1.4$ | 26.7 | $\pm 1.2$ | 52.4 | $\pm 1.9$ | 55.9 | 4,995,097 | 8,536 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 12.7 | $\pm 1.0$ | 28.9 | $\pm 1.3$ | 58.4 | $\pm 1.7$ | 69.5 | 4,543,039 | 6,720 |

## Table 3

## Current Cigarette Smoking Status of Adults from Extended Interview Overall (Continued)

## Table 3

## Current Cigarette Smoking Status of Adults from Extended Interview Male

Smoking Status

|  |  | Current Smoker (\%) | 95\% Conf Interval (\%) | Former Smoker <br> (\%) | 95\% Conf. Interval (\%) | Never Smoker <br> (\%) | 95\% Cont Interval (\%) | Quit Ratio (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 24.5 | $\pm 1.0$ | 31.7 | $\pm 1.3$ | 43.9 | $\pm 1.5$ | 56.4 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
| Age | 18-24 | 25.5 | $\pm 2.8$ | 12.2 | $\pm 2.2$ | 62.3 | $\pm 3.1$ | 32.3 | 1,758,830 | 2,120 |
|  | 25-44 | 26.8 | $\pm 1.6$ | 25.5 | $\pm 1.4$ | 47.8 | $\pm 1.8$ | 48.8 | 5,161,525 | 6,326 |
|  | 45-64 | 24.0 | $\pm 2.3$ | 44.7 | $\pm 2.9$ | 31.3 | $\pm 3.3$ | 65.0 | 2,543,334 | 3,152 |
|  | $65+$ | 13.9 | $\pm 2.5$ | 59.1 | $\pm 5.6$ | 27.0 | $\pm 5.3$ | 81.0 | 1,194,870 | 1,157 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 24.4 | $\pm 3.4$ | 27.3 | $\pm 3.4$ | 48.3 | $\pm 3.5$ | 52.8 | 2,420,233 | 1,785 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 24.5 | $\pm 1.3$ | 32.9 | $\pm 1.5$ | 42.6 | $\pm 1.8$ | 57.4 | 8,238,326 | 10,970 |
| Race | White | 24.2 | $\pm 1.2$ | 32.4 | $\pm 1.3$ | 43.4 | $\pm 1.7$ | 57.3 | 8,790,290 | 9,939 |
|  | Black | 30.9 | $\pm 6.3$ | 27.7 | $\pm 7.8$ | 41.4 | $\pm 9.3$ | 47.2 | 670,584 | 576 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 19.5 | $\pm 2.3$ | 28.0 | $\pm 4.1$ | 52.5 | $\pm 4.1$ | 59.0 | 930,910 | 1,923 |
|  | Other | 34.1 | $\pm 4.6$ | 28.9 | $\pm 5.2$ | 37.0 | $\pm 6.7$ | 45.9 | 266,775 | 317 |
| Education | <12 Years | 32.6 | $\pm 3.5$ | 35.6 | $\pm 3.8$ | 31.8 | $\pm 4.0$ | 52.2 | 2,397,222 | 1,504 |
|  | 12 Years | 27.5 | $\pm 1.8$ | 29.1 | $\pm 2.0$ | 43.4 | $\pm 1.9$ | 51.5 | 3,180,478 | 3,642 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 23.9 | $\pm 2.0$ | 30.2 | $\pm 1.8$ | 45.9 | $\pm 2.5$ | 55.9 | 2,480,618 | 3,987 |
|  | 16+Years | 13.9 | $\pm 1.3$ | 32.5 | $\pm 2.0$ | 53.7 | $\pm 2.5$ | 70.1 | 2,600,241 | 3,622 |


|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  |  | Quit Ratio(\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Smoker (\%) | 95\% Conf. <br> Interval (\%) | Former Smoker (\%) | 95\% Conf. Interval (\%) | Never Smoker (\%) | 95\% Conf. Interval (\%) |  |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 25.2 | $\pm 2.9$ | 28.2 | $\pm 3.6$ | 46.6 | $\pm 4.5$ | 52.8 | 3,151,754 | 2,487 |
|  | San Diego | 22.5 | $\pm 3.2$ | 28.3 | $\pm 4.3$ | 49.2 | $\pm 4.7$ | 55.6 | 912,980 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 24.4 | $\pm 3.4$ | 32.1 | $\pm 6.1$ | 43.5 | $\pm 6.5$ | 56.8 | 861,659 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 19.1 | $\pm 3.0$ | 31.8 | $\pm 5.6$ | 49.0 | $\pm 6.0$ | 62.5 | 542,475 | 576 |
|  | San Bernardino | 29.7 | $\pm 3.9$ | 33.2 | $\pm 5.2$ | 37.1 | $\pm 4.9$ | 52.8 | 512,254 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 27.4 | $\pm 4.2$ | 27.8 | $\pm 5.4$ | 44.8 | $\pm 6.2$ | 50.3 | 449,035 | 569 |
|  | Riverside | 27.6 | $\pm 3.6$ | 39.4 | $\pm 4.8$ | 33.0 | $\pm 5.0$ | 58.8 | 415,552 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 26.2 | $\pm 5.6$ | 31.1 | $\pm 4.3$ | 42.7 | $\pm 5.8$ | 54.2 | 365,487 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 22.3 | $\pm 4.9$ | 35.9 | $\pm 5.0$ | 41.8 | $\pm 5.4$ | 61.7 | 285,362 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 22.4 | $\pm 3.9$ | 35.3 | $\pm 6.0$ | 42.3 | $\pm 6.7$ | 61.2 | 268,015 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 24.1 | $\pm 3.8$ | 34.8 | $\pm 5.4$ | 41.2 | $\pm 6.0$ | 59.1 | 357,050 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 22.3 | $\pm 4.0$ | 36.2 | $\pm 7.4$ | 41.5 | $\pm 8.3$ | 61.9 | 256,611 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 25.3 | $\pm 3.6$ | 33.4 | $\pm 4.3$ | 41.3 | $\pm 5.2$ | 56.9 | 340,390 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 17.8 | $\pm 2.6$ | 34.0 | $\pm 5.5$ | 48.1 | $\pm 5.8$ | 65.6 | 450,946 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 26.1 | $\pm 3.7$ | 38.0 | $\pm 5.2$ | 36.0 | $\pm 5.0$ | 59.3 | 400,717 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 20.2 | $\pm 2.6$ | 34.7 | $\pm 5.9$ | 45.1 | $\pm 5.4$ | 63.2 | 228,285 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 27.1 | $\pm 4.4$ | 33.8 | $\pm 6.5$ | 39.1 | $\pm 6.6$ | 55.5 | 466,326 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 24.8 | $\pm 3.5$ | 34.0 | $\pm 4.2$ | 41.2 | $\pm 5.2$ | 57.8 | 393,661 | 607 |

Table 3
Current Cigarette Smoking Status of Adults tended Interview
Female

|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Smoker <br> (\%) | 95\% Conf. Interval (\%) | Former Smoker <br> (\%) | 95\% Conf Interval (\%) | Never Smoker (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 95 \% \text { Conf. } \\ \text { Interval } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Quit Ratio (\%) | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 18.7 | $\pm 0.9$ | 23.0 | $\pm 1.0$ | 58.3 | $\pm 1.0$ | 55.1 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 18.8 | $\pm 2.1$ | 12.5 | $\pm 2.0$ | 68.7 | $\pm 2.1$ | 40.0 | 1,513,817 | 2,081 |
|  | $25 \cdot 44$ | 20.6 | $\pm 1.2$ | 19.7 | $\pm 1.6$ | 59.6 | $\pm 1.9$ | 48.9 | 5,008,031 | 6,750 |
|  | 45-64 | 19.8 | $\pm 1.5$ | 31.0 | $\pm 2.5$ | 49.2 | $\pm 2.8$ | 61.0 | 2,728,970 | 3,548 |
|  | $65+$ | 11.1 | $\pm 1.7$ | 29.4 | $\pm 4.1$ | 59.5 | $\pm 4.6$ | 72.6 | 1,651,262 | 1,681 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 12.0 | $\pm 2.1$ | 15.3 | $\pm 2.0$ | 72.7 | $\pm 3.1$ | 56.1 | 2,422,818 | 1,697 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 20.7 | $\pm 1.1$ | 25.2 | $\pm 1.2$ | 54.1 | $\pm 1.1$ | 55.0 | 8,479,262 | 12,363 |
| Race | White | 18.7 | $\pm 0.9$ | 24.5 | $\pm 1.1$ | 56.7 | $\pm 1.2$ | 56.7 | 9,062,769 | 11,242 |
|  | Black | 26.7 | $\pm 4.7$ | 22.4 | $\pm 6.6$ | 50.9 | $\pm 5.8$ | 45.6 | 718,874 | 702 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 9.2 | $\pm 1.9$ | 10.2 | $\pm 2.7$ | 80.6 | $\pm 3.6$ | 52.7 | 874,189 | 1,802 |
|  | Other | 29.2 | $\pm 2.7$ | 14.6 | $\pm 2.9$ | 56.1 | $\pm 2.9$ | 33.4 | 246,248 | 314 |
| Education | <12 Years | 20.0 | $\pm 2.3$ | 20.3 | $\pm 2.6$ | 59.7 | $\pm 2.9$ | 50.4 | 2,684,487 | 1,604 |
|  | 12 Years | 22.1 | $\pm 1.3$ | 24.2 | $\pm 2.1$ | 53.6 | $\pm 2.2$ | 52.3 | 3,760,316 | 4,809 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 18.1 | $\pm 1.6$ | 23.1 | $\pm 1.7$ | 58.7 | $\pm 2.4$ | 56.0 | 2,514,479 | 4,549 |
|  | 16+ Years | 11.2 | $\pm 1.2$ | 24.2 | $\pm 2.1$ | 64.6 | $\pm 2.3$ | 68.4 | 1,942,798 | 3,098 |

Table 3
CurrentCigarette Smoking Status of Adults from Extended Interview
Female (Continued)


# Table 4 <br> Current Smoking Status of Adults for Hispanic Populations Overall 

|  | Current Smoker (\%) | Former Smoker $(\%)$ | Never Smoker (\%) | Quit Ratio $(\%)$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 21.6 | 27.3 | 51.2 | 55.9 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |

Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 22.5 | 30.8 | 46.6 | 57.8 | 13,316,562 | 18,021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 26.3 | 13.1 | 60.6 | 33.3 | 1,618,467 | 2,243 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 25.4 | 24.3 | 50.3 | 48.9 | 5,920,971 | 8,370 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 22.6 | 41.7 | 35.7 | 64.8 | 3,484,659 | 4,981 |
|  |  | 65+ | 12.4 | 43.8 | 43.9 | 78.0 | 2,292,465 | 2,427 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 34.3 | 35.5 | 30.2 | 50.8 | 1,726,640 | 1,446 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 26.2 | 30.2 | 43.6 | 53.5 | 4,607,443 | 6,049 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 21.5 | 29.3 | 49.2 | 57.6 | 3,499,734 | 5,931 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 12.9 | 30.9 | 56.1 | 70.6 | 3,482,745 | 4,595 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 17.5 | 20.0 | 62.5 | 53.3 | 3,853,390 | 2,644 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 16.5 | 14.7 | 68.8 | 47.0 | 903,100 | 627 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 62.2 | 52.3 | 2,037,153 | 1,459 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 18.1 | 26.4 | 55.6 | 59.4 | 762,427 | 465 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.5 | 22.9 | 63.5 | 62.9 | 150,710 | 93 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 19.2 | 21.5 | 59.3 | 52.8 | 2,249,367 | 1,091 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 16.6 | 15.7 | 67.7 | 48.7 | 1,027,094 | 870 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 13.9 | 21.4 | 64.7 | 60.6 | 419,936 | 521 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 8.4 | 22.2 | 69.4 | 72.5 | 156,993 | 162 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 20.9 | 26.4 | 52.7 | 55.9 | 989,661 | 838 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 26.2 | 13.3 | 60.5 | 33.7 | 187,342 | 149 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 21.3 | 27.2 | 51.5 | 56.2 | 526,822 | 497 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 20.1 | 32.8 | 47.1 | 62.1 | 209,752 | 150 |
|  |  | 65+ | 5.3 | 37.1 | 57.5 | 87.5 | 65,745 | 42 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 23.5 | 29.8 | 46.8 | 55.9 | 422,732 | 178 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 19.3 | 20.7 | 60.0 | 51.8 | 287,509 | 261 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 24.3 | 27.6 | 48.1 | 53.1 | 172,477 | 255 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 9.4 | 26.7 | 63.9 | 73.9 | 106,943 | 144 |
| All Others | Total |  | 22.5 | 21.9 | 55.6 | 49.2 | 3,401,026 | 5,312 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 19.4 | 6.1 | 74.5 | 24.0 | 563,738 | 1,182 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 25.7 | 19.0 | 55.4 | 42.5 | 1,684,610 | 2,750 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 22.4 | 31.9 | 45.7 | 58.7 | 815,466 | 1,104 |
|  |  | 65+ | 12.4 | 38.5 | 49.1 | 75.7 | 337.212 | 276 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 28.4 | 25.7 | 45.8 | 47.5 | 682,970 | 393 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 26.7 | 22.1 | 51.2 | 45.3 | 1,018,748 | 1,271 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 21.7 | 18.8 | 59.5 | 46.5 | 902,950 | 1,829 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 13.2 | 21.7 | $65: 1$ | 62.2 | 796,358 | 1,819 |

Table 4
Current Smoking Status of Adults for Hispanic Populations Male

| Current <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Former <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Never <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Quit Ratio <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Size <br> $(N)$ | Sample <br> Size <br> $(N)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 24.5 | 31.7 | 43.9 | 56.4 | $10,658,559$ | 12,755 |

## Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 24.0 | 34.1 | 41.9 | 58.7 | 6,548,398 | 8,335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 26.8 | 11.3 | 61.9 | 29.8 | 889,484 | 1,098 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 26.8 | 24.2 | 49.0 | 47.4 | 3,031,607 | 3,972 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 23.2 | 48.0 | 28.7 | 67.4 | 1,713,390 | 2,310 |
|  |  | $65+$ | 13.5 | 63.2 | 23.3 | 82.4 | 913,917 | 955 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 38.2 | 41.2 | 20.6 | 51.9 | 816,869 | 668 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 28.9 | 33.8 | 37.4 | 53.9 | 2,031,647 | 2,517 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 23.9 | 32.2 | 43.9 | 57.4 | 1,685,927 | 2,649 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 13.5 | 33.2 | 53.3 | 71.1 | 2,013,955 | 2,501 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 24.2 | 26.1 | 49.7 | 51.9 | 1,876,620 | 1,343 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 22.3 | 16.7 | 61.0 | 42.9 | 475,991 | 344 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 25.2 | 27.1 | 47.7 | 51.8 | 1,015,079 | 743 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 24.1 | 35.7 | 40.2 | 59.7 | 319,123 | 212 |
|  |  | 65+ | 23.1 | 32.5 | 44.4 | 58.4 | 66,427 | 44 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 29.1 | 30.1 | 40.7 | 50.8 | 1,033,607 | 557 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 19.5 | 18.7 | 61.7 | 49.0 | 520,625 | 420 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years. | 18.1 | 24.9 | 56.9 | 57.9 | 228,567 | 276 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 10.1 | 25.5 | 64.4 | 71.5 | 93,821 | 90 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 25.1 | 31.4 | 43.6 | 55.6 | 543,613 | 442 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 35.2 | 19.6 | 45.2 | 35.8 | 102,322 | 81 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 21.9 | 33.2 | 44.9 | 60.3 | 299,136 | 262 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 28.1 | 31.6 | 40.3 | 52.9 | 119,010 | 82 |
|  |  | 65+ | 6.5 | 58.0 | 35.6 | 90.0 | 23,145 | 17 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 28.7 | 41.1 | 30.1 | 58.9 | 207,298 | 88 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 26.7 | 18.5 | 54.8 | 40.9 | 163,306 | 128 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 25.9 | 33.0 | 41.1 | 56.0 | 111,082 | 146 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 7.0 | 29.6 | 63.3 | 80.9 | 61,927 | 80 |
| All Others | Total |  | 26.3 | 28.3 | 45.4 | 51.8 | 1,689,928 | 2,635 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 23.6 | 4.7 | 71.7 | 16.7 | 291,033 | 597 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 30.3 | 25.5 | 44.2 | 45.7 | 815,703 | 1,349 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 26.2 | 41.5 | 32.3 | 61.3 | 391,811 | 548 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.5 | 49.2 | 37.3 | 78.5 | 191,381 | 141 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 31.8 | 35.4 | 32.8 | 52.7 | 339,448 | 191 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 30.6 | 24.3 | 45.1 | 44.3 | 464,900 | 577 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 26.3 | 24.8 | 49.0 | 48.5 | 455,042 | 916 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 17.4 | 30.8 | 51.8 | 63.9 | 430,538 | 951 |

Table 4
Current Smoking Status of Adults for Hispanic Populations Female

| Current <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Former <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Never <br> Smoker <br> $(\%)$ | Quit Ratio <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Size <br> $(N)$ | Sample <br> Size <br> $(N)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 18.7 | 23.0 | 58.3 | 55.1 | $10,902,080$ | 14,060 |

Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 21.1 | 27.7 | 51.2 | 56.7 | 6,768,164 | 9,686 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 25.7 | 15.3 | 59.0 | 37.3 | 728,983 | 1,145 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 23.9 | 24.4 | 51.7 | 50.5 | 2,889,364 | 4,398 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 22.1 | 35.5 | 42.4 | 61.7 | 1,771,269 | 2,671 |
|  |  | 65+ | 11.6 | 30.9 | 57.5 | 72.7 | 1,378,548 | 1,472 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 30.8 | 30.4 | 38.8 | 49.7 | 909,771 | 778 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 24.1 | 27.4 | 48.5 | 53.2 | 2,575,796 | 3,532 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 19.3 | 26.5 | 54.2 | 57.9 | 1,813,807 | 3,282 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 12.1 | 27.8 | 60.1 | 69.6 | 1,468,790 | 2,094 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 11.1 | 14.2 | 74.7 | 56.0 | 1,976,770 | 1,301 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 10.1 | 12.4 | 77.5 | 55.0 | 427,109 | 283 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 76.7 | 53.4 | 1,022,074 | 716 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 13.7 | 19.7 | 66.6 | 59.0 | 443,304 | 253 |
|  |  | 65+ | 6.0 | 15.4 | 78.6 | 72.1 | 84,283 | 49 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 10.8 | 14.2 | 75.0 | 56.9 | 1,215,760 | 534 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 13.5 | 12.6 | 73.8 | 48.3 | 506,469 | 450 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 8.8 | 17.2 | 74.0 | 66.1 | 191,369 | 245 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 5.9 | 17.4 | 76.8 | 74.7 | 63,172 | 72 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 15.7 | 20.4 | 63.9 | 56.5 | 446,048 | 396 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 15.3 | 5.6 | 79.0 | 26.8 | 85,020 | 68 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 20.4 | 19.3 | 60.2 | 48.6 | 227,686 | 235 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 9.5 | 34.4 | 56.0 | 78.4 | 90,742 | 68 |
|  |  | 65+ | 4.7 | 25.8 | 69.5 | 84.6 | 42,600 | 25 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 18.4 | 18.9 | 62.8 | 50.6 | 215,434 | 90 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.5 | 23.6 | 66.9 | 71.4 | 124,203 | 133 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 21.4 | 17.7 | 60.9 | 45.4 | 61,395 | 109 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 12.8 | 22.6 | 64.6 | 63.9 | 45,016 | 64 |
| All Others | Total |  | 18.8 | 15.5 | 65.7 | 45.2 | 1,711,098 | 2,677 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 14.8 | 7.6 | 77.5 | 33.9 | 272,705 | 585 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 21.3 | 12.8 | 65.8 | 37.5 | 868.907 | 1,401 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 18.9 | 23.0 | 58.0 | 54.8 | 423,655 | 556 |
|  |  | 65+ | 10.9 | 24.5 | 64.6 | 69.3 | 145,831 | 135 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 25.1 | 16.2 | 58.7 | 39.2 | 343,522 | 202 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 23.4 | 20.3 | 56.3 | 46.4 | 553,848 | 694 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 17.0 | 12.8 | 70.2 | 42.9 | 447,908 | 913 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 8.2 | 11.0 | 80.8 | 57.3 | 365,820 | 868 |
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Table 5
Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview

|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dally <br> (\%) | Occasional $(\%)$ | $<1$ Year <br> (\%) | 1.4 Years <br> (\%) | $5+$ Years <br> (\%) | Unknown (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1-100 \\ \text { Cligarettes } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cigarettes <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 17.6 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 16.6 | 2.2 | 23.2 | 28.0 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |
| Sex | Male | 19.6 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 2.6 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
|  | Female | 15.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 36.2 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 16.0 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 29.8 | 35.4 | 3,272,647 | 4,201 |
|  | 25-44 | 19.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 12.1 | 2.0 | 25.8 | 27.8 | 10,169,556 | 13,076 |
|  | 45-64 | 18.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 26.4 | 2.7 | 17.5 | 23.1 | 5,272,304 | 6,700 |
|  | 65+ | 11.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 31.8 | 3.2 | 16.5 | 29.3 | 2,846,132 | 2,838 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 11.6 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 24.3 | 36.2 | 4,843,051 | 3,482 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 19.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 18.6 | 2.0 | 22.8 | 25.6 | 16,717,588 | 23,333 |
| Race | White | 17.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 17.4 | 2.3 | 23.8 | 26.4 | 17,853,059 | 21,181 |
|  | Black | 21.1 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 14.9 | 1.6 | 19.2 | 27.1 | 1,389,458 | 1,278 |
|  | Aslan or Pl | 11.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 11.1 | 1.9 | 21.4 | 44.7 | 1.805,099 | 3,725 |
|  | Other | 25.8 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 0.9 | 19.0 | 27.2 | 513,023 | 631 |
| Education | <12 Years | 20.9 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 15.2 | 2.4 | 16.8 | 29.7 | 5,081,709 | 3,108 |
|  | 12 Years | 20.6 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 15.8 | 2.1 | 21.4 | 27.6 | 6,940,794 | 8,451 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 17.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 26.5 | 25.9 | 4,995,097 | 8,536 |
|  | 16+ Years | 9.8 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 19.9 | 2.4 | 29.4 | 29.0 | 4,543,039 | 6,720 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview Overall (Continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & (\mathrm{N}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional $\qquad$ | <1 Year $\qquad$ <br> (\%) | 1-4 Years $(\%)$ | 5+ Years (\%) | Unknown (\%) | 1-100 Cigarettes <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \text { Cigarettes } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 15.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 | -5.2 | 13.7 | 2.2 | 22.7 | 32.0 | 6,421,235 | 4,993 |
|  | San Diego | 17.7 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 16.2 | 2.1 | 26.6 | 26.0 | 1,809,811 | 1,450 |
|  | Orange | 14.2 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 25.7 | 27.7 | 1,746,328 | 1,185 |
|  | Santa Clara | 15.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 17.1 | 2.1 | 27.9 | 26.6 | 1,084,975 | 1,174 |
|  | San Bemardíno | 23.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 16.2 | 2.4 | 18.7 | 27.9 | 1,027,600 | 1,578 |
|  | Alameda | 19.2 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 16.7 | 1.9 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 926,716 | 1,216 |
|  | Riverside | 21.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 20.2 | 2.7 | 19.0 | 23.7 | 847,965 | 1,432 |
|  | Sacramento | 20.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 19.2 | 1.9 | 20.1 | 26.9 | 754,325 | 1,283 |
|  | Contra Costa | 17.2 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 19.5 | 2.1 | 24.7 | 23.4 | 582,290 | 1,347 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.3 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 19.5 | 2.0 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 524,499 | 1,039 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 18.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 17.5 | 1.3 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 717,301 | 1,190 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 18.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 22.1 | 2.7 | 21.8 | 23.1 | 528,187 | 1,119 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 20.8 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 6.1 | 17.4 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 686,925 | 1,397 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.6 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 17.0 | 2.6 | 25.7 | 26.9 | 909,813 | 1,287 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 20.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 22.9 | 2.3 | 20.2 | 23.0 | 804,021 | 1,290 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 16.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 18.2 | 3.3 | 23.7 | 27.1 | 450,691 | 1,221 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 21.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 19.4 | 28.9 | 945,060 | 1,309 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 19.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 16.2 | 2.1 | 19.9 | 29.4 | 792,897 | 1,305 |


|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | Population <br> Size(N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $<1$ Year (\%) | 1-4 Years <br> (\%) | 5+ Years (\%) | Unknown (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 19.6 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 2.6 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
| Age | 18-24 | 17.8 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 31.7 | 30.6 | 1,758,830 | 2,120 |
|  | 25-44 | 21.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 27.6 | 20.2 | 5,161,525 | 6,326 |
|  | 45-64 | 20.2 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 32.0 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 14.1 | 2,543,334 | 3,152 |
|  | $65+$ | 12.8 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 45.8 | 4.2 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 1,194,870 | 1,157 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 15.1 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 27.8 | 20.5 | 2,420,233 | 1,785 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 20.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 21.7 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 19.4 | 8,238,326 | 10,970 |
| Race | White | 19.6 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 20.1 | 2.7 | 24.9 | 18.5 | 8,790,290 | 9,939 |
|  | Black | 22.7 | 8.2 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 18.3 | 1.6 | 19.0 | 22.4 | 670,584 | 576 |
|  | Aslan or PI | 15.3 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 16.7 | 2.7 | 23.6 | 28.9 | 930,910 | 1,923 |
|  | Other | 28.1 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 16.4 | 1.5 | 19.7 | 17.3 | 266,775 | 317 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 25.1 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 19.6 | 3.2 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 2,397,222 | 1,504 |
|  | 12 Years | 23.2 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 17.9 | 2.1 | 22.9 | 20.5 | 3,180,478 | 3,642 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 19.2 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 19.1 | 2.0 | 27.3 | 18.6 | 2,480,618 | 3,987 |
|  | 16+ Years | 10.7 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 22.1 | 3.1 | 29.9 | 23.8 | 2,600,241 | 3,622 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview Male (Continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | PopulationSize(N) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional (\%) | <1 Year <br> (\%) | 1-4 Years <br> (\%) | 5+ Years <br> (\%) | Unknown (\%) | $1-100$ Cigarettes <br> (\%) | (\%) |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 18.1 | 7.1 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 15.8 | 2.8 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 3,151,754 | 2,487 |
|  | San Diego | 19.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 17.0 | 2.0 | 27.7 | 21.5 | 912,980 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 17.0 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 18.3 | 3.0 | 26.2 | 17.3 | 861,659 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 15.9 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 20.4 | 2.8 | 28.0 | 21.1 | 542,475 | 576 |
|  | San Bemardino | 26.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 22.0 | 1.9 | 19.8 | 17.3 | 512,254 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 22.7 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 17.4 | 2.3 | 25.2 | 19.6 | 449,035 | 569 |
|  | Riverside | 24.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 24.7 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 13.9 | 415,552 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 23.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 20.8 | 2.4 | 18.9 | 23.9 | 365,487 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 18.3 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 7.6 | 22.2 | 1.9 | 24.8 | 17.1 | 285,362 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 19.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 24.0 | 3.0 | 23.7 | 18.6 | 268,015 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 20.5 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 23.1 | 1.8 | 21.4 | 19.8 | 357,050 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 19.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 22.4 | 3.0 | 25.7 | 15.8 | 256,611 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 22.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 21.1 | 3.0 | 23.9 | 17.4 | 340,390 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Oblspo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 14.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 20.9 | 2.7 | 28.9 | 19.2 | 450,946 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 21.5 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 28.3 | 1.6 | 20.6 | 15.4 | 400,717 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 17.3 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 20.9 | 3.5 | 26.7 | 18.3 | 228,285 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 23.7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 24.9 | 1.1 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 466,326 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 20.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 21.7 | 2.7 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 393,661 | 607 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview

|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | PopulationSize(N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional <br> (\%) | <1 Year <br> (\%) | 1-4 Years <br> (\%) | 5+Years <br> (\%) | Unknown (\%) | (\%) |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 15.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 36.2 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 14.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 27.6 | 41.1 | 1,513,817 | 2,081 |
|  | 25-44 | 16.9 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 35.6 | 5,008,031 | 6,750 |
|  | 45-64 | 17.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 21.2 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 31.4 | 2,728,970 | 3,548 |
|  | 65+ | 9.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 21.6 | 2.5 | 18.3 | 41.2 | 1,651,262 | 1,681 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 8.1 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 20.8 | 51.8 | 2,422,818 | 1,697 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 17.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 15.6 | 1.7 | 22.5 | 31.7 | 8,479,262 | 12,363 |
| Race | White | 15.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 14.9 | 1.9 | 22.7 | 34.0 | 9,062,769 | 11,242 |
|  | Black | 19.7 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 11.7 | 1.6 | 19.4 | 31.5 | 718,874 | 702 |
|  | Asian or PI | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 19.1 | 61.5 | 874,189 | 1,802 |
|  | Other | 23.3 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 18.3 | 37.8 | 246,248 | 314 |
| Education | <12 Years | 17.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 16.8 | 42.9 | 2,684,487 | 1,604 |
|  | 12 Years | 18.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 20.1 | 33.6 | 3,760,316 | 4,809 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 15.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 13.2 | 1.8 | 25.7 | 33.0 | 2,514,479 | 4,549 |
|  | 16+ Years | 8.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 28.7 | 35.9 | 1,942,798 | 3,098 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview Female (Continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional (\%) $\qquad$ | <1 Year <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1-4 \text { Years } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5+ Years (\%) | Unknown (\%) | $1-100$ <br> Clgarettes <br> (\%) | 0 Cigarettes $(\%)$ | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 13.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 20.5 | 42.0 | 3,269,481 | 2,506 |
|  | San Diego | 16.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 15.4 | 2.1 | 25.5 | 30.7 | 896,831 | 759 |
|  | Orange | 11.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 2.1 | 25.3 | 37.9 | 884,669 | 603 |
|  | Santa Clara | 15.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 27.8 | 32.1 | 542,500 | 598 |
|  | San Bemardino | 20.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 17.5 | 38.5 | 515,346 | 850 |
|  | Alameda | 15.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 16.1 | 1.5 | 24.3 | 33.9 | 477,681 | 647 |
|  | Riverside | 18.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 15.8 | 1.7 | 18.9 | 33.2 | 432,413 | 746 |
|  | Sacramento | 17.1 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 17.7 | 1.4 | 21.3 | 29.7 | 388,838 | 704 |
|  | Contra Costa | 16.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 16.9 | 2.2 | 24.5 | 29.6 | 296,928 | 728 |
|  | San Francisco | 15.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 14.8 | 1.0 | 24.2 | 30.8 | 256,484 | 518 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 16.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 27.9 | 32.0 | 360,251 | 638 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 16.6 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 21.9 | 2.4 | 18.1 | 30.0 | 271,576 | 610 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, DelNorte, Glenn, Humboidt, Lake, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 19.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 13.7 | 0.9 | 24.1 | 29.9 | 346,535 | 742 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 16.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 13.1 | 2.5 | 22.6 | 34.5 | 458,867 | 663 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, ElDorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 20.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 17.4 | 3.0 | 19.8 | 30.6 | 403,304 | 690 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 15.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 15.4 | 3.0 | 20.7 | 36.1 | 222,406 | 656 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 19.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 19.0 | 38.4 | 478,734 | 704 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 17.7 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 10.8 | 1.5 | 19.6 | 37.8 | 399,236 | 698 |

Table 6
Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview for Hispanic Populations

Ethnlecty

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 19.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 20.8 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 22.2 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 20.8 |
|  |  | 65+ | 11.2 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 32.3 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 23.4 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 18.3 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 10.2 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 11.3 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 9.7 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 13.1 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.1 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 12.7 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 10.8 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 7.3 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 4.9 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview for Hispanic Populations Overall (Continued)

## 

| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Hispanic | Ago |  | 12.8 | $8.1$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 6.8 \\ 6.8 \end{array}$ | $\frac{11.7}{1.7}$ | 4.4 | $\frac{23.5}{324}$ | ${ }^{29.2}$ | ${ }_{189,661}^{18732}$ | $\frac{838}{149}$ |
|  |  | 18.24 | 11.2 | 14.9 | $\frac{2.5}{59}$ | ${ }_{5}^{6.8}$ | 11.8 | 4.5 | 23.5 | 28.1 | 52, 682 | 497 |
|  |  | ${ }^{25-64}$ | 14.7 | ${ }_{8.1}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2.3}$ | 7.7 | 15.3 | 7.5 | 19.7 | 27.5 | 209,752 | 150 |
|  |  | 655 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 33.1 |  | 11.4 | 46.1 | 65,745 | 42 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 13.2 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 11.0 | 6.1 | 19.5 | 27.3 | 422,732 | 178 |
|  |  | 12 Y ars | 12.9 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 21.5 | 38.6 | 287,509 | 261 |
|  |  | 13.15 Years | 15.9 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 30.9 | 17.3 | 172,477 | 255 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 5.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 33.5 | 30.4 | 106,943 | 144 |
| All Onhers | Age |  | 17.5 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 12.8 | 1.7 | 19.8 | 35.8 | 3,401,026 | 5,312 |
|  |  | 18.24 | 13.9 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 26.9 | 47.6 | 563,738 | 1,182 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 20.2 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 21.1 | 34.3 | 1,684,610 | 2,750 |
|  |  | 45.64 | 17.5 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 20.9 | 2.5 | 14.5 | 31.1 | 815,466 | 1,104 |
|  |  | $65+$ | 10.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 28.2 | 3.8 | 13.9 | 35.2 | 337,212 | ${ }^{276}$ |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 23.5 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 17.2 | 1.6 | 18.3 | 27.5 | 682,970 | 393 |
|  |  | 12 Years | $\underline{19.9}$ | 6.8 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 12.1 | 2.0 | 17.3 | 33.9 | 1.018,748 | 1,271 |
|  |  | ${ }^{13} 315$ Y Yars | 17.2 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 20.0 | 39.5 | 902,950 | 1.829 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 9.7 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 13.7 | 1.5 | 24.0 | 41.2 | 796,358 | 1.819 |

Table 6
Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview for Hispanic Populations

|  | Curren | smokers |  | Former s | mokers |  | Never sm | nokers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional (\%) | < 1 Year <br> (\%) | 1-4 Years <br> (\%) | $5+$ Years (\%) | Unknown (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total | 19.6 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 2.6 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |

rotar

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 21.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 22.8 | 2.4 | 24.1 | 17.8 | 6,548,398 | 8,335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 21.1 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 30.7 | 31.2 | 889,484 | 1,098 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 2.0 | 29.6 | 19.4 | 3,031,607 | 3,972 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 21.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 35.9 | 2.9 | 16.3 | 12.5 | 1,713,390 | 2,310 |
|  |  | 65+ | 12.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 49.6 | 4.2 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 913,917 | 955 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 35.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 27.6 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 816,869 | 668 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 26.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 22.1 | 1.7 | 21.4 | 16.0 | 2,031,647 | 2,517 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 20.1 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 20.9 | 2.0 | 27.1 | 16.8 | 1,685,927 | 2,649 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 10.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 23.1 | 3.4 | 30.4 | 22.9 | 2,013,955 | 2,501 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 15.4 | 8.8 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 12.2 | 2.5 | 28.4 | 21.3 | 1,876,620 | 1,343 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 35.6 | 25.4 | 475,991 | 344 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 15.7 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 13.5 | 2.8 | 28.6 | 19.2 | 1,015,079 | 743 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 2.0 | 21.2 | 19.1 | 319,123 | 212 |
|  |  | 65+ | 22.2 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 21.6 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 35.0 | 66,427 | 44 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 18.3 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 13.1 | 2.7 | 24.0 | 16.7 | 1,033,607 | 557 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 14.0 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 8.9 | 2.8 | 31.3 | 30.5 | 520,625 | 420 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 9.3 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 14.3 | 1.4 | 38.5 | 18.4 | 228,567 | 276 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 5.9 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 14.8 | 1.9 | 36.7 | 27.7 | 93,821 | 90 |


| Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (N) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional (\%) | <1 Year <br> (\%) | 1-4 Years <br> (\%) | 5+ Years <br> (\%) | Unknown (\%) | $1-100$ Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) |  |  |
| 19.6 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 2.6 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |


| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 14.2 | 10.9 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 12.2 | 6.6 | 25.6 | 17.9 | 543,613 | 442 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 11.9 | 23.3 | 4.3 | 10.8 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 30.0 | 15.2 | 102,322 | 81 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 14.7 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 23.5 | 21.4 | 299,136 | 262 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 16.3 | 11.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 28.7 | 11.6 | 119,010 | 82 |
|  |  | 65+ | 6.5 |  | 6.4 | 2.7 | 48.9 |  | 17.8 | 17.8 | 23,145 | 17 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 12.5 | 16.2 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 19.8 | 10.3 | 207,298 | 88 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 17.3 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 3.7 | 22.5 | 32.3 | 163,306 | 128 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 17.9 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 24.1 | 3.0 | 32.3 | 8.8 | 111,082 | 146 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 13.2 | 10.7 | 3.1 | 41.3 | 22.0 | 61,927 | 80 |
| All Others | Total |  | 20.6 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 17.8 | 2.2 | 20.1 | 25.3 | 1,689,928 | 2,635 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 17.2 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 29.2 | 42.5 | 291,033 | 597 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 24.3 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 14.9 | 1.7 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 815,703 | 1,349 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 28.2 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 17.7 | 391,811 | 548 |
|  |  | $65+$ | 11.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 35.6 | 4.8 | 16.0 | 21.3 | 191,381 | 141 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 27.2 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 26.4 | 2.3 | 13.2 | 19.6 | 339,448 | 191 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 22.9 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 2.1 | 20.2 | 24.9 | 464,900 | 577 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 21.0 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 21.1 | 27.9 | 455,042 | 916 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 12.6 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 20.3 | 2.0 | 24.2 | 27.6 | 430,538 | 951 |

Table 6
Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview for Hispanic Populations
Female

| Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | PopulationSize(N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily <br> (\%) | Occasional <br> (\%) | < Year <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1-4 \text { Years } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5+ Years (\%) | Unknown (\%) | 1-100 Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) |  |  |
| 15.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 36.2 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |


| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 18.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 17.6 | 1.8 | 23.2 | 28.0 | 6,768,164 | 9,686 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 20.5 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 27.7 | 31.3 | 728,983 | 1,145 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 20.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 14.3 | 1.3 | 26.3 | 25.3 | 2,889,364 | 4,398 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 20.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 25.7 | 2.5 | 18.8 | 23.6 | 1,771,269 | 2,671 |
|  |  | 65+ | 10.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 22.4 | 2.7 | 20.1 | 37.4 | 1,378,548 | 1,472 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 29.1 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 20.7 | 1.1 | 12.8 | 26.0 | 909,771 | 778 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 21.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 16.2 | 2.2 | 20.7 | 27.7 | 2,575,796 | 3,532 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 16.7 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 16.0 | 1.9 | 27.0 | 27.2 | 1,813,807 | 3,282 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 9.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 20.2 | 1.6 | 29.4 | 30.7 | 1,468,790 | 2,094 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 7.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 20.8 | 53.9 | 1,976,770 | 1,301 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 27.9 | 49.6 | 427,109 | 283 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 19.8 | 56.9 | 1,022,074 | 716 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 10.7 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 19.0 | 47.6 | 443,304 | 253 |
|  |  | 65+ | 6.0 |  |  | 2.4 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 72.1 | 84,283 | 49 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 7.9 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 17.5 | 57.5 | 1,215,760 | 534 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 7.5 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 22.6 | 51.3 | 506,469 | 450 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 4.9 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 29.1 | 44.8 | 191,369 | 245 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 13.2 | 1.0 | 45.4 | 31.3 | 63,172 | 72 |

Detailed Smoking Status of Adults from the Extended Interview for Hispanic Populations

|  |  |  | Current smokers |  | Former smokers |  |  |  | Never smokers |  | PopulationSize(N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dally <br> (\%) | Occasional (\%) $\qquad$ | $<1$ Year (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1-4 \text { Years } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5+ Years <br> (\%) | Unknown (\%) | $1-100$ <br> Cigarettes <br> (\%) | 0 <br> Cigarettes <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 15.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 36.2 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 11.1 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 21.0 | 42.8 | 446,048 | 396 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 10.5 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 35.2 | 43.8 | 85,020 | 68 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 14.8 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 23.4 | 36.8 | 227,686 | 235 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 6.2 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 19.5 |  | 7.8 | 48.3 | 90,742 | 68 |
|  |  | 65+ | 3.6 | 1.1 |  | 1.4 | 24.4 |  | 8.0 | 61.5 | 42,600 | 25 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 13.9 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 19.2 | 43.6 | 215,434 | 90 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 7.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 4.2 | 20.1 | 46.8 | 124,203 | 133 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 12.4 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 9.7 | 2.1 | 28.3 | 32.6 | 61,395 | 109 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 6.8 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 11.3 |  | 22.6 | 42.0 | 45,016 | 64 |
| All Others | Total |  | 14.4 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 19.5 | 46.2 | 1,711,098 | 2,677 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 24.6 | 53.0 | 272,705 | 585 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 16.4 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21.7 | 44.2 | 868,907 | 1,401 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 15.2 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 14.2 | 1.8 | 14.5 | 43.5 | 423,655 | 556 |
|  |  | 65+ | 8.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 18.4 | 2.5 | 11.2 | 53.4 | 145,831 | 135 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 19.9 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 0.9 | 23.3 | 35.4 | 343,522 | 202 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 17.3 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 11.3 | 1.9 | 14.8 | 41.5 | 553,848 | 694 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 13.4 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 18.9 | 51.3 | 447,908 | 913 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 6.3 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 23.7 | 57.1 | 365,820 | 868 |

Table 7
Current Tobacco Use Status of Adults from the Extended Interview

|  |  | Any Tobacco Product Use (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Pipes <br> (\%) | Cigars (\%) | Chewing <br> Tobacco <br> (\%) | Snuff (\%) | Chew <br> or Snuff (\%) | Population Size (\%) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 24.2 | 21.6 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |
| Sex | Male | 29.6 | 24.5 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
|  | Female | 18.9 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |

Male Only

| Age | 18-24 | 31.7 | 25.5 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 1,758,830 | 2,120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-44 | 32.0 | 26.8 | 1.8 | . 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5,161,525 | 6,326 |
|  | 45-64 | 28.5 | 24.0 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2,543,334 | 3,152 |
|  | 65+ | 18.7 | 13.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1,194,870 | 1,157 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 26.6 | 24.4 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2,420,233 | 1,785 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 30.5 | 24.5 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 8,238,326 | 10,970 |
| Race | White | 29.8 | 24.2 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 8,790,290 | 9,939 |
|  | Black | 34.0 | 30.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 670,584 | 576 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 21.8 | 19.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 930,910 | 1,923 |
|  | Other | 39.2 | 34.1 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 266,775 | 317 |
| Education | <12 Years | 35.9 | 32.6 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2,397,222 | 1,504 |
|  | 12 Years | 33.0 | 27.5 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 3,180,478 | 3,642 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 29.4 | 23.9 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2,480,618 | 3,987 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 19.8 | 13.9 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2,600,241 | 3,622 |

Current Tobacco Use Status of Adults from the Extended Interview (Continued)

|  |  | Any Tobacco Product Use (\%) | Cigarettes <br> (\%) | Pipes <br> (\%) | Cigars <br> (\%) | Chewing Tobacco (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Snuff } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Chew or Snuff (\%) | Population <br> Size <br> $(\%)$ <br> . | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Los Angeles | 28.2 | 25.2 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 3,151,754 | 2,487 |
|  | San Diego | 28.6 | 22.5 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 912,980 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 27.2 | 24.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 861,659 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 23.7 | 19.1 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 542,475 | 576 |
|  | San Bernardino | 33.4 | 29.7 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 512,254 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 34.4 | 27.4 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 449,035 | 569 |
|  | Riverside | 31.1 | 27.6 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 415,552 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 32.6 | 26.2 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 365,487 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 29.6 | 22.3 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 285,362 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 26.7 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 268,015 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 30.1 | 24.1 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 357,050 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 32.5 | 22.3 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 256,611 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 36.7 | 25.3 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 10.4 | 340,390 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 22.8 | 17.8 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 450,946 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 36.6 | 26.1 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 400,717 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 28.3 | 20.2 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 228,285 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 33.6 | 27.1 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 466,326 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 32.5 | 24.8 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 393,661 | 607 |

Table 8
Average Daily Consumption for All Smokers Overall


## Table 8 <br> Average Daily Consumption for All Smokers <br> Male



Table 8
Average Daily Consumption for All Smokers
Female
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## Table 9 <br> Average Daily Consumption for All Smokers Overall



Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 12.0 | 1.8 | 18.8 | 46.1 | 21.2 | 2,980,221 | 7,234 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 20.5 | 2.0 | 29.5 | 42.1 | 5.9 | 423,669 | 976 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 12.4 | 1.9 | 17.8 | 47.9 | 20.1 | 1,494,869 | 3,573 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 45.4 | 31.7 | 783,178 | 2,024 |
|  |  | 65+ | 8.9 | 4.0 | 21.2 | 44.7 | 21.2 | 278,505 | 661 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 5.7 | 0.6 | 18.3 | 51.4 | 23.9 | 582,589 | 783 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 10.5 | 1.9 | 19.7 | 47.5 | 20.3 | 1,202,503 | 2,801 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 14.5 | 2.0 | 18.2 | 44.8 | 20.5 | 748,053 | 2,379 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 20.3 | 2.8 | 18.1 | 37.6 | 21.2 | 447,076 | 1,271 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 34.5 | 8.3 | 32.8 | 20.5 | 3.9 | 671,638 | 801 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 41.9 | 5.3 | 31.2 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 149,016 | 178 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 36.4 | 7.9 | 33.0 | 19.4 | 3.2 | 366,246 | 449 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 25.7 | 11.6 | 33.4 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 137,333 | 150 |
|  |  | 65+ | 3.2 | 13.9 | 37.5 | 28.0 | 17.3 | 19,043 | 24 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 32.4 | 9.3 | 33.4 | 21.6 | 3.4 | 431,548 | 369 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 35.2 | 6.0 | 33.5 | 20.2 | 5.1 | 168,665 | 265 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 47.8 | 7.5 | 25.4 | 17.5 | 1.8 | 58,185 | 138 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 36.0 | 7.6 | 38.8 | 4.4 | 13.3 | 13,240 | 29 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 36.3 | 13.2 | 27.0 | 18.1 | 5.5 | 203,159 | 292 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 61.4 | 1.9 | 27.0 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 46,549 | 44 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 27.4 | 18.8 | 26.7 | 21.0 | 6.0 | 111,535 | 190 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 34.8 | 10.3 | 27.9 | 18.3 | 8.7 | 41,572 | 52 |
|  |  | 65+ |  | 20.2 | 23.6 | 37.1 | 19.1 | 3,503 | 6 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 39.9 | 18.6 | 25.3 | 10.6 | 5.6 | 96,363 | 68 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 32.5 | 11.6 | 27.0 | 24.8 | 4.1 | 55,183 | 91 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 31.6 | 5.6 | 32.5 | 25.2 | 5.1 | 41,516 | 98 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 41.0 | 1.9 | 20.3 | 23.5 | 13.4 | 10,097 | 35 |
| All Others | Total |  | 21.9 | 3.5 | 35.0 | 29.8 | 9.8 | 756,422 | 1,519 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 30.1 | 4.9 | 40.5 | 21.0 | 3.6 | 107,429 | 295 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 20.6 | 3.0 | 35.3 | 30.9 | 10.2 | 428,175 | 842 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 22.0 | 2.7 | 28.8 | 34.5 | 12.0 | 179,994 | 315 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.7 | 9.2 | 44.5 | 20.8 | 11.7 | 40,824 | 67 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 17.8 | 2.9 | 34.2 | 29.2 | 16.0 | 186,170 | 149 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 24.8 | 3.0 | 37.1 | 29.9 | 5.2 | 270,080 | 493 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 19.9 | 3.7 | 35.1 | 32.6 | 8.6 | 195,335 | 574 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 25.4 | 5.9 | 30.8 | 25.3 | 12.6 | 104,837 | 303 |

Table 10
Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year Overall

|  |  | Quitting Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quit |  | Relapsed |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
|  |  |  | $0-3$ months (\%) | $7+\text { days of }$ <br> (\%) | 1-6 days off (\%) |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 7.7 | 3.6 | 20.8 | 15.7 | 52.2 | 5,241,220 | 11,109 |
| Sex | Male | 6.5 | 3.8 | 22.6 | 15.7 | 51.4 | 2,906,402 | 5,558 |
|  | Female | 9.2 | 3.3 | 18.5 | 15.8 | 53.2 | 2,334,818 | 5,551 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 10.2 | 5.0 | 23.8 | 14.0 | 47.0 | 1,038,694 | 1,284 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 7.1 | 3.2 | 20.0 | 16.2 | 53.5 | 4,202,526 | 9,825 |
| Race | White | 7.8 | 3.9 | 19.8 | 15.2 | 53.3 | 4,331,305 | 9,302 |
|  | Black | 6.6 | 1.7 | 29.3 | 20.2 | 42.2 | 435,555 | 622 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 9.6 | 2.9 | 22.2 | 17.6 | 47.7 | 298,883 | 861 |
|  | Other | 5.4 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 14.0 | 56.8 | 175,477 | 324 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 7.4 | 3.9 | 20.2 | 15.7 | 52.8 | 1,485,035 | 1.530 |
|  | 12 Years | 7.3 | 3.0 | 20.5 | 16.2 | 53.1 | 1,899,767 | 4,074 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 8.4 | 3.7 | 22.3 | 16.2 | 49.4 | 1,192,451 | 3,628 |
|  | 16+Years | 8.4 | 4.6 | 20.1 | 13.9 | 53.1 | 663,967 | 1,877 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 8.5 | 3.2 | 22.9 | 15.7 | 49.6 | 1,516,012 | 1,507 |
|  | San Diego | 5.4 | 3.2 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 52.3 | 412,614 | 593 |
|  | Orange | 6.4 | 7.7 | 20.3 | 11.1 | 54.4 | 393,166 | 492 |
|  | Santa Clara | 8.8 | 3.8 | 19.5 | 17.3 | 50.7 | 232,043 | 485 |
|  | San Bemardino | 6.0 | 3.7 | 21.3 | 15.0 | 54.0 | 305,017 | 759 |
|  | Alameda | 6.1 | 2.8 | 16.8 | 18.5 | 55.7 | 232,091 | 530 |
|  | Riverside | 8.8 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 54.4 | 242,632 | 707 |
|  | Sacramento | 9.6 | 2.2 | 17.5 | 15.1 | 55.6 | 197,177 | 562 |
|  | Contra Costa | 8.6 | 5.9 | 23.0 | 14.5 | 48.1 | 141,353 | 572 |
|  | San Francisco | 5.7 | 2.9 | 26.2 | 11.4 | 53.8 | 122,405 | 437 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 7.0 | 5.0 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 49.4 | 175,229 | 536 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 11.2 | 2.8 | 20.9 | 18.2 | 46.9 | 126,026 | 463 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc. Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 9.4 | 2.8 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 54.8 | 185,196 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 11.4 | 5.3 | 17.2 | 13.7 | 52.5 | 198,322 | 529 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 5.6 | 2.4 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 54.6 | 211,627 | 583 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 10.8 | 2.6 | 20.0 | 15.6 | 51.0 | 101,251 | 500 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 5.7 | 1.4 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 54.7 | 248,781 | 601 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 5.4 | 2.1 | 21.1 | 15.4 | 56.1 | 200,278 | 598 |

Table 10
Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year
Male

|  |  | Quitting Status |  |  |  |  | Population <br> Size <br> $(N)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quit |  | Relapsed |  | No <br> attempt <br> $(\%)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $3+$ months <br> (\%) | $0-3$ months (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7+\text { days } \\ \text { off } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1-6 days off (\%) |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 6.5 | 3.8 | 22.6 | 15.7 | 51.4 | 2,906,402 | 5,558 |
| Age | 18-24 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 29.4 | 19.1 | 40.3 | 505,937 | 937 |
|  | 25-44 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 22.4 | 16.8 | 50.7 | 1,535,766 | 2,914 |
|  | 45-64 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 18.1 | 12.4 | 59.4 | 679,181 | 1,354 |
|  | 65+ | 6.2 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 9.5 | 58.3 | 185,518 | 353 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 7.9 | 4.1 | 25.3 | 15.7 | 47.1 | 670,722 | 748 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 6.0 | 3.7 | 21.8 | 15.7 | 52.7 | 2,235,680 | 4,810 |
| Race | White | 6.5 | 4.0 | 21.6 | 15.1 | 52.8 | 2,377,095 | 4,508 |
|  | Black | 4.0 | 2.6 | 32.1 | 21.5 | 39.8 | 221,876 | 299 |
|  | Asian or Fl | 9.8 | 3.7 | 23.3 | 16.7 | 46.4 | 210,071 | 589 |
|  | Other | 4.7 | 1.9 | 23.7 | 14.5 | 55.2 | 97,360 | 162 |
| Education | <12 Years | 6.3 | 4.0 | 23.3 | 16.0 | 50.4 | 870,438 | 812 |
|  | 12 Years | 6.3 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 15.9 | 51.4 | 964,142 | 1,858 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 6.5 | 3.9 | 22.9 | 16.3 | 50.5 | 660,934 | 1,790 |
|  | 16+ Years | 7.5 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 13.5 | 55.2 | 410,888 | 1,098 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 5.6 | 3.2 | 24.1 | 17.3 | 49.8 | 869,979 | 877 |
|  | San Diego | 5.0 | 3.8 | 22.7 | 19.2 | 49.3 | 225,828 | 299 |
|  | Orange | 6.0 | 7.7 | 19.0 | 10.9 | 56.4 | 243,600 | 265 |
|  | Santa Clara | 10.7 | 5.1 | 20.2 | 17.4 | 46.7 | 123,061 | 248 |
|  | San Bernardino | 5.9 | 3.6 | 26.7 | 15.0 | 48.8 | 168,060 | 366 |
|  | Alameda | 4.8 | 3.6 | 18.0 | 16.8 | 56.8 | 134,551 | 270 |
|  | Riverside | 8.9 | 3.7 | 19.8 | 15.5 | 52.0 | 131,225 | 349 |
|  | Sacramento | 7.8 | 2.0 | 20.4 | 13.4 | 56.5 | 106,146 | 257 |
|  | Contra Costa | 10.2 | 6.0 | 28.7 | 14.1 | 41.0 | 75,956 | 275 |
|  | San Francisco | 5.2 | 1.1 | 26.6 | 13.1 | 54.1 | 64,063 | 217 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 7.0 | 4.8 | 20.9 | 16.6 | 50.7 | 97,471 | 257 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 11.4 | 2.7 | 23.0 | 16.2 | 46.7 | 66,503 | 211 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc. Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 8.3 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 14.7 | 52.3 | 97,817 | 319 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 8.0 | 7.8 | 20.2 | 10.7 | 53.4 | 95,467 | 248 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 4.1 | 3.9 | 21.3 | 15.1 | 55.6 | 13,552 | 290 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 12.7 | 3.7 | 22.6 | 14.7 | 46.3 | 55,149 | 236 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 3.9 | 0.9 | 23.9 | 15.6 | 55.7 | 132,727 | 280 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 5.4 | 1.8 | 23.9 | 14.1 | 54.8 | 105.247 | 294 |

Table 10
Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year Female


Table 11
Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year for Hispanic Populations Overall

|  | Quitting Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quit |  | Relapsed |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { attempt } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size (N) |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3+ \\ \text { months } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0-3 \\ \text { months } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7+\text { days } \\ \text { off } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1-6 \text { days } \\ \text { off } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 7.7 | 3.6 | 20.8 | 15.7 | 52.2 | 5,241,220 | 11,109 |

## Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 7.1 | 3.6 | 18.7 | 15.5 | 55.2 | 3,359,018 | 8,133 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 27.6 | 16.5 | 42.1 | 494,302 | 1,143 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 54.1 | 1,667,476 | 3,971 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 62.0 | 881,962 | 2,264 |
|  |  | 65+ | 7.2 | 2.9 | 17.3 | 9.9 | 62.8 | 315,278 | 755 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 4.9 | 2.9 | 19.2 | 16.3 | 56.7 | 642,248 | 854 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 6.6 | 3.3 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 56.5 | 1,340,193 | 3,109 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 8.9 | 4.2 | 19.5 | 14.9 | 52.6 | 865,796 | 2,722 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 8.0 | 4.0 | 20.1 | 13.4 | 54.5 | 510,781 | 1.448 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 10.8 | 5.6 | 23.5 | 14.6 | 45.5 | 806,135 | 945 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 14.6 | 4.3 | 28.7 | 17.0 | 35.4 | 183,892 | 212 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 25.4 | 14.2 | 44.7 | 435,406 | 530 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 12.5 | 3.1 | 15.2 | 11.9 | 57.4 | 163,150 | 173 |
|  |  | 65+ | 3.5 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 21.6 | 57.7 | 23,687 | 30 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 12.0 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 15.3 | 45.8 | 529,579 | 434 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 8.6 | 4.1 | 27.1 | 14.4 | 45.7 | 194,922 | 315 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 6.7 | 2.3 | 35.7 | 11.5 | 43.7 | 64,134 | 158 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 14.4 | 9.9 | 28.4 | 5.7 | 41.5 | 17,500 | 38 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 8.1 | 3.1 | 24.8 | 12.0 | 51.9 | 232,559 | 339 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 25.4 | 5.1 | 60.5 | 53,949 | 55 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 25.1 | 10.3 | 52.4 | 127,504 | 217 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 26.2 | 24.8 | 41.5 | 45,504 | 59 |
|  |  | 65+ | 37.5 |  | 4.3 | 14.5 | 43.7 | 5,602 | 8 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 6.3 | 3.4 | 23.6 | 11.0 | 55.7 | 109,793 | 80 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.4 | 2.9 | 24.7 | 8.9 | 54.1 | 63,133 | 108 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 5.9 | 1.5 | 30.5 | 17.7 | 44.5 | 45,246 | 108 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 22.4 | 7.4 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 37.4 | 14,387 | 43 |
| All Others | Total |  | 7.1 | 2.0 | 25.4 | 18.8 | 46.6 | 843,508 | 1,692 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 9.2 | 2.0 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 40.3 | 122,974 | 334 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 25.3 | 18.7 | 47.5 | 472,864 | 932 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 25.2 | 16.2 | 49.6 | 201,081 | 347 |
|  |  | 65+ | 6.8 | 3.7 | 30.3 | 17.4 | 41.8 | 46,589 | 79 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 3.8 | 0.7 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 57.3 | 203,415 | 162 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.0 | 0.9 | 29.0 | 18.3 | 42.9 | 301,519 | 542 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 7.5 | 2.3 | 28.1 | 22.4 | 39.6 | 217,275 | $640^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 7.3 | 6.3 | 19.0 | 16.6 | 50.7 | 121,299 | 348 |

## Table 11

Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year for Hispanic Populations

Male


## Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 6.0 | 4.0 | 20.4 | 14.8 | 54.8 | 1,746,542 | 3,824 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 31.9 | 17.5 | 38.7 | 270,489 | 574 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 4.9 | 4.0 | $\cdot 19.1$ | 17.0 | 55.0 | 892,168 | 1,939 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.9 | 3.4 | 15.7 | 11.1 | 62.0 | 448,488 | 1,029 |
|  |  | 65+ | 5.7 | 3.2 | 21.5 | 8.2 | 61.5 | 135,397 | 282 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 4.8 | 4.3 | 23.5 | 15.7 | 51.6 | 343,677 | 408 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 5.2 | 3.7 | 19.8 | 16.0 | 55.3 | 643,342 | 1,340 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 6.9 | 4.4 | 19.4 | 14.3 | 54.9 | 453,968 | 1,271 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 7.7 | 3.5 | 19.4 | 12.3 | 57.1 | 305.555 | 805 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 7.8 | 4.6 | 25.8 | 16.9 | 44.8 | 518,299 | 554 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 29.6 | 21.1 | 38.4 | 118,991 | 131 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 28.5 | 15.9 | 41.7 | 296,912 | 310 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 15.3 | 14.6 | 62.0 | 83,731 | 93 |
|  |  | 65+ | 4.4 | 13.4 | 5.9 | 18.3 | 58.0 | 18,665 | 20 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 8.1 | 4.9 | 24.7 | 17.3 | 44.9 | 346,485 | 272 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 8.4 | 3.9 | 24.4 | 18.1 | 45.2 | 115,771 | 167 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 3.9 | 1.4 | 37.8 | 13.5 | 43.4 | 43,726 | 92 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 8.6 | 14.1 | 28.0 | 8.1 | 41.1 | 12,317 | 23 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 8.3 | 2.3 | 23.3 | 11.3 | 54.9 | 152,423 | 194 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 22.2 | 4.1 | 62.5 | 40,554 | 32 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 23.6 | 8.8 | 56.4 | 73,713 | 119 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 26.4 | 26.3 | 44.0 | 34,562 | 39 |
|  |  | 65+ | 58.4 |  |  |  | 41.6 | 3,594 | 4 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 8.2 | 2.3 | 23.8 | 12.7 | 53.0 | 66,551 | 43 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.5 | 2.6 | 24.1 | 5.4 | 58.4 | 49,622 | 66 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 4.3 | 2.1 | 23.6 | 16.5 | 53.5 | 30,810 | 65 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 20.2 |  | 8.6 | 17.8 | 53.5 | 5,440 | 20 |
| All Others | Total |  | 6.1 | 3.0 | 26.8 | 18.8 | 45.3 | 489,138 | 986 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 24.0 | 29.7 | 36.9 | 75,903 | 200 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 26.4 | 19.3 | 44.8 | 272,973 | 546 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 27.4 | 12.0 | 52.0 | 112,400 | 193 |
|  |  | 65+ | 3.1 | 4.3 | 36.3 | 11.1 | 45.2 | 27,862 | 47 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 3.8 | 1.3 | 18.2 | 14.7 | 61.9 | 113,725 | 89 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 7.9 | 0.7 | 36.5 | 17.5 | 37.4 | 155,407 | 285 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 6.2 | 3.4 | 29.6 | 24.0 | 36.8 | 132,430 | 362 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 5.9 | 8.6 | 16.7 | 18.3 | 50.5 | 87,576 | 250 |

Table 11
Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year for Hispanic Populations

Female

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ting St | tus |  |  |  |
|  |  | Quit | Rel | psed |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3+ \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} 0-3 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ (\%) | 7+ days off <br> (\%) | 1-6 days off (\%) | No attempt (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Total | 6.5 | 3.8 | 22.6 | 15.7 | 51.4 | 2,906,402 | 5.558 |

Ethnicity

| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 8.2 | 3.1 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 55.6 | 1,612,476 | 4,309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 10.7 | 5.6 | 22.3 | 15.3 | 46.1 | 223,813 | 569 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 52.9 | 775,308 | 2,032 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 62.0 | 433,474 | 1,235 |
|  |  | 65+ | 8.3 | 2.7 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 63.7 | 179,881 | 473 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 4.9 | 1.3 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 62.6 | 298,571 | 446 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 7.9 | 3.0 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 57.5 | 696,851 | 1,769 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 11.0 | 4.0 | 19.5 | 15.6 | 50.0 | 411,828 | 1,451 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 8.6 | 4.7 | 21.1 | 15.1 | 50.5 | 205,226 | 643 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 16.2 | 7.3 | 19.3 | 10.3 | 46.9 | 287,836 | 391 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 29.6 | 3.9 | 27.0 | 9.5 | 30.0 | 64,901 | 81 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 8.9 | 10.9 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 51.2 | 138,494 | 220 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 19.0 | 4.5 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 52.5 | 79,419 | 80 |
|  |  | 65+ |  |  | 9.6 | 34.1 | 56.4 | 5,022 | 10 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 19.4 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 47.5 | 183,094 | 162 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.0 | 4.5 | 31.1 | 9.0 | 46.4 | 79,151 | 148 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 12.9 | 4.3 | 31.1 | 7.3 | 44.4 | 20,408 | 66 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 28.2 |  | 29.3 |  | 42.4 | 5,183 | 15 |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 7.7 | 4.7 | 27.8 | 13.4 | 46.4 | 80,136 | 145 |
|  | Age | 18-24 |  | 2.6 | 34.8 | 8.2 | 54.4 | 13,395 | 23 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 10.3 | 3.2 | 27.1 | 12.4 | 46.9 | 53,791 | 98 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 25.5 | 19.9 | 33.5 | 10,942 | 20 |
|  |  | 65+ |  |  | 12.0 | 40.6 | 47.5 | 2,008 | 4 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 3.4 | 5.1 | 23.3 | 8.4 | 59.8 | 43,242 | 37 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 9.3 | 3.8 | 26.8 | 21.8 | 38.4 | 13,511 | 42 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 9.2 |  | 45.3 | 20.3 | 25.3 | 14,436 | 43 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 23.8 | 11.9 | 22.7 | 14.0 | 27.6 | 8,947 | 23 |
| All Others | Total |  | 8.5 | 0.6 | 23.4 | 19.0 | 48.5 | 354,370 | 706 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 12.8 | 1.2 | 24.8 | 15.5 | 45.8 | 47,071 | 134 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 23.7 | 17.9 | 51.2 | 199,891 | 386 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 22.4 | 21.7 | 46.5 | 88,681 | 154 |
|  |  | 65+ | 12.4 | 2.9 | 21.4 | 26.7 | 36.6 | 18,727 | 32 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 3.8 |  | 24.4 | 20.3 | 51.5 | 89,690 | 73 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 10.2 | 1.1 | 20.9 | 19.1 | 48.7 | 146,112 | 257 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 9.6 | 0.6 | 25.9 | 19.9 | 44.0 | 84,845 | 278 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 10.7 | 0.5 | 25.1 | 12.4 | 51.3 | 33,723 | 98 |
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Table 12
Stages of Cessation

|  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Precontemplation <br> (\%) | Contemplation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination $5_{+}$ years (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 18.0 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 34.2 | 9,693,865 | 15,763 |
| Sex | Male | 17.3 | 22.2 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 35.6 | 5,502,175 | 7,947 |
|  | Female | 19.0 | 23.1 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 11.7 | 32.4 | 4,191,690 | 7,816 |
| Age | 18-24 | 26.5 | 33.3 | 11.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 1,026,316 | 1,859 |
|  | 25-44 | 18.6 | 28.7 | 9.4 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 11.9 | 25.3 | 4,288,338 | 7,536 |
|  | -45-64 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 10.9 | 44.8 | 2,955,063 | 4,587 |
|  | 65+ | 12.7 | 8.1 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 11.7 | 61.0 | 1,424,148 | 1,781 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 18.6 | 22.3 | 12.9 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 25.1 | 1,620,292 | 1,841 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 17.9 | 22.6 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 11.4 | 36.1 | 8,073,573 | 13,922 |
| Race | White | 18.1 | 21.9 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 35.4 | 8,120,731 | 13,861 |
|  | Black | 14.5 | 28.4 | 14.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 26.0 | 696,810 | 818 |
|  | Asian or PI | 17.2 | 21.8 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 12.2 | 34.8 | 586,467 | 660 |
|  | Other | 26.6 | 29.3 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 19.3 | 289,857 | 424 |
| Education | <12 Y ${ }_{\text {ears }}$ | 20.8 | 23.0 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 10.3 | 29.3 | 2,428,276 | 2,048 |
|  | 12 Years | 20.0 | 24.6 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 30.7 | 3,301,403 | 5,519 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 16.8 | 23.6 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 12.5 | 33.3 | 2,226,501 | 5,048 |
|  | 16+Years | 11.9 | 16.8 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 49.0 | 1,737,685 | 3,148 |

Table 12
Stages of Cessation Overall (continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | PopulationSize(N) | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Precont- } \\ \text { emplation } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Contem plation (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { paration } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination 5+ years (\%) |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 17.8 | 23.6 | 9.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 12.2 | 30.5 | 2,707,308 | 1,556 |
|  | San Diego | 17.4 | 23.3 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 35.5 | 782,312 | 906 |
|  | Orange | 17.5 | 20.2 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 34.8 | 729,948 | 721 |
|  | Santa Clara | 16.3 | 21.9 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 11.6 | 37.7 | 452,048 | 715 |
|  | San Bernardino | 23.3 | 24.0 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 30.4 | 508,482 | 1,045 |
|  | Alameda | 17.2 | 24.9 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 34.4 | 415,921 | 775 |
|  | Riverside | 17.8 | 23.7 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 35.0 | 440,871 | 1,004 |
|  | Sacramento | 19.3 | 23.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 11.4 | 35.3 | 365,925 | 844 |
|  | Contra Costa | 15.2 | 21.3 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 11.6 | 38.0 | 278,905 | 871 |
|  | San Francisco | 18.2 | 19.8 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 38.3 | 245,967 | 671 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 16.3 | 20.5 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 35.9 | 328,794 | 794 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 14.6 | 19.6 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 13.7 | 39.0 | 265,972 | 747 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 20.4 | 22.4 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 33.6 | 335,410 | 942 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 16.8 | 20.8 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 12.5 | 37.4 | 388,964 | 808 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 18.4 | 20.3 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 10.6 | 39.9 | 427,827 | 881 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 15.4 | 22.8 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 11.6 | 38.3 | 198,507 | 767 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 20.1 | 22.5 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 9.3 | 36.2 | 454,905 | 863 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 19.6 | 23.6 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 13.4 | 32.0 | 365,799 | 853 |

Table 12

## Stages of Cessation

|  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contem plation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months <br> (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination $5+$ years <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 17.3 | 22.2 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 35.6 | 5,502,175 | 7,947 |
| Age | 18-24 | 27.5 | 33.7 | 14.1 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 11.9 | 2.2 | 592,809 | 974 |
|  | 25-44 | 18.0 | 28.8 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 11.8 | 25.5 | 2,435,879 | 3,825 |
|  | 45-64 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 47.7 | 1,652,209 | 2,296 |
|  | 65+ | 11.1 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 11.7 | 65.4 | 821,278 | 852 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 18.1 | 23.3 | 14.0 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 13.6 | 23.9 | 1,032,097 | 1,079 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 17.1 | 21.9 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 11.0 | 38.3 | 4,470,078 | 6,868 |
| Race | White | 17.5 | 21.8 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 11.5 | 36.3 | 4,525,339 | 6,884 |
|  | Black | 12.9 | 27.2 | 15.9 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 26.6 | 365,996 | 405 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 15.5 | 19.1 | 8.8 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 9.9 | 40.0 | 435,193 | 432 |
|  | Other | 23.7 | 29.5 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 10.6 | 25.6 | 175,647 | 226 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 19.9 | 23.0 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 10.6 | 29.6 | 1,440,568 | 1,099 |
|  | 12 Years | 19.0 | 24.3 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 31.5 | 1,683,811 | 2,527 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 16.5 | 23.5 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 35.1 | 1,277,497 | 2,505 |
|  | 16+ Years | 12.0 | 16.3 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 50.4 | 1,100,299 | 1,816 |

Table 12
Stages of Cessation
Male (continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contem plation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months <br> (\%) | Maint- <br> enance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 18.2 | 23.6 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 13.1 | 30.2 | 1,558,463 | 826 |
|  | San Diego | 16.9 | 22.6 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 12.4 | 34.8 | 425,060 | 447 |
|  | Orange | 18.4 | 20.8 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 10.4 | 34.1 | 438,973 | 391 |
|  | Santa Clara | 15.0 | 20.9 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 10.6 | 40.4 | 249,383 | 368 |
|  | San Bernardino | 19.0 | 22.9 | 8.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 35.4 | 301,768 | 526 |
|  | Alameda | 17.6 | 27.7 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 10.0 | 31.7 | 229,693 | 380 |
|  | Riverside | 16.8 | 22.2 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 37.2 | 251,447 | 514 |
|  | Sacramento | 20.3 | 24.0 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 10.3 | 35.9 | 193,568 | 397 |
|  | Contra Costa | 12.2 | 21.4 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 12.6 | 38.7 | 155,631 | 434 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.2 | 19.0 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 10.7 | 43.5 | 139,393 | 345 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 14.6 | 19.3 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 39.8 | 194,733 | 388 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 13.7 | 20.1 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 39.1 | 137,727 | 349 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 19.2 | 20.9 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 37.6 | 184,938 | 469 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.2 | 17.6 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 41.8 | 212,588 | 414 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 16.3 | 20.5 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 10.4 | 43.2 | 244,450 | 451 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 12.5 | 22.6 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 38.8 | 112,094 | 382 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 16.7 | 22.6 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 42.3 | 263,855 | 429 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 19.2 | 19.9 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 12.2 | 37.3 | 208,411 | 437 |

Table 12
Stages of Cessation
Female

Table 12
Stages of Cessation
Female (continued)

|  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contem plation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action <br> 0-3 <br> months <br> (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) |  |  |
| Region | Los Angeles | 17.3 | 23.6 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 30.9 | 1,148,845 | 730 |
|  | San Diego | 18.0 | 24.1 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 36.3 | 357,252 | 459 |
|  | Orange | 16.2 | 19.4 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 13.0 | 35.9 | 290,975 | 330 |
|  | Santa Clara | 17.9 | 23.2 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 12.7 | 34.4 | 202,665 | 347 |
|  | San Bernardino | 29.4 | 25.8 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 23.0 | 206,714 | 519 |
|  | Alameda | 16.8 | 21.4 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 10.5 | 37.8 | 186,228 | 395 |
|  | Riverside | 19.0 | 25.6 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 9.2 | 32.1 | 189,424 | 490 |
|  | Sacramento | 18.1 | 22.1 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 12.6 | 34.8 | 172,357 | 447 |
|  | Contra Costa | 18.8 | 21.1 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 10.3 | 37.1 | 123,274 | 437 |
|  | San Francisco | 19.6 | 21.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14.9 | 31.5 | 106,574 | 326 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 18.7 | 22.2 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 30.2 | 134,061 | 406 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 15.7 | 19.0 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 14.8 | 38.9 | 128,245 | 398 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 22.0 | 24.2 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 13.3 | 28.7 | 150,472 | 473 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 18.8 | 24.6 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 9.9 | 32.1 | 176,376 | 394 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 21.3 | 20.1 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 35.4 | 183,377 | 430 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 19.1 | 23.0 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 9.5 | 37.6 | 86,413 | 385 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 24.9 | 22.4 | 7.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 27.8 | 191,050 | 434 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 20.2 | 28.5 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 157,388 | 416 |

Table 13
Stages of Cessation

| Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precontemplation (\%) | Contemplation (\%) | Preparation <br> (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months <br> (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| 18.0 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 34 | 9,693,865 | 15763 |


| Non-Hispanic | Total |  | 17.9 | 21.9 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 11.4 | 37.8 | 6,609,856 | 12,196 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | Age | 18-24 | 26.2 | 35.9 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 12.6 | 3.7 | 585,056 | 1,302 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 19.2 | 28.8 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 27.1 | 2,735,644 | 5,527 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 16.5 | 16.9 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 10.3 | 47.9 | 2,109,181 | 3,768 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.2 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 61.3 | 1,179,975 | 1,599 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 22.2 | 23.0 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 34.5 | 1,137,605 | 1,170 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 20.3 | 23.7 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 11.3 | 33.5 | 2,419,398 | 4,363 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 16.8 | 23.1 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 12.1 | 35.5 | 1,652,693 | 3,982 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 11.6 | 16.3 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 12.7 | 50.5 | 1,400,160 | 2,681 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 18.3 | 22.2 | 13.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 25.2 | 1,245,382 | 1,344 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 14.0 | 6.5 | 233,149 | 255 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 16.4 | 25.2 | 14.1 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 24.4 | 650,994 | 757 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 8.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 10.0 | 36.9 | 308,934 | 277 |
|  |  | 65+ | 17.8 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 49.8 | 52,305 | 55 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 17.3 | 22.7 | 15.4 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 23.2 | 774,548 | 588 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 22.4 | 23.6 | 11.8 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 10.2 | 23.5 | 294,814 | 438 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 18.3 | 17.9 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 16.9 | 34.1 | 129,807 | 248 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 7.6 | 17.6 | 3.8 | 5.4 |  | 4.0 | 16.6 | 45.0 | 46,213 | 70 |


|  |  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contemplation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance 1.5 (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  |  | 18.0 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 34 | 9,693,865 | 15763 |
| Ethnjcity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 19.7 | 22.5 | 11.4 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 15.0 | 24.6 | 374,910 | 497 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 38.0 | 29.0 | 9.6 | 5.9 |  | 2.4 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 59,401 | 63 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 20.1 | 24.8 | 11.5 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 16.2 | 19.7 | 199,378 | 314 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 9.7 | 18.7 | 15.7 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 18.2 | 33.7 | 88,295 | 99 |
|  |  | $65+$ | 8.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 7.2 | 7.3 | 72.7 | 27,836 | 21 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 24.9 | 19.3 | 12.5 | 3.4 |  | 2.9 | 13.6 | 23.4 | 167,633 | 107 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 16.1 | 29.1 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 97,117 | 157 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 14.3 | 23.8 | 15.0 | 2.4 |  | 1.8 | 9.5 | 33.2 | 78,777 | 156 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 15.8 | 15.5 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 22.5 | 30.6 | 31,383 | 77 |
| All Others | Total |  | 17.8 | 26.0 | 11.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 28.3 | 1,463,717 | 1,726 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 28.6 | 40.7 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 148,710 | 239 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 17.9 | 32.5 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 20.8 | 702,322 | 938 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 13.1 | 37.6 | 448,653 | 443 |
|  |  | 65+ | 7.7 | 10.9 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 12.5 | 60.0 | 164,032 | 106 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 22.2 | 25.3 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 28.6 | 348,490 | 183 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 17.7 | 28.6 | 12.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 10.2 | 23.9 | 490,074 | 561 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 16.5 | 28.1 | 14.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 13.4 | 22.8 | 365,224 | 662 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 13.9 | 19.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 43.7 | 259,929 | 320 |

Table 13

## Stages of Cessation Male



| 1.7 | 11.0 | 39.8 | $3,561,581$ | 5,906 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.5 | 12.1 | 3.0 | 314,782 | 641 |
| 1.9 | 11.3 | 26.1 | $1,435,560$ | 2,676 |
| 1.7 | 10.0 | 51.1 | $1,158,585$ | 1,845 |
| 0.6 | 11.6 | 67.4 | 652,654 | 744 |
| 1.2 | 8.8 | 35.3 | 614,594 | 570 |
| 1.5 | 11.1 | 35.6 | $1,204,406$ | 1,931 |
| 2.2 | 11.6 | 36.9 | 888,378 | 1,896 |
| 1.6 | 11.8 | 51.7 | 854,203 | 1,509 |
| 1.6 | 13.2 | 24.8 | 808,557 | 802 |
| 3.2 | 17.6 | 1.4 | 145,253 | 157 |
| 1.4 | 11.8 | 25.6 | 452,994 | 455 |
| 0.8 | 15.1 | 38.4 | 176,156 | 157 |
| 1.8 | 2.4 | 44.0 | 34,154 | 33 |
| 1.6 | 12.5 | 23.4 | 518,058 | 373 |
| 2.3 | 12.0 | 21.2 | 170,205 | 233 |
| 0.7 | 15.9 | 35.7 | 88,146 | 150 |
| 1.1 | 22.9 | 38.5 | 32,148 | 46 |


| Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  |
|  | Age | 18-24 |
|  |  | 25-44 |
|  |  | 45-64 |
|  |  | 65+ |
|  | Education | <12 Years |
|  |  | 12 Years |
|  |  | 13-15 Years |
|  |  | 16+ Years |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  |
|  | Age | 18-24 |
|  |  | 25-44 |
|  |  | 45-64 |
|  |  | $65+$ |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years |
|  |  | 12 Years |
|  |  | 13.15 Years |
|  |  | $16+$ Years |

Table 13
Stages of Cessation
Male (continued)

|  |  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | PopulationSize(N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contemplation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months (\%) | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance 1-5 years (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 17.3 | 22.2 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 36 | 5,502,175 | 7947 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Hispanic | Total |  | 21.0 | 24.9 | 11.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 20.4 | 223,540 | 277 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 42.9 | 24.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 |  | 3.3 | 12.4 | 3.0 | 43,239 | 37 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 19.9 | 27.7 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 116,651 | 172 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 9.2 | 24.9 | 27.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 8.7 | 27.2 | 48,745 | 57 |
|  |  | 65+ | 4.6 | 5.7 |  |  |  | 13.4 | 11.9 | 64.4 | 14,905 | 11 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 26.4 | 21.7 | 14.0 | 2.6 |  | 5.0 | 17.7 | 12.6 | 87,917 | 57 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 20.5 | 33.3 | 11.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 63,106 | 87 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 15.7 | 23.6 | 10.5 | 1.2 |  | 2.2 | 8.9 | 37.9 | 56,912 | 94 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 11.5 | 14.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 30.6 | 33.9 | 15,605 | 39 |
| All Others | Total |  | 15.9 | 23.9 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 11.0 | 32.8 | 908,497 | 962 |
|  | Age | 18.24 | 25.9 | 43.8 | 14.0 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 89,535 | 139 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 15.2 | 29.5 | 13.9 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 11.3 | 25.4 | 430,674 | 522 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 16.6 | 14.6 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 43.0 | 268,723 | 237 |
|  |  | 65+ | 9.3 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 0.6 |  |  | 14.9 | 60.8 | 119,565 | 64 |
|  | Education | $<12$ Years | 24.2 | 22.0 | 8.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 35.1 | 219,999 | 99 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 13.5 | 29.3 | 14.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 12.2 | 23.7 | 246,094 | 276 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 12.7 | 25.8 | 16.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 13.3 | 27.6 | 244,061 | 365 |
|  |  | $16+$ Years | 13.5 | 16.9 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 47.7 | 198,343 | 222 |

Table 13
Stages of Cessation

|  |  |  | Current smokers |  |  | Quit smoking |  |  |  |  | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Precontemplation (\%) $\qquad$ | Contemplation <br> (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Action 0-3 months $(\%)$ | Action 3-6 months (\%) | Maintenance 6-12 months (\%) | Maintenance $1-5$ years $\qquad$ (\%) | Termination $5+$ years (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 19.0 | 23.1 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 11.7 | 32 | 4,191,690 | 7816 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic White | Total |  | 18.5 | 22.4 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 12.0 | 35.5 | 3,048,275 | 6,290 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 26.0 | 34.4 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 4.6 | 270,274 | 661 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 18.3 | 27.8 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 12.5 | 28.2 | 1,300,084 | 2,851 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 44.0 | 950,596 | 1,923 |
|  |  | 65+ | 15.8 | 10.3 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 12.3 | 53.9 | 527,321 | 855 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 25.3 | 21.9 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 10.0 | 33.5 | 523,011 | 600 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 20.4 | 25.0 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 31.4 | 1,214,992 | 2,432 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 16.2 | 22.8 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 12.7 | 33.9 | 764,315 | 2,086 |
|  |  | 16+ Years | 11.3 | 16.5 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 48.7 | 545,957 | 1,172 |
| Mexican Origin | Total |  | 20.1 | 21.0 | 11.0 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 25.9 | 436,825 | 542 |
|  | Age | 18-24 | 17.3 | 23.3 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 15.0 | 87,896 | 98 |
|  |  | 25-44 | 22.7 | 23.6 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 21.5 | 198,000 | 302 |
|  |  | 45-64 | 18.9 | 17.7 | 11.0 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 34.8 | 132,778 | 120 |
|  |  | 65+ | 13.5 | 5.6 | 9.1 |  |  |  | 11.2 | 60.6 | 18,151 | 22 |
|  | Education | <12 Years | 17.5 | 23.1 | 12.1 | 5.2 |  | 3.8 | 6.7 | 22.8 | 256,490 | 215 |
|  |  | 12 Years | 28.1 | 19.1 | 10.1 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 7.7 | 26.6 | 124,609 | 205 |
|  |  | 13-15 Years | 18.1 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 18.9 | 30.8 | 41,661 | 98 |
|  |  | 16+Years | 2.9 | 19.0 | 5.2 |  |  | 10.7 | 2.3 | 59.9 | 14,065 | 24 |

Table 13

Smoking During Last Pregnancy Among Women Who Delivered a Live Baby in the Last 5 Years



| Total |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age at Last Birth | <20 |
|  | 20-29 |
|  | 30+ |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic |
|  | Non-Hispanic |
| Race | White |
|  | Black |
|  | Asian or PI |
|  | Other |
| Education | $<12$ Years |
|  | 12 Years |
|  | 13-15 Years |
|  | 16+ Years |
| Region | Los Angeles |
|  | San Diego |
|  | Orange |
|  | Santa Clara |
|  | San Bernardino |
|  | Alameda |
|  | Riverside |
|  | Sacramento |
|  | Contra Costa |
|  | San Francisco |
|  | San Mateo, Solano |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare |

## Table 15

Timing of Relapse Among Women Who Quit During Last Pregnancy

|  |  | Relapsed during pregnancy (\%) | Relapsed in 1st 6 month post partum (\%) | Relapsed after 6 months post partum (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 24.5 | 61.5 | 10.4 | 60,272 | 163 |
| Age at Last Birth | $<20$ | 25.1 | 67.4 | 7.6 | 5,672 | 17 |
|  | 20-29 | 29.1 | 54.2 | 13.3 | 40,552 | 106 |
|  | 30+ | 11.0 | 80.3 | 3.1 | 14,048 | 40 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 24.0 | 57.4 | 7.5 | 11,002 | 19 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 24.6 | 62.4 | 11.0 | 49,270 | 144 |
| Race | White | 28.4 | 57.9 | 9.6 | 49,615 | 139 |
|  | Black | 12.5 | 80.8 | 6.7 | 5,512 | 11 |
|  | AsianorPI |  | 61.3 | 34.6 | 3,293 | 7 |
|  | Other |  | 100.0 |  | 1,852 | 6 |
| Education | <12 Years | 21.5 | 64.5 | 8.0 | 15,141 | 28 |
|  | 12 Years | 23.1 | 61.7 | 11.3 | 28,529 | 79 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 38.3 | 51.9 | 9.8 | 12,281 | 39 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 5.4 | 76.9 | 14.5 | 4,321 | 17 |

Table 16
Adolescent Smoking Behavior Overall

|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Never tried |  | Tried |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Not contemplating (\%) | Conternplating (\%) | Former smoker (\%) | Expenmenter (\%) | Current smoker (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 49.8 | 13.6 | 19.0 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 2,341,433 | 7,767 |
| Sex | Male | 46.8 | 13.8 | 20.4 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 52.8 | 13.4 | 17.5 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 61.5 | 19.9 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 825,457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 48.8 | 13.9 | 18.7 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 37.9 | 6.2 | 30.3 | 8.1 | 17.4 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 46.0 | 16.3 | 18.2 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 795,116 | 3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 51.8 | 12.2 | 19.3 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 48.5 | 13.4 | 19.8 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 60.5 | 9.3 | 16.7 | 8.6 | 4.9 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Istander | 57.0 | 16.6 | 13.9 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | 41.4 | 17.4 | 20.2 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 60.8 | 12.1 | 17.2 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
|  | Better than average | 52.4 | 13.4 | 20.0 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 43.2 | 14.4 | 18.8 | 10.3 | 13.3 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 48.2 | 16.1 | 18.7 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 697,351 | 3,213 |
|  | San Diego | 48.7 | 10.2 | 23.6 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 196,551 | 257 |
|  | Orange | 50.7 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 189,650 | 266 |
|  | Santa Clara | 53.7 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 117,825 | 239 |
|  | San Bernardino | 47.5 | 13.4 | 21.5 | 5.0 | 12.6 | 111,596 | 390 |
|  | Alameda | 52.7 | 9.8 | 16.1 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 100,632 | 230 |
|  | Riverside | 52.9 | 10.7 | 18.6 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 92,078 | 305 |
|  | Sacramento | 60.5 | 9.5 | 16.1 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 81,910 | 247 |
|  | Contra Costa | 50.8 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 63,235 | 290 |
|  | San Francisco | 52.5 | 12.6 | 17.6 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 56,961 | 138 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 51.5 | 12.9 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 10.0 | 77,895 | 241 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 55.8 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 7.3 | 11.2 | 57,362 | 193 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, DelNorte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 50.4 | 12.4 | 16.7 | 5.2 | 15.3 | 74,592 | 279 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 48.4 | 11.9 | 16.8 | 10.2 | 12.6 | 98,809 | 263 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 46.4 | 11.9 | 20.9 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 87,315 | 259 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 43.7 | 14.0 | 26.2 | 3.5 | 12.7 | 48,945 | 253 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 45.0 | 16.0 | 22.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 102,627 | 328 |
|  | Impenal, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 50.6 | 11.0 | 22.6 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 86,099 | 376 |

Table 16
Adolescent Smoking Behavior Male

|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Never tried |  | Tried |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Not contemplating (\%) | Contemplating (\%) | Former smoker <br> (\%) | Experimenter <br> (\%) | Current smoker <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 46.8 | 13.8 | 20.4 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
| Age | 12-13 | 60.7 | 19.5 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 385,965 | 1,282 |
|  | 14-15 | 44.3 | 15.3 | 21.0 | 12.3 | 7.2 | 398,954 | 1,341 |
|  | 16-17 | 35.0 | 6.5 | 29.9 | 9.2 | 19.5 | 372,546 | 1,289 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 40.0 | 16.8 | 20.4 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 394,908 | 1,631 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 50.3 | 12.3 | 20.4 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 762,557 | 2,281 |
| Race | White | 45.2 | 13.9 | 21.3 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 855,261 | 2,808 |
|  | Black | 65.2 | 7.5 | 15.3 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 104,796 | 378 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 51.7 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 105,673 | 394 |
|  | Other | 35.1 | 16.0 | 24.2 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 91,735 | 332 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 56.7 | 13.6 | 18.2 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 201,711 | 669 |
|  | Better than average | 50.6 | 12.4 | 22.0 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 405,883 | 1,325 |
|  | Average and below | 40.3 | 15.0 | 20.1 | 10.3 | 14.3 | 549,871 | 1,918 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 46.3 | 15.5 | 19.6 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 342,265 | 1,600 |
|  | San Diego | 43.6 | 9.8 | 28.6 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 99,152 | 131 |
|  | Orange | 45.8 | 15.6 | 19.6 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 93,576 | 141 |
|  | Santa Clara | 53.6 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 58,913 | 121 |
|  | San Bernardino | 48.8 | 10.0 | 19.8 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 55,633 | 203 |
|  | Alameda | 51.4 | 7.8 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 48,757 | 117 |
|  | Riverside | 50.8 | 9.1 | 19.5 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 45,119 | 167 |
|  | Sacramento | 57.3 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 39,689 | 130 |
|  | Contra Costa | 42.5 | 21.6 | 18.3 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 30,987 | 133 |
|  | San Francisco | 50.2 | 11.5 | 17.8 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 29,107 | 76 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 51.8 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 38,772 | 129 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 56.7 | 7.2 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 27,870 | 104 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskjyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 44.9 | 14.5 | 19.5 | 5.9 | 15.2 | 36,962 | 144 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 44.8 | 10.7 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 10.4 | 48,971 | 122 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 42.5 | 10.4 | 27.4 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 43,521 | 133 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 41.5 | 15.6 | 26.7 | 3.1 | 13.1 | 24,794 | 124 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 36.1 | 19.3 | 24.7 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 50,635 | 164 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 42.1 | 17.7 | 26.2 | 6.1 | 7.8 | 42,742 | 173 |

Table 16
Adolescent Smoking Behavior
Female

|  |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  | Population <br> Size <br> $(N)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Never tried |  | Tried |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Not contemplating (\%) | Contemplating (\%) | Former smoker (\%) | Experimenter (\%) | Current smoker (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 52.8 | 13.4 | 17.5 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 62.2 | 20.3 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 439,492 | 1,337 |
|  | 14-15 | 53.4 | 12.5 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 382,437 | 1,295 |
|  | 16-17 | 40.9 | 5.9 | 30.8 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 362,039 | 1,223 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 51.9 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 400,208 | 1,608 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 53.3 | 12.2 | 18.3 | 6.7 | 9.6 | 783,760 | 2,247 |
| Race | White | 51.8 | 12.8 | 18.2 | 7.2 | 9.9 | 858,651 | 2,738 |
|  | Black | 56.3 | 11.0 | 17.9 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 117,190 | 383 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 62.0 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 110,460 | 386 |
|  | Other | 47.3 | 18.8 | 16.3 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 97,667 | 348 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 64.3 | 10.9 | 16.3 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 234,034 | 742 |
|  | Better than average | 54.1 | 14.3 | 18.2 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 447,993 | 1,367 |
|  | Average and below | 46.4 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 12.1 | 501,941 | 1,746 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 50.0 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 355,086 | 1,613 |
|  | San Diego | 54.0 | 10.7 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 97,399 | 126 |
|  | Orange | 55.5 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 9.9 | 96,074 | 125 |
|  | Santa Clara | 53.8 | 14.4 | 18.0 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 58,912 | 118 |
|  | San Bemardino | 46.3 | 16.8 | 23.2 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 55,963 | 187 |
|  | Alameda | 54.0 | 11.7 | 15.3 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 51,875 | 113 |
|  | Riverside | 54.8 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 46,959 | 138 |
|  | Sacramento | 63.5 | 5.8 | 17.2 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 42,221 | 117 |
|  | Contra Costa | 58.8 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 32,248 | 157 |
|  | San Francisco | 54.8 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 10.9 | 2.9 | 27,854 | 62 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 51.2 | 8.7 | 21.4 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 39,123 | 112 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 55.0 | 20.0 | 12.9 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 29,492 | 89 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Gienn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 55.7 | 10.2 | 14.1 | 4.6 | 15.4 | 37,630 | 135 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 52.0 | 13.2 | 15.8 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 49,838 | 141 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 50.2 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 6.4 | 15.6 | 43,794 | 126 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 45.9 | 12.3 | 25.6 | 3.9 | 12.3 | 24,151 | 129 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 53.7 | 12.8 | 20.8 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 51,992 | 164 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono Tulare | 58.9 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 43,357 | 203 |

Table 17
Adolescent Male Smokeless Tobacco Use

|  |  | Has tried (\%) | Never tried, contemplating (\%) | Never tried, not contemplating <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 15.2 | 11.1 | 73.7 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
| Age | 12-13 | 4.4 | 15.2 | 80.4 | 385,965 | 1,282 |
|  | 14-15 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 75.3 | 398,954 | 1,341 |
|  | 16-17 | 28.2 | 6.8 | 64.9 | 372,546 | 1,289 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 9.9 | 11.7 | 78.5 | 394,908 | 1,631 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 18.0 | 10.8 | 71.2 | 762,557 | 2,281 |
| Race | White | 17.7 | 11.8 | 70.5 | 855,261 | 2,808 |
|  | Black | 7.7 | 7.1 | 85.2 | 104,796 | 378 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 5.4 | 10.1 | 84.5 | 105,673 | 394 |
|  | Other | 12.5 | 10.0 | 77.5 | 91,735 | 332 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 12.4 | 11.9 | 75.7 | 201,711 | 669 |
|  | Better than average | 16.9 | 9.8 | 73.3 | 405,883 | 1,325 |
|  | Average and below | 15.0 | 11.8 | 73.2 | 549,871 | 1,918 |

Table 18
Household Exposure to Smoking Among Children Overall

|  |  | Age group of youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 |  |  | 6-11 |  |  | 12-17 |  |  |
|  |  | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & (N) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 32.2 | 2,845,753 | 12,392 | 32.2 | 2,993,692 | 12,849 | 36.5 | 2,334,224 | 11,899 |
| Sex | Male | 32.5 | 1,462,437 | 6,278 | 32.5 | 1,562,343 | 6,560 | 36.8 | 1,210,640 | 6,098 |
|  | Female | 31.8 | 1,383,316 | 6,114 | 31.9 | 1,431,349 | 6,289 | 36.1 | 1,123,584 | 5,801 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 33.0 | 901,239 | 4,539 | 33.4 | 965,325 | 4,688 | 34.7 | 794,096 | 4,178 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 31.8 | 1,944,514 | 7,853 | 31.6 | 2,028,367 | 8,161 | 37.4 | 1,540,128 | 7,721 |
| Race | White | 32.9 | 2,269,673 | 10,243 | 32.5 | 2,376,952 | 10,574 | 37.0 | 1,860,787 | 9,611 |
|  | Black | 33.0 | 239,101 | 1,068 | 37.2 | 237,332 | 1,047 | 39.9 | 209,602 | 988 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 25.1 | 267,998 | 861 | 25.4 | 315,301 | 1,011 | 27.5 | 209,755 | 1,062 |
|  | Other | 34.2 | 68,981 | 220 | 35.3 | 64,107 | 217 | 41.2 | 54,080 | 238 |
| Education | <12 Years | 40.3 | 505,082 | 2,505 | 38.9 | 558,335 | 2,695 | 43.6 | 462,742 | 2,484 |
|  | 12 Years | 39.6 | 861,464 | 3,722 | 40.4 | 870,393 | 3,687 | 43.6 | 718,503 | 3,537 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 29.9 | 809,078 | 3,451 | 30.8 | 878,750 | 3,663 | 35.4 | 696,885 | 3,500 |
|  | 16+ Years | 19.3 | 670,129 | 2,714 | 18.2 | 686,214 | 2,804 | 19.7 | 456,094 | 2,378 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 32.0 | 904,782 | 6,686 | 34.0 | 861,738 | 6,443 | 35.6 | 699,956 | 5,966 |
|  | San Diego | 35.5 | 227,299 | 351 | 33.2 | 250,769 | 394 | 34.8 | 178,217 | 327 |
|  | Orange | 30.6 | 204,628 | 303 | 30.1 | 219,148 | 331 | 31.0 | 181,584 | 333 |
|  | Santa Clara | 28.8 | 136,286 | 315 | 28.5 | 153,423 | 367 | 30.1 | 107,083 | 308 |
|  | San Bemardino | 33.2 | 149,716 | 499 | 32.6 | 161,136 | 550 | 46.2 | 129,722 | 517 |
|  | Alameda | 27.8 | 108,596 | 284 | 37.9 | 133,626 | 341 | 38.0 | 96,163 | 303 |
|  | Riverside | 36.8 | 115,408 | 416 | 30.1 | 122,539 | 445 | 34.8 | 93,671 | 386 |
|  | Sacramento | 32.4 | 109,103 | 343 | 37.7 | 100,217 | 339 | 40.9 | 83,314 | 325 |
|  | Contra Costa | 29.9 | 80,668 | 362 | 27.1 | 83,973 | 386 | 40.2 | 65,845 | 371 |
|  | San Francisco | 32.6 | 51,753 | 170 | 28.9 | 44,920 | 159 | 36.8 | 44,715 | 193 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 30.2 | 98,269 | 313 | 29.1 | 95,908 | 318 | 35.8 | 78,526 | 318 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 40.0 | 62,284 | 232 | 36.9 | 64,556 | 254 | 34.2 | 49,751 | 249 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 31.7 | 82,482 | 333 | 34.5 | 107,076 | 437 | 43.6 | 66,460 | 344 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 26.5 | 114,026 | 338 | 25.2 | 126,505 | 387 | 37.1 | 93,770 | 341 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 30.6 | 98,086 | 297 | 33.4 | 114,374 | 374 | 37.3 | 84,165 | 327 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 30.0 | 65,440 | 377 | 27.8 | 64,814 | 371 | 35.9 | 49,929 | 356 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 33.9 | 127,073 | 385 | 29.4 | 160,040 | 488 | 37.1 | 124,962 | 441 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 37.7 | 109,854 | 388 | 31.6 | 128,930 | 465 | 38.9 | 106,391 | 494 |

Table 18
Household Exposure to Smoking Among Children Male

|  |  | Age group of youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 |  |  | 6-11 |  |  | 12-17 |  |  |
|  |  | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) $\qquad$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 32.5 | 1,462,437 | 6,278 | 32.5 | 1,562,343 | 6,560 | 36.8 | 1,210,640 | 6,098 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 32.3 | 446,536 | 2,248 | 32.6 | 502,568 | 2,434 | 34.0 | 410,079 | 2,151 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 32.6 | 1,015,901 | 4,030 | 32.5 | 1,059,775 | 4,126 | 38.3 | 800,561 | 3,947 |
| Race | White | 33.4 | 1,165,305 | 5,185 | 32.7 | 1,221,982 | 5,376 | 37.5 | 971,658 | 4,930 |
|  | Black | 33.5 | 120,304 | 525 | 37.2 | 123,187 | 524 | 38.4 | 106,398 | 511 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 23.2 | 136,481 | 443 | 26.3 | 182,394 | 547 | 28.0 | 101,891 | 528 |
|  | Other | 36.3 | 40,347 | 125 | 39.8 | 34,780 | 113 | 41.6 | 30,693 | 129 |
| Education | <12 Years | 42.2 | 245,710 | 1,236 | 37.3 | 294,338 | 1,405 | 44.9 | 239,756 | 1,273 |
|  | 12 Years | 40.2 | 451,342 | 1.939 | 42.9 | 454,526 | 1,894 | 43.2 | 367,181 | 1,784 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 28.8 | 420,543 | 1,744 | 29.8 | 449,747 | 1,844 | 35.1 | 371,700 | 1,835 |
|  | 16+ Years. | 20.1 | 344,842 | 1,359 | 18.9 | 363,732 | 1,417 | 21.2 | 232,003 | 1,206 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 32.6 | 453,960 | 3,348 | 34.0 | 439,357 | 3,283 | 35.9 | 358,840 | 3,054 |
|  | San Diego | 35.4 | 124,281 | 182 | 36.8 | 126,618 | 188 | 37.9 | 93,926 | 171 |
|  | Orange | 27.8 | 102,706 | 151 | 26.3 | 123,875 | 185 | 27.9 | 92,314 | 173 |
|  | Santa Clara | 29.7 | 67,586 | 151 | 31.2 | 80,202 | 186 | 33.2 | 56,556 | 152 |
|  | San Bemardino | 31.7 | 77,310 | 251 | 34.6 | 83,457 | 278 | 49.4 | 68,949 | 270 |
|  | Alameda | 28.9 | 55,878 | 149 | 44.4 | 66,605 | 172 | 38.5 | 51,939 | 164 |
|  | Riverside | 34.4 | 64,265 | 229 | 26.3 | 60,895 | 217 | 33.4 | 51,525 | 215 |
|  | Sacramento | 36.0 | 50,291 | 163 | 32.9 | 57,073 | 184 | 38.7 | 41,214 | 164 |
|  | Contra Costa | 24.4 | 43,768 | 196 | 27.6 | 42,942 | 193 | 39.5 | 33,818 | 182 |
|  | San Francisco | 32.3 | 22,596 | 81 | 31.8 | 25,112 | 88 | 36.5 | 25,216 | 103 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 27.2 | 53,481 | 169 | 29.8 | 55,534 | 180 | 34.7 | 43,065 | 175 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 37.2 | 33,092 | 122 | 38.6 | 29,687 | 112 | 39.9 | 26,969 | 137 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 34.3 | 42,237 | 170 | 33.4 | 54,226 | 215 | 45.8 | 35,210 | 176 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 30.8 | 57,077 | 168 | 24.7 | 72,518 | 221 | 39.6 | 44,461 | 164 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 32.0 | 5,077 | 176 | 35.3 | 54,373 | 175 | 37.2 | 43,409 | 167 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 31.7 | 33,723 | 186 | 29.3 | 33,694 | 181 | 34.6 | 26,252 | 181 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 36.2 | 63,447 | 193 | 30.0 | 84,385 | 257 | 38.0 | 64,903 | 224 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 43.1 | 57,660 | 193 | 31.5 | 71,790 | 245 | 34.2 | 52,074 | 226 |

Table 18
Household Exposure to Smoking Among Children Female

| - |  | Age group of youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 |  |  | 6-11 |  |  | 12-17 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 31.8 | 1,383,316 | 6,114 | 31.9 | 1,431,349 | 6,289 | 36.1 | 1,123,584 | 5,801 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 33.7 | 454,703 | 2,291 | 34.4 | 462,757 | 2,254 | 35.5 | 384,017 | 2,027 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 30.9 | 928,613 | 3,823 | 30.7 | 968,592 | 4,035 | 36.5 | 739,567 | 3,774 |
| Race | White | 32.3 | 1,104,368 | 5,058 | 32.4 | 1,154,970 | 5,198 | 36.5 | 889,129 | 4,681 |
|  | Black | 32.5 | 118,797 | 543 | 37.2 | 114,145 | 523 | 41.4 | 103,204 | 477 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 27.2 | 131,517 | 418 | 24.2 | 132,907 | 464 | 27.1 | 107,864 | 534 |
|  | Other | 31.3 | 28,634 | 95 | 30.0 | 29,327 | 104 | 40.7 | 23,387 | 109 |
| Education | <12 Years | 38.5 | 259,372 | 1,269 | 40.6 | 263,997 | 1,290 | 42.2 | 222,986 | 1,211 |
|  | 12 Years | 38.9 | 410,122 | 1,783 | 37.7 | 415,867 | 1,793 | 44.1 | 351,322 | 1,753 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 31.1 | 388,535 | 1,707 | 31.8 | 429,003 | 1,819 | 35.8 | 325,185 | 1,665 |
|  | 16+ Years | 18.6 | 325,287 | 1,355 | 17.5 | 322,482 | 1,387 | 18.2 | 224,091 | 1,172 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 31.5 | 450,822 | 3,338 | 34.1 | 422,381 | 3,160 | 35.3 | 341,116 | 2,912 |
|  | San Diego | 35.7 | 103,018 | 169 | 29.5 | 124,151 | 206 | 31.4 | 84,291 | 156 |
|  | Orange | 33.3 | 101,922 | 152 | 35.2 | 95,273 | 146 | 34.3 | 89,270 | 160 |
|  | Santa Clara | 27.9 | 68,700 | 164 | 25.6 | 73,221 | 181 | 26.7 | 50,527 | 156 |
|  | San Bemardino | 34.9 | 72,406 | 248 | 30.5 | 77,679 | 272 | 42.6 | 60,773 | 247 |
|  | Alameda | 26.7 | 52,718 | 135 | 31.4 | 67,021 | 169 | 37.4 | 44,224 | 139 |
|  | Riverside | 39.8 | 51,143 | 187 | 33.8 | 61,644 | 228 | 36.6 | 42,146 | 171 |
|  | Sacramento | 29.3 | 58,812 | 180 | 44.1 | 43,144 | 155 | 43.1 | 42,100 | 161 |
|  | Contra Costa | 36.3 | 36,900 | 166 | 26.6 | 41,031 | 193 | 41.0 | 32,027 | 189 |
|  | San Francisco | 32.9 | 29,157 | 89 | 25.3 | 19,808 | 71 | 37.3 | 19,499 | 90 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 33.7 | 44,788 | 144 | 28.2 | 40,374 | 138 | 37.2 | 35,461 | 143 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 43.2 | 29,192 | 110 | 35.4 | 34,869 | 142 | 27.6 | 22,782 | 112 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 29.0 | 40,245 | 163 | 35.7 | 52,850 | 222 | 41.0 | 31,250 | 168 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 22.2 | 56,949 | 170 | 25.8 | 53,987 | 166 | 34.8 | 49,309 | 177 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 28.5 | 39,007 | 121 | 31.7 | 60,001 | 199 | 37.4 | 40,756 | 160 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | - 28.3 | 31,717 | 191 | 26.1 | 31,120 | 190 | 37.3 | 23,677 | 175 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanisiaus | 31.7 | 63,626 | 192 | 28.8 | 75,655 | 231 | 36.2 | 60,059 | 217 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 31.8 | 52,194 | 195 | 31.7 | 57,140 | 220 | 43.4 | 54,317 | 268 |

Table 19
Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke Among Nonsmokers at Work and at Home Overall

|  |  | Proportion of workers exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Total Exposed (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 31.3 | 8,984,352 | 9,664 | 31.2 | 16,725,514 | 16,719 |
| Sex | Male | 38.3 | 4,707,834 | 4,692 | 34.8 | 7,965,325 | 7,688 |
|  | Female | 23.6 | 4,276,518 | 4,972 | 27.8 | 8,760,189 | 9,031 |
| Age | 18-24 | 41.7 | 1,504,032 | 1,595 | 45.4 | 2,531,601 | 2,688 |
|  | 25-44 | 31.1 | 5,078,525 | 5,503 | 33.9 | 7,664,122 | 7,900 |
|  | 45-64 | 25.9 | 2,189,198 | 2,403 | 29.2 | 4,037,728 | 4,070 |
|  | 65+ | 18.1 | 212,597 | 163 | 11.4 | 2,492,063 | 2,061 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 42.3 | 1,938,438 | 1,262 | 38.8 | 3,943,540 | 2,359 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 28.3 | 7,045,914 | 8.402 | 28.8 | 12,781,974 | 14,360 |
| Race | White | 31.8 | 7,280,998 | 7,116 | 30.6 | 13,883,067 | 12,749 |
|  | Black | 27.5 | 579,253 | 435 | 34.3 | 971,332 | 699 |
|  | Asian or PI | 28.3 | 942,998 | 1,934 | 32.9 | 1,529,420 | 2,940 |
|  | Other | 38.5 | 181,103 | 179 | 38.2 | 341,695 | 331 |
| Education | <12 Years | 48.0 | 1,190,856 | 509 | 35.1 | 3,736,461 | 1,707 |
|  | 12 Years | 36.3 | 2,586,652 | 2,282 | 33.4 | 5,185,632 | 4.730 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 31.7 | 2,410,144 | 3,239 | 32.6 | 3,901,969 | 5,276 |
|  | 16+Years | 19.2 | 2,796,700 | 3,634 | 23.0 | 3,901,452 | 5,006 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 36.8 | 2,705,314 | 2,233 | 34.4 | 5,032,860 | 3,614 |
|  | San Diego | 25.3 | 777,465 | 541 | 25.6 | 1,426,887 | 908 |
|  | Orange | 28.6 | 791,306 | 447 | 30.5 | 1,376,717 | 739 |
|  | Santa Clara | 27.4 | 561,223 | 486 | 32.8 | 869,350 | 737 |
|  | San Bernardino | 35.3 | 366,003 | 458 | 35.3 | 744,796 | 878 |
|  | Alameda | 22.3 | 421,099 | 457 | 31.6 | 708,192 | 726 |
|  | Riverside | 38.5 | 276,548 | 385 | 32.2 | 634,828 | 804 |
|  | Sacramento | 18.1 | 310,138 | 451 | 24.9 | 573,869 | 762 |
|  | Contra Costa | 26.7 | 275,941 | 519 | 29.5 | 458,958 | 849 |
|  | San Francisco | 25.8 | 268,555 | 426 | 30.6 | 408,427 | 633 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 35.7 | 333,497 | 450 | 33.0 | 554,533 | 710 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 28.8 | 227,624 | 409 | 30.3 | 415,611 | 709 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 35.2 | 232,374 | 388 | 29.0 | 521,008 | 819 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 25.6 | 385,041 | 451 | 25.7 | 738,623 | 818 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 32.8 | 276.461 | 383 | 29.6 | 601,713 | 749 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 30.2 | 185,005 | 429 | 26.0 | 356,476 | 768 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 30.7 | 329,576 | 397 | 31.2 | 698,456 | 749 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 37.6 | 261,182 | 354 | 29.2 | 604,210 | 747 |

## Table 19

Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke Among Nonsmokers at Work and at Home Male

|  |  | Proportion of workers exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Total Exposed (\%) | ```Population Size (N)``` | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 38.3 | 4,707,834 | 4,692 | 34.8 | 7,965,325 | 7,688 |
| Age | 18-24 | 47.8 | 796,325 | 746 | 47.1 | 1,305,558 | 1,293 |
|  | 25-44 | 38.9 | 2,657,937 | 2,639 | 37.6 | 3,738,485 | 3,646 |
|  | 45-64 | 31.1 | 1,139,218 | 1,220 | 31.4 | 1,893,735 | 1,902 |
|  | 65+ | 28.7 | 114,354 | 87 | 15.4 | 1,027,547 | 847 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 48.6 | 1,003,989 | 622 | 41.5 | 1,819,385 | 1,124 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 35.5 | 3,703,845 | 4,070 | 32.8 | 6,145,940 | 6,564 |
| Race | White | 39.1 | 3,842,053 | 3,475 | 34.4 | 6,595,680 | 5,832 |
|  | Black | 38.6 | 285,187 | 182 | 40.1 | 457,152 | 297 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 30.0 | 479,264 | 947 | 31.7 | 742,625 | 1,394 |
|  | Other | 46.8 | 101,330 | 88 | 49.3 | 169,868 | 165 |
| Education | <12 Years | 53.2 | 630,837 | 262 | 38.0 | 1,600,846 | 762 |
|  | 12 Years | 50.4 | 1,226,666 | 988 | 40.6 | 2,290,550 | 1.942 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 40.0 | 1,205,967 | 1,464 | 36.9 | 1,871,840 | 2,362 |
|  | 16+ Years | 22.2 | 1,644,364 | 1,978 | 24.7 | 2,202,089 | 2,622 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 44.9 | 1,447,865 | 1,098 | 39.4 | 2,331,789 | 1,675 |
|  | San Diego | 30.6 | 412,256 | 267 | 30.3 | 705,055 | 419 |
|  | Orange | 34.1 | 434,385 | 231 | 36.8 | 644,935 | 346 |
|  | Santa Clara | 35.6 | 311,036 | 254 | 39.0 | 431,649 | 356 |
|  | San Bernardino | 48.3 | 191,076 | 222 | 37.9 | 356,187 | 394 |
|  | Alameda | 25.4 | 196,863 | 199 | 28.4 | 320,065 | 313 |
|  | Riverside | 46.9 | 141,434 | 189 | 34.0 | 299,762 | 369 |
|  | Sacramento | 23.3 | 149,286 | 205 | 27.6 | 266,710 | 337 |
|  | Contra Costa | 31.8 | 142,221 | 249 | 32.0 | 220,902 | 387 |
|  | San Francisco | 32.5 | 132,700 | 217 | 33.0 | 206,834 | 316 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 35.7 | 168,243 | 225 | 32.1 | 265,184 | 320 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 34.5 | 117,464 | 201 | 35.9 | 197,319 | 323 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 44.5 | 122.801 | 181 | 33.1 | 252,934 | 375 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 29.8 | 206,368 | 236 | 27.8 | 369,443 | 404 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 42.0 | 131,866 | 168 | 34.9 | 290,969 | 330 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 42.0 | 97,850 | 206 | 31.1 | 178,310 | 356 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 35.1 | 169,920 | 184 | 30.1 | 333,131 | 335 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 47.1 | 134,200 | 160 | 31.9 | 294,147 | 333 |

Table 19
Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke Among Nonsmokers at Work and at Home Female

|  |  | Proportion of workers exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Total Exposed (\%) | $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 23.6 | 4,276,518 | 4,972 | 27.8 | 8,760,189 | 9,031 |
| Age | 18-24 | 34.8 | 707,707 | 849 | 43.5 | 1,226,043 | 1,395 |
|  | 25-44 | 22.5 | 2,420,588 | 2,864 | 30.4 | 3,925,637 | 4,254 |
|  | 45-64 | 20.2 | 1,049,980 | 1,183 | 27.3 | 2,143,993 | 2,168 |
|  | 65+ | 5.7 | 98,243 | 76 | 8.6 | 1,464,516 | 1,214 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 35.6 | 934,449 | 640 | 36.4 | 2,124,155 | 1,235 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 20.2 | 3,342,069 | 4,332 | 25.1 | 6,636,034 | 7,796 |
| Race | White | 23.7 | 3,438,945 | 3,641 | 27.1 | 7,287,387 | 6,917 |
|  | Black | 16.8 | 294,066 | 253 | 29.1 | 514,180 | 402 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 26.6 | 463,734 | 987 | 33.9 | 786,795 | 1,546 |
|  | Other | 27.9 | 79,773 | 91 | 27.1 | 171,827 | 166 |
| Education | <12 Years | 42.1 | 560,019 | 247 | 33.0 | 2,135,615 | 945 |
|  | 12 Years | 23.5 | 1,359,986 | 1,294 | 27.8 | 2,895,082 | 2,788 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 23.3 | 1,204,177 | 1,775 | 28.5 | 2,030,129 | 2,914 |
|  | 16+ Years | 15.0 | 1,152,336 | 1,656 | 20.7 | 1,699,363 | 2,384 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 27.5 | 1,257,449 | 1.135 | 30.2 | 2,701,071 | 1,939 |
|  | San Diego | 19.2 | 365,209 | 274 | 21.1 | 721,832 | 489 |
|  | Orange | 22.0 | 356,921 | 216 | 24.9 | 731,782 | 393 |
|  | Santa Clara | 17.3 | 250,187 | 232 | 26.7 | 437,701 | 381 |
|  | San Bernardino | 21.1 | 174,927 | 236 | 32.8 | 388,609 | 484 |
|  | Alameda | 19.5 | 224,236 | 258 | 34.2 | 388,127 | 413 |
|  | Riverside | 29.8 | 135,114 | 196 | 30.5 | 335,066 | 435 |
|  | Sacramento | 13.3 | 160,852 | 246 | 22.5 | 307,159 | 425 |
|  | Contra Costa | 21.3 | 133,720 | 270 | 27.1 | 238,056 | 462 |
|  | San Francisco | 19.4 | 135,855 | 209 | 28.0 | 201,593 | 317 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 35.6 | 165,254 | 225 | 33.8 | 289,349 | 390 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 22.8 | 110,160 | 208 | 25.2 | 218,292 | 386 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 24.8 | 109,573 | 207 | 25.2 | 268,074 | 444 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 20.8 | 178,673 | 215 | 23.6 | 369,180 | 414 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 24.5 | 144,595 | 215 | 24.6 | 310,744 | 419 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 16.9 | 87,155 | 223 | 20.9 | 178,166 | 412 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 26.0 | 159,656 | 213 | 32.1 | 365,325 | 414 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 27.6 | 126,982 | 194 | 26.6 | 310,063 | 414 |

Table 20
Workplace Smoking Policy Overall

|  |  | Number of employees <50 |  |  |  | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking Policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total ban (\%) | Work area ban (\%) | Lesser restrictions (\%) | No restrictions (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 30.3 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 44.9 | 5,630,877 | 7,612 |
| Sex | Male | 25.9 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 49.2 | 2,998,273 | 3,742 |
|  | Female | 35.4 | 14.5 | 10.2 | 39.9 | 2,632,604 | 3,870 |
| Age | 18-24 | 24.7 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 48.9 | 1,012,125 | 1,349 |
|  | 25-44 | 31.2 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 43.7 | 3,139,911 | 4,224 |
|  | 45-64 | 32.1 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 43.4 | 1,329,238 | 1,888 |
|  | 65+ | 34.5 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 53.7 | 149,603 | 151 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 25.7 | 13.7 | 11.5 | 49.1 | 1,173,873 | 900 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 31.6 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 43.7 | 4,457,004 | 6,712 |
| Race | White | 30.7 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 45.2 | 4,731,194 | 6,063 |
|  | Black | 31.7 | 17.1 | 12.1 | 39.2 | 268,161 | 262 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 29.4 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 42.7 | 484,510 | 1,117 |
|  | Other | 20.4 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 52.6 | 147,012 | 170 |
| Education | <12 Years | 21.0 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 58.0 | 918,705 | 563 |
|  | 12 Years | 25.1 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 47.1 | 1,797,029 | 2,265 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 31.3 | 13.3 | 11.7 | 43.8 | 1,547,638 | 2,667 |
|  | 16+Years | 42.4 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 34.3 | 1,367,505 | 2,117 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 24.2 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 50.3 | 1,672,712 | 1,441 |
|  | San Diego | 37.1 | 13.4 | 9.8 | 39.7 | 449,675 | 416 |
|  | Orange | 33.5 | 13.8 | 9.9 | 42.8 | 487,520 | 347 |
|  | Santa Clara | 33.9 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 42.7 | 294,405 | 299 |
|  | San Bemardino | 31.3 | 10.0 | 12.9 | 45.8 | 239,401 | 408 |
|  | Alameda | 27.6 | 16.7 | 11.6 | 44.1 | 243,527 | 355 |
|  | Riverside | 26.8 | 10.7 | 14.4 | 48.1 | 208,601 | 382 |
|  | Sacramento | 41.5 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 31.5 | 161,756 | 312 |
|  | Contra Costa | 35.9 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 36.8 | 170,110 | 411 |
|  | San Francisco | 30.3 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 45.3 | 153,113 | 338 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 34.0 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 39.1 | 197,340 | 335 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 33.6 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 42.9 | 160,799 | 363 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 31.0 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 44.3 | 187,490 | 398 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara. Ventura | 36.5 | 10.0 | 14.6 | 38.9 | 252,262 | 364 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 29.7 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 46.2 | 213,139 | 366 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 35.7 | 11.7 | 14.7 | 38.0 | 132,321 | 394 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 33.9 | 7.1 | 12.1 | 46.9 | 215,940 | 330 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 24.1 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 49.7 | 190,766 | 353 |

## Table 20 <br> Workplace Smoking Policy Overall (continued)



Table 20 Workplace Smoking Policy Male

| . |  | Number of employees <50 |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking Policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total ban (\%) | Work area ban (\%) | Lesser restrictions (\%) | No restrictions (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 25.9 | 11.2 | 13.7 | 49.2 | 2,998,273 | 3,742 |
| Age | 18-24 | 21.7 | 14.7 | 14.2 | 49.4 | 548,481 | 659 |
|  | 25-44 | 25.5 | 10.7 | 14.1 | 49.7 | 1,704,573 | 2,079 |
|  | 45-64 | 30.4 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 46.1 | 663,974 | 916 |
|  | 65+ | 26.9 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 60.8 | 81,245 | 88 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 22.4 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 51.0 | 653,535 | 475 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 26.9 | 10.7 | 13.7 | 48.7 | 2,344,738 | 3,267 |
| Race | White | 26.1 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 49.2 | 2,527,863 | 2,940 |
|  | Black | 32.0 | 17.1 | 9.8 | 41.1 | 130,775 | 113 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 24.7 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 49.0 | 264,907 | 604 |
|  | Other | 15.4 | 4.9 | 15.4 | 64.3 | 74,728 | 85 |
| Education | <12 Years | 21.3 | 7.1 | 12.9 | 58.6 | 547,816 | 309 |
|  | 12 Years | 17.6 | 12.9 | 16.3 | 53.1 | 858,940 | 1,006 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 24.7 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 49.0 | 780,622 | 1,230 |
|  | 16+ Years | 39.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 38.8 | 810,895 | 1,197 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 23.3 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 51.1 | 930,703 | 777 |
|  | San Diego | 30.0 | 13.1 | 9.0 | 47.9 | 230,634 | 200 |
|  | Orange | 34.0 | 13.3 | 9.5 | 43.2 | 259,206 | 177 |
|  | Santa Clara | 29.0 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 51.7 | 155,588 | 147 |
|  | San Bernardino | 24.3 | 6.6 | 15.7 | 53.3 | 132,376 | 195 |
|  | Alameda | 22.3 | 16.4 | 11.6 | 49.7 | 131,244 | 175 |
|  | Riverside | 23.8 | 9.1 | 13.9 | 53.1 | 112,967 | 190 |
|  | Sacramento | 32.6 | 16.6 | 15.5 | 35.3 | 78,453 | 140 |
|  | Contra Costa | 23.2 | 7.1 | 23.6 | 46.1 | 79,598 | 191 |
|  | San Francisco | 24.0 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 49.3 | 73,611 | 163 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 27.6 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 48.8 | 106,276 | 174 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 28.8 | 11.5 | 14.9 | 44,8 | 81,441 | 174 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 24.7 | 8.4 | 16.9 | 49.9 | 98,932 | 176 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 29.5 | 9.0 | 18.3 | 43.2 | 123,980 | 178 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 22.5 | 11.0 | 15.3 | 51.3 | 105,088 | 167 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 29.3 | 10.6 | 18.1 | 42.0 | 73,577 | 185 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 25.5 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 54.0 | 123,982 | 169 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 16.9 | 8.4 | 18.6 | 56.1 | 100,617 | 164 |

Table 20
Workplace Smoking Policy Male (continued)

|  |  | Number of employees 50+ |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> ( N ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking Policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total ban <br> (\%) | Work area ban <br> (\%) | Lesser restrictions (\%) | No restrictions <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 35.4 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 19.4 | 3,021,113 | 3,590 |
| Age | 18-24 | 28.3 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 24.0 | 461,647 | 489 |
|  | 25-44 | 36.6 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 19.6 | 1,722,091 | 2,122 |
|  | 45-64 | 38.1 | 23.6 | 21.6 | 16.7 | 790,318 | 948 |
|  | 65+ | 14.1 | 8.2 | 69.9 | 7.8 | 47,057 | 31 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 25.2 | 22.5 | 19.4 | 33.0 | 629,511 | 475 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 38.0 | 22.3 | 23.9 | 15.8 | 2,391,602 | 3,115 |
| Race | White | 34.8 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 2,360,039 | 2,638 |
|  | Black | 42.2 | 17.0 | 22.6 | 18.2 | 270,297 | 221 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 35.9 | 21.7 | 26.2 | 16.3 | 315,113 | 657 |
|  | Other | 26.0 | 12.6 | 34.5 | 26.9 | 75,664 | 74 |
| Education | <12 Years | 24.8 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 35.0 | 395,364 | 206 |
|  | 12 Years | 29.4 | 21.0 | 26.3 | 23.3 | 779,936 | 816 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 34.4 | 24.3 | 23.2 | 18.0 | 776,528 | 1,151 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 44.3 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 11.7 | 1,069,285 | 1,417 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 31.2 | 19.8 | 22.6 | 26.4 | 961,350 | 786 |
|  | San Diego | 36.9 | 25.2 | 26.0 | 11.9 | 280,555 | 215 |
|  | Orange | 37.5 | 17.3 | 25.5 | 19.7 | 282,415 | 180 |
|  | Santa Clara | 36.2 | 23.1 | 28.9 | 11.8 | 213,217 | 234 |
|  | San Bernardino | 29.9 | 30.5 | 22.7 | 16.9 | 132,322 | 200 |
|  | Alameda | 37.1 | 27.4 | 21.5 | 14.0 | 139,997 | 188 |
|  | Riverside | 29.0 | 21.9 | 14.4 | 34.6 | 84,116 | 168 |
|  | Sacramento | 51.9 | 21.6 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 113,860 | 191 |
|  | Contra Costa | 36.1 | 27.1 | 23.3 | 13.5 | 97,269 | 186 |
|  | San Francisco | 34.6 | 30.1 | 24.3 | 11.0 | 90,035 | 172 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 32.6 | 21.8 | 25.3 | 20.2 | 115,476 | 192 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 35.2 | 26.6 | 23.6 | 14.6 | 57,497 | 119 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 38.8 | 24.1 | 21.0 | 16.1 | 47,485 | 93 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara Ventura | 46.2 | 19.7 | 20.3 | 13.8 | 122,755 | 177 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 39.1 | 25.5 | 23.4 | 12.0 | 69,832 | 122 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 46.4 | 15.5 | 24.5 | 13.6 | 42,699 | 113 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 41.7 | 24.2 | 18.5 | 15.6 | 101,472 | 136 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 26.6 | 23.0 | 17.1 | 33.3 | 68,761 | 118 |

Table 20
Workplace Smoking Policy Female

|  |  | Number of employees <50 |  |  |  | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking Policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total ban (\%) | Work area ban (\%) | Lesser restrictions (\%) | No restrictions (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 35.4 | 14.5 | 10.2 | 39.9 | 2,632,604 | 3,870 |
| Age | 18-24 | 28.3 | 14.8 | 8.6 | 48.3 | 463,644 | 690 |
|  | 25-44 | 38.0 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 36.6 | 1,435,338 | 2,145 |
|  | 45-64 | 33.7 | 16.3 | 9.2 | 40.8 | 665,264 | 972 |
|  | 65+ | 43.5 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 45.2 | 68,358 | 63 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 29.8 | 14.5 | 8.9 | 46.7 | 520,338 | 425 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 36.7 | 14.5 | 10.5 | 38.3 | 2,112,266 | 3,445 |
| Race | White | 36.0 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 40.6 | 2,203,331 | 3,123 |
|  | Black | 31.4 | 17.1 | 14.2 | 37.3 | 137,386 | 149 |
|  | Asian or PI | 35.0 | 18.6 | 11.2 | 35.1 | 219,603. | 513 |
|  | Other | 25.7 | 19.3 | 14.5 | 40.5 | 72,284 | 85 |
| Education | <12 Years | 20.6 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 57.1 | 370,889 | 254 |
|  | 12 Years | 31.9 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 41.5 | 938,089 | 1,259 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 37.9 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 38.5 | 767,016 | 1,437 |
|  | 16+ Years | 47.4 | 14.9 | 9.9 | 27.8 | 556,610 | 920 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 25.3 | 15.3 | 10.3 | 49.2 | 742,009 | 664 |
|  | San Diego | 44.5 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 31.1 | 219,041 | 216 |
|  | Orange | 32.9 | 14.5 | 10.4 | 42.3 | 228,314 | 170 |
|  | Santa Clara | 39.5 | 19.2 | 8.7 | 32.6 | 138,817 | 152 |
|  | San Bemardino | 40.0 | 14.1 | 9.4 | 36.5 | 107,025 | 213 |
|  | Alameda | 33.7 | 17.1 | 11.5 | 37.7 | 112,283 | 180 |
|  | Riverside | 30.4 | 12.6 | 14.9 | 42.1 | 95,634 | 192 |
|  | Sacramento | 49.9 | 12.5 | 9.7 | 27.9 | 83,303 | 172 |
|  | Contra Costa | 47.0 | 15.4 | 8.9 | 28.6 | 90,512 | 220 |
|  | San Francisco | 36.1 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 41.7 | 79,502 | 175 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 41.5 | 19.7 | 11.0 | 27.8 | 91.064 | 161 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 38.6 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 41.1 | 79,358 | 189 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 38.1 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 38.1 | 88,558 | 222 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 43.2 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 34.7 | 128,282 | 186 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 36.8 | 14.2 | 7.9 | 41.2 | 108,051 | 199 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 43.7 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 32.9 | 58,744 | 209 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 45.1 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 37.4 | 91,958 | 161 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings. Mono, Tulare | 32.1 | 17.6 | 7.7 | 42.5 | 90,149 | 189 |

Table 20
Workplace Smoking Policy Female (continued)

|  |  | Number of employees $50+$ |  |  |  | PopulationSize(N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking Policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total ban <br> (\%) | Work area ban <br> (\%) | Lesser restrictions (\%) | No restrictions <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 40.7 | 27.1 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 2,613,539 | 3,668 |
| Age | 18-24 | 31.1 | 29.1 | 18.6 | 21.3 | 362,049 | 506 |
|  | 25-44 | 42.5 | 25.5 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 1,561,683 | 2,215 |
|  | 45-64 | 41.8 | 29.5 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 655,405 | 917 |
|  | 65+ | 37.9 | 37.2 | 5.5 | 19.5 | 34,402 | 30 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 29.7 | 32.8 | 12.9 | 24.5 | 523,136 | 436 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 43.4 | 25.7 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 2,090,403 | 3,232 |
| Race | White | 41.6 | 27.1 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 2,025,625 | 2,711 |
|  | Black | 42.9 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 12.1 | 254,038 | 268 |
|  | Asian or PI | 35.8 | 29.3 | 14.4 | 20.5 | 289,485 | 614 |
|  | Other | 19.1 | 43.3 | 15.0 | 22.7 | 44,391 | 75 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 18.4 | 38.8 | 9.7 | 33.1 | 347,544 | 187 |
|  | 12 Years | 39.1 | 28.1 | 18.1 | 14.7 | 847,741 | 1,068 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 44.9 | 24.0 | 17.8 | 13.3 | 704,082 | 1,265 |
|  | 16+ Years | 49.2 | 23.3 | 16.2 | 11.2 | 714,172 | 1,148 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 30.8 | 28.6 | 18.4 | 22.2 | 764,091 | 768 |
|  | San Diego | 53.9 | 23.1 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 223,425 | 200 |
|  | Orange | 46.7 | 23.9 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 214,148 | 169 |
|  | Santa Clara | 45.4 | 35.9 | 11.7 | 7.0 | 169,446 | 208 |
|  | San Bernardino | 46.2 | 21.0 | 14.0 | 18.9 | 116,361 | 198 |
|  | Alameda | 42.5 | 22.3 | 26.2 | 9.1 | 154,994 | 208 |
|  | Riverside | 35.5 | 30.4 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 84,003 | 159 |
|  | Sacramento | 55.8 | 28.9 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 115,613 | 220 |
|  | Contra Costa | 36.6 | 34.6 | 16.9 | 11.9 | 69,947 | 192 |
|  | San Francisco | 41.7 | 31.3 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 84,886 | 150 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 32.0 | 18.8 | 19.5 | 29.7 | 113,444 | 201 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 45.8 | 26.2 | 16.2 | 11.8 | 52,851 | 130 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 45.2 | 21.2 | 13.6 | 20.0 | 49,291 | 111 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara Ventura | 53.3 | 22.7 | 14.7 | 9.4 | 91,760 | 148 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 45.4 | 35.3 | 11.5 | 7.9 | 66,931 | 122 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 44.0 | 24.5 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 48,771 | 147 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 39.2 | 28.2 | 23.0 | 9.6 | 113,726 | 181 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 34.2 | 30.6 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 79,851 | 156 |

## Table 21

Nonsmokers' Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size (N) | Sample Size (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 10.0 | 3,172,165 | 3,634 | 24.2 | 1,662,685 | 1,795 | 50.1 | 1,333,218 | 1,408 | 52.3 | 2,618,146 | 2,608 |
| Sex | Male | 13.1 | 1,526,642 | 1,535 | 30.0 | 795,810 | 837 | 56.6 | 797,026 | 797 | 58.4 | 1,506,656 | 1,430 |
|  | Female | 7.1 | 1,645,523 | 2,099 | 18.8 | 866,875 | 958 | 40.4 | 536,192 | 611 | 44.0 | 1,111,490 | 1.178 |
| Age | 18-24 | 12.3 | 415,536 | 465 | 39.5 | 284,346 | 313 | 59.1 | 231,956 | 222 | 59.2 | 536,959 | 551 |
|  | 25-44 | 10.7 | 1,873,057 | 2,146 | 22.8 | 919,687 | 1.030 | 51.0 | 767,739 | 828 | 53.0 | 1,419,001 | 1,377 |
|  | 45-64 | 7.8 | 815,816 | 969 | 18.3 | 435,431 | 429 | 43.5 | 296,790 | 343 | 47.5 | 588,318 | 617 |
|  | 65+ | 2.0 | 67,756 | 54 | 1.3 | 23,221 | 23 | 27.7 | 36,733 | 15 | 27.2 | 73,868 | 63 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 17.8 | 516,644 | 392 | 36.0 | 389,782 | 236 | 59.1 | 246,435 | 176 | 58.3 | 734,451 | 424 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 8.4 | 2,655,521 | 3,242 | 20.6 | 1,272,903 | 1,559 | 48.0 | 1,086,783 | 1,232 | 50.0 | 1,883,695 | 2,184 |
| Race | White | 9.6 | 2,564,128 | 2,709 | 24.7 | 1,336,968 | 1,278 | 51.9 | 1,029,122 | 1,033 | 53.2 | 2,179,437 | 1,930 |
|  | Black. | 12.6 | 249,555 | 181 | 20.9 | 105,031 | 91 | 46.5 | 108,673 | 70 | 48.2 | 113,062 | 88 |
|  | Asian or P1 | 10.6 | 320,443 | 694 | 21.2 | 191,278 | 391 | 40.6 | 149,658 | 270 | 47.4 | 264,277 | 536 |
|  | Other | 13.9 | 38,039 | 50 | 33.7 | 29,408 | 35 | 47.7 | 45,765 | 35 | 49.9 | 61,370 | 54 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 17.4 | 263,452 | 115 | 47.8 | 215,453 | 84 | 52.9 | 133,160 | 61 | 62.0 | 537,590 | 228 |
|  | 12 Years | 12.0 | 793,350 | 719 | 23.9 | 491,317 | 440 | 60.3 | 430,761 | 357 | 55.4 | 821,484 | 726 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 9.5 | 862,787 | 1,221 | 25.8 | 449,028 | 581 | 50.6 | 365,414 | 468 | 52.9 | 696,279 | 911 |
|  | 16+ Years | 7.7 | 1,322,019 | 1,627 | 12.5 | 535,135 | 717 | 37.3 | 441,342 | 562 | 38.3 | 674,595 | 868 |


| ' ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed $\qquad$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population <br> Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 11.7 | 770,141 | 748 | 30.1 | 501,074 | 433 | 54.5 | 400,929 | 303 | 53.7 | 961,249 | 695 |
|  | San Diego | 8.0 | 346,989 | 231 | 33.4 | 143,894 | 92 | 37.0 | 98,170 | 77 | 46.6 | 177,380 | 131 |
|  | Orange | 12.5 | 295,778 | 180 | 23.3 | 139,530 | 79 | 43.8 | 122,187 | 63 | 45.6 | 225,428 | 120 |
|  | Santa Clara | 6.8 | 207,618 | 194 | 15.8 | 118,543 | 102 | 56.9 | 87,796 | 77 | 49.5 | 135,667 | 100 |
|  | San Bernardino | 7.1 | 136,358 | 151 | 17.8 | 66,599 | 87 | 60.5 | 54,155 | 78 | 72.3 | 100,330 | 134 |
|  | Alameda | 10.1 | 147,762 | 164 | 11.1 | 89,557 | 93 | 33.1 | 74,425 | 85 | 41.9 | 97,044 | 102 |
|  | Riverside | 12.5 | 83,673 | 130 | 23.1 | 52,910 | 78 | 51.2 | 39,729 | 47 | 64.2 | 96,876 | 126 |
|  | Sacramento | 7.1 | 154,185 | 225 | 8.1 | 63,467 | 84 | 50.6 | 36,687 | 53 | 41.8 | 47,111 | 78 |
|  | Contra Costa | 10.5 | 105,728 | 191 | 15.8 | 58,475 | 104 | 49.9 | 45,801 | 96 | 48.3 | 59.919 | 115 |
|  | San Francisco | 10.3 | 93,724 | 151 | 17.8 | 63,126 | 81 | 48.2 | 43,269 | 66 | 44.8 | 60,841 | 114 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 9.8 | 112,950 | 159 | 13.3 | 53,284 | 82 | 46.1 | 58,036 | 76 | 69.2 | 102,460 | 122 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 6.7 | 81,000 | 159 | 31.9 | 37,395 | 67 | 48.6 | 31,598 | 53 | 41.2 | 66,622 | 112 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 11.2 | 87,017 | 152 | 28.9 | 30,138 | 60 | 65.3 | 34,980 | 55 | 53.2 | 73,895 | 113 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 5.0 | 166,604 | 204 | 25.3 | 61,148 | 66 | 44.8 | 54,017 | 65 | 50.1 | 98,650 | 109 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 7.2 | 97,302 | 143 | 35.2 | 53,487 | 73 | 42.0 | 35,545 | 42 | 55.2 | 84,980 | 114 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 13.9 | 77,042 | 175 | 22.1 | 26,223 | 67 | 56.7 | 28,424 | 71 | 45.8 | 50,538 | 110 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 11.0 | 132,027 | 160 | 25.0 | 54,475 | 78 | 55.8 | 52,307 | 55 | 50.1 | 87,652 | 98 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 17.9 | 76,267 | 117 | 22.9 | 49,360 | 69 | 56.7 | 35,163 | 46 | 54.0 | 91,504 | 115 |

Table 21
Nonsmokers' Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke
in the Workplace by Strength of Smoking Policy
Male

|  |  | Smoking_policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Exposed <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 13.1 | 1,526,642 | 1,535 | 30.0 | 795,810 | 837 | 56.6 | 797,026 | 797 | 58.4 | 1,506,656 | 1,430 |
| Age | 18-24 | 13.2 | 207,369 | 180 | 47.5 | 142,015 | 152 | 67.0 | 142,475 | 116 | 63.1 | 290,219 | 280 |
|  | 25-44 | 14.8 | 873,211 | 890 | 30.4 | 444,178 | 475 | 58.7 | 441,989 | 464 | 58.0 | 854,228 | 763 |
|  | 45-64 | 10.0 | 420,687 | 442 | 17.9 | 202,904 | 201 | 48.3 | 179,355 | 205 | 58.2 | 318,897 | 347 |
|  | $65+$ | 4.6 | 25,375 | 23 | 4.3 | 6,713 | 9 | 28.7 | 33,207 | 12 | 37.6 | 43,312 | 40 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 23.8 | 245,640 | 153 | 39.1 | 171,909 | 110 | 63.2 | 149,212 | 103 | 61.4 | 421,628 | 243 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 11.0 | 1,281,002 | 1,382 | 27.5 | 623,901 | 727 | 55.1 | 647,814 | 694 | 57.3 | 1,085,028 | 1,187 |
| Race | White | 12.5 | 1,223,748 | 1,131 | 30.9 | 658,356 | 601 | 58.1 | 619,459 | 591 | 59.6 | 1,268,259 | 1,082 |
|  | Black | 19.7 | 127,551 | 72 | 24.3 | 43,317 | 38 | 67.2 | 51,258 | 30 | 63.7 | 62,752 | 41 |
|  | Asian or PI | 10.5 | 154,300 | 311 | 25.0 | 84,989 | 184 | 39.2 | 94,167 | 153 | 46.5 | 139,000 | 279 |
|  | Other | 21.8 | 21,043 | 21 | 38.1 | 9,148 | 14 | 61.2 | 32,142 | 23 | 53.6 | 36,645 | 28 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 18.4 | 154,260 | 56 | 54.7 | 84,207 | 36 | 56.6 | 85,003 | 34 | 68.9 | 290,147 | 127 |
|  | 12 Years | 17.3 | 303,690 | 228 | 39.7 | 194,473 | 172 | 73.6 | 241,916 | 186 | 65.9 | 462,683 | 385 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 15.2 | 365,200 | 450 | 33.0 | 231,051 | 272 | 56.9 | 208,946 | 254 | 57.5 | 391,572 | 472 |
|  | 16+Years | 9.0 | 703,492 | 801 | 13.8 | 286,079 | 357 | 40.6 | 261,161 | 323 | 41.5 | 362,254 | 446 |


|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Exposed (\%) $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 13.9 | 428,338 | 339 | 37.1 | 222,021 | 194 | 73.4 | 235,494 | 165 | 60.8 | 535,541 | 379 |
|  | San Diego | 12.7 | 152,948 | 95. | 40.8 | 82,374 | 48 | 32.3 | 61.944 | 45 | 46.8 | 110,254 | 75 |
|  | Orange | 19.7 | 157,050 | 84 | 25.6 | 68,503 | 40 | 34.3 | 77,683 | 37 | 56.8 | 127,114 | 67 |
|  | Santa Clara | 9.6 | 97,673 | 84 | 21.2 | 54.996 | 49 | 59.1 | 63,918 | 51 | 55.2 | 87,862 | 62 |
|  | San Bernardino | 11.4 | 55,925 | 58 | 23.4 | 39,797 | 47 | 71.4 | 35,039 | 50 | 86.3 | 58,707 | 65 |
|  | Alameda | 11.8 | 60,145 | 61 | 14.5 | 44,773 | 46 | 23.7 | 30,213 | 35 | 49.3 | 55,642 | 54 |
|  | Riverside | 19.5 | 39,462 | 59 | 29.4 | 22,012 | 29 | 65.5 | 17,381 | 22 | 67.2 | 60,586 | 76 |
|  | Sacramento | 8.4 | 68,986 | 85 | 13.3 | 28,250 | 43 | 50.0 | 19,727 | 27 | 49.5 | 27,320 | 44 |
|  | Contra Costa | 8.3 | 46,170 | 75 | 21.5 | 27,985 | 54 | 56.5 | 30,104 | 56 | 48.2 | 36,906 | 60 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.4 | 41,077 | 72 | 17.8 | 32,404 | 42 | 45.9 | 27,446 | 42 | 63.5 | 27,659 | 55 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 6.4 | 54,241 | 63 | 17.2 | 26,162 | 41 | 53.5 | 32,999 | 40 | 62.3 | 51,534 | 74 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 5.6 | 37,653 | 68 | 48.4 | 18,793 | 30 | 58.8 | 20,277 | 33 | 44.4 | 36,041 | 62 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 11.4 | 39,260 | 63 | 29.6 | 15,758 | 27 | 84.9 | 20,400 | 33 | 58.5 | 46,342 | 57 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 8.5 | 78,792 | 98 | 27.3 | 33,257 | 36 | 36.4 | 36,826 | 44 | 56.3 | 56,573 | 56 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 9.9 | 37,791 | 51 | 49.1 | 22,440 | 26 | 42.8 | 22,794 | 26 | 62.1 | 45,625 | 58 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 24.5 | 37,857 | 67 | 15.0 | 10,814 | 32 | 65.8 | 17,596 | 41 | 61.8 | 30,140 | 63 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 10.8 | 63,771 | 68 | 35.6 | 26,328 | 31 | 59.6 | 24,557 | 26 | 52.8 | 54,538 | 57 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 20.2 | 29,503 | 45 | 34.4 | 19,143 | 22 | 64.0 | 22,628 | 24 | 55.5 | 58,272 | 66 |

Table 21
Nonsmokers' Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke Strength of Smoking Policy
Female

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size (N) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size ( N ) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Population Size (N) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 7.1 | 1,645,523 | 2,099 | 18.8 | 866,875 | 958 | 40.4 | 536,192 | 611 | 44.0 | 1,111,490 | 1,178 |
| Age | 18-24 | 11.5 | 208,167 | 285 | 31.5 | 142,331 | 161 | 46.3 | 89,481 | 106 | 54.7 | 246,740 | 271 |
|  | 25-44 | 7.1 | 999,846 | 1,256 | 15.8 | 475,509 | 555 | 40.6 | 325,750 | 364 | 45.4 | 564,773 | 614 |
|  | 45-64 | 5.5 | 395,129 | 527 | 18.6 | 232,527 | 228 | 36.1 | 117,435 | 138 | 34.9 | 269,421 | 270 |
|  | 65+ | 0.4 | 42,381 | 31 |  | 16,508 | 14 | 17.8 | 3,526 | 3 | 12.5 | 30,556 | 23 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 12.4 | 271,004 | 239 | 33.5 | 217,873 | 126 | 53.0 | 97,223 | 73 | 54.1 | 312,823 | 181 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 6.0 | 1,374,519 | 1,860 | 13.9 | 649,002 | 832 | 37.6 | 438,969 | 538 | 40.1 | 798,667 | 997 |
| Race | White | 6.9 | 1,340,380 | 1,578 | 18.6 | 678,612 | 677 | 42.6 | 409,663 | 442 | 44.3 | 911,178 | 848 |
|  | Black | 5.1 | 122,004 | 109 | 18.5 | 61,714 | 53 | 28.0 | 57,415 | 40 | 29.0 | 50,310 | 47 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 10.7 | 166,143 | 383 | 18.2 | 106,289 | 207 | 43.0 | 55.491 | 117 | 48.3 | 125,277 | 257 |
|  | Other | 4.1 | 16,996 | 29 | 31.8 | 20,260 | 21 | 15.9 | 13,623 | 12 | 44.3 | 24,725 | 26 |
| Education | <12 Years | 15.9 | 109,192 | 59 | 43.4 | 131,246 | 48 | 46.5 | 48,157 | 27 | 54.1 | 247,443 | 101 |
|  | 12 Years | 8.8 | 489,660 | 491 | 13.6 | 296,844 | 268 | 43.2 | 188,845 | 171 | 41.8 | 358,801 | 341 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 5.4 | 497,587 | 771 | 18.1 | 217,977 | 309 | 42.1 | 156,468 | 214 | 47.0 | 304,707 | 439 |
|  | 16+ Years | 5.4 | 549,084 | 778 | 11.9 | 220,808 | 333 | 32.7 | 142,722 | 199 | 31.2 | 200,539 | 297 |

## Table21

Nonsmokers' Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke in the Workplace by Strength of Smoking Policy

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposed } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | Exposed (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 8.9 | 341,803 | 409 | 24.5 | 279,053 | 239 | 27.6 | 165,435 | 138 | 44.7 | 425,708 | 316 |
|  | San Diego | 4.4 | 194,041 | 136 | 23.5 | 61,520 | 44 | 44.9 | 36,226 | 32 | 46.2 | 67,126 | 56 |
|  | Orange | 4.4 | 138,728 | 96 | 21.1 | 71,027 | 39 | 60.4 | 44,504 | 26 | 31.1 | 98,314 | 53 |
|  | Santa Clara | 4.4 | 109,945 | 110 | 11.1 | 63,547 | 53 | 51.3 | 23,878 | 26 | 39.1 | 47,805 | 38 |
|  | San Bernardino | 4.2 | 80,433 | 93 | 9.4 | 26,802 | 40 | 40.7 | 19,116 | 28 | 52.5 | 41.623 | 69 |
|  | Alameda | 8.9 | 87.617 | 103 | 7.7 | 44,784 | 47 | 39.6 | 44.212 | 50 | 31.9 | 41,402 | 48 |
|  | Riverside | 6.3 | 44,211 | 71 | 18.6 | 30,898 | 49 | 40.0 | 22,348 | 25 | 59.1 | 36,290 | 50 |
|  | Sacramento | 6.0 | 85,199 | 140 | 3.9 | 35,217 | 41 | 51.3 | 16,960 | 26 | 31.2 | 19,791 | 34 |
|  | Contra Costa | 12.1 | 59.558 | 116 | 10.5 | 30,490 | 50 | 37.2 | 15,697 | 40 | 48.4 | 23,013 | 55 |
|  | San Francisco | 4.8 | 52,647 | 79 | 17.7 | 30,722 | 39 | 52.2 | 15,823 | 24 | 29.1 | 33,182 | 59 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 12.9 | 58,709 | 96 | 9.6 | 27,122 | 41 | 36.2 | 25,037 | 36 | 76.2 | 50,926 | 48 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 7.8 | 43,347 | 91 | 15.3 | 18,602 | 37 | 30.3 | 11,321 | 20 | 37.4 | 30,581 | 50 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 11.0 | 47,757 | 89 | 28.0 | 14,380 | 33 | 37.9 | 14,580 | 22 | 44.3 | 27,553 | 56 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 1.9 | 87,812 | 106 | 22.9 | 27,891 | 30 | 62.7 | 17,191 | 21 | 41.8 | 42,077 | 53 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 5.5 | 59,511 | 92 | 25.2 | 31,047 | 47 | 40.5 | 12,751 | 16 | 47.3 | 39,355 | 56 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 3.7 | 39,185 | 108 | 27.1 | 15,409 | 35 | 42.0 | 10,828 | 30 | 22.1 | 20,398 | 47 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 11.1 | 68,256 | 92 | 15.1 | 28,147 | 47 | 52.4 | 27,750 | 29 | 45.7 | 33,114 | 41 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 16.5 | 46,764 | 72 | 15.7 | 30,217 | 47 | 43.5 | 12,535 | 22 | 51.5 | 33,232 | 49 |

Table 22
Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy Overall

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Total |  | 17.8 | 4,069,810 | 5,564 | 20.9 | 2,284,614 | 2,921 | 25.8 | 2,101,457 | 2,826 | 26.9 | 5,047,212 | 6,253 |
| Sex | Male | 18.2 | 2,024,198 | 2,428 | 22.7 | 1,158,571 | 1,401 | 28.0 | 1,376,969 | 1,791 | 28.3 | 3,416,637 | 4,055 |
|  | Female | 17.4 | 2,045,612 | 3,136 | 19.1 | 1,126,043 | 1,520 | 21.8 | 724,488 | 1,035 | 24.1 | 1,630,575 | 2,198 |
| Age | 18-24 | 16.4 | 517,866 | 694 | 23.3 | 408,826 | 479 | 23.1 | 354,558 | 422 | 23.8 | 894,960 | 1,181 |
|  | 25-44 | 18.4 | 2,422,534 | 3,337 | 20.6 | 1,261,739 | 1,694 | 27.1 | 1,230,370 | 1,715 | 28.6 | 2,854,002 | 3,440 |
|  | 45-64 | 17.9 | 1,057,064 | 1,469 | 20.2 | 586,757 | 719 | 26.1 | 474,719 | 666 | 25.7 | 1,171,219 | 1.494 |
|  | 65+ | 6.3 | 72,346 | 64 | 14.9 | 27,292 | 29 | 8.4 | 41,810 | 23 | 22.5 | 127,031 | 138 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 17.9 | 666,992 | 587 | 21.8 | 533,388 | 392 | 24.0 | 401,783 | 341 | 21.3 | 1,443,846 | 1,049 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 17.8 | 3,402,818 | 4,977 | 20.7 | 1,751,226 | 2,529 | 26.2 | 1,699,674 | 2,485 | 29.2 | 3,603,366 | 5,204 |
| Race | White | 18.2 | 3,314,894 | 4,322 | 21.1 | 1,834,593 | 2,190 | 26.7 | 1,659,838 | 2,191 | 26.7 | 4,244,828 | 4,998 |
|  | Black | 19.0 | 322,263 | 299 | 27.1 | 156,231 | 166 | 27.8 | 175,791 | 155 | 38.3 | 264,742 | 245 |
|  | Asian or PI | 11.1 | 371,745 | 845 | 13.2 | 243,296 | 501 | 18.7 | 199,156 | 406 | 17.6 | 377,902 | 826 |
|  | Other | 34.1 | 60,908 | 98 | 32.7 | 50,494 | 64 | 20.6 | 66,672 | 74 | 37.0 | 159,740 | 184 |
| Education | <12 Years | 25.7 | 404,457 | 232 | 30.9 | 353,430 | 196 | 39.5 | 265,873 | 170 | 32.5 | 1,294,722 | 826 |
|  | 12 Years | 22.9 | 1,092,362 | 1,316 | 25.8 | 727,490 | 839 | 28.2 | 729,878 | 872 | 29.6 | 1,759,816 | 2,182 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 19.3 | 1,127,901 | 1,978 | 18.9 | 611,646 | 973 | 24.9 | 579,821 | 973 | 24.4 | 1,188,087 | 2,000 |
|  | 16+Years | 10.7 | 1,445,090 | 2,038 | 11.1 | 592,048 | 913 | 16.5 | 525,885 | 811 | 16.0 | 804,587 | 1,245 |

Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy

## Overall (continued)

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | L.esser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Smoker (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Smoker $(\%)$ | Population Size (N) | Sample Size (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 18.9 | 992,853 | 984 | 22.4 | 688,298 | 604 | 26.8 | 629,966 | 525 | 24.8 | 1,678,867 | 1,236 |
|  | San Diego | 12.7 | 418,655 | 335 | 22.7 | 203,657 | 164 | 30.6 | 175,808 | 162 | 24.6 | 390,792 | 327 |
|  | Orange | 19.9 | 377,504 | 279 | 17.3 | 184,335 | 129 | 20.0 | 167,201 | 115 | 28.6 | 418,306 | 294 |
|  | Santa Clara | 17.7 | 264,067 | 305 | 16.6 | 165,116 | 169 | 21.8 | 131,462 | 150 | 20.6 | 201,406 | 214 |
|  | San Bemardino | 19.2 | 179,521 | 271 | 25.8 | 94.196 | 151 | 29.1 | 97,812 | 179 | 34.2 | 240,172 | 395 |
|  | Alameda | 21.0 | 204,383 | 285 | 21.9 | 120,068 | 153 | 27.0 | 112,861 | 166 | 28.4 | 185,276 | 247 |
|  | Riverside | 25.0 | 116,993 | 238 | 21.1 | 69,141 | 128 | 27.9 | 69,261 | 125 | 30.9 | 226,162 | 390 |
|  | Sacramento | 18.3 | 199,085 | 349 | 21.6 | 91,422 | 165 | 20.0 | 50,797 | 91 | 35.8 | 110,246 | 231 |
|  | Contra Costa | 14.8 | 131,083 | 295 | 17.0 | 72,619 | 166 | 26.7 | 66,567 | 176 | 27.8 | 117,663 | 271 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.9 | 115,191 | 235 | 13.4 | 74,922 | 125 | 17.7 | 59,568 | 120 | 33.1 | 106,090 | 241 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 19.9 | 149,052 | 258 | 26.8 | 77,026 | 149 | 22.6 | 88,740 | 155 | 25.1 | 172,679 | 263 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 18.6 | 106,514 | 253 | 20.6 | 54,757 | 117 | 21.7 | 46,446 | 107 | 28.9 | 125,949 | 255 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 12.0 | 107,435 | 224 | 26.8 | 45,049 | 108 | 24.8 | 57,574 | 127 | 28.8 | 170,942 | 334 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.6 | 209,330 | 312 | 15.0 | 83,138 | 110 | 26.0 | 89,916 | 137 | 22.6 | 178,535 | 266 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado. Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 20.6 | 131,202 | 239 | 21.6 | 75,970 | 120 | 29.6 | 59,785 | 102 | 31.2 | 176,146 | 298 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 12.6 | 94,950 | 258 | 22.4 | 37,230 | 115 | 28.7 | 45,437 | 139 | 20.5 | 104,938 | 290 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 16.9 | 172,824 | 261 | 19.5 | 78,635 | 129 | 25.6 | 81,545 | 118 | 27.5 | 214,063 | 309 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 15.9 | 99,168 | 183 | 21.2 | 69,035 | 119 | 30.5 | 70,711 | 132 | 30.4 | 228,980 | 392 |

Table 22
Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy Male

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Smoker (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size ( N ) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Total |  | 18.2 | 2,024,198 | 2,428 | 22.7 | 1,158,571 | 1,401 | 28.0 | 1,376,969 | 1,791 | 28.3 | 3,416,637 | 4,055 |
| Age | 18-24 | 17.4 | 268,895 | 290 | 28.6 | 225,109 | 243 | 26.4 | 244,321 | 259 | 27.2 | 579,482 | 745 |
|  | 25-44 | 19.6 | 1,175,500 | 1,442 | 22.3 | 643,030 | 817 | 28.9 | 774,063 | 1,070 | 29.5 | 1,987,625 | 2,260 |
|  | 45-64 | 16.2 | 551,302 | 667 | 18.6 | 280,137 | 329 | 28.9 | 322,176 | 444 | 26.8 | 765,001 | 956 |
|  | 65+ | 11.0 | 28,501 | 29 | 34.8 | 10,295 | 12 | 8.8 | 36,409 | 18 | 21.1 | 84,529 | 94 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 22.5 | 350,524 | 264 | 31.4 | 275,684 | 205 | 28.1 | 284,752 | 238 | 23.3 | 1,007,543 | 736 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 17.3 | 1,673,674 | 2,164 | 20.0 | 882,887 | 1,196 | 27.9 | 1,092,217 | 1,553 | 30.4 | 2,409,094 | 3,319 |
| Race | White | 18.4 | 1,633,288 | 1,849 | 22.9 | 949,155 | 1,051 | 29.2 | 1,099,827 | 1,394 | 27.7 | 2,910,530 | 3,281 |
|  | Black | 18.7 | 168,278 | 127 | 32.2 | 76,189 | 76 | 29.0 | 97,762 | 88 | 38.4 | 166,290 | 139 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 13.9 | 189,021 | 407 | 14.5 | 115,907 | 247 | 20.1 | 131,149 | 257 | 24.0 | 225,018 | 510 |
|  | Other | 32.3 | 33,611 | 45 | 29.7 | 17,320 | 27 | 18.5 | 48,231 | 52 | 36.0 | 114,799 | 125 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 28.2 | 257,755 | 128 | 37.7 | 166,603 | 90 | 42.5 | 190,301 | 118 | 36.4 | 885,955 | 587 |
|  | 12 Years | 22.7 | 445,364 | 459 | 30.1 | 329,842 | 355 | 29.9 | 464,231 | 528 | 28.9 | 1,201,391 | 1,413 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 21.7 | 506,364 | 800 | 18.2 | 323,971 | 468 | 27.1 | 374,680 | 613 | 26.4 | 769,167 | 1,236 |
|  | 16+ Years | 10.5 | 814,715 | 1,041 | 12.5 | 338,155 | 488 | 18.2 | 347,757 | 532 | 16.8 | 560,124 | 819 |

Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy Male (continued)

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Smoker (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sample Size <br> ( N ) | Smoker <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 19.2 | 563,081 | 468 | 29.2 | 350,828 | 300 | 29.9 | 405,631 | 326 | 26.0 | 1,096,136 | 774 |
|  | San Diego | 14.5 | 191,659 | 145 | 21.8 | 118.130 | 87 | 32.9 | 126,229 | 108 | 24.6 | 266,241 | 209 |
|  | Orange | 18.8 | 201,028 | 128 | 20.3 | 89,282 | 63 | 20.5 | 109,467 | 72 | 32.8 | 286,722 | 199 |
|  | Santa Clara | 18.7 | 127,631 | 143 | 11.7 | 75,684 | 75 | 20.6 | 96,455 | 100 | 21.7 | 142,751 | 146 |
|  | San Bernardino | 22.5 | 79,154 | 114 | 22.0 | 53,289 | 77 | 30.0 | 69,698 | 119 | 34.4 | 173,630 | 254 |
|  | Alameda | 24.6 | 95,467 | 123 | 26.3 | 66,029 | 81 | 34.8 | 58,627 | 89 | 30.3 | 125,913 | 158 |
|  | Riverside | 24.1 | 56,102 | 108 | 25.0 | 31,572 | 56 | 32.8 | 40,573 | 78 | 30.6 | 162,611 | 266 |
|  | Sacramento | 18.5 | 90,592 | 133 | 24.0 | 44,508 | 82 | 27.8 | 32,775 | 58 | 37.3 | 76,429 | 155 |
|  | Contra Costa | 15.2 | 60,115 | 121 | 14.1 | 34,022 | 80 | 29.7 | 45,723 | 114 | 26.4 | 80,695 | 164 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.8 | 51,091 | 109 | 10.9 | 37,187 | 59 | 15.7 | 38,966 | 77 | 43.1 | 61,140 | 143 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 20.1 | 74,232 | 109 | 24.2 | 36,839 | 70 | 22.3 | 56,251 | 94 | 31.2 | 111,887 | 177 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 14.7 | 48,413 | 101 | 21.1 | 30,426 | 58 | 18.0 | 30,301 | 69 | 33.4 | 82,113 | 165 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 9.8 | 50,758 | 91 | 22.1 | 23,636 | 48 | 28.6 | 39,237 | 88 | 26.9 | 117,944 | 217 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.5 | 103,200 | 153 | 11.8 | 46,106 | 56 | 23.8 | 61,515 | 93 | 22.3 | 123,270 | 174 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 26.8 | 59,251 | 103 | 22.3 | 36,696 | 55 | 33.2 | 42,847 | 70 | 33.8 | 117,657 | 190 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 11.6 | 46,053 | 99 | 24.3 | 16,633 | 52 | 32.3 | 30,681 | 87 | 20.0 | 70,480 | 188 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 13.5 | 85,156 | 109 | 20.8 | 39,181 | 58 | 34.2 | 45,740 | 71 | 27.9 | 156,324 | 213 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 15.0 | 41,215 | 71 | 18.4 | 28,523 | 44 | 27.4 | 46,253 | 78 | 29.5 | 164,694 | 263 |

Table 22
Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy

| Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
| Smoker <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Smoker <br> (\%) |  | Sample Size <br> ( N ) | Smoker <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sample Size (N) | Smoker <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| 17.4 | 2,045,612 | 3,136 | 19.1 | 1,126,043 | 1,520 | 21.8 | 724,488 | 1,035 | 24.1 | 1,630,575 | 2,198 |
| 15.3 | 248,971 | 404 | 16.8 | 183,717 | 236 | 15.6 | 110,237 | 163 | 17.7 | 315,478 | 436 |
| 17.3 | 1,247,034 | 1,895 | 18.9 | 618,709 | 877 | 24.0 | 456,307 | 645 | 26.6 | 866,377 | 1,180 |
| 19.9 | 505,762 | 802 | 21.6 | 306,620 | 390 | 20.1 | 152,543 | 222 | 23.7 | 406,218 | 538 |
| 3.3 | 43,845 | 35 | 2.9 | 16,997 | 17 | 5.7 | 5,401 | 5 | 25.3 | 42,502 | 44 |
| 12.8 | 316,468 | 323 | 11.5 | 257,704 | 187 | 14.0 | 117,031 | 103 | 16.6 | 436,303 | 313 |
| 18.3 | 1,729,144 | 2,813 | 21.3 | 868,339 | 1,333 | 23.3 | 607,457 | 932 | 26.9 | 1,194,272 | 1,885 |
| 17.9 | 1,681,606 | 2,473 | 19.2 | 885,438 | 1,139 | 21.7 | 560,011 | 797 | 24.4 | 1,334,298 | 1,717 |
| 19.4 | 153,985 | 172 | 22.2 | 80,042 | 90 | 26.4 | 78,029 | 67 | 38.0 | 98,452 | 106 |
| 8.2 | 182,724 | 438 | 12.0 | 127,389 | 254 | 15.8 | 68,007 | 149 | 8.2 | 152,884 | 316 |
| 36.3 | 27,297 | 53 | 34.2 | 33,174 | 37 | 26.1 | 18,441 | 22 | 39.6 | 44,941 | 59 |
| 21.3 | 146,702 | 104 | 24.8 | 186,827 | 106 | 31.9 | 75,572 | 52 | 23.9 | 408,767 | 239 |
| 23.0 | 646,998 | 857 | 22.3 | 397,648 | 484 | 25.3 | 265,647 | 344 | 31.1 | 558,425 | 769 |
| 17.3 | 621,537 | 1,178 | 19.7 | 287,675 | 505 | 20.9 | 205,141 | 360 | 20.8 | 418,920 | 764 |
| 10.9 | 630,375 | 1,189 | , | 253,893 | 425 | 13.2 | 178,128 | 279 | 14.2 | 244,463 | 426 |

Proportion of Smokers in the Workplace by Smoking Policy Female (continued)

|  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ban |  |  | Work area ban |  |  | Lesser restrictions |  |  | No restrictions |  |  |
|  |  | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | Smoker <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size ( N ) | Smoker (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) | Smoker <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> ( N ) |
| Region | Los Angeles | 18.6 | 429,772 | 516 | 15.2 | 337,470 | 304 | 21.3 | 224,335 | 199 | 22.5 | 582,731 | 462 |
|  | San Diego | 11.2 | 226,996 | 190 | 23.9 | 85,527 | 77 | 24.7 | 49,579 | 54 | 24.4 | 124,551 | 118 |
|  | Orange | 21.3 | 176,476 | 151 | 14.6 | 95,053 | 66 | 19.2 | 57,734 | 43 | 19.6 | 131,584 | 95 |
|  | Santa Clara | 16.7 | 136,436 | 162 | 20.8 | 89,432 | 94 | 24.9 | 35,007 | 50 | 17.8 | 58,655 | 68 |
|  | San Bernardino | 16.6 | 100,367 | 157 | 30.7 | 40,907 | 74 | 26.8 | 28,114 | 60 | 33.8 | 66,542 | 141 |
|  | Alameda | 17.9 | 108,916 | 162 | 16.6 | 54,039 | 72 | 18.5 | 54,234 | 77 | 24.2 | 59,363 | 89 |
|  | Riverside | 25.8 | 60,891 | 130 | 17.8 | 37,569 | 72 | 21.0 | 28,688 | 47 | 31.7 | 63,551 | 124 |
|  | Sacramento | 18.1 | 108,493 | 216 | 19.4 | 46,914 | 83 | 5.9 | 18,022 | 33 | 32.6 | 33,817 | 76 |
|  | Contra Costa | 14.5 | 70,968 | 174 | 19.6 | 38,597 | 86 | 20.2 | 20,844 | 62 | 30.9 | 36,968 | 107 |
|  | San Francisco | 17.9 | 64,100 | 126 | 15.9 | 37,735 | 66 | 21.4 | 20,602 | 43 | 19.5 | 44,950 | 98 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 19.7 | 74,820 | 149 | 29.3 | 40,187 | 79 | 22.9 | 32,489 | 61 | 13.7 | 60,792 | 86 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 21.9 | 58,101 | 152 | 20.0 | 24,331 | 59 | 28.7 | 16,145 | 38 | 20.5 | 43,836 | 90 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Gienn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 14.0 | 56,677 | 133 | 32.0 | 21,413 | 60 | 16.8 | 18,337 | 39 | 33.1 | 52,998 | 117 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.7 | 106,130 | 159 | 18.9 | 37,032 | 54 | 30.8 | 28,401 | 44 | 23.2 | 55,265 | 92 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras; El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 15.5 | 71,951 | 136 | 20.9 | 39,274 | 65 | 20.5 | 16,938 | 32 | 26.0 | 58,489 | 108 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 13.6 | 48,897 | 159 | 20.9 | 20,597 | 63 | 21.3 | 14,756 | 52 | 21.5 | 34,458 | 102 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 20.2 | 87,668 | 152 | 18.3 | 39,454 | 71 | 14.5 | 35,805 | 47 | 26.6 | 57,739 | 96 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 16.6 | 57,953 | 112 | 23.2 | 40,512 | 75 | 36.3 | 24,458 | 54 | 33.0 | 64,286 | 129 |


| ample <br> Size <br> ( N ) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,608 | 8,786,214 | 9,445 |
| 1,430 | 4,626,134 | 4,599 |
| 1,178 | 4,160,080 | 4,846 |
| 1,010 | 3,317,147 | 4,212 |
| 554 | 1,712,143 | 2,003 |
| 456 | 1,605,004 | 2,209 |
| 633 | 2,588,237 | 2,219 |
| 345 | 1,383,985 | 1,102 |
| 288 | 1,204,252 | 1,117 |
| 681 | 2,109,927 | 2,125 |
| 359 | 1,065,772 | 1,007 |
| 322 | 1,044,155 | 1,118 |
| 52 | 106,432 | 106 |
| 36 | 66,113 | 72 |
| 16 | 40,319 | 34 |
| 50 | 90,047 | 91 |
| 37 | 67,314 | 60 |
| 13 | 22,733 | 31 |
| 182 | 574,424 | 692 |
| 99 | 330,807 | 355 |
| 83 | 243,617 | 337 |



|  |  |  | Smoking policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total ban |  | Work area ban |  | Lesser restrictions |  | No restrictions |  |
|  |  |  | Percent Exposed (\%) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Percent Exposed <br> (\%) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Percent Exposed (\%) | Sample Size <br> (N) | Percent Exposed (\%) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  |  | 10.0 | 3,634 | 24.2 | 1,795 | 50.1 | 1,408 | 52.3 | 2,608 |
|  | Sex | Male | 13.1 | 1,535 | 30.0 | 837 | 56.6 | 797 | 58.4 | 1,430 |
|  |  | Female | 7.1 | 2,099 | 18.8 | 958 | 40.4 | 611 | 44.0 | 1,178 |
| Ordinance Class | Strong Ordinance | Total | 8.2 | 1,715 | 16.7 | 812 | 48.9 | 675 | 50.9 | 1,010 |
|  | Sex | Male | 9.7 | 704 | 22.2 | 370 | 52.3 | 375 | 58.6 | 554 |
|  |  | Female | 6.9 | 1,011 | 12.3 | 442 | 44.6 | 300 | 39.6 | 456 |
|  | Weak Ordinance | Total | 10.9 | 854 | 29.0 | 431 | 45.5 | 301 | 46.1 | 633 |
|  | Sex | Male | 14.9 | 369 | 39.7 | 199 | 54.3 | 189 | 52.9 | 345 |
|  |  | Female | 6.9 | 485 | 19.1 | 232 | 30.1 | 112 | 37.2 | 288 |
|  | No Ordinance | Total | 12.2 | 742 | 29.2 | 406 | 53.1 | 296 | 61.1 | 681 |
|  | Sex | Male | 15.8 | 318 | 32.9 | 180 | 61.8 | 150 | 66.6 | 359 |
|  |  | Female | 9.2 | 424 | 26.0 | 226 | 40.2 | 146 | 54.7 | 322 |
|  | Non-California Locati | Total | 33.7 | 24 | 20.9 | 13 | 49.8 | 17 | 46.7 | 52 |
|  | Sex | Male | 54.4 | 14 | 9.4 | 11 | 76.2 | 11 | 58.3 | 36 |
|  |  | Female | 15.6 | 10 | 74.0 | 2 | 25.1 | 6 | 21.2 | 16 |
|  | No Location Into | Total | 40.8 | 19 | 28.2 | 13 | 73.0 | 9 | 60.9 | 50 |
|  | Sex | Male | 56.3 | 7 | 12.6 | 9 | 74.3 | 7 | 68.3 | 37 |
|  |  | Female | 26.4 | 12 | 91.6 | 4 | 61.2 | 2 | 39.6 | 13 |
|  | Unclassified | Total | 6.2 | 280 | 28.4 | 120 | 63.5 | 110 | 48.5 | 182 |
|  | Sex | Male | 12.5 | 123 | 32.1 | 68 | 65.6 | 65 | 47.7 | 99 |
|  |  | Female | 0.4 | 157 | 22.4 | 52 | 59.7 | 45 | 49.9 | 83 |

Table 24
Strength of Local Ordinance Under Which the Population Works Overall

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Strong } \\ \text { ordinance } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Weak } \\ \text { ordinance } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { No } \\ \text { ordinance } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Non-California } \\ \text { location } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { No location } \\ \text { information } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Unclassified } \\ (\%)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N)\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N)\end{array}\right]$

| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles | 10.2 | 44.3 | 25.6 | 1.1 | 15.0 | 3.7 | 3,989,984 | 3,349 |
| San Diego | 1.2 | 72.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 19.5 | 0.7 | 1,188,912 | 988 |
| Orange | 26.4 | 14.5 | 39.9 | 1.8 | 15.1 | 2.4 | 1,147,346 | 817 |
| Santa Clara | 76.2 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 12.6 | 6.0 | 762,051 | 838 |
| San Bernardino | 27.1 | 7.6 | 42.7 | 0.7 | 20.4 | 1.5 | 611,701 | 996 |
| Alameda | 82.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 13.2 | 0.8 | 622,588 | 851 |
| Riverside | 39.6 | 9.7 | 25.6 | 1.3 | 22.2 | 1.5 | 481,557 | 881 |
| Sacramento | 58.7 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 19.5 | 451,550 | 836 |
| Contra Costa | 81.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 12.9 | 2.0 | 387,932 | 908 |
| San Francisco | 81.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 355,771 | 721 |
| San Mateo, Solano | 59.2 | 14.9 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 12.8 | 4.2 | 487,497 | 825 |
| Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 39.3 | 1.1 | 16.4 | 2.1 | 18.3 | 22.8 | 333,666 | 732 |
| Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 26.4 | 10.7 | 34.0 | 0.6 | 25.6 | 2.6 | 381,000 | 793 |
| San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 14.9 | 32.2 | 11.2 | 0.5 | 17.2 | 24.1 | 560,919 | 825 |
| Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 37.1 | 7.7 | 22.5 | 1.8 | 21.9 | 9.0 | 443,103 | 759 |
| Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 49.4 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 20.6 | 18.5 | 282,555 | 802 |
| Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 51.4 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 21.9 | 7.4 | 547,067 | 817 |
| Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 2.3 | 2.6 | 64.6 | 1.2 | 27.8 | 1.6 | 467,894 | 826 |

## Table 26

Quitting Status Among People Who Smoked in the Last Year
by Smoking Policy at Workplace by Smoking Policy at Workplace


Table 27
Non-Smoker's Activism:
Willingness to Ask Someone Not to Smoke Overall

|  |  | Willingness to ask |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recently asked (\%) | Not recently asked (\%) | Not willing to ask (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| Total |  | 59.2 | 28.2 | 12.6 | 16,769,547 | 16,747 |
| Sex | Male | 59.1 | 28.4 | 12.6 | 7,993,855 | 7,696 |
|  | Female | 59.4 | 28.0 | 12.6 | 8,775,692 | 9,051 |
| Age | 18-24 | 69.0 | 22.6 | 8.4 | 2,528,529 | 2,690 |
|  | 25-44 | 65.7 | 26.7 | 7.6 | 7,706,950 | 7,946 |
|  | 45-64 | 54.5 | 29.1 | 16.3 | 4,081,071 | 4,093 |
|  | 65+ | 36.6 | 37.0 | 26.3 | 2,452,997 | 2,018 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 65.3 | 26.3 | 8.4 | 3,936,406 | 2,365 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 57.4 | 28.8 | 13.9 | 12,833,141 | 14,382 |
| Race | White | 59.1 | 28.5 | 12.4 | 13,905,639 | 12,776 |
|  | Black | 65.2 | 23.2 | 11.7 | 988,872 | 706 |
|  | Asian or PI | 56.6 | 27.9 | 15.5 | 1,525,199 | 2,931 |
|  | Other | 60.7 | 29.5 | 9.8 | 349,837 | 334 |
| Education | <12 Years | 56.9 | 29.6 | 13.5 | 3,732,145 | 1,704 |
|  | 12 Years | 58.9 | 26.6 | 14.5 | 5,176,925 | 4.718 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 62.4 | 26.0 | 11.6 | 3,919,461 | 5,292 |
|  | 16+ Years | 58.7 | 31.1 | 10.1 | 3,941,016 | 5,033 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 61.8 | 26.2 | 11.9 | 5,033,382 | 3,613 |
|  | San Diego | 62.7 | 26.4 | 11.0 | 1,423,921 | 907 |
|  | Orange | 60.1 | 28.2 | 11.7 | 1,404,534 | 749 |
|  | Santa Clara | 60.4 | 28.3 | 11.3 | 879,053 | 739 |
|  | San Bernardino | 59.2 | 29.6 | 11.3 | 747,180 | 880 |
|  | Alameda | 62.1 | 26.6 | 11.2 | 710,899 | 726 |
|  | Riverside | 58.3 | 25.2 | 16.6 | 623,973 | 798 |
|  | Sacramento | 55.1 | 30.4 | 14.5 | 575,042 | 764 |
|  | Contra Costa | 56.9 | 27.9 | 15.2 | 460,192 | 848 |
|  | San Francisco | 59.2 | 26.2 | 14.5 | 410,525 | 638 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 55.5 | 32.5 | 12.0 | 556,410 | 713 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 57.0 | 30.7 | 12.3 | 416,344 | 712 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 50.7 | 33.9 | 15.4 | 519.721 | 820 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 54.5 | 32.4 | 13.1 | 739,105 | 822 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras. El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 53.7 | 31.1 | 15.2 | 605,791 | 752 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 58.3 | 31.9 | 9.8 | 360,578 | 772 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 54.9 | 29.7 | 15.4 | 698,750 | 748 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 58.5 | 28.7 | 12.7 | 604,147 | 746 |

Table 27
Non-Smoker's Activism:
Willingness to Ask Someone Not to Smoke Male

|  |  | Willingness to ask |  |  | Population Size ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recently asked (\%) | Not recently asked <br> (\%) | Not willing to ask <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 59.1 | 28.4 | 12.6 | 7,993,855 | 7,696 |
| Age | 18-24 | 67.4 | 24.0 | 8.5 | 1,306,334 | 1,295 |
|  | 25-44 | 67.0 | 26.0 | 7.1 | 3,758,605 | 3,659 |
|  | 45-64 | 52.4 | 29.7 | 17.9 | 1,917,511 | 1,913 |
|  | 65+ | 31.5 | 40.2 | 28.3 | 1,011,405 | 829 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 66.3 | 25.4 | 8.2 | 1,827,693 | 1,130 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 56.9 | 29.2 | 13.9 | 6,166,162 | 6,566 |
| Race | White | 59.1 | 28.8 | 12.1 | 6,617,542 | 5,846 |
|  | Black | 66.4 | 22.4 | 11.2 | 462,185 | 300 |
|  | Asian or PI | 51.3 | 30.1 | 18.6 | 738,606 | 1,385 |
|  | Other | 72.0 | 20.9 | 7.2 | 175,522 | 165 |
| Education | <12 Years | 53.3 | 31.5 | 15.2 | 1,606,361 | 760 |
|  | 12 Years | 62.1 | 24.0 | 13.9 | 2,287,270 | 1,938 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 63.7 | 25.9 | 10.4 | 1,875,050 | 2,370 |
|  | 16+Years | 56.2 | 32.6 | 11.2 | 2,225,174 | 2,628 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 64.2 | 24.2 | 11.7 | 2,335,217 | 1,671 |
|  | San Diego | 61.3 | 27.5 | 11.2 | 705,742 | 419 |
|  | Orange | 58.1 | 29.8 | 12.2 | 651,035 | 349 |
|  | Santa Clara | 58.1 | 30.5 | 11.3 | 438,051 | 356 |
|  | San Bemardino | 59.3 | 30.6 | 10.0 | 358,176 | 396 |
|  | Alameda | 57.8 | 29.4 | 12.8 | 323,378 | 316 |
|  | Riverside | 52.8 | 30.1 | 17.1 | 296,232 | 367 |
|  | Sacramento | 55.8 | 30.0 | 14.2 | 265,422 | 337 |
|  | Contra Costa | 61.1 | 25.9 | 13.0 | 221,372 | 386 |
|  | San Francisco | 59.8 | 25.0 | 15.2 | 205,833 | 315 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 54.9 | 32.1 | 13.0 | 268,156 | 322 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 58.7 | 31.2 | 10.1 | 197,368 | 324 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 51.8 | 30.9 | 17.3 | 252,901 | 377 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 50.7 | 34.3 | 14.9 | 367,914 | 401 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne، Yuba | 49.5 | 33.7 | 16.8 | 295,733 | 332 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 57.7 | 34.2 | 8.1 | 181,832 | 361 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 58.9 | 27.8 | 13.2 | 335,968 | 336 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 56.3 | 30.8 | 12.8 | 293,525 | 331 |

Table 27
Non-Smoker's Activism:
Willingness to Ask Someone Not to Smoke
Female

|  |  | Wlillingness to ask |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recently asked (\%) | Not recently asked (\%) | Not willing to ask $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Total |  | 59.4 | 28.0 | 12.6 | 8,775,692 | 9,051 |
| Age | 18-24 | 70.8 | 21.0 | 8.3 | 1,222,195 | 1,395 |
|  | 25-44 | 64.5 | 27.5 | 8.1 | 3,948,345 | 4,287 |
|  | 45-64 | 56.5 | 28.6 | 14.9 | 2,163,560 | 2,180 |
|  | 65+ | 40.2 | 34.8 | 25.0 | 1,441,592 | 1,189 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 64.4 | 27.1 | 8.5 | 2,108,713 | 1,235 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 57.8 | 28.3 | 13.9 | 6,666,979 | 7,816 |
| Race | White | 59.1 | 28.3 | 12.6 | 7,288,097 | 6,930 |
|  | Black | 64.1 | 23.8 | 12.1 | 526,687 | 406 |
|  | Asian or PI | 61.6 | 25.9 | 12.5 | 786,593 | 1,546 |
|  | Other | 49.3 | 38.2 | 12.5 | 174,315 | 169 |
| Education | <12 Years | 59.6 | 28.2 | 12.2 | 2,125,784 | 944 |
|  | 12 Years | 56.4 | 28.6 | 15.0 | 2,889,655 | 2,780 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 61.2 | 26.1 | 12.8 | 2,044,411 | 2,922 |
|  | 16+ Years | 62.1 | 29.2 | 8.7 | 1,715,842 | 2,405 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 59.8 | 28.0 | 12.2 | 2,698,165 | 1,942 |
|  | San Diego | 64.0 | 25.3 | 10.7 | 718,179 | 488 |
|  | Orange | 61.8 | 26.8 | 11.4 | 753,499 | 400 |
|  | Santa Clara | 62.6 | 26.1 | 11.3 | 441,002 | 383 |
|  | San Bernardino | 59.0 | 28.6 | 12.4 | 389,004 | 484 |
|  | Alameda | 65.7 | 24.3 | 10.0 | 387,521 | 410 |
|  | Riverside | 63.2 | 20.7 | 16.1 | 327,741 | 431 |
|  | Sacramento | 54.5 | 30.6 | 14.9 | 309,620 | 427 |
|  | Contra Costa | 53.0 | 29.8 | 17.2 | 238,820 | 462 |
|  | San Francisco | 58.7 | 27.5 | 13.8 | 204,692 | 323 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 56.1 | 32.8 | 11.1 | 288,254 | 391 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 55.4 | 30.2 | 14.4 | 218,976 | 388 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 49.6 | 36.7 | 13.7 | 266,820 | 443 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 58.2 | 30.4 | 11.4 | 371,191 | 421 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 57.6 | 28.6 | 13.7 | 310,058 | 420 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 58.9 | 29.7 | 11.4 | 178,746 | 411 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 51.1 | 31.4 | 17.5 | 362,782 | 412 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 60.6 | 26.8 | 12.6 | 310,622 | 415 |

Table 28
Attitudes Among Smokers Overall

|  |  | My smoking does not annoy people around me |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Doesn't annoy |  | Annoy |  |  |  |
|  |  | I rarely smoke when I'm the only smoker |  | I rarely smoke when I'm the only smoker |  |  |  |
|  |  | Won't smoke (\%) | Will smoke (\%) | Won't smoke (\%) | Will smoke (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> ( N ) |
| 2Total |  | 17.0 | 9.3 | 50.2 | 23.5 | 4,648,885 | 9,907 |
| Sex | Male | 16.6 | 10.2 | 46.6 | 26.6 | 2,606,860 | 4,985 |
|  | Female | 17.6 | 8.0 | 54.9 | 19.4 | 2,042,025 | 4,922 |
| Age | 18-24 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 41.2 | 31.5 | 733,053 | 1,499 |
|  | 25-44 | 16.2 | 7.9 | 53.0 | 22.8 | 2,414,914 | 5,075 |
|  | 45-64 | 18.1 | 10.0 | 50.2 | 21.6 | 1,151,897 | 2,560 |
|  | 65+ | 24.1 | 9.1 | 50.1 | 16.7 | 349,021 | 773 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 22.7 | 10.1 | 46.0 | 21.2 | 880,457 | 1,102 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 15.7 | 9.1 | 51.2 | 24.0 | 3,768,428 | 8,805 |
| Race | White | 16.1 | 8.5 | 51.4 | 24.0 | 3,825,066 | 8,281 |
|  | Black | 22.8 | 13.2 | 43.3 | 20.7 | 399,366 | 570 |
|  | AsianorPl | 21.0 | 12.4 | 45.0 | 21.5 | 261,619 | 760 |
|  | Other | 19.9 | 12.0 | 48.6 | 19.4 | 162,834 | 296 |
| Education | <12Years | 18.9 | 10.7 | 44.6 | 25.8 | 1,317,887 | 1,385 |
|  | 12 Years | 16.7 | 9.9 | 50.9 | 22.5 | 1,704,822 | 3,675 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 16.1 | 7.8 | 53.0 | 23.1 | 1,048,490 | 3,206 |
|  | 16+ Years | 15.7 | 6.6 | 56.3 | 21.4 | 577,686 | 1,641 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 19.5 | 9.7 | 48.4 | 22.4 | 1,337,675 | 1,344 |
|  | San Diego | 16.6 | 8.0 | 49.7 | 25.8 | 377,191 | 536 |
|  | Orange | 17.3 | 8.0 | 52.0 | 22.8 | 337,405 | 428 |
|  | Santa Clara | 17.1 | 7.2 | 55.0 | 20.7 | 202,884 | 430 |
|  | San Bernardino | 13.6 | 9.2 | 46.7 | 30.5 | 275,657 | 690 |
|  | Alameda | 17.2 | 10.6 | 52.5 | 19.8 | 211,272 | 483 |
|  | Riverside | 15.9 | 10.7 | 48.8 | 24.6 | 211,019 | 624 |
|  | Sacramento | 17.4 | 11.3 | 49.4 | 22.0 | 173,851 | 511 |
|  | Contra Costa | 17.8 | 9.4 | 53.8 | 18.9 | 120,843 | 493 |
|  | San Francisco | 20.1 | 8.5 | 46.0 | 25.4 | 111,878 | 396 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 12.5 | 9.7 | 54.4 | 23.4 | 154,162 | 471 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 15.3 | 7.8 | 57.3 | $19.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 108,447 | 400 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | - 19.0 | 9.6 | 49.3 | 22.1 | 162,536 | 570 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 14.6 | 8.2 | 56.7 | 20.4 | 165,292 | 459 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 12.1 | 10.2 | 51.7 | 26.0 | 194,505 | 532 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 11.7 | 7.3 | 55.8 | 25.1 | 87,660 | 439 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 15.4 | 9.7 | 49.9 | 24.9 | 231,200 | 549 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 18.5 | 9.3 | 45.4 | 26.9 | 185,408 | 552 |

Table 28
Attitudes Among Smokers
Male


Table 28

## Attitudes Among Smokers

 Female

Table 29
Percentage of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt by Response to Social Pressure Overall

|  |  | I rarely smoke when I'm the only smoker |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Won't smoke (\% Attempted) | Will smoke (\% Attempted) | Population Size (N) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  | 42.6 | 38.2 | 4,648,885 | 9,907 |
| Sex | Male | 43.9 | 40.5 | 2,606,860 | 4,985 |
|  | Female | 41.1 | 34,3 | 2,042,025 | 4,922 |
| Age | 18-24 | 53.8 | 48.6 | 733,053 | 1,499 |
|  | 25-44 | 44.2 | 38.8 | 2,414,914 | 5,075 |
|  | 45-64 | 35.9 | 30.2 | 1,151,897 | 2,560 |
|  | $65+$ | 34.6 | 28.7 | 349,021 | 773 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 43.5 | 47.1 | 880,457 | 1,102 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 42.4 | 36.3 | 3,768,428 | 8,805 |
| Race | White | 41.1 | 36.6 | 3,825,066 | 8,281 |
|  | Black | 55.0 | 52.1 | 399,366 | 570 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 44.5 | 47.4 | 261,619 | 760 |
|  | Other | 45.1 | 25.2 | 162,834 | 296 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 41.9 | 37.9 | 1,317,887 | 1,385 |
|  | 12 Years | 42.8 | 36.7 | 1,704,822 | 3,675 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 45.2 | 40.6 | 1,048,490 | 3,206 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 38.8 | 39.5 | 577,686 | 1,641 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 44.9 | 41.4 | 1,337,675 | 1,344 |
|  | San Diego | 41.9 | 44.7 | 377,191 | 536 |
|  | Orange | 38.5 | 32.3 | 337,405 | 428 |
|  | Santa Clara | 42.0 | 42.2 | 202,884 | 430 |
|  | San Bernardino | 42.2 | 37.2 | 275,657 | 690 |
|  | Alameda | 39.0 | 38.5 | 211,272 | 483 |
|  | Riverside | 38.7 | 35.2 | 211,019 | 624 |
|  | Sacramento | 37.5 | 35.9 | 173,851 | 511 |
|  | Contra Costa | 44.6 | 41.8 | 120,843 | 493 |
|  | San Francisco | 39.7 | 44.1 | 111,878 | 396 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 45.8 | 39.8 | 154,162 | 471 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 48.3 | 38.0 | 108,447 | 400 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 38.7 | 35.1 | 162,536 | 570 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 38.8 | 32.5 | 165,292 | 459 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 44.7 | 33.3 | 194,505 | 532 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 43.3 | 36.5 | 87,660 | 439 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 47.6 | 29.0 | 231,200 | 549 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 41.9 | 34.9 | 185,408 | 552 |

Table 29

## Percentage of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt by Response to Social Pressure Male

|  |  | 1 rarely smoke when I'm the only smoker |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Won't smoke (\% Attempted) | Will smoke (\% Attempted) | Population Size <br> (N) | $\qquad$ |
| Total |  | 43.9 | 40.5 | 2,606,860 | 4,985 |
| Age | 18-24 | 55.8 | 53.3 | 448,920 | 820 |
|  | 25-44 | 45.4 | 40.2 | 1,380,875 | 2,640 |
|  | 45-64 | 36.1 | 30.2 | 610,974 | 1,217 |
|  | 65+ | 35.0 | 34.7 | 166,091 | 308 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 43.7 | 52.3 | 590,167 | 650 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 44.0 | 37.5 | 2,016,693 | 4,335 |
| Race | White | 41.7 | 39.8 | 2,127,244 | 4,042 |
|  | Black | 59.9 | 52.7 | 207,179 | 274 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 47.8 | 43.5 | 181,536 | 518 |
|  | Other | 49.3 | 23.9 | 90,901 | 151 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 44.4 | 42.9 | 780,934 | 734 |
|  | 12 Years | 45.6 | 39.4 | 873,408 | 1,684 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 45.4 | 40.6 | 592,205 | 1,603 |
|  | 16+ Years | 37.2 | 36.8 | 360,313 | 964 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 45.6 | 45.1 | 793,441 | 793 |
|  | San Diego | 46.1 | 45.6 | 205,863 | 271 |
|  | Orange | 37.1 | 30.3 | 210,265 | 232 |
|  | Santa Clara | 45.4 | 43.3 | 103,709 | 217 |
|  | San Bernardino | 48.2 | 43.4 | 152,096 | 330 |
|  | Alameda | 37.9 | 38.1 | 123,160 | 251 |
|  | Riverside | 42.2 | 38.2 | 114,595 | 315 |
|  | Sacramento | 39.6 | 34.3 | 95,760 | 237 |
|  | Contra Costa | 52.9 | 47.1 | 63,649 | 230 |
|  | San Francisco | 39.5 | 48.0 | 60,086 | 201 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 44.5 | 39.0 | 86,007 | 227 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 47.6 | 42.1 | 57,140 | 181 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 41.5 | 39.2 | 86,121 | 277 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 38.7 | 32.6 | 80,368 | 218 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 43.7 | 33.4 | 104,470 | 266 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 47.6 | 39.9 | 46,122 | 202 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 47.5 | 30.6 | 126,360 | 266 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 41.1 | 40.7 | 97,648 | 271 |

Table 29
Percentage of Smokers Making a Quit Attempt by Response to Social Pressure

Female


## Table 30

 Fraction of Smokers Visiting a Doctor in Last 12 Months Overall|  |  | Visit to doctor in past 12 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Have not visited (\%) | Have visited <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 33.2 | 66.8 | 4,586,726 | 9,796 |
| Sex | Male | 40.7 | 59.3 | 2,584,980 | 4,950 |
|  | Female | 23.5 | 76.5 | 2,001,746 | 4,846 |
| Age | 18-24 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 726,938 | 1,486 |
|  | 25-44 | 33.9 | 66.1 | 2,392,327 | 5,029 |
|  | 45-64 | 31.9 | 68.1 | 1,130,572 | 2,531 |
|  | 65+ | 23.8 | 76.2 | 336,889 | 750 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 46.9 | 53.1 | 866,272 | 1,090 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 30.0 | 70.0 | 3,720,454 | 8,706 |
| Race | White | 33.4 | 66.6 | 3,784,029 | 8,199 |
|  | Black | 26.7 | 73.3 | 391,690 | 561 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 42.0 | 58.0 | 254,340 | 748 |
|  | Other | 31.8 | 68.2 | 156,667 | 288 |
| Education | <12 Years | 41.5 | 58.5 | 1,288,097 | 1,355 |
|  | 12 Years | 32.1 | 67.9 | 1,688,634 | 3,636 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 28.1 | 71.9 | 1,040,528 | 3,177 |
|  | 16+ Years | 27.2 | 72.8 | 569,467 | 1,628 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 38.1 | 61.9 | 1,316,660 | 1,329 |
|  | San Diego | 31.6 | 68.4 | 370,311 | 528 |
|  | Orange | 31.6 | 68.4 | 335,769 | 426 |
|  | Santa Clara | 27.7 | 72.3 | 202,387 | 428 |
|  | San Bernardino | 35.5 | 64.5 | 273,950 | 685 |
|  | Alameda | 28.5 | 71.5 | 208,917 | 478 |
|  | Riverside | 32.6 | 67.4 | 208,865 | 617 |
|  | Sacramento | 31.1 | 68.9 | 170,938 | 505 |
|  | Contra Costa | 29.7 | 70.3 | 120,106 | 489 |
|  | San Francisco | 28.4 | 71.6 | 110,356 | 392 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 29.5 | 70.5 | 153,272 | 467 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 31.5 | 68.5 | 107,419 | 396 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 37.6 | 62.4 | 161,263 | 565 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 26.2 | 73.8 | 160,931 | 451 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 31.2 | 68.8 | 190,426 | 525 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 34.0 | 66.0 | 86,911 | 436 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 31.0 | 69.0 | 226,072 | 539 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 31.6 | 68.4 | 182,173 | 540 |

Table 31
Physicians' Advice to Quit Reported by Current Smokers Who Visited a Doctor in the Last 12 Months Overall

|  |  | Advised, last visit (\%) | Advised, not last visit (\%) | Never advised (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> ( N ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 40.4 | 28.0 | 31.6 | 3,010,854 | 6,714 |
| Sex | Male | 39.2 | 25.1 | 35.7 | 1,508,636 | 3,013 |
|  | Female | 41.7 | 30.8 | 27.6 | 1,502,218 | 3,701 |
| Age | 18-24 | 35.1 | 23.6 | 41.3 | 433,832 | 977 |
|  | 25-44 | 36.7 | 30.4 | 32.9 | 1,555,886 | 3,402 |
|  | 45-64 | 46.9 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 765,198 | 1,753 |
|  | 65+ | 52.6 | 21.5 | 26.0 | 255,938 | 582 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 30.5 | 21.2 | 48.3 | 438,435 | 629 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 42.1 | 29.1 | 28.8 | 2,572,419 | 6,085 |
| Race | White | 39.4 | 29.7 | 30.9 | 2,473,528 | 5,628 |
|  | Black | 46.2 | 17.4 | 36.4 | 283,975 | 417 |
|  | Asian or PI | 46.2 | 20.4 | 33.4 | 149,429 | 458 |
|  | Other | 39.5 | 25.9 | 34.5 | 103,922 | 211 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 41.5 | 24.6 | 33.8 | 738,202 | 820 |
|  | 12 Years | 40.6 | 27.1 | 32.3 | 1,127,483 | 2,450 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 38.9 | 30.9 | 30.3 | 737,455 | 2,286 |
|  | 16+ Years | 40.6 | 31.1 | 28.3 | 407,714 | 1,158 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 36.7 | 29.1 | 34.2 | 798,107 | 857 |
|  | San Diego | 43.8 | 31.4 | 24.8 | 249,299 | 363 |
|  | Orange | 36.4 | 29.9 | 33.7 | 220,823 | 287 |
|  | Santa Clara | 42.3 | 25.3 | 32.4 | 143,944 | 310 |
|  | San Bernardino | 40.2 | 26.3 | 33.5 | 174,638 | 456 |
|  | Alameda | 48.8 | 26.6 | 24.6 | 147,866 | 333 |
|  | Riverside | 38.8 | 27.2 | 34.0 | 137,791 | 413 |
|  | Sacramento | 46.4 | 26.1 | 27.5 | 115,870 | 356 |
|  | Contra Costa | 37.5 | 26.9 | 35.6 | 83,708 | 351 |
|  | San Francisco | 47.9 | 18.4 | 33.7 | 78,150 | 279 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 47.9 | 28.5 | 23.6 | 107,386 | 344 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 37.2 | 29.8 | 33.0 | 73,190 | 273 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 43.7 | 26.2 | 30.0 | 99,178 | 371 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 43.7 | 25.2 | 31.1 | 117,554 | 336 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 40.0 | 29.6 | 30.4 | 130,732 | 359 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 34.8 | 34.0 | 31.2 | 56,225 | 288 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 40.7 | 28.3 | 30.9 | 153,736 | 373 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 38.2 | 24.1 | 37.6 | 122,657 | 365 |

Table 31
Physicians' Advice to Quit Reported by Current Smokers Who Visited a Doctor in the Last 12 Months Male

|  |  | Advised, last visit (\%) | Advised, not last visit (\%) | Never advised (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 39.2 | 25.1 | 35.7 | 1,508,636 | 3,013 |
| Age | 18-24 | 30.8 | 20.9 | 48.3 | 227,837 | 473 |
|  | 25-44 | 34.9 | 26.9 | 38.2 | 787,004 | 1,560 |
|  | 45-64 | 47.9 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 373,475 | 753 |
|  | 65+ | 56.0 | 19.2 | 24.8 | 120,320 | 227 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 29.4 | 20.8 | 49.8 | 277,876 | 336 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 41.4 | 26.1 | 32.5 | 1,230,760 | 2,677 |
| Race | White | 37.3 | 27.1 | 35.6 | 1,229,883 | 2,442 |
|  | Black | 47.7 | 17.4 | 34.9 | 129,628 | 182 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 48.4 | 15.0 | 36.6 | 94,358 | 285 |
|  | Other | 45.1 | 17.1 | 37.8 | 54,767 | 104 |
| Education | <12 Years | 41.1 | 21.7 | 37.2 | 386,266 | 383 |
|  | 12 Years | 36.5 | 25.9 | 37.6 | 508,076 | 989 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 39.8 | 25.7 | 34.5 | 376.918 | 1.020 |
|  | 16+ Years | 40.9 | 28.1 | 31.0 | 237,376 | 621 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 32.9 | 29.5 | 37.6 | 428,832 | 452 |
|  | San Diego | 46.2 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 118,641 | 161 |
|  | Orange | 38.3 | 22.1 | 39.6 | 119,895 | 137 |
|  | Santa Clara | 38.9 | 25.1 | 36.0 | 64,600 | 142 |
|  | San Bemardino | 42.6 | 18.6 | 38.8 | 86,603 | 197 |
|  | Alameda | 45.5 | 24.7 | 29.8 | 81,992 | 163 |
|  | Riverside | 39.0 | 16.5 | 44.5 | 67.833 | 185 |
|  | Sacramento | 51.6 | 17.7 | 30.7 | 54,577 | 145 |
|  | Contra Costa | 34.9 | 25.8 | 39.3 | 40,852 | 147 |
|  | San Francisco | 48.8 | 16.5 | 34.7 | 40,012 | 127 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 46.4 | 24.4 | 29.3 | 54,519 | 157 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 37.5 | 24.9 | 37.6 | 36,035 | 114 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 46.9 | 23.5 | 29.6 | 44,707 | 153 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 39.5 | 22.8 | 37.7 | 51,242 | 142 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 37.3 | 28.6 | 34.1 | 61,116 | 156 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 34.1 | 30.7 | 35.3 | 25,565 | 109 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 44.9 | 25.0 | 30.2 | 72,734 | 158 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 30.0 | 26.6 | 43.4 | 58,881 | 168 |

Table 31
Physicians' Advice to Quit Reported by Current Smokers Who Visited a Doctor in the Last 12 Months Female

|  |  | Advised, last visit (\%) | Advised, not last visit (\%) | Never advised (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 41.7 | 30.8 | 27.6 | 1,502,218 | 3,701 |
| Age | 18-24 | 40.0 | 26.6 | 33.4 | 205,995 | 504 |
|  | 25-44 | 38.5 | 33.9 | 27.5 | 768,882 | 1,842 |
|  | 45-64 | 45.9 | 29.4 | 24.7 | 391,723 | 1,000 |
|  | $65+$ | 49.5 | 23.5 | 27.0 | 135,618 | 355 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 32.4 | 22.0 | 45.5 | 160,559 | 293 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 42.8 | 31.8 | 25.4 | 1,341,659 | 3,408 |
| Race | White | 41.5 | 32.3 | 26.2 | 1,243,645 | 3,186 |
|  | Black | 45.0 | 17.4 | 37.6 | 154,347 | 235 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 42.4 | 29.6 | 28.0 | 55,071 | 173 |
|  | Other | 33.3 | 35.7 | 30.9 | 49,155 | 107 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 42.0 | 27.9 | 30.1 | 351,936 | 437 |
|  | 12 Years | 44.0 | 28.0 | 27.9 | 619,407 | 1,461 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 37.9 | 36.3 | 25.8 | 360,537 | 1,266 |
|  | 16+ Years | 40.3 | 35.2 | 24.5 | 170,338 | 537 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 41.3 | 28.5 | 30.2 | 369,275 | 405 |
|  | San Diego | 41.6 | 35.7 | 22.7 | 130,658 | 202 |
|  | Orange | 34.0 | 39.2 | 26.7 | 100,928 | 150 |
|  | Santa Clara | 45.1 | 25.4 | 29.5 | 79,344 | 168 |
|  | San Bernardino | 37.9 | 33.9 | 28.2 | 88,035 | 259 |
|  | Alameda | 52.9 | 29.1 | 18.0 | 65,874 | 170 |
|  | Riverside | 38.6 | 37.5 | 23.9 | 69,958 | 228 |
|  | Sacramento | 41.8 | 33.5 | 24.7 | 61,293 | 211 |
|  | Contra Costa | 39.9 | 27.9 | 32.2 | 42,856 | 204 |
|  | San Francisco | 47.0 | 20.3 | 32.8 | 38,138 | 152 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 49.5 | 32.7 | 17.8 | 52,867 | 187 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 36.8 | 34.6 | 28.6 | 37,155 | 159 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 41.1 | 28.5 | 30.4 | 54,471 | 218 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 46.9 | 27.0 | 26.1 | 66,312 | 194 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 42.4 | 30.4 | 27.2 | 69.616 | 203 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 35.5 | 36.7 | 27.8 | 30,660 | 179 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 37.0 | 31.3 | 31.6 | 81,002 | 215 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 45.8 | 21.8 | 32.3 | 63,776 | 197 |
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## Table 32

Readiness to Quit Among Current Smokers Who Visited a
Doctor in the Last 12 Months by Physicians' Advice to Quit
Overall

|  |  | Advised last visit Readiness to quit |  |  | Advised, not last visit Readiness to quit |  |  | Never advisedReadiness to quit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Precontemplation (\%) | Contemplation (\%) | Preparation (\%) | Precontemplation (\%) | Contemp- lation $(\%)$ | Preparation $(\%)$ | Precon- templation $(\%)$ | Contemp- <br> lation <br> $(\%)$ | Preparation (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total |  | 28.0 | 52.1 | 19.9 | 36.7 | 49.1 | 14.2 | 39.3 | 45.2 | 15.5 | 3,001,889 | 6,680 |
| Sex | Male | 27.6 | 51.0 | 21.4 | 33.6 | 50.0 | 16.4 | 37.5 | 46.3 | 16.2 | 1,501,903 | 2,990 |
|  | Female | 28.3 | 53.2 | 18.5 | 39.2 | 48.4 | 12.3 | 41.6 | 43.9 | 14.5 | 1,499,986 | 3,690 |
| Age | 18-24 | 28.7 | 52.9 | 18.4 | 32.2 | 56.0 | 11.7 | 33.5 | 51.9 | 14.6 | 432,679 | 968 |
|  | 25-44 | 24.9 | 55.2 | 19.9 | 33.3 | 51.3 | 15.4 | 34.5 | 49.1 | 16.4 | 1,552,319 | 3,389 |
|  | 45-64 | 28.3 | 50.7 | 21.0 | 43.6 | 43.8 | 12.6 | 49.2 | 37.5 | 13.4 | 762,499 | 1,745 |
|  | 65+ | 39.3 | 42.1 | 18.6 | 47.8 | 38.1 | 14.1 | 63.0 | 20.2 | 16.8 | 254,392 | 578 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 30.8 | 42.7 | 26.5 | 18.1 | 49.8 | 32.1 | 33.2 | 45.8 | 21.0 | 438,435 | 629 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 27.6 | 53.3 | 19.1 | 39.0 | 49.0 | 11.9 | 41.1 | 45.0 | 13.9 | 2,563,454 | 6,051 |
| Race | White | 29.4 | 52.7 | 17.9 | 36.4 | 49.7 | 13.9 | 40.3 | 44.3 | 15.4 | 2,472,762 | 5,627 |
|  | Black | 13.0 | 52.3 | 34.7 | 25.5 | 50.6 | 23.9 | 35.0 | 49.9 | 15.1 | 283,975 | 417 |
|  | Asian or P1 | 35.9 | 41.7 | 22.4 | 49.2 | 38.4 | 12.4 | 36.8 | 44.0 | 19.1 | 141,230 | 425 |
|  | Other | 28.5 | 54.7 | 16.8 | 52.7 | 41.9 | 5.4 | 34.0 | 53.0 | 13.0 | 103,922 | 211 |
| Education | <12 Years | 30.1 | 48.8 | 21.2 | 36.7 | 44.8 | 18.5 | 38.9 | 43.3 | 17.8 | 736,709 | 818 |
|  | 12 Years | 28.2 | 51.5 | 20.3 | 37.8 | 51.0 | 11.2 | 41.4 | 44.9 | 13.7 | 1,125,595 | 2,443 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 26.5 | 55.1 | 18.4 | 36.8 | 47.0 | 16.3 | 34.2 | 50.5 | 15.3 | 734,806 | 2,274 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 25.9 | 55.0 | 19.0 | 34.1 | 54.5 | 11.4 | 43.4 | 40.2 | 16.4 | 404,779 | 1,145 |

## Table 32



Table 33
Quit Attempts in the Last 12 Months for Current Smokers by Physician's Advice to Quit Overall

|  |  | \% Who Made a Quit Attempt |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Advised last visit (\%) | Advised, not last visit (\%) | Never advised (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 46.9 | 40.3 | 40.9 | 3,010,854 | 6,714 |
| Sex | Male | 49.5 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 1,508,636 | 3,013 |
|  | Female | 44.4 | 40.0 | 38.7 | 1,502,218 | 3,701 |
| Age | 18-24 | 57.0 | 43.5 | 51.5 | 433,832 | 977 |
|  | 25-44 | 49.0 | 43.0 | 42.6 | 1,555,886 | 3,402 |
|  | 45-64 | 43.3 | 34.8 | 28.9 | 765,198 | 1,753 |
|  | 65+ | 36.2 | 32.1 | 34.2 | 255,938 | 582 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 47.2 | 50.2 | 46.4 | 438,435 | 629 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 46.9 | 39.0 | 39.3 | 2,572,419 | 6,085 |
| Race | White | 44.4 | 39.2 | 40.4 | 2,473,528 | 5,628 |
|  | Black | 64.3 | 60.2 | 38.4 | 283,975 | 417 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 47.8 | 40.1 | 46.2 | 149,429 | 458 |
|  | Other | 48.9 | 33.1 | 50.8 | 103,922 | 211 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 41.9 | 42.0 | 40.6 | 738,202 | 820 |
|  | 12 Years | 49.0 | 37.8 | 41.5 | 1,127,483 | 2,450 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 48.8 | 44.5 | 42.2 | 737,455 | 2,286 |
|  | 16+ Years | 47.0 | 36.2 | 36.9 | 407,714 | 1,158 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 55.6 | 38.7 | 40.6 | 798,107 | 857 |
|  | San Diego | 47.0 | 37.4 | 46.6 | 249,299 | 363 |
|  | Orange | 43.4 | 44.6 | 34.7 | 220,823 | 287 |
|  | Santa Clara | 45.4 | 45.1 | 42.7 | 143,944 | 310 |
|  | San Bernardino | 48.0 | 44.8 | 39.5 | 174,638 | 456 |
|  | Alameda | 43.1 | 38.1 | 41.0 | 147,866 | 333 |
|  | Riverside | 39.8 | 38.3 | 38.7 | 137,791 | 413 |
|  | Sacramento | 28.9 | 45.8 | 36.2 | 115,870 | 356 |
|  | Contra Costa | 41.3 | 39.9 | 47.5 | 83,708 | 351 |
|  | San Francisco | 39.3 | 46.1 | 45.1 | 78,150 | 279 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 52.8 | 32.7 | 46.3 | 107,386 | 344 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 41.8 | 47.1 | 55.1 | 73,190 | 273 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 49.1 | 35.9 | 39.7 | 99,178 | 371 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 43.4 | 49.4 | 27.1 | 117,554 | 336 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 45.0 | 37.7 | 38.1 | 130,732 | 359 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 49.2 | 35.6 | 38.5 | 56,225 | 288 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 44.1 | 37.7 | 47.2 | 153,736 | 373 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 46.6 | 43.0 | 41.2 | 122,657 | 365 |

Table 33
Quit Attempts in the Last 12 Months for Current Smokers by Physician's Advice to Quit

Male


Table 33
Quit Attempts in the Last 12 Months for Current Smokers by Physician's Advice to Quit

Female


Table 34
Perceived Ease of Access to Cigarettes Among Nonsmoking Adolescents Overall

|  |  | 12-14 year olds |  | 15-17 year olds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Access to Cigarettes |  | Access to Cigarettes |  |  |  |
|  |  | Easy <br> (\%) | Not easy (\%) | Easy (\%) | Not easy (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| Total |  | 47.1 | 52.9 | 79.7 | 20.3 | 1,747,634 | 5,835 |
| Weekly Personal Budget | \$0 to \$5 | 40.1 | 59.9 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 522,524 | 1,785 |
|  | \$6 to \$10 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 75.6 | 24.4 | 508,141 | -1,609 |
|  | \$11 to \$20 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 80.6 | 19.4 | 395,017 | 1,370 |
|  | \$21 and Over | 56.9 | 43.1 | 87.3 | 12.7 | 321,952 | 1,071 |
| Smoking Status | Never tried, not contemplating | 44.5 | 55.5 | 81.6 | 18.4 | 1,166,789 | 3,853 |
|  | Never tried, contemplating | 48.2 | 51.8 | 68.9 | 31.1 | 318,709 | 1,072 |
|  | Former experimenter | 60.3 | 39.7 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 170,012 | 587 |
|  | Experimenter | 61.1 | 38.9 | 65.9 | 34.1 | 92,124 | 323 |
| Sex | Male | 49.9 | 50.1 | 81.7 | 18.3 | 847,599 | 2,854 |
|  | Female | 44.5 | 55.5 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 900,035 | 2,981 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 44.4 | 55.6 | 75,2 | 24.8 | 599,152 | 2,444 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 48.5 | 51.5 | 82.2 | 17.8 | 1,148,482 | 3,391 |
| Race | White | 46.8 | 53.2 | 80.5 | 19.5 | 1,243,328 | 4,048 |
|  | Black | 50.8 | 49.2 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 188,602 | 648 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 43.7 | 56.3 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 182,702 | 648 |
|  | Other | 48.0 | 52.0 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 133,002 | 491 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 49.0 | 51.0 | 82.2 | 17.8 | 364,290 | 1,163 |
|  | Better than average | 48.0 | 52.0 | 82.6 | 17.4 | 662,398 | 2,105 |
|  | Average and below | 45.3 | 54.7 | 75.5 | 24.5 | 720,946 | 2,567 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 41.8 | 58.2 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 537,322 | 2,542 |
|  | San Diego | 47.4 | 52.6 | 87.8 | 12.2 | 146,397 | 182 |
|  | Orange | 52.8 | 47.2 | 76.1 | 23.9 | 134,877 | 193 |
|  | Santa Clara | 41.4 | 58.6 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 92,334 | 182 |
|  | San Bernardino | 48.8 | 51.2 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 76,183 | 276 |
|  | Alameda | 54.6 | 45.4 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 75,364 | 165 |
|  | Riverside | 45.4 | 54.6 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 68,627 | 219 |
|  | Sacramento | 61.7 | 38.3 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 66,080 | 191 |
|  | Contra Costa | 36.3 | 63.7 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 49,343 | 220 |
|  | San Francisco | 64.8 | 35.2 | 79.7 | 20.3 | 43,376 | 101 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 44.7 | 55.3 | 76.8 | 23.2 | 58,612 | 180 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 56.0 | 44.0 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 42,726 | 137 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 42.6 | 57.4 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 52,474 | 199 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 51.9 | 48.1 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 67,863 | 186 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 49.9 | 50.1 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 60,271 | 172 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 53.1 | 46.9 | 82.5 | 17.5 | 34,440 | 170 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 45.5 | 54.5 | 74.4 | 25.6 | 78,001 | 244 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 45.5 | 54.5 | 79.4 | 20.6 | 63,344 | 276 |

Source for Purchase of Cigarettes Current Smoker - Adolescents

|  |  | Often vending machine (\%) | Used vending machine (\%) | Often supermarket (\%) | Used supermarket (\%) | Often small store (\%) | Used small store (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 1.0 | 16.7 | 9.0 | 20.1 | 34.5 | 9.4 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Status | Current user | 1.0 | 16.7 | 9.0 | 20.1 | 34.5 | 9.4 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Sex | Male | 0.9 | 17.2 | 12.6 | 19.3 | 31.3 | 13.4 | 112,406 | 387 |
|  | Female | 1.2 | 16.1 | 5.2 | 21.0 | 38.1 | 5.1 | 104,882 | 319 |
| Age | 12-13 |  | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 27,155 | 80 |
|  | 14-15 | 1.9 | 18.1 | 4.9 | 15.5 | 24.1 | 9.5 | 62,217 | 216 |
|  | 16-17 | 0.8 | 19.0 | 12.7 | 25.9 | 45.3 | 10.9 | 127,916 | 410 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 1.3 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 17.2 | 23.5 | 6.9 | 75,646 | 296 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 0.9 | 20.6 | 10.8 | 21.7 | 40.4 | 10.7 | 141,642 | 410 |
| Race | White | 0.8 | 17.9 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 36.8 | 9.6 | 173,535 | 554 |
|  | Black |  | 8.1 | 12.1 | 7.0 | 19.6 | 1.4 | 10,943 | 36 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 1.0 | 17.8 |  | 24.5 | 22.6 | 7.7 | 11,129 | 45 |
|  | Other | 3.7 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 24.4 | 30.5 | 12.0 | 21,681 | 71 |
| School Performance | Much better than average |  | 16.5 | 3.0 | 14.3 | 26.0 | 8.6 | 18,003 | 71 |
|  | Better than average | 1.1 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 22.0 | 39.7 | 6.9 | 59,635 | 171 |
|  | Average and below | 1.2 | 18.3 | 10.4 | 20.1 | 33.5 | 10.5 | 139,650 | 464 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 0.3 | 17.4 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 36.5 | 12.5 | 51,637 | 231 |
|  | San Diego |  | 18.4 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 32.3 | 5.9 | 15,031 | 25 |
|  | Orange |  | 15.4 | 7.4 | 17.0 | 25.1 | 12.1 | 20,725 | 25 |
|  | Santa Clara |  | 18.6 | 1.6 | 22.1 | 41.7 | 13.7 | 10,266 | 26 |
|  | San Bernardino |  | 19.1 | 7.6 | 33.6 | 52.6 | 9.7 | 14,027 | 49 |
|  | Alameda | 2.8 | 20.5 | 12.2 | 29.2 | 41.9 | 5.4 | 11,575 | 24 |
|  | Riverside |  | 11.6 | 13.7 | 16.6 | 22.8 | 8.7 | 9,801 | 34 |
|  | Sacramento | 5.4 | 18.5 | 3.5 | 20.0 | 28.6 | 7.7 | 5,894 | 18 |
|  | Contra Costa | 2.1 | 33.1 | 29.6 | 4.5 | 30.6 | 18.8 | 5,223 | 25 |
|  | San Francisco |  | 32.1 |  | 26.9 | 36.3 |  | 2,979 | 12 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano |  | 21.8 | 1.4 | 8.1 | 36.9 |  | 7,804 | 22 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma . | 3.9 | 22.0 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 23.8 | 6.2 | 6,439 | 21 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo |  | 17.2 | 23.6 | 15.5 | 45.3 | 10.6 | 11,411 | 36 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 6.4 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 21.3 | 31.3 | 3.6 | 12,437 | 30 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 2.8 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 23.2 | 31.6 | 15.3 | 11,067 | 33 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz |  | 5.5 | 1.3 | 17.2 | 25.5 | 1.3 | 6,210 | 35 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus |  | 9.0 | 3.3 | 17.8 | 34.7 | 3.3 | 8,017 | 28 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare |  | 20.7 | 10.1 | 27.7 | 25.3 | 11.4 | 6,745 | 32 |

Recall of Most Advertised Brand of Cigarettes by Adolescents


## Table 37 <br> School Anti-Smoking Laws and Adherence to Them - Adolescents Overall

|  |  | School has antismoking rules $\qquad$ | Most/all students obey rules (\%) | Teachers <br> don't <br> smoke <br> $(\%)$ | Taken health course (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Total | 95.2 | 45.0 | 25.6 | 72.6 | 2,341,433 | 7,767 |
| Smoking Status | Never tried, not contemplating | 95.3 | 47.1 | 30.3 | 72.5 | 1,166,789 | 3,853 |
|  | Never tried, contemplating | 94.3 | 48.2 | 27.7 | 67.4 | 318,709 | 1,072 |
|  | Former experimenter | 96.1 | 40.9 | 18.8 | 77.8 | 443,710 | 1,482 |
|  | Experimenter | 95.4 | 43.3 | 19.4 | 70.3 | 194,937 | 654 |
|  | Current user | 94.4 | 39.0 | 16.9 | 71.6 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Sex | Male | 94.9 | 46.4 | 27.9 | 72.4 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 95.5 | 43.6 | 23.4 | 72.7 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 95.1 | 54.8 | 36.5 | 71.6 | 825,457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 96.1 | 40.9 | 22.2 | 70.6 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 94.5 | 38.3 | 16.9 | 75.8 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 94.1 | 42.2 | 27.2 | 67.1 | 795,116 | 3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 95.8 | 46.4 | 24.8 | 75.4 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 95.5 | 45.5 | 25.4 | 73.2 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 92.8 | 42.8 | 26.5 | 70.1 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 95.0 | 45.6 | 29.9 | 75.0 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | 96.0 | 42.0 | 21.3 | 67.4 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 95.5 | 49.8 | 26.7 | 78.2 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
|  | Better than average | 95.4 | 46.7 | 24.5 | 75.6 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 95.0 | 41.6 | 26.0 | 67.7 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 94.9 | 43.5 | 26.9 | 71.8 | 697,351 | 3,213 |
|  | San Diego | 96.3 | 47.8 | 23.6 | 71.3 | 196,551 | 257 |
|  | Orange | 94.0 | 51.0 | 25.8 | 77.6 | 189,650 | 266 |
|  | Santa Clara | 95.2 | 46.6 | 22.6 | 78.4 | 117,825 | 239 |
|  | San Bernardino | 94.9 | 36.0 | 23.5 | 61.0 | 111,596 | 390 |
|  | Alameda | 95.3 | 48.7 | 20.4 | 74.3 | 100,632 | 230 |
|  | Riverside | 94.6 | 38.8 | 20.7 | 75.8 | 92,078 | 305 |
|  | Sacramento | 96.6 | 43.6 | 31.4 | 70.7 | 81,910 | 247 |
|  | Contra Costa | 93.0 | 36.0 | 19.3 | 76.0 | 63,235 | 290 |
|  | San Francisco | 91.8 | 41.2 | 26.6 | 61.5 | 56,961 | 138 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 95.6 | 46.6 | 31.0 | 72.1 | 77,895 | 241 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 97.5 | 57.1 | 29.7 | 71.2 | 57,362 | 193 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 96.6 | 49.1 | 28.7 | 76.8 | 74,592 | 279 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 98.1 | 47.7 | 23.3 | 76.8 | 98,809 | 263 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 94.1 | 47.2 | 27.8 | 77.0 | 87,315 | 259 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 95.4 | 47.7 | 23.5 | 74.0 | 48,945 | 253 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 96.0 | 40.3 | 26.0 | 67.2 | 102,627 | 328 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 96.0 | 45.3 | 26.9 | 72.1 | 86,099 | 376 |

Table 38
Exposure to Smokers at Home -Teens Overall

| Overall |  | Smoking Status |  |  |  |  | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Never tried, not contemplating (\%) | Never tried, contemplating (\%) | Former experimenter (\%) | Experimenter <br> (\%) | Current user <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | 29.8 | 36.9 | 42.7 | 46.0 | 58.2 | 2,341,433 | 7.767 |
| Sex | Male | 30.5 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.1 | 57.9 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 29.2 | 33.6 | 45.4 | 53.4 | 58.5 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 28.2 | 34.3 | 54.8 | 62.0 | 69.4 | 825.457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 30.0 | 40.5 | 39.7 | 43.4 | 57.2 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 32.6 | 37.6 | 40.7 | 35.9 | 56.3 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 30.2 | 39.9 | 45.3 | 41.8 | 61.8 | 795,116 | -3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 29.6 | 34.9 | 41.5 | 49.0 | 56.3 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 29.1 | 34.7 | 42.2 | 48.6 | 59.3 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 32.2 | 45.7 | 46.4 | 38.2 | 38.0 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 26.3 | 37.4 | 37.9 | 36.2 | 51.3 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | 38.5 | 46.5 | 48.0 | 43.5 | 63.0 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 26.6 | 38.1 | 37.8 | 45.5 | 49.8 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
|  | Better than average | 27.1 | 33.9 | 37.5 | 44.5 | 55.0 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 34.4 | 38.8 | 49.1 | 47.0 | 60.7 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |

Male

| Total |  | 30.5 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.1 | 57.9 | $1,157,465$ | 3,912 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $12-13$ | 28.5 | 40.2 | 52.0 | 53.3 | 64.1 | 385,965 | 1,282 |
|  | $14-15$ | 31.7 | 37.1 | 40.2 | 41.0 | 64.0 | 398,954 | 1,341 |
|  | $16-17$ | 32.4 | 47.8 | 36.2 | 29.3 | 54.5 | 372,546 | 1,289 |
| Hispanic <br> Origin | Hispanic | 30.5 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 39.8 | 61.5 | 394,908 | 1,631 |
|  | Race | Non-Hispanic | 30.5 | 39.4 | 39.9 | 40.3 | 55.4 | 762,557 |
|  | White | 30.0 | 40.1 | 37.6 | 42.7 | 56.9 | 855,261 | 2,808 |
|  | Black | 31.3 | 40.0 | 64.1 | 37.0 | 41.5 | 104,796 | 378 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 29.8 | 36.0 | 27.1 | 27.9 | 55.7 | 105,673 | 394 |
|  | Other | 36.4 | 46.7 | 55.6 | 34.7 | 71.4 | 91,735 | 332 |
| School <br> Performance | Much better than average | 30.0 | 45.3 | 36.5 | 39.0 | 45.7 | 201,711 | 669 |
|  | Better than average | 26.7 | 37.2 | 31.4 | 32.5 | 47.0 | 405,883 | 1,325 |
|  | Average and below | 34.3 | 40.3 | 48.9 | 45.3 | 62.7 | 549,871 | 1,918 |

## Female

| Total |  | 29.2 | 33.6 | 45.4 | 53.4 | 58.5 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 12-13 | 27.9 | 29.3 | 58.2 | 69.6 | 73.0 | 439,492 | 1,337 |
|  | 14-15 | 28.5 | 44.9 | 39.0 | 46.7 | 51.3 | 382,437 | 1,295 |
|  | 16-17 | 32.8 | 26.1 | 45.2 | 44.7 | 58.8 | 362,039 | 1,223 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 30.0 | 38.4 | 50.4 | 44.4 | 62.3 | 400,208 | 1,608 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 28.8 | 30.4 | 43.2 | 59.5 | 57.1 | 783,760 | 2,247 |
| Race | White | 28.4 | 28.8 | 47.5 | 56.0 | 61.9 | 858,651 | 2,738 |
|  | Black | 33.2 | 49.2 | 32.8 | 39.0 | 34.8 | 117.190 | 383 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 23.5 | 38.9 | 50.5 | 57.7 | 47.8 | 110,460 | 386 |
|  | Other | 39.9 | 46.4 | 37.3 | 51.6 | 48.0 | 97,667 | 348 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 23.9 | 30.2 | 39.0 | 52.5 | 55.1 | 234,034 | 742 |
|  | Better than average | 27.5 | 31.3 | 44.2 | 63.2 | 60.2 | 447,993 | 1,367 |
|  | Average and below | 34.5 | 37.0 | 49.3 | 49.0 | 58.0 | 501,941 | 1,746 |

Table 39
Exposure to Peers Using Addictive Substances - Adolescents

|  |  | Tobacco use (\%) | Alcohol use $(\%)$ | Drug use <br> (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> ( N ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 66.6 | 67.9 | 42.7 | 2,341,433 | 7,767 |
| Smoking Status | Never tried, not contemplating | 55.0 | 57.5 | 31.3 | 1,166,789 | 3,856 |
|  | Never tried, contemplating | 57.0 | 57.6 | 28.9 | 318,709 | 1,072 |
|  | Former experimenter | 80.4 | 83.3 | 58.2 | 443,710 | 1,482 |
|  | Experimenter | 85.6 | 82.3 | 56.0 | 194,937 | 654 |
|  | Current user | 97.3 | 94.5 | 80.6 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Sex | Male | 65.9 | 65.9 | 40.0 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 67.2 | 69.9 | 45.3 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 39.9 | 40.1 | 17.0 | 825,457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 74.7 | 75.5 | 48.0 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 87.9 | 91.1 | 65.9 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 64.2 | 68.9 | 42.3 | 795,116 | 3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 67.8 | 67.5 | 42.9 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 68.3 | 69.4 | 43.5 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 56.9 | 63.8 | 42.7 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 60.2 | 57.4 | 31.1 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | $69.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 71.8 | 48.8 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 62.6 | 63.6 | 37.5 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
|  | Better than average | 66.9 | 68.1 | 42.7 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 67.9 | 69.7 | 44.9 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |

Risk Taking Behavior - Adolescents

|  |  | Get kick out of risky behavior (\%) | Been in physical fights (\%) | Often or sometimes ride motorcycle (\%) | Motor-cycle \& rarely/never wear helmet (\%) $\qquad$ | Don't wear seatbelts $(\%)$ | Have ridden with intoxicated person (\%) | Required medical attention in past year (\%) | Population Size ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 44.8 | 27.1 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 49.8 | 8.6 | 19.7 | 2,341,433 | 7,767 |
| Smoking | Never tried, not contemplating | 34.1 | 19.6 | 15.2 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 18.4 | 1,166,789 | 3,853 |
| Status | Never tried, contemplating | 45.6 | 23.2 | 15.3 | 8.6 | 41.9 | 6.4 | 16.1 | 318,709 | 1,072 |
|  | Former experimenter | 58.2 | 33.1 | 23.6 | 11.6 | 48.8 | 11.8 | 22.7 | 443,710 | 1,482 |
|  | Experimenter | 59.2 | 37.3 | 31.2 | 18.6 | 33.6 | 11.3 | 20.9 | 194,937 | 654 |
|  | Current user | 60.7 | 51.9 | 35.1 | 14.3 | 35.1 | 27.2 | 24.6 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Sex | Male | 49.4 | 38.0 | 27.3 | 15.6 | 44.6 | 8.9 | 23.5 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 40.3 | 16.5 | 12.8 | 7.3 | 54.9 | 8.3 | 16.0 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 33.8 | 27.6 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 51.0 | 4.8 | 19.8 | 825,457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 47.6 | 26.8 | 21.6 | 12.1 | 47.0 | 9.4 | 20.1 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 54.1 | 26.9 | 23.4 | 11.7 | 51.5 | 12.0 | 19.2 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 42.2 | 28.9 | 20.8 | 10.9 | 41.5 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 795,116 | 3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 46.1 | 26.2 | 19.6 | 11.6 | 54.0 | 7.2 | 22.3 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 45.7 | 26.2 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 51.9 | 8.5 | 19.8 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 38.4 | 35.1 | 16.5 | 8.9 | 44.9 | 7.1 | 24.8 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 41.8 | 17.0 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 48.6 | 4.7 | 14.8 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | 47.3 | 38.2 | 24.5 | 12.9 | 38.0 | 15.4 | 18.1 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School | Much better than average | 42.7 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 61.3 | 6.4 | 17.1 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
| Performance | Better than average | 46.7 | 22.4 | 18.0 | 11.5 | 53.5 | 7.7 | 20.0 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 44.0 | 34.4 | 24.4 | 12.7 | 42.0 | 10.3 | 20.5 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |

Risk Taking Behavior $\begin{gathered}\text { Table } 40\end{gathered}$

|  |  | Get kick out of risky behavior <br> (\%) | Been in physical fights <br> (\%) | Otten or sometimes ride motorcycle <br> (\%) | Motor-cycle \& rarely/never wear helmet <br> (\%) | Don't wear seatbelts (\%) | Have ridden with intoxicated driver (\%) | Required medical attention in past year (\%) | Population Size <br> ( N ) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 49.4 | 38.0 | 27.3 | 15.6 | 44.6 | 8.9 | 23.5 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
| Smoking | Never tried, not contemplating | 40.9 | 30.9 | 22.9 | 14.9 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 23.0 | 541,414 | 1,794 |
|  | Never tried, contemplating | 59.0 | 43.4 | 31.0 | 16.4 | 45.0 | 11.3 | 28.7 | 236,360 | 789 |
|  | Former experimenter | 46.3 | 32.8 | 20.2 | 12.1 | 32.9 | 5.8 | 16.7 | 160,111 | 563 |
|  | Experimenter | 63.4 | 45.3 | 32.8 | 17.2 | 25.6 | 10.2 | 19.4 | 107,174 | 379 |
|  | Current user | 61.0 | 61.7 | 46.0 | 21.0 | 29.8 | 27.1 | 28.1 | 112,406 | 387 |
| Age | 12-13 | 38.3 | 40.6 | 21.0 | 13.6 | 48.0 | 4.9 | 24.0 | 385,965 | 1,282 |
|  | 14-15 | 50.4 | 37.5 | 28.7 | 15.8 | 39.8 | 9.6 | 23.9 | 398,954 | 1,341 |
|  | 16-17 | 59.8 | 35.9 | 32.5 | 17.4 | 46.1 | 12.3 | 22.4 | 372,546 | 1,289 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 48.4 | 38.7 | 28.2 | 15.4 | 36.5 | 11.2 | 19.4 | 394,908 | 1,631 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 49.9 | 37.7 | 26.9 | 15.7 | 48.7 | 7.7 | 25.6 | 762,557 | 2,281 |
| Race | White | 50.3 | 37.1 | 28.4 | 16.9 | 46.7 | 8.7 | 23.7 | 855,261 | 2,808 |
|  | Black | 46.3 | 47.6 | 24.6 | 12.6 | 34.9 | 9.7 | 28.5 | 104,796 | 378 |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 46.0 | 25.2 | 16.4 | 6.4 | 45.1 | 4.6 | 17.0 | 105,673 | 394 |
|  | Other | 47.9 | 51.1 | 32.7 | 17.5 | 35.1 | 14.4 | 23.4 | 91,735 | 332 |
| School | Much better than average | 43.4 | 27.2 | 17.8 | 10.4 | 57.9 | 7.3 | 22.4 | 201,711 | 669 |
| Performance | Better than average | 52.4 | 33.2 | 25.0 | 16.0 | 47.5 | 6.6 | 22.6 | 405,883 | 1,325 |
|  | Average and below | 49.3 | 45.6 | 32.6 | 17.2 | 37.5 | 11.2 | 24.5 | 549,871 | 1,918 |

Table 40
Risk Taking Behavior - Adolescents

| Get kick out of risky behavior | Been in physical fights (\%) | Often or sometimes ride motorcycle (\%) $\qquad$ | Motor-cycle \& rarely/never wear heimet (\%) | Don't wear seatbelts (\%) | Have ridden with intoxicated person (\%) | Required <br> medical <br> attention <br> in past <br> year <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.3 | 16.5 | 12.8 | 7.3 | 54.9 | 8.3 | 16.0 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| 28.1 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 14.5 | 625,375 | 2,059 |
| 57.4 | 21.3 | 15.2 | 6.2 | 53.1 | 12.3 | 15.8 | 207,350 | 693 |
| 44.8 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 5.0 | 51.0 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 158,598 | 509 |
| 54.0 | 27.5 | 29.2 | 20.4 | 43.4 | 12.6 | 22.8 | 87,763 | 275 |
| 60.3 | 41.5 | 23.5 | 7.2 | 40.9 | 27.4 | 20.8 | 104,882 | 319 |
| 29.8 | 16.2 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 53.6 | 4.7 | 16.1 | 439,492 | 1,337 |
| 44.7 | 15.6 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 54.5 | 9.3 | 16.1 | 382,437 | 1,295 |
| 48.3 | 17.7 | 14.1 | 5.9 | 56.9 | 11.7 | 15.9 | 362,039 | 1,223 |
| 36.2 | 19.2 | 13.4 | 6.5 | 46.4 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 400,208 | 1,608 |
| 42.3 | 15.1 | 12.5 | 7.7 | 59.2 | 6.6 | 19.1 | 783,760 | 2,247 |
| 41.1 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 7.8 | 57.0 | 8.4 | 16.0 | 858,651 | 2,738 |
| 31.4 | 23.9 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 53.9 | 4.9 | 21.5 | 117,190 | 383 |
| 37.7 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 51.9 | 4.8 | 12.7 | 110,460 | 386 |
| 46.8 | 26.2 | 16.7 | 8.5 | 40.7 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 97,667 | 348 |
| 42.0 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 64.2 | 5.6 | 12.5 | 234,034 | . 742 |
| 41.7 | 12.6 | 11.6 | 7.5 | 59.0 | 8.7 | 17.6 | 447,993 | 1,367 |
| 38.2 | 22.2 | 15.4 | 7.8 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 16.2 | 501,941 | 1,746 |

Perception of the Functional Utility of Smoking Among Adolescents

|  |  | Perceives no utility |  |  |  |  | Perceives utility |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Smoking status |  |  |  |  | Smoking status |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Never tried, not contem plating (\%) | Never tried, contemplating (\%) | Former experimenter (\%) | Experimenter <br> (\%) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Current } \\ \text { user } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Never tried, not contemplating (\%) | Never tried, contemplating <br> (\%) | Former experimenter | Experimenter <br> (\%) | Current user (\%) |
| Total | Total | 44.1 | 32.3 | 30.4 | 17.7 | 13.1 | 55.9 | 67.7 | 69.6 | 82.3 | 86.9 |
| Sex | Male | 40.9 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 17.6 | 10.9 | 59.1 | 69.0 | 69.5 | 82.4 | 89.1 |
|  | Female | 46.9 | 33.6 | 30.3 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 53.1 | 66.4 | 69.7 | 82.2 | 84.5 |
| Age | 12-13 | 45.8 | 38.7 | 35.1 | 17.3 | 23.4 | 54.2 | 61.3 | 64.9 | 82.7 | 76.6 |
|  | 14-15 | 43.3 | 25.4 | 30.6 | 19.2 | 18.2 | 56.7 | 74.6 | 69.4 | 80.8 | 81.8 |
|  | 16-17 | 42.2 | 25.4 | 28.7 | 16.0 | 8.5 | 57.8 | 74.6 | 71.3 | 84.0 | 91.5 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 46.4 | 29.4 | 28.4 | 17.6 | 13.7 | 53.6 | 70.6 | 71.6 | 82.4 | 86.3 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 43.1 | 34.3 | 31.3 | 17.7 | 12.8 | 56.9 | 65.7 | 68.7 | 82.3 | 87.2 |
| Race | White | 44.8 | 33.0 | 29.6 | 18.6 | 11.9 | 55.2 | 67.0 | 70.4 | 81.4 | 88.1 |
|  | Black | 43.0 | 37.7 | 31.8 | 19.3 | 40.7 | 57.0 | 62.3 | 68.2 | 80.7 | 59.3 |
|  | Asian or Paciific Islander | 39.8 | 33.4 | 36.3 | 9.8 | 13.4 | 60.2 | 66.6 | 63.7 | 90.2 | 86.6 |
|  | Other | 45.5 | 22.9 | 31.2 | 15.5 | 8.5 | 54.5 | 77.1 | 68.8 | 84.5 | 91.5 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 47.5 | 25.1 | 34.2 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 52.5 | 74.9 | 65.8 | 82.0 | 81.0 |
|  | Better than average | 43.9 | 36.6 | 31.1 | 20.6 | 7.8 | 56.1 | 63.4 | 68.9 | 79.4 | 92.2 |
|  | Average and below | 42.4 | 31.5 | 28.3 | 15.9 | 14.6 | 57.6 | 68.5 | 71.7 | 84.1 | 85.4 |

Table 42
Perceived Utility of Smoking Among Adolescents

|  |  | Bored (\%) | Relax (\%) | Stress (\%) | Social (\%) | Weight (\%) | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 18.7 | 33.2 | 24.8 | 44.6 | 19.3 | 2,341,433 | 7,767 |
| Smoking Status | Never tried, not contemplating | 13.9 | 24.4 | 17.2 | 37.9 | 17.0 | 1,166,789 | 3,853 |
|  | Never tried, contemplating | 16.4 | 32.3 | 25.7 | 47.6 | 18.6 | 318,709 | 1,072 |
|  | Former experimenter | 19.2 | 34.5 | 26.3 | 47.7 | 21.7 | 443,710 | 1,482 |
|  | Experimenter | 28.2 | 49.1 | 38.4 | 56.6 | 21.8 | 194,937 | 654 |
|  | Current user | 37.8 | 65.0 | 49.0 | 59.6 | 25.9 | 217,288 | 706 |
| Sex | Male | 19.9 | 35.6 | 26.5 | 47.2 | 17.2 | 1,157,465 | 3,912 |
|  | Female | 17.5 | 30.8 | 23.1 | 42.2 | 21.5 | 1,183,968 | 3,855 |
| Age | 12-13 | 14.5 | 26.4 | 21.9 | 38.8 | 17.7 | 825,457 | 2,619 |
|  | 14-15 | 19.4 | 35.2 | 24.1 | 46.0 | 19.2 | 781,391 | 2,636 |
|  | 16-17 | 22.6 | 38.7 | 28.7 | 49.8 | 21.4 | 734,585 | 2,512 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 17.9 | 31.0 | 26.4 | 44.2 | 18.3 | 795,116 | 3,239 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 19.1 | 34.4 | 24.0 | 44.9 | 19.9 | 1,546,317 | 4,528 |
| Race | White | 18.7 | 32.5 | 23.6 | 44.6 | 19.6 | 1,713,912 | 5,546 |
|  | Black | 19.0 | 32.5 | 24.7 | 43.2 | 17.1 | 221,986 | 761 |
|  | Asian or Pacitic Islander | 19.4 | 35.9 | 27.9 | 43.0 | 19.2 | 216,133 | 780 |
|  | Other | 17.3 | 37.4 | 31.8 | 48.9 | 19.9 | 189,402 | 680 |
| School Performance | Much better than average | 15.6 | 30.1 | 20.2 | 43.2 | 17.5 | 435,745 | 1,411 |
|  | Better than average | 17.0 | 32.6 | 22.8 | 43.3 | 19.6 | 853,876 | 2,692 |
|  | Average and below | 21.3 | 34.9 | 28.3 | 46.3 | 19.9 | 1,051,812 | 3,664 |

Tale 43
Seven Day Recall of Media Among Adults

## Overall

|  |  | Dept. of Health anti-smoking TV campaign (\%) | Any antismoking TV (\%) | Radio pros/cons (\%) | Electronic media pros/cons (\%) | Print media pros/cons (\%) | Total media exposure (\%) | Population <br> Size <br> (N) | Sample <br> Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 13.8 | 23.8 | 16.4 | 45.7 | 23.6 | 56.0 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |
| Sex | Male | 12.6 | 23.8 | 17.8 | 47.5 | 24.3 | 57.1 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
|  | Female | 15.1 | 23.8 | 15.0 | 43.9 | 23.0 | 54.9 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 23.2 | 34.9 | 23.6 | 57.5 | 21.5 | 64.9 | 3,272,647 | 4,201 |
|  | 25-44 | 16.4 | 28.4 | 17.9 | 49.7 | 22.6 | 58.8 | 10,169,556 | 13,076 |
|  | 45-64 | 8.4 | 16.7 | 13.1 | 39.6 | 24.6 | 51.4 | 5,272,304 | 6,700 |
|  | 65+ | 3.7 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 29.1 | 28.2 | 44.1 | 2,846,132 | 2,838 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 15.1 | 29.7 | 23.7 | 56.8 | 21.1 | 63.7 | 4,843,051 | 3,482 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 13.5 | 22.1 | 14.3 | 42.5 | 24.4 | 53.8 | 16,717,588 | 23,333 |
| Race | White | 13.9 | 23.8 | 16.2 | 45.4 | 23.8 | 56.0 | 17,853,059 | 21,181 |
|  | Black | 19.1 | 29.3 | 21.8 | 52.4 | 20.9 | 59.4 | 1,389,458 | 1,278 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 8.8 | 18.6 | 14.1 | 42.2 | 25.3 | 52.9 | 1,805,099 | 3,725 |
|  | Other | 15.2 | 28.7 | 16.7 | 49.6 | 19.2 | 58.0 | 513,023 | 631 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 12.6 | 25.0 | 18.2 | 48.5 | 16.7 | 54.4 | 5,081,709 | 3,108 |
|  | 12 Years | 15.1 | 24.3 | 15.2 | 45.3 | 22.4 | 55.0 | 6,940,794 | 8,451 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 15.4 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 47.6 | 26.6 | 59.4 | 4,995,097 | 8,536 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 11.4 | 19.4 | 15.5 | 41.0 | 30.0 | 55.5 | 4,543,039 | 6,720 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 12.9 | 23.6 | 19.2 | 47.7 | 22.1 | 56.7 | 6,421,235 | 4,993 |
|  | San Diego | 13.8 | 24.3 | 14.3 | 43.7 | 24.9 | 55.1 | 1,809,811 | 1,450 |
|  | Orange | 10.9 | 19.9 | 16.5 | 41.7 | 22.1 | 50.7 | 1,746,328 | 1,185 |
|  | Santa Clara | 14.5 | 26.7 | 15.6 | 46.2 | 22.3 | 55.4 | 1,084,975 | 1,174 |
|  | San Bernardino | 13.2 | 23.6 | 14.3 | 44.0 | 23.8 | 54.3 | 1,027,600 | 1.578 |
|  | Alameda | 13.7 | 23.6 | 17.0 | 45.5 | 22.5 | 55.0 | 926,716 | 1,216 |
|  | Riverside | 13.0 | 22.5 | 15.6 | 45.1 | 25.8 | 57.6 | 847,965 | 1,432 |
|  | Sacramento | 16.5 | 24.7 | 18.2 | 49.0 | 26.0 | 58.8 | 754,325 | 1,283 |
|  | Contra Costa | 12.5 | 21.0 | 13.1 | 40.6 | 23.6 | 50.7 | 582,290 | 1,347 |
|  | San Francisco | 9.6 | 17.2 | 14.6 | 37.2 | 28.8 | 50.9 | 524,499 | 1,039 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 13.3 | 24.7 | 15.7 | 46.7 | 22.7 | 57.2 | 717,301 | 1,190 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 11.2 | 19.0 | 17.9 | 42.2 | 25.7 | 55.5 | 528,187 | 1,119 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 17.4 | 25.9 | 13.7 | 47.6 | 23.3 | 59.3 | 686,925 | 1,119 1,397 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 18.0 | 27.4 | 15.9 | 47.1 | 26.5 | 59.3 | 909,813 | 1,287 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 14.6 | 22.8 | 14.4 | 46.3 | 26.6 | 58.5 | 804,021 | 1,290 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 15.7 | 27.5 | 14.3 | 47.8 | 26.0 | 60.6 | 450,691 | 1,221 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 17.2 | 26.8 | 12.9 | 45.6 | 22.5 | 55.5 | 945,060 | 1,309 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 18.6 | 28.9 | 13.6 | 47.3 | 25.0 | 58.8 | 792,897 | 1,305 |

Seven Day Recall of Media Among Adults

|  |  | Dept. of Health anti-smoking TV campaign (\%) | Any antismoking TV (\%) | Radio pros/cons (\%) | Electronic media pros/cons (\%) | Print media pros/cons (\%) $\qquad$ | Total media exposure (\%) $\qquad$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 12.6 | 23.8 | 17.8 | 47.5 | 24.3 | 57.1 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
| Age | 18-24 | 20.9 | 34.4 | 23.5 | 58.5 | 22.7 | 65.8 | 1,758,830 | 2,120 |
|  | 25-44 | 14.4 | 27.5 | 19.3 | 50.6 | 23.4 | 59.6 | 5,161,525 | 6,326 |
|  | 45-64 | 7.2 | 16.4 | 14.8 | 41.6 | 25.5 | 52.2 | 2,543,334 | 3,152 |
|  | 65+ | 3.7 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 30.2 | 28.1 | 44.5 | 1,194,870 | 1,157 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 14.1 | 28.7 | 25.0 | 57.3 | 23.1 | 63.9 | 2,420,233 | 1,785 |
| Origin | Non-Hispanic | 12.1 | 22.4 | 15.7 | 44.6 | 24.7 | 55.1 | 8,238,326 | 10,970 |
| Race | White | 12.7 | 23.6 | 17.7 | 47.1 | 24.4 | 56.9 | 8,790,290 | 9,939 |
|  | Black | 15.0 | 27.6 | 23.1 | 54.4 | 21.3 | 61.8 | 670,584 | 576 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 8.5 | 20.4 | 14.3 | 43.8 | 26.9 | 54.3 | 930,910 | 1,923 |
|  | Other | 14.4 | 33.2 | 19.2 | 57.6 | 19.6 | 63.1 | 266,775 | 317 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 10.7 | 23.9 | 19.1 | 48.9 | 18.8 | 54.0 | 2,397,222 | 1,504 |
|  | 12 Years | 14.3 | 24.4 | 16.9 | 47.6 | 22.1 | 56.5 | 3,180,478 | 3,642 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 14.9 | 28.0 | 19.1 | 52.0 | 26.3 | 62.6 | 2,480,618 | 3,987 |
|  | $16+$ Years | 9.8 | 19.1 | 16.3 | 41.7 | 30.2 | 55.6 | 2,600,241 | 3,622 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 12.0 | 23.3 | 20.3 | 49.1 | 23.9 | 57.7 | 3,151,754 | 2,487 |
|  | San Diego | 11.7 | 23.7 | 16.5 | 45.2 | 28.1 | 57.4 | 912,980 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 8.4 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 38.3 | 18.3 | 46.2 | 861,659 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 11.2 | 25.4 | 15.3 | 44.6 | 21.0 | 52.6 | 542,475 | 576 |
|  | San Bernardino | 12.3 | 24.3 | 14.4 | 48.5 | 23.7 | 59.0 | 512,254 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 13.1 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 52.2 | 24.8 | 60.5 | 449,035 | 569 |
|  | Piverside | 11.3 | 20.3 | 17.4 | 46.5 | 25.8 | 57.2 | 415,552 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 16.7 | 28.6 | 22.5 | 57.7 | 29.1 | 65.8 | 365,487 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 11.2 | 21.1 | 15.0 | 42.6 | 23.3 | 51.4 | 285,362 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 9.8 | 17.6 | 14.6 | 36.7 | 27.8 | 49.8 | 268,015 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 12.3 | 27.2 | 17.2 | 50.6 | 24.5 | 62.3 | 357,050 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 8.1 | 18.1 | 21.1 | 44.2 | 25.6 | 57.0 | 256,611 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 15.7 | 27.2 | 15.1 | 52.7 | 22.9 | 62.7 | 340,390 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 15.9 | 28.0 | 18.0 | 48.7 | 25.8 | 60.6 | 450,946 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 14.3 | 22.3 | 18.2 | 48.7 | 26.9 | 57.9 | 400,717 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 15.0 | 27.1 | 14.2 | 48.9 | 26.7 | 61.7 | 228,285 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 18.7 | 28.8 | 14.1 | 49.2 | 122.2 | 57.5 | 466,326 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 16.3 | 28.3 | 13.9 | 49.0 | 26.2 | 60.0 | 393,661 | 607 |

Table 43
Seven Day Recall of Media Among Adults

Seven Day Recall of Media Among Smokers

|  |  | Dept. of Health anti-smoking TV campaign (\%) | Any antismoking TV campaign (\%) | Radio pros/cons (\%) | Electronic media pros/cons (\%) | Print media pros/cons (\%) | Total media exposure (\%) | Population Size (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 18.9 | 31.0 | 17.6 | 53.7 | 20.8 | 61.7 | 4,648,885 | 9,907 |
| Sex | Male | 17.1 | 30.2 | 19.4 | 55.8 | 22.0 | 63.2 | 2,606,860 | 4,985 |
|  | Female | 21.2 | 31.9 | 15.2 | 51.0 | 19.3 | 59.8 | 2,042,025 | 4,922 |
| Age | 18-24 | 27.1 | 40.9 | 23.8 | 61.1 | 18.7 | 67.4 | 733,053 | 1,499 |
|  | 25-44 | 21.8 | 34.6 | 18.6 | 55.7 | 19.5 | 62.4 | 2,414,914 | 5,075 |
|  | 45-64 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 49.7 | 23.1 | 59.4 | 1,151,897 | 2,560 |
|  | 65+ | 7.7 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 37.4 | 27.0 | 52.2 | 349,021 | 773 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 17.0 | 33.4 | 25.8 | 59.3 | 21.4 | 65.8 | 880,457 | 1,102 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 19.4 | 30.4 | 15.6 | 52.4 | 20.7 | 60.7 | 3,768,428 | 8,805 |
| Race | White | 19.6 | 31.6 | 16.9 | 54.1 | 21.4 | 62.4 | 3,825,066 | 8,281 |
|  | Black | 19.9 | 30.2 | 21.9 | 53.5 | 14.9 | 57.4 | 399,366 | 570 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 10.3 | 21.1 | 18.5 | 47.7 | 24.0 | 57.4 | 261,619 | 760 |
|  | Other | 15.2 | 33.0 | 20.6 | 54.9 | 17.5 | 62.2 | 162,834 | 296 |
| Education | <12 Years | 18.6 | 31.4 | 17.8 | 53.7 | 15.6 | 58.6 | 1,317,887 | 1,385 |
|  | 12 Years | 20.2 | 31.9 | 16.5 | 54.3 | 20.8 | 62.1 | 1,704,822 | 3,675 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 20.0 | 32.8 | 18.8 | 55.6 | 24.0 | 65.1 | 1,048,490 | 3,206 |
|  | 16+ Years | 14.1 | 23.8 | 17.6 | 48.6 | 26.8 | 61.4 | 577,686 | 1,641 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 15.8 | 28.0 | 22.3 | 52.5 | 19.6 | 59.4 | 1,337,675 | 1,344 |
|  | San Diego | 22.2 | 34.8 | 17.5 | 55.3 | 22.7 | 64.2 | 377,191 | 536 |
|  | Orange | 12.8 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 47.7 | 20.5 | 56.0 | 337,405 | 428 |
|  | Santa Clara | 25.8 | 38.0 | 15.9 | 58.8 | 17.2 | 65.9 | 202,884 | 430 |
|  | San Bemardino | 18.3 | 32.1 | 12.1 | 52.1 | 19.1 | 58.6 | 275,657 | 690 |
|  | Alameda | 20.2 | 32.5 | 16.9 | 56.2 | 20.5 | 63.9 | 211,272 | 483 |
|  | Riverside | 18.3 | 28.8 | 18.0 | 55.6 | 22.6 | 64.2 | 211,019 | 624 |
|  | Sacramento | 20.7 | 29.3 | 19.8 | 55.6 | 24.5 | 63.2 | 173,851 | 511 |
|  | Contra Costa | 14.1 | 25.9 | 12.3 | 47.7 | 21.7 | 56.1 | 120,843 | 493 |
|  | San Francisco | 12.7 | 20.9 | 14.3 | 43.1 | 25.6 | 55.5 | 111,878 | 396 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 22.6 | 41.5 | 15.5 | 58.6 | 24.7 | 69.9 | 154,162 | 471 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 17.3 | 28.3 | 15.0 | 52.9 | 17.6 | 60.7 | 108,447 | 400 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 25.6 | 35.3 | 12.1 | 55.1 | 18.7 | 63.6 | 162,536 | 570 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 23.1 | 33.8 | 16.2 | 55.1 | 24.9 | 65.9 | 165,292 | 459 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra. Sutter, Tuolumne, | 17.4 | 29.3 | 14.7 | 55.4 | 22.3 | 64.1 | 194,505 | 532 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 24.5 | 36.4 | 15.6 | 59.3 | 20.6 | 65.1 | 87,660 | 439 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 24.6 | 37.1 | 13.4 | 59.4 | 19.7 | 67.6 | 231,200 | 549 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 24.4 | 33.9 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 21.1 | 60.9 | 185,408 | 552 |

Seven Day Recall of Media Among Smokers

|  |  | Dept. of Health anti-smoking TV campaign (\%) | Any anti- smoking TV campaign (\%) | Radio pros/cons $\qquad$ | Electronic media pros/cons (\%) | Print <br> media <br> pros/cons <br> $(\%)$ | Total media exposure (\%) $\qquad$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 18.9 | 31.0 | 17.6 | 53.7 | 20.8 | 61.7 | 4,648,885 | 9,907 |
| Sex | Male | 17.1 | 30.2 | 19.4 | 55.8 | 22.0 | 63.2 | 2,606,860 | 4,985 |
|  | Female | 21.2 | 31.9 | 15.2 | 51.0 | 19.3 | 59.8 | 2,042,025 | 4,922 |
| Age | 18-24 | 27.1 | 40.9 | 23.8 | 61.1 | 18.7 | 67.4 | 733,053 | 1,499 |
|  | 25-44 | 21.8 | 34.6 | 18.6 | 55.7 | 19.5 | 62.4 | 2,414,914 | 5,075 |
|  | 45-64 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 49.7 | 23.1 | 59.4 | 1,151,897 | 2,560 |
|  | 65+ | 7.7 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 37.4 | 27.0 | 52.2 | 349,021 | 773 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 17.0 | 33.4 | 25.8 | 59.3 | 21.4 | 65.8 | 880,457 | 1,102 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 19.4 | 30.4 | 15.6 | 52.4 | 20.7 | 60.7 | 3,768,428 | 8,805 |
| Race | White | 19.6 | 31.6 | 16.9 | 54.1 | 21.4 | 62.4 | 3,825,066 | 8,281 |
|  | Black | 19.9 | 30.2 | 21.9 | 53.5 | 14.9 | 57.4 | 399,366 | 570 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 10.3 | 21.1 | 18.5 | 47.7 | 24.0 | 57.4 | 261,619 | 760 |
|  | Other | 15.2 | 33.0 | 20.6 | 54.9 | 17.5 | 62.2 | 162,834 | 296 |
| Education | <12 Years | 18.6 | 31.4 | 17.8 | 53.7 | 15.6 | 58.6 | 1,317,887 | 1,385 |
|  | 12 Years | 20.2 | 31.9 | 16.5 | 54.3 | 20.8 | 62.1 | 1,704,822 | 3,675 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 20.0 | 32.8 | 18.8 | 55.6 | 24.0 | 65.1 | 1,048,490 | 3,206 |
|  | 16+ Years | 14.1 | 23.8 | 17.6 | 48.6 | 26.8 | 61.4 | 577,686 | 1,641 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 15.8 | 28.0 | 22.3 | 52.5 | 19.6 | 59.4 | 1,337,675 | 1,344 |
|  | San Diego | 22.2 | 34.8 | 17.5 | 55.3 | 22.7 | 64.2 | 377,191 | 536 |
|  | Orange | 12.8 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 47.7 | 20.5 | 56.0 | 337,405 | 428 |
|  | Santa Clara | 25.8 | 38.0 | 15.9 | 58.8 | 17.2 | 65.9 | 202,884 | 430 |
|  | San Bemardino | 18.3 | 32.1 | 12.1 | 52.1 | 19.1 | 58.6 | 275,657 | 690 |
|  | Alameda | 20.2 | 32.5 | 16.9 | 56.2 | 20.5 | 63.9 | 211,272 | 483 |
|  | Riverside | 18.3 | 28.8 | 18.0 | 55.6 | 22.6 | 64.2 | 211,019 | 624 |
|  | Sacramento | 20.7 | 29.3 | 19.8 | 55.6 | 24.5 | 63.2 | 173,851 | 511 |
|  | Contra Costa | 14.1 | 25.9 | 12.3 | 47.7 | 21.7 | 56.1 | 120,843 | 493 |
|  | San Francisco | 12.7 | 20.9 | 14.3 | 43.1 | 25.6 | 55.5 | 111,878 | 396 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 22.6 | 41.5 | 15.5 | 58.6 | 24.7 | 69.9 | 154,162 | 471 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 17.3 | 28.3 | 15.0 | 52.9 | 17.6 | 60.7 | 108,447 | 400 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | $25.6$ | 35.3 | 12.1 | 55.1 | 18.7 | 63.6 | 162,536 | 570 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 23.1 | 33.8 | 16.2 | 55.1 | 24.9 | 65.9 | 165,292 | 459 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Maniposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin, Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 17.4 | 29.3 | 14.7 | 55.4 | 22.3 | 64.1 | 194,505 | 532 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 24.5 | 36.4 | 15.6 | 59.3 | 20.6 | 65.1 | 87,660 | 439 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 24.6 | 37.1 | 13.4 | 59.4 | 19.7 | 67.6 | 231,200 | 549 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 24.4 | 33.9 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 21.1 | 60.9 | 185,408 | 552 |
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Table 46
Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products Overall

|  |  | Increase tax on tobacco products (\%) | Ban distribution on public property (\%) | Ban sponsorship of sporting events (\%) | Ban vending machines accesible to minor (\%) | Laws <br> banning <br> sale to <br> minors not <br> adequate <br> $(\%)$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 48.2 | 80.0 | 56.8 | 85.2 | 75.1 | 21,560,639 | 26,815 |
| Sex | Male | 47.5 | 75.9 | 51.6 | 83.0 | 76.5 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
|  | Female | 49.0 | 84.0 | 61.8 | 87.3 | 73.9 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 47.8 | 78.3 | 53.8 | 84.2 | 76.9 | 3,272,647 | 4,201 |
|  | 25-44 | 48.9 | 79.7 | 54.8 | 85.2 | 76.7 | 10,169,556 | 13,076 |
|  | 45-64 | 46.3 | 80.1 | 58.7 | 83.8 | 74.6 | 5,272,304 | 6,700 |
|  | 65+ | 50.1 | 82.9 | 63.9 | 88.8 | 68.6 | 2,846,132 | 2,838 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 51.8 | 88.1 | 68.0 | 92.9 | 70.1 | 4,843,051 | 3,482 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 47.2 | 77.6 | 53.6 | 82.9 | 76.6 | 16,717,588 | 23,333 |
| Race | White | 48.6 | 80.2 | 55.8 | 84.8 | 76.3 | 17,853,059 | 21,181 |
|  | Black | 44.5 | 76.5 | 65.4 | 87.5 | 75.9 | 1,389,458 | 1,278 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 49.9 | 80.5 | 59.2 | 85.9 | 62.8 | 1,805,099 | 3,725 |
|  | Other | 41.7 | 81.4 | 59.0 | 86.6 | 77.2 | 513,023 | 631 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 43.2 | 83.2 | 66.5 | 90.7 | 68.2 | 5,081,709 | 3,108 |
|  | 12 Years | 44.4 | 79.5 | 57.6 | 84.9 | 74.7 | 6,940,794 | 8,451 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 49.8 | 78.9 | 52.9 | 83.2 | 79.1 | 4,995,097 | 8,536 |
|  | 16+ Years | 58.0 | 78.4 | 49.0 | 81.4 | 79.2 | 4,543,039 | 6,720 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 47.2 | 81.2 | 60.5 | 85.4 | 69.1 | 3,325,265 | 3,610 |
|  | <\$10k | 45.4 | 80.9 | 62.3 | 89.2 | 68.6 | 2,265,862 | 2,163 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 47.1 | 80.9 | 61.2 | 87.6 | 72.3 | 2,827,400 | 3,267 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 45.6 | 81.2 | 60.2 | 87.1 | 72.4 | 3,159,471 | 3,960 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 47.5 | 79.4 | 55.2 | 84.8 | 79.7 | 4,541,948 | 6,207 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 50.0 | 77.3 | 48.8 | 80.4 | 80.4 | 2,950,696 | 4,176 |
|  | >\$75k | 56.4 | 79.4 | 49.9 | 82.3 | 81.2 | 2,489,997 | 3,432 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 50.4 | 81.7 | 59.2 | 87.0 | 72.8 | 6,421,235 | 4,993 |
|  | San Diego | 49.0 | 81.3 | 56.7 | 85.3 | 73.6 | 1,809,811 | 1,450 |
|  | Orange | 50.5 | 80.8 | 57.3 | 83.7 | 77.8 | 1,746,328 | 1,185 |
|  | Santa Clara | 51.4 | 80.5 | 56.1 | 81.8 | 73.4 | 1,084,975 | 1,174 |
|  | San Bemardino | 47.4 | 78.5 | 56.4 | 85.9 | 80.3 | 1,027,600 | 1,578 |
|  | Alameda | 47.2 | 79.6 | 55.6 | 83.4 | 76.8 | 926,716 | 1,216 |
|  | Riverside | 46.7 | 78.8 | 54.1 | 84.3 | 77.1 | 847,965 | 1,432 |
|  | Sacramento | 44.5 | 78.1 | 51.9 | 84.0 | 75.5 | 754,325 | 1,283 |
|  | Contra Costa | 48.1 | 78.8 | 54.6 | 82.7 | 78.1 | 582,290 | 1,347 |
|  | San Francisco | 51.6 | 75.1 | 51.8 | 79.6 | 77.3 | 524,499 | 1,039 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 48.9 | 80.7 | 57.0 | 85.4 | 75.2 | 717,301 | 1,190 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 51.2 | 77.1 | 54.2 | 84.5 | 79.5 | 528,187 | 1,119 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 43.6 | 78.4 | 51.0 | 84.5 | 77.0 | 686,925 | 1,397 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Babara, Ventura | 46.8 | 76.7 | 52.6 | 85.5 | 74.8 | 909,813 | 1,287 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Maniposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 40.8 | 79.2 | 59.3 | 84.0 | 75.5 | 804,021 | 1,290 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa | 49.3 | 82.6 | 56.7 | 85.2 | 75.6 | 450,691 | 1,221 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 42.8 | 78.7 | 58.8 | 86.4 | 73.2 | 945,060 | 1,309 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 41.9 | 78.0 | 58.1 | 86.4 | 76.7 | 792,897 | 1,305 |

Table 46

## Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products Overall (continued)

|  |  | Increase antitobacco education (\%) | Ban free distribution by mail (\%) | Ban <br> advertising in <br> newspapers <br> and <br> magazine <br> $(\%)$ | Ban advertising on billboards (\%) | $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 74.8 | 73.0 | 53.8 | 59.4 | 21,567,108 | 24,296 |
| Sex | Male | 74.4 | 69.5 | 49.3 | 54.4 | 10,661,782 | 11.480 |
|  | Female | 75.1 | 76.3 | 58.1 | 64.4 | 10,905,326 | 12,816 |
| Age | 18-24 | 74.2 | 71.5 | 48.5 | 52.7 | 3,273.611 | 3.532 |
|  | 25-44 | 76.8 | 71.5 | 53.8 | 59.2 | 10,172,724 | 11,814 |
|  | 45-64 | 73.7 | 72.9 | 53.8 | 60.3 | 5,287,120 | 6,230 |
|  | 65+ | 70.0 | 80.0 | 59.7 | 66.7 | 2,833,653 | 2,720 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 73.1 | 83.8 | 72.4 | 76.8 | 4,845,718 | 3.462 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 75.2 | 69.8 | 48.4 | 54.4 | 16,721,390 | 20,834 |
| Race | White | 75.1 | 72.6 | 53.4 | 59.2 | 17,871,715 | 21,138 |
|  | Black | 79.9 | 75.1 | 60.7 | 64.2 | 1,388,153 | 1,249 |
|  | Asian or PI | 69.6 | 75.7 | 54.6 | 59.7 | 1,720,095 | 1,289 |
|  | Other | 68.4 | 71.3 | 47.9 | 56.0 | 587,145 | 620 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 67.2 | 79.2 | 69.7 | 74.6 | 5,083,262 | 2,975 |
|  | 12 Years | 76.6 | 73.3 | 52.7 | 58.2 | 6,942,656 | 7,999 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 77.6 | 69.6 | 46.9 | 52.3 | 5,033,696 | 7.762 |
|  | 16+Years | 77.2 | 69.2 | 45.1 | 52.2 | 4,507,494 | 5,560 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 70.3 | 75.6 | 59.1 | 64.8 | 3,323,181 | 3,155 |
|  | <\$10k | 68.8 | 78.0 | 65.9 | 71.2 | 2,270,013 | 1,968 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 73.2 | 74.1 | 60.6 | 67.4 | 2,793,965 | 2,991 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 76.9 | 75.4 | 55.2 | 60.4 | 3,199,209 | 3,679 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 77.3 | 70.9 | 50.3 | 55.3 | 4,554,242 | 5,679 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 76.7 | 67.4 | 44.0 | 49.1 | 2,947,434 | 3,797 |
|  | >\$75k | 78.1 | 70.7 | 43.9 | 51.0 | 2,479,064 | 3,027 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 75.0 | 75.7 | 57.3 | 62.8 | 6,423,142 | 2,474 |
|  | San Diego | 73.8 | 72.6 | 50.4 | 58.3 | 1,810,285 | 1,450 |
|  | Orange | 76.1 | 73.5 | 54.5 | 58.7 | 1,746,931 | 1,185 |
|  | Santa Clara | 74.7 | 73.6 | 54.7 | 59.7 | 1,085,293 | 1,174 |
|  | San Bemardino | 76.7 | 71.9 | 54.2 | 57.6 | 1,027,826 | 1,578 |
|  | Alameda | 73.1 | 73.1 | 53.5 | 58.5 | 927,041 | 1,216 |
|  | Riverside | 75.6 | 69.6 | 49.5 | 57.8 | 848,226 | 1,432 |
|  | Sacramento | 73.1 | 67.6 | 47.3 | 52.4 | 754,545 | 1,283 |
|  | Contra Costa | 75.4 | 71.2 | 52.3 | 57.4 | 582,471 | 1,347 |
|  | San Francisco | 78.1 | 68.1 | 49.2 | 56.7 | 524,671 | 1,039 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 75.0 | 72.0 | 53.2 | 57.8 | 717,511 | 1,190 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 76.9 | 70.6 | 52.4 | 60.5 | 528,390 | 1,119 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 72.4 | 69.9 | 48.7 | 54.8 | 687,089 | 1,397 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 75.5 | 70.7 | 48.7 | 55.6 | 910,059 | 1,287 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 75.4 | 73.3 | 52.1 | 57.6 | 804,275 | 1,290 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 272.8 | 75.3 | 54.8 | 61.1 | 450,862 | 1,221 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 72.1 | 71.8 | 55.9 | 60.6 | 945,344 | 1,309 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 7 72.7 | 71.5 | 55.3 | 60.3 | 793,147 | 1,305 |

Table 46
Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products Male

|  |  | Increase tax on tobacco products $\qquad$ | Ban distribution on public property (\%) | Ban sponsorship of sporting events $\qquad$ <br> (\%) | Ban vending machines accesible to minor (\%) | Laws <br> banning <br> sale to <br> minors not <br> adequate <br> $(\%)$ | $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 47.5 | 75.9 | 51.6 | 83.0 | 76.5 | 10,658,559 | 12,755 |
| Age | 18-24 | 46.8 | 74.8 | 46.9 | 82.7 | 76.2 | 1,758,830 | 2,120 |
|  | 25-44 | 48.7 | 75.8 | 50.7 | 83.2 | 77.2 | 5,161,525 | 6,326 |
|  | 45-64 | 46.0 | 75.9 | 52.3 | 81.0 | 76.0 | 2,543,334 | 3,152 |
|  | 65+ | 46.6 | 77.7 | 61.1 | 86.9 | 74.7 | 1,194,870 | 1,157 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 51.4 | 85.8 | 66.4 | 92.2 | 70.2 | 2,420,233 | 1,785 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 46.3 | 73.0 | 47.3 | 80.3 | 78.3 | 8,238,326 | 10,970 |
| Race | White | 47.7 | 75.6 | 50.0 | 82.5 | 77.3 | 8,790,290 | 9,939 |
|  | Black | 44.0 | 74.2 | 64.5 | 88.4 | 79.7 | 670,584 | 576 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 50.3 | 78.4 | 56.1 | 83.1 | 64.3 | 930,910 | 1,923 |
|  | Other | 39.8 | 79.4 | 57.3 | 85.4 | 82.6 | 266,775 | 317 |
| Education | <12 Years | 43.4 | 78.7 | 65.2 | 89.3 | 68.9 | 2,397,222 | 1,504 |
|  | 12 Years | 42.3 | 76.2 | 52.5 | 83.4 | 76.6 | 3,180,478 | 3,642 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 47.8 | 73.9 | 44.6 | 80.1 | 81.4 | 2,480,618 | 3,987 |
|  | 16+ Years | 57.5 | 74.7 | 44.7 | 79.4 | 78.5 | 2,600,241 | 3,622 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 46.2 | 77.9 | 56.0 | 82.5 | 71.2 | 1,526,460 | 1,600 |
|  | <\$10k | 46.1 | 72.1 | 57.4 | 87.8 | 66.5 | 848,799 | 838 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 45.6 | 77.9 | 57.9 | 86.0 | 72.6 | 1,374,153 | 1,487 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 44.4 | 77.6 | 56.3 | 85.7 | 75.9 | 1,571,563 | 1,873 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 46.0 | 75.7 | 50.0 | 83.3 | 80.4 | 2,406,482 | 3,100 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 48.5 | 71.3 | 41.6 | 77.1 | 80.3 | 1,581,418 | 2,131 |
|  | >\$75k | 56.8 | 77.8 | 45.9 | 80.8 | 81.9 | 1,349,684 | 1,726 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 50.3 | 78.2 | 56.2 | 85.4 | 74.7 | 3,151,754 | 2,487 |
|  | San Diego | 49.0 | 76.5 | 49.6 | 83.2 | 76.2 | 912,980 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 49.2 | 76.5 | 49.9 | 81.4 | 75.9 | 861,659 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 47.7 | 79.1 | 49.0 | 80.8 | 73.7 | 542,475 | 576 |
|  | San Bernardino | 47.7 | 75.1 | 49.9 | 83.9 | 82.6 | 512,254 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 47.6 | 74.8 | 50.1 | 78.9 | 78.4 | 449,035 | 569 |
|  | Riverside | 42.7 | 74.2 | 49.1 | 81.0 | 78.0 | 415,552 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 40.0 | 73.2 | 43.9 | 80.0 | 77.6 | 365,487 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 48.1 | 72.9 | 49.2 | 78.8 | 78.6 | 285,362 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 52.7 | 71.8 | 46.4 | 77.8 | 79.0 | 268,015 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | $50.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 75.8 | 51.1 | 84.0 | 76.1 | 357,050 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 48.6 | 71.8 | 48.9 | 83.1 | 81.5 | 256,611 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboidt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 45.7 | 75.3 | 45.1 | 80.7 | 80.2 | 340,390 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 46.5 | 67.9 | 47.0 | 84.5 | 75.9 | 450,946 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, EI Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 36.3 | 75.7 | 54.6 | 81.0 | 73.4 | 400,717 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 50.1 | 79.8 | 52.0 | 84.2 | 80.9 | 228,285 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 42.5 | 73.6 | 55.5 | 85.7 | 72.9 | 466,326 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 39.7 | 74.7 | 51.6 | 82.8 | 79.4 | 393,661 | 607 |

Table 46
Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products
Male (continued)

|  |  | Increase antitobacco education (\%) | Ban free distribution by mail (\%) | Ban advertising in newspapers and magazine (\%) | Ban advertising on billiboards (\%) | $\qquad$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 74.4 | 69.5 | 49.3 | 54.4 | 10,661,782 | 11,480 |
| Age | 18-24 | 70.4 | 67.8 | 44.6 | 49.0 | 1,754,864 | 1,775 |
|  | 25-44 | 76.7 | 68.3 | 49.9 | 55.3 | 5,150,166 | 5,701 |
|  | 45-64 | 74.2 | 69.8 | 48.9 | 53.5 | 2,551,450 | 2,906 |
|  | 65+ | 70.6 | 76.8 | 55.0 | 60.6 | 1,205,302 | 1,098 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 74.4 | 81.2 | 70.0 | 73.1 | 2,342,974 | 1,771 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 74.4 | 66.2 | 43.5 | 49.1 | 8,318,808 | 9,709 |
| Race | White | 74.2 | 68.5 | 48.4 | 53.6 | 8,780,985 | 9,920 |
|  | Black | 79.5 | 72.2 | 57.7 | 63.3 | 670,621. | 563 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 72.8 | 77.7 | 53.7 | 57.6 | 909,728 | 688 |
|  | Other | 72.6 | 68.6 | 45.4 | 47.7 | 300,448 | 309 |
| Education | <12 Years | 69.1 | 76.6 | 68.7 | 71.1 | 2,361,078 | 1,439 |
|  | 12 Years | 75.6 | 70.9 | 46.9 | 53.5 | 3,183,957 | 3,431 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 76.8 | 65.5 | 42.1 | 46.4 | 2,526,431 | 3,585 |
|  | 16+Years | 75.3 | 65.2 | 41.8 | 48.1 | 2,590,316 | 3,025 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 71.0 | 71.8 | 53.8 | 58.8 | 1,508,451 | 1,400 |
|  | <\$10k | 68.0 | 73.0 | 61.7 | 66.0 | 840,167 | 726 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 73.1 | 71.8 | 59.3 | 65.3 | 1,328,499 | 1,332 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 77.6 | 73.2 | 52.3 | 56.3 | 1,592,081 | 1,727 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 75.9 | 67.4 | 47.0 | 51.9 | 2,438,859 | 2,834 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 73.4 | 63.0 | 38.6 | 43.7 | 1,594,409 | 1,924 |
|  | > $\$ 75 \mathrm{k}$ | 77.9 | 69.8 | 40.4 | 46.3 | 1,359,316 | 1,537 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 75.5 | 72.2 | 53.4 | 57.8 | 3,152,677 | 1,212 |
|  | San Diego | 70.8 | 68.8 | 46.3 | 52.8 | 913,225 | 691 |
|  | Orange | 73.6 | 69.2 | 49.3 | 53.4 | 861,958 | 582 |
|  | Santa Clara | 73.2 | 70.7 | 48.8 | 55.8 | 542,661 | 576 |
|  | San Bemardino | 76.2 | 68.2 | 50.5 | 51.5 | 512,398 | 728 |
|  | Alameda | 73.1 | 69.3 | 48.3 | 54.8 | 449,178 | 569 |
|  | Riverside | 74.3 | 66.8 | 42.4 | 51.1 | 415,689 | 686 |
|  | Sacramento | 70.6 | 65.4 | 42.3 | 43.3 | 365,617 | 579 |
|  | Contra Costa | 74.4 | 68.0 | 48.9 | 53.8 | 285,447 | 619 |
|  | San Francisco | 77.1 | 62.3 | 44.8 | 51.8 | 268,115 | 521 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 77.3 | 67.3 | 50.0 | 54.0 | 357,145 | 552 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 76.0 | 66.3 | 47.3 | 56.1 | 256,681 | 509 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 73.4 | 68.7 | 43.8 | 48.6 | 340,442 | 655 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 76.5 | 65.6 | 44.6 | 50.8 | 451,071 | 624 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 74.0 | 70.4 | 47.0 | 52.3 | 400,849 | 600 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito. Santa Cruz | 74.5 | 71.8 | 52.6 | 60.5 | 228,383 | 565 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced. Stanislaus | 73.2 | 72.3 | 53.4 | 57.3 | 466,472 | 605 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 73.9 | 67.3 | 48.7 | 54.3 | 393,774 | 607 |

Table 46
Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products Female

|  |  | Increase tax on tobacco products <br> (\%) | Ban distribution on public property (\%) | Ban sponsorship of sporting events (\%) | Ban vending machines accesible to minor <br> (\%) | Laws <br> banning <br> sale to <br> minors not <br> adequate <br> $(\%)$ | Population Size <br> (N) | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 49.0 | 84.0 | 61.8 | 87.3 | 73.9 | 10,902,080 | 14,060 |
| Age | 18-24 | 48.9 | 82.3 | 61.7 | 86.0 | 77.8 | 1,513,817 | 2,081 |
|  | 25-44 | 49.1 | 83.7 | 59.0 | 87.2 | 76.1 | 5,008,031 | 6,750 |
|  | 45-64 | 46.6 | 84.0 | 64.7 | 86.4 | 73.3 | 2,728,970 | 3,548 |
|  | $65+$ | 52.6 | 86.7 | 65.9 | 90.1 | 64.2 | 1,651,262 | 1,681 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 52.2 | 90.5 | 69.5 | 93.7 | 70.1 | 2,422,818 | 1,697 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 48.1 | 82.2 | 59.6 | 85.4 | 74.9 | 8,479,262 | 12,363 |
| Race | White | 49.4 | 84.6 | 61.4 | 87.1 | 75.3 | 9,062,769 | 11,242 |
|  | Black | 45.0 | 78.7 | 66.3 | 86.7 | 72.4 | 718,874 | 702 |
|  | Asian or PI | 49.5 | 82.7 | 62.5 | 88.9 | 61.1 | 874,189 | 1,802 |
|  | Other | 43.8 | 83.6 | 60.9 | 87.8 | 71.5 | 246,248 | 314 |
| Education | <12 Years | 43.1 | 87.2 | 67.7 | 92.1 | 67.5 | 2,684,487 | 1,604 |
|  | 12 Years | 46.3 | 82.2 | 61.9 | 86.2 | 73.2 | 3,760,316 | 4,809 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 51.7 | 83.8 | 61.0 | 86.3 | 76.7 | 2,514,479 | 4,549 |
|  | 16+ Years | 58.8 | 83.4 | 54.7 | 84.0 | 80.2 | 1,942,798 | 3,098 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 48.1 | 84.0 | 64.3 | 87.8 | 67.3 | 1,798,805 | 2,010 |
|  | <\$10k | 45.0 | 86.2 | 65.3 | 90.1 | 69.8 | 1,417,063 | 1,325 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 48.4 | 83.7 | 64.3 | 89.1 | 72.1 | 1,453,247 | 1,780 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 46.7 | 84.7 | 64.0 | 88.6 | 69.0 | 1,587,908 | 2,087 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 49.1 | 83.6 | 61.2 | 86.4 | 79.1 | 2,135,466 | 3,107 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 51.7 | 84.2 | 57.0 | 84.1 | 80.6 | 1,369,278 | 2,045 |
|  | >\$75k | 55.8 | 81.3 | 54.6 | 84.0 | 80.5 | 1,140,313 | 1,706 |
| Region | Los Angeles | 50.4 | 85.0 | 62.2 | 88.5 | 71.1 | 3,269,481 | 2,506 |
|  | San Diego | 49.1 | 86.3 | 63.8 | 87.5 | 70.9 | 896,831 | 759 |
|  | Orange | 51.7 | 85.0 | 64.5 | 86.0 | 79.6 | 884,669 | 603 |
|  | Santa Clara | 55.2 | 81.9 | 63.1 | 82.9 | 73.2 | 542,500 | 598 |
|  | San Bernardino | 47.1 | 81.8 | 62.9 | 87.9 | 78.0 | 515,346 | 850 |
|  | Alameda | 46.8 | 84.1 | 60.6 | 87.7 | 75.3 | 477,681 | 647 |
|  | Riverside | 50.6 | 83.2 | 58.9 | 87.6 | 76.1 | 432,413 | 746 |
|  | Sacramento | 48.7 | 82.7 | 59.6 | 87.7 | 73.5 | 388,838 | 704 |
|  | Contra Costa | 48.2 | 84.5 | 59.7 | 86.5 | 77.6 | 296,928 | 728 |
|  | San Francisco | 50.5 | 78.6 | 57.3 | 81.5 | 75.5 | 256,484 | 518 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 47.0 | 85.6 | 62.8 | 86.8 | 74.3 | 360,251 | 638 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 53.7 | 82.0 | 59.2 | 85.8 | 77.6 | 271,576 | 610 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 41.5 | 81.4 | 56.9 | 88.2 | 73.9 | 346,535 | 742 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 47.0 | 85.4 | 58.0 | 86.4 | 73.7 | 458,867 | 663 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 45.2 | 82.7 | 63.9 | 86.9 | 77.5 | 403,304 | 690 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz. | 48.4 | 85.4 | 61.4 | 86.3 | 70.2 | 222,406 | 656 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 43.1 | 83.5 | 62.1 | 87.0 | 73.6 | 478,734 | 704 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kem, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 44.0 | 81.2 | 64.6 | 89.9 | 74.1 | 399,236 | 698 |

Table 46
Attitudes and Opinions Towards Tobacco Products Female (continued)

|  |  | Increase antitobacco education (\%) | Ban free distribution by mail (\%) | Ban <br> advertising in <br> newspapers <br> and <br> magazine <br> $(\%)$ | Ban advertising on billboards $\qquad$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (N) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 75.1 | 76.3 | 58.1 | 64.4 | 10,905,326 | 12,816 |
| Age | 18-24 | 78.7 | 75.9 | 53.0 | 57.0 | 1,518,747 | 1,757 |
|  | 25-44 | 76.9 | 74.9 | 57.8 | 63.2 | 5,022,558 | 6,113 |
|  | 45-64 | 73.2 | 75.7 | 58.4 | 66.6 | 2,735,670 | 3,324 |
|  | 65+ | 69.5 | 82.4 | 63.2 | 71.2 | 1,628,351 | 1.622 |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic | 71.9 | 86.2 | 74.6 | 80.2 | 2,502,744 | 1,691 |
|  | Non-Hispanic | 76.1 | 73.4 | 53.2 | 59.6 | 8,402,582 | 11,125 |
| Face | White | 75.9 | 76.6 | 58.2 | 64.5 | 9,090,730 | 11,218 |
|  | Black | 80.3 | 77.7 | 63.4 | 65.0 | 717,532 | 686 |
|  | Asian or Pl | 66.0 | 73.4 | 55.5 | 62.0 | 810,367 | 601 |
|  | Other | 63.9 | 74.2 | 50.5 | 64.6 | 286,697 | 311 |
| Education | $<12$ Years | 65.6 | 81.5 | 70.5 | 77.7 | 2,722,184 | 1,536 |
|  | 12 Years | 77.5 | 75.3 | 57.7 | 62.2 | 3,758,699 | 4,568 |
|  | 13-15 Years | 78.5 | 73.7 | 51.8 | 58.3 | 2,507,265 | 4,177 |
|  | 16+Years | 79.6 | 74.6 | 49.6 | 57.7 | 1,917,178 | 2,535 |
| Income | Not Obtained | 69.7 | 78.7 | 63.5 | 69.8 | 1,814,730 | 1,755 |
|  | <\$10k | 69.3 | 81.0 | 68.4 | 74.3 | 1,429,846 | 1,242 |
|  | \$10k-\$20k | 73.2 | 76.3 | 61.8 | 69.3 | 1,465,466 | 1,659 |
|  | \$20k-\$30k | 76.3 | 77.6 | 58.1 | 64.5 | 1,607,128 | 1,952 |
|  | \$30k-\$50k | 78.9 | 74.9 | 54.1 | 59.3 | 2,115,383 | 2,845 |
|  | \$50k-\$75k | 80.6 | 72.7 | 50.4 | 55.5 | 1,353,025 | 1,873 |
|  | >\$75k | 78.4 | 71.9 | 48.3 | 56.6 | 1,119,748 | 1,490 |
| Region | Los Ängeles | 74.5 | 79.1 | 61.0 | 67.7 | 3,270,465 | 1,262 |
|  | San Diego | 76.8 | 76.5 | 54.7 | 63.9 | 897,060 | 759 |
|  | Orange | 78.5 | 77.7 | 59.5 | 63.9 | 884,973 | 603 |
|  | Santa Clara | 76.3 | 76.5 | 60.5 | 63.5 | 542,632 | 598 |
|  | San Bernardino | 77.3 | 75.5 | 57.9 | 63.6 | 515,428 | 850 |
|  | Alameda | 73.1 | 76.8 | 58.4 | 61.9 | 477,863 | 647 |
|  | Riverside | 76.8 | 72.3 | 56.3 | 64.1 | 432,537 | 746 |
|  | Sacramento | 75.4 | 69.7 | 52.1 | 60.9 | 388,928 | 704 |
|  | Contra Costa | 76.3 | 74.2 | 55.5 | 60.9 | 297,024 | 728 |
|  | San Francisco | 79.3 | 74.1 | 53.7 | 61.8 | 256,556 | 518 |
|  | San Mateo, Solano | 72.8 | 76.6 | 56.4 | 61.5 | 360,366 | 638 |
|  | Marin, Napa, Sonoma | 77.7 | 74.7 | 57.1 | 64.6 | 271,709 | 610 |
|  | Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo | 71.4 | 71.0 | 53.6 | 60.9 | 346,647 | 742 |
|  | San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura | 74.6 | 75.6 | 52.7 | 60.3 | 458,988 | 663 |
|  | Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, El Dorado, Mariposa, Nevada, Placer, San Joaquin Sierra, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yuba | 76.8 | 76.2 | 57.2 | 62.8 | 403,426 | 690 |
|  | Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz | 71.1 | 78.8 | 57.0 | 61.7 | 222,479 | 656 |
|  | Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus | 70.9 | 71.3 | 58.3 | 63.9 | 478,872 | 704 |
|  | Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Mono, Tulare | 71.6 | 75.7 | 61.8 | 66.3 | 399,373 | 698 |

