min alw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMEN OF AGRICULTURE

CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS REPLY TO DISTRICT FORESTER

FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

EW Cleveland, Fletcher, Ed. No. 217 January 9, 1924

in the

Mr. Ed. Fletcher, 920 - 8th St., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Sir:

Your attention is invited to provision of Article 2 of the Preliminary Permit issued to you by the Federal Power Commission, December 11 1922, by which you were to file application for license covering the project on or before the first day of January 1, 1924.

No license application has been received from you by this office. We shall be glad to know whether from the investigations made under the Preliminary Permit you have come to the conclusion that the project should be pursued no further, or whether it is intended to reestablish priority by seeking an extension of time within which to apply for license.

Very truly yours,

District Engineer.

1

N?

February 18, 1924.

Mr. F. E. Bonner, District Engineer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Ferry Building, San Francisco, California.

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Doar Sir:

Answering yours of January 9th, will say we have spent between \$15,000 and \$20,000 making surveys this last year and within a few weeks our engineering department will decide just the location and plan of development, and I believe we can give you something definite sometime during the month of March.

I hope you will please hold this matter in abeyance.

A good deal depends upon our ability to finance.
as well, but we are seriously looking into the proposition
and will give you a definite answer at an early date.
Yours very truly.

EF:KLH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE

CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS REPLY TO DISTRICT FORESTER AND REFER TO

FERRY BUILDING
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

February 19, 1924

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Mr. Ed. Fletcher, 920 - 8th St., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to my letter of January 9, 1924.

Will you please advise what decision you have

reached in the matter?

Very truly yours,

District Engineer.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS REPLY TO

FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

February 20, 1924.

EW Cleveland Fletcher, Ed. No. 217

Mr. Ed. Fletcher, Fletcher Bldg., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Mr. Fletcher:

In reference to your letter of February 18 regarding your preliminary permit No. 217 from the Federal Power Commission.

I infer from your letter that you desire an extension of the time within which to file application for license in this case.

We have forwarded the request to the Power Commission with a suggestion that a six months extension from January 1, 1924 will probably give you sufficient time to complete the surveys and prepare the license application.

Very sincerely yours

District Engineer.

February 27, 1924.

Mr. F. E. Bonner, District Engineer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forry Building. San Francisco, Calif.

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Dear Mr. Bonner:

Answering your letter of the 20th, I appreciate your request for a six months' extension and would be pleased to get this time.

I am negotiating with Byllesby & Company of Chicago to do the installation under an agreement mutually satisfactory and approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

Yours very truly,

EF:KUM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS REPLY TO ISTRICT FORESTER AND REFER TO

March 5, 1924.

FERRY BUILDING

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Mr. Ed. Fletcher,
Fletcher Building,
Syn Diego, California.

Dear Col. Fletcher:

In reference to our recent correspondence regarding an extension of time under your preliminary permit from the Federal Power Commission, designated No. 217.

We have just been advised by the Power Commission that it will be desirable for you to make a formal application for 6 months extension, incorporating in the request a full statement of the work which has already been done under the preliminary permit, together with information regarding the present prospects for carrying out the project.

It will be appreciated if this communication can be furnished us as promptly as convenient.

Very sincerely yours,

District Engineer.

40

14

Harch 22, 1924.

District Forester, United States Department of Agriculture, Ferry Building, San Francisco, California. EW Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Dear Sir:

Replying to your letter of March 5th, regarding an extension of time under preliminary permit from the Federal Power Commission, designated No. 217, we have spent during the year 1923 in development work, surveys, etc. \$9411.35.

Enclosed herewith find map showing surveys completed, for your records.

you can see from the above statement of money spent that we have been at work diligently upon this project, however, due to the fact that we can do but little of this preliminary work during the winter season, I feel it would be fair to allow us an extension of one year instead of the six months as suggested in your letter of liarch 5th. I feel that if such an extension is allowed that we will be in a position within the coming year to see the project carried thru to fruition.

Chicago whereby they install the plant and the Railroad Commission of the State of California determine on the revenue that we shall receive from the use of the waters of Boulder Croek, including that stored by us in Cuyamaca Lake.

Thanking you for gour early and favorable action in this matter, I am
Yours very truly,

EF:KLM

Some dere Breek.

John 21, 1922 7726.30

References for 1923 1465 23

19191.56

Lost of Survey te Goo Co 7946.12

17,137.68

Said Mayer 925.00

12th Mayer 925.00

12th Minch 12 400.00

12th Minch 1465.23

1465.23

UNITED STATES DEPARTA OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS BEPLY TO DISTRICT FORESTER

FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

April 1, 1924.

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed No. 217

Mr. Ed. Fletcher, Fletcher Building, San Diego, California.

Dear Col. Fletcher:

I was very glad to receive the information presented in your letter of March 22 together with the accompanying blue prints.

This information has been presented to the Federal Power Commission with the recommendation that the preliminary permit period be extended to January 1, 1925.

Very sincerely yours,

District Engineer.

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMY OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



ADDRESS CIPLY TO DISTRICT FORESTER AND REFER TO

> Cleveland Fletcher, Ed.

FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

June 19, 1925.

Mr. Ed. Fletcher. 920 Eighth St., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Sir:

No. 217 covering Boulder Creek project expired on June 11, 1925.

S'nce no request was received from you for a further extension for this permit, it is assumed that you are no longer interested in the project. However, I would be very glad if you will confirm this by letter.

Very truly yours,

District Engineer.

June 23, 1925.

Mr. F. E. Bonner, District Engineer, Ferry Building, San Francisco, California.

Cleveland Fletcher, Ed

Dear Sir:

The Railroad Commission of the State of California has approved the sale of the Cuyamaca System to the La Mesa Irrigation District, and it is the desire of the La Mesa, Lemon Grove and Spring Valley Irrigation District desires that you extend the time six months until the final transfer of the property, and same will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

EF: KIH

F AGRICULTURE UNITED STATES DEPAR FOREST SERVICE

CALIFORNIA DISTRICT



SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

July 23, 1925.

FENNY BUILDING

Cleveland Ed. Fletcher No. 217

ADDRESS REPLY TO

DISTRICT FORESTER AND REFER TO

> Mr. Ed Fletcher, Fletcher Bldg., San Diego, Calif.

Dear Mr. Fletcher:

Reference is made to your request for extension of time under your preliminary permit in the above designated case. This request was referred to Mr. O. C. Merrill, Executive Secretary, Federal Power Commission.

On account of your failure to submit a formal application for the second extension of time within the period specified or to make application for license, Mr. Merrill does not see his way clear to grant the extension. He states however, that if application for license is submitted by you with evidence that you will. proceed with construction, the fact that the former permit was allowed to expire will not be used as a reason for rejecting the application for license.

Very sincerely yours,

District Engineer.

Ed Fletcher Papers

1870-1955

MSS.81

Box: 2 Folder: 24

General Correspondence - Bonner, F.E.



Copyright: UC Regents

Use: This work is available from the UC San Diego Libraries. This digital copy of the work is intended to support research, teaching, and private study.

Constraints: This work is protected by the U.S. Copyright Law (Title 17, U.S.C.). Use of this work beyond that allowed by "fair use" requires written permission of the UC Regents. Permission may be obtained from the UC SanDiego Libraries department having custody of the work (http://libraries.ucsd.edu/collections/mscl/). Responsibility for obtaining permissions and any use and distribution of this work rests exclusively with the user and not the UC San Diego Libraries.