

Divestment Rally Today Gymn Steps at Noon ???

As the **new indicator** was going to press an AS officer stopped one of our members, and told us that the AS would be conducting a rally demanding UC Divestment from corporations doing business in South Africa. She was to get back to us within an hour and give us concrete information on the rally.

It is now a day later, and we have been unable to find her. So here's the information, as we understand it:

There's a rally today, probably at noon and probably on the Gymn steps (these latter 2 hypothesis being educated guesses). At this rally there will be speakers from off-campus (?) as well as an AS officer or two. The rally will be poorly attended as noone will know about it.

In order to rescue the AS from a complete flop, and to demonstrate your support for divestment (an issue which students have repeatedly supported) you

No Nukes

In the wake of the recent nuclear accident in Pennsylvania, No Nukes activists are organizing several events in the state. This Saturday there will be a major rally in San Francisco. In San Diego, a week long vigil is taking place at San Diego Gas & Electric, and will be culminated by a rally. For more information on this get in touch with UCSD Clamshell or the Community Energy Action Network.

There will be a rally next week at UCSD. Final details are still being

Report & Commentary

SOAP Comes Clean

SOAP, Students Organized for Alternative Programming, is a registered student organization that has been around for two or three years. The group was founded by dorm students dissatisfied with the focus of programming at this campus. During their existence on campus they have brought movies (eg Rocky Horror Picture Show), Dr. Dean (hypnotist), and a Grateful Dead party to campus. In addition, they have held several smaller parties, are planning what one member terms a "lecture series" (when pressed for details they mentioned Hunter Thompson as the only lecturer currently being considered), and a concert.

Carlos Montalvos, who founded the group in cooperation with other dorm students, was the group's spokesperson, active member, and budget officer listed on their registration form until two weeks ago. Since hearing that the **new indicator** was planning an article on SOAP he has reregistered the group, and is no longer listed as an officer. He does, however, continue to be active in SOAP's activities.

Until two weeks ago Carlos referred to SOAP as a fraternal organization formed primarily to sponsor parties. In numerous conversations with **ni** staffers he stated that SOAP was attempting to present an alternative to the programming of the colleges, student organizations, and the University Events Office. Carlos stated that those organizations were out of touch with the students, and that University Events and College Program Board decisions were made by administrators. Thus, he and his colleagues have scheduled

should keep your eyes open for posters, and show up. You should also contact your local ASer, and give them a lecture on Organizing I-A.

First Off, they have not informed, so far as we know, any student organizations. We have contacted reps from the Progressive Coalition, *continued on page 6*

INSIDE...

*Special Report:
Campus Activity Fees
Poster
The Graduate Student
Babylon-by-the-Cove
More on Draft*

worked out on that, so watch the campus for posters.

Meanwhile, in Sacramento, Gov. Jerry Brown is trying to close down the nuke in his backyard, stating that its unsafe. For some reason he doesn't seem nearly as concerned about the ones a little further away.

And SDG&E is planning to build a new nuke, again. People should take the opportunity presented to them to challenge the unrestricted construction of nukes, and the senseless risks that this entails.

programming that's "fun," and that "students want to participate in." The primary focus of this programming appears to be, at many of their events (not to mention their "meetings"), a keg.

How does Carlos know what students want? When questioned as to specific criticisms students had of University Events programming he stated that there was "discontent" with what was going on, that University Events was "not in touch" with the students, and that he couldn't be specific because students didn't know what was wrong, they just knew that they weren't satisfied. He knows these things from living in the dorms, where he claims, the students are (well over half of UCSD's students live off campus). He knows, however, that SOAP is serving students because SOAP is making money.

SOAP does make money. University records show that they have made over a thousand dollars this year, putting on programming underwritten by Campus Activity Fees and profits. In addition, according to Carlos Montalvo, the beer, etc. featured at their events is donated by members, as well as large quantities of money (he claims that one member is giving \$3,000 "because he believes in what we're (SOAP) trying to do"). University records tell a different story.

'junk food' programming

However, making money is not exactly an indicator of quality. (Anyone who doubts this should try sitting through a day of network television.) According to several students involved in programming on campus, SOAP does "junk-food programming," programs that reach a certain segment of the

AS Squanders student \$\$

—Charles A. Patterson

Last issue I mentioned in my column that an ASer's girlfriend was sent to Sacramento on Campus Activity Fees. My source has been unavailable since then, but University records reveal some interesting information about the recent trip to Sacramento.

The trip was for the 8th annual UC Student Lobby Legislative Conference held Saturday, March 3rd through Monday, March 5th. The conference is theoretically held to enable students to work more effectively on their behalf through the legislature.

The UCSD students that the ASUCSD sent to the Conference were Olivier Kolpin, Kevin Eckery, Margot Tempereau, Christy Drale, Ann Carberry, Linda Sabo, Carlos Montalvo and Jean Polhamous. Several of these are peculiar choices.

Kevin, Margot and Ann are all near, or after, graduation, and thus unable to use any information gained from such a

conference, while Linda Sabo is enrolled through extension. If it weren't for these persons student status (or lack thereof), however, their participation could be justified.

The justifications for some of the others border on the absurd. Carlos and Jean were allegedly sent to represent the **AS Bulletin**, the only media so honored. It is more likely that Carlos was sent to prepare him for the presidency that the current AS clique is trying to pass to him. AS sources inform us that Christy Drale was sent to speak at one of the panel discussions. Her name, however, does not appear on the agenda.

Regardless of the motives for selecting the students that were sent, the fact remains that only one of the students, Olivier Kolpin, is in a position to make use of any information gained. Other students were either sent for the edification of students at the other UCs, in which case they should have shared the cost, or for personal motives. In either case the AS has once again wasted student funds.

Advocate Program Looks Out for Students

A Student Advocate Program instituted by AS Commissioner of Student Welfare, Barry Hyman, is making it possible for students to find relief from academic and administrative hassles which threaten to end their careers here.

According to Hyman, the program came about because students had nowhere to go when facing dismissal or confronting the bureaucracy. The Advocate program provides a center for students who are essentially isolated here. It also provides knowledgeable people who are ready to act on their behalf.

Advocates help by identifying options available and insuring that students use all means at their disposal to get a full and fair hearing on their grievances. "Otherwise," said Hyman, "the rights of students wind up on the scrap pile."

Over 100 cases have been handled by Hyman and his staff of five since Winter quarter of 1978 when the program was instituted. Asked to cite a specific example, Hyman mentioned the case of a first year student who was threatened with dismissal on the basis of a poor grade average during just one quarter. The advocate helped raise the students' average by investigating her grades and ascertaining that two low grades were

student population but are qualitatively a waste of time. According to one student "Anyone can make money through programming. People do it all the time. That doesn't mean that their programs are worthwhile, though."

SOAP, however, is not primarily a programming entity, but a social fraternity. Up until news of this article reached him, Carlos admitted this—was, in fact, proud of it. He now denies such allegations vehemently, and talks of numerous precautions he has taken to prevent the group from becoming a fraternity. And to dispel rumors that he's running a fraternity, SOAP's registration form is now coed. SOAP members, however, still subscribe to the fraternity theory.

'trying to hide something'

It is difficult to write about SOAP, difficult because Carlos wants it to be difficult. When he heard that this article was being produced a lot of things

continued on page 6



Barry Hyman

undeserved. The grades were raised and the student is in good standing.

Other cases the Student Advocate coordinates involve racism, sexism, ageism and discrimination that may occur in and out of the classroom. Hyman put it well by saying "I'm an advocate for students and I make my bias known."


If you seek help or more info about the Student Advocate Program, contact Barry Hyman at Student Organizations, Student Center, phone 452-4450.

Groundwork Attacked

On the night of March 17, Saturday St. Patrick's day, four rocks were thrown through four windows at Groundwork Books. The attack took place between 9:00 pm when the store closed and 11:45 pm when U.C. Police were called. Damage was limited to the windows.

The fact that Groundwork's windows were singled out, of all the windows in the Student Center, indicates that this was not a case of simple vandalism but a conscious act of harassment aimed at intimidating the work of the Groundwork Collective. If anyone was near the Student Center Saturday night and has information that is relevant to the attack, please contact either the **new indicator** (x2016) of Groundwork (x4242) (B-023 mail code).

We Protect Our Sources...



To clarify our position on anonymity and protection of sources:

Information often reaches us from sources in sensitive positions, whose careers would be endangered by exposure of their identities. There are people sympathetic to humanist and progressive causes in many offices on campus. Indeed, a journal engaged in critical analysis and investigative reporting thrives on such sources.

We have an unequivocal policy of not revealing our sources to anyone.

If we publish an article under a pseudonym it is because the author, like other sources, cannot afford publicity.

The same goes for letters. If you want your name withheld, it is **totally** withheld. It is not held on file for public inspection—that defeats the purpose. (Of course the exception is that we will not print slanderous or libelous material.)

This policy is especially necessary in academics, where politics are often a factor in tenure and graduate student career advancement.

LETTERS

Age of Faith??

Sirs:

Aquarius, hell. An Age of Faith is upon us. Oral and Billy are boffo on the tube; Jesus Christ Superstar is packing them in; Process and Krishna and Cayce and Nixon disciples ruck, crazed with religious fervor, into the yawning credibility gap. No sooner had God (b. 1,000,000 B.C.—d. 1952 A.D.) ceased to exist, than it became necessary to mass produce him.

Not a few of us have turned, as did the British in the twilight of their empire, to the mysterious East for spiritual consolation and colorful metaphysics. Surely, we tell ourselves, a people who have been dying of malnutrition and unspeakable diseases in stinking crowded hovels for centuries must have something to teach us about the meaning and value of Life. And yet, might not this universal whoring after strange gods and bizarre beliefs signal a return to the principles of wide-eyed superstition upon which this nation was founded?

Freedom of religion, the right to believe any cockamamie thing you like as long as you don't do anything offensive to the majority who believe in some other cockamamie thing, was written into the Philadelphia Creed by the Founding Fathers. And well might it have been. "We hold these truths to be self-evident," they intoned, and then took off on some leaps of faith that would have left a medieval monk caviling at the brink, whimpering about axioms and syllogisms.

But look at it this way. If you had fled the gothic horrors of feudal Europe's established churches, with their mad demands that you believe it possible to gobble

the new indicator is officially recognized as a campus newspaper by the Student Communications Board of UCSD. The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the CommBoard, the Chancellor, the Regents, or any other group or individual.

The new indicator subscribes to Liberation News Service (LNS) and is a member of the Alternative Press Syndicate (APS).

Articles and letters are welcomed. Please type them, double spaced, on a 55-space line and send to: new indicator collective, student organizations B-023, UCSD, La Jolla, CA. 92093. phone: 714-452-2016

collective contributors and workers: brian, dave, sam and dave, ron, rhonda, john, jon, charlie, monty, jorj, charles, fred, gerry, paul, mike, dodge, barry, fuzzy, jonathan, roger, kevin, joe, & vic. thanx a lot.

The new indicator meeting today will focus on discussion aimed at revitalizing the collective. Over this year, there has been a gradual degeneration of the collective process, which has hurt the newspaper. All members and friends of the collective are urged to attend.

weekly two-page calendar.

We are not averse to supplementing our funding with advertising—we have solicited ads all year. We do believe, however, that it would be impossible for the NI, Voz, or People's Voice to survive totally on ads. Ads just are not forthcoming in sufficient quantities to critical media.

Like any publication, we have developed standards for advertisements. Our policies are reasonable, arrived at through discussion. We will not take ads from sources which exploit their target audience or the producers of their products; we will not take ads which contribute to racist, sexist, ageist or classist attitudes or actions. Our standards are not absolute, dogmatic or rigid—they are open to debate.

We believe that much funding could be obtained from ads on campus. To this end, we have proposed, along with Voz, for some time, that a campus media ad pool be organized to help defray production costs. The idea is for the Media Board to fund a workstudy position for a student interested in ad procurement. Such an enterprise could provide a means for those interested in critical journalism to do investigative reporting, etc.

This proposal is the most sensible we've heard so far, but the Media Board has failed to fairly consider it. Without Media Board cooperation in exploring and instituting viable mechanisms such as this, any efforts to cut activity fee funding for media is censorship, plain and simple.

down to the same thing; Brian and Monty should be Catholic, but their great-grandfathers took King Billy's soup in Connaught, so they grew up with just the hereditary guilt and none of the ritual consolations.

So I have reason to believe that if you read the new indicator on the first Friday of every month for nine consecutive months, you will receive either one thousand days indulgence or papal permission to sexually molest the Protestant of your choice.

Best, Hugh G. Rection

response: This letter almost didn't make it into the paper for reasons immediately evident. A number of collective members were, and are, opposed to printing it. However, more collective members felt that it should go in, despite their disagreements with it. We, of course, do not support sexual molestation of anyone.

An Education Union?

The Graduate Student

by Paul Janosik and friends.

This column I'm going to pursue a tangent. My last two articles on Literature ended with resounding, but vague, calls to action. I'd like to consider concretely, that is in terms of strategy and tactics, what would be required to attempt to challenge the current budget cut recommendations, and therefore, "save our education."

To achieve the broad-based organization that could resist the Program Review Committee's recommendations the issue of cuts needs to be broadened and seen in terms of quality education in general. Not only do cuts detrimentally affect the quality of our education, but the denial of tenure to qualified faculty—Tolbert and Edelman in History, Real in Communications—also whittles away at our education. It is rumored that lobbying by the faculty has stopped proposed faculty position cuts, so common cause between faculty, graduate students and undergraduates, can be made around academic cuts, student service cuts, the tenure process and affirmative action in hiring. Any organization that took on this spectrum of issues, and that tried to make the necessary connections between these issues, could hope to attain the industrial unionization of people involved in education—that is, an education union of the highly skilled, the semi-skilled and the unskilled.

The nature of the budget cuts immediately restricts and advances any struggle we might attempt. On the one hand, it is restrictive because the current PRC's recommendations are based on expected cuts in Governor Brown's budget, which in turn, are responses to Prop. 13. Some two million dollars will not be available from the state for next year's UCSD budget, and so organized opposition to these recommendations must not be purely negative. We cannot simply say: "We don't care where the money comes from, we know you've got it, so don't cut Academic Affairs or Student Services." On the other hand, it advances our efforts at organizing because, in all probability, similar recommendations are being advanced in the various UC administrations across the state. Faculty, graduate students and undergraduates are being squeezed systemwide, so our base of action is potentially broad.

POSITIVE APPROACHES

This means that aggressive actions meant to influence the administration—such as petitions, demonstrations, work stoppages, walk-outs and strikes—are not sufficient to gain the changes we need. The administration can say: "Look, it's hard times for everybody. This is the real world and the university can no longer be spared the necessities of cutting its fat and tightening its belt. After all, the cuts in Academic Affairs and Student Services are in the low range of the spectrum of 15% plus or minus set up around their 3% fair share of the campus-wide cuts." What is needed are constructive measures that demonstrate our grasp of both the realities of the funding situation, and the need to preserve what quality remains in our present education.

The report prepared by the GSU the summer of '75 could be updated. Few of its conclusions would change, yet fresh documentation would be useful to demonstrate that, given UCSD's market investments and some of the really off-the-wall things it funds (Gerald Ford, for one), this University still has more resources that it could channel into academic and student areas; and that this University remains the most over administered campus in the UC system. These points might be used to argue that administration's "fair share" of the current cuts might be more like

9%, and to develop alternative recommendations for budget cuts. Care should be taken to direct these arguments and proposals against administration, as opposed to maintenance and provision, and against middle and upper management. (Sorry Tex, that means the pool and the jacuzzi have to go!)

Then, we might change the approach, or rather, the assumptions of graduate and undergraduate students toward affecting change. Our responses are overwhelmingly defensive—we react to cuts this year and to tenure denials the next. An offensive stance can be adopted. Even if we succeed in reprioritizing the budget cuts for next year in our favor, a doubtful proposition to begin with, we will be left with our education in its current, sad state. An organization that pushes not only to maintain the *status quo* funding, but to change our education for the better, that is, an organization that refuses not only to take two steps backwards but demands to take two steps forward, has a much better chance of staying in place, that is, of having the conservative aspects of its demands met. I would suggest demanding changes along the following lines; a continued assault on the administration's "fair share" of the budget coupled with proposals for departmental, collegiate and labor self-management; increasing the scope and importance of independent apprentice teaching and individual and group independent study for undergraduate and graduate students, and; demanding that the University serve the community, in particular the working people that pay for it and work for it, and not serve imperialism, as in South Africa.

What I'm suggesting then is a mass education union of graduate and undergraduate students, and sympathetic faculty, that fights against budget cuts and the current tenure process, fights for cuts in administration and affirmative action hiring, and offers ways of improving the quality of our education. Not immediately tied to AFSCME or any other formal union, this education union would nevertheless be able to coordinate, through a liaison group with the larger union, with student struggles on other UC campuses.

WHAT, LITERATURE AGAIN?!


Now I'd like to make a correction. Last column I stated that it seemed that Literature, as a department, was settling into a period where past conflicts, by and large, had been resolved. Wrong. The question as to who would chair the department was actually a struggle between progressive and Marxist faculty and conservative, vehemently anti-Marxist faculty. The latter won, giving some substance to the rumor I heard from one Literature graduate student that, instead of denying tenure to progressive and Marxist professors, the conservative, anti-Marxist professors, who now have the upper hand, simply hassle them departmentally until they leave seeking more congenial academic surroundings. An undergraduate friend of mine commented that this factionalism is hell on students, especially when the student happens to have an advisor in one faction and professors in the other faction.

APOLOGY TO WATERHOUSE

I owe John Waterhouse, head of Oasis, an apology. The report on the writing programs written by Dr. Wesling's Subject A Committee, with the help of Dr. Moffet from Berkeley, said that Revelle Humanities/Writing Program was the most effective in teaching the mechanics of writing (spelling, grammar, etc.). The program that most effectively integrated content and writing, theory and practice, was

Babylon-By-The-Cove

Charles A. Patterson



The Marines were here, recruiting, a few weeks back. Word has it they didn't do so well. They've been looking for those few good men for a long time. Anyway, what with all the Marine, Navy, Air Force, Police, CIA, etc. recruiting going on there, I won't be surprised if they make Career Planning a permanent military installation. Doubt if too many people would notice a change, either...

As you may have heard, AS Elections are coming up in a few weeks. And we're told they're going to be bringing in those fancy (though expensive) voting machines again. They used 'em last year, too. Funny thing, though; for the Daycare Referendum they went with hand tabulating. Wonder why?...

Interesting thing, looked in the new campus phone directory recently, and saw the Guard dog. Couldn't find the new indicator, however, until I looked under T. Ma Bell doesn't include us at all. Someone doesn't like us much...

Rumor has it that Michael Cole's selection as Coordinator of the Communications program wasn't quite as voluntary as people thought. We had heard rumors earlier that the course group selected him because none of their earlier selections could get approval, but Beryl Bellman, a sociology/comm. joint appointment, was recently heard stating that he knew Cole was coming, and was in fact a good friend of Cole's, well before the word got out—but was asked to, and did, keep it a secret. No word on who asked him to keep silent...

Got an interesting letter recently from the AS Bulletin stating that they are wasting portions of my Campus Activity Fees. Who am I to disagree? Although it's a situation that is very easily remedied, noone makes them print...

A Jettison from the enemies list: Bill Friedman, AS Commissioner of Communications, recently resigned, having graduated last quarter. Can't say I won't be glad to see him go; he wasn't such a bad guy but he didn't know the first thing about media... Also, we hear that another Council person just graduated, and we hear that Linda Sabo, Lobby Annex director (A fancy title with few responsibilities), who, rumor has it, will be running for External Affairs Commissioner is on concurrent enrollment. I'm not at all sure that makes her eligible to hold her current position,

Third College Composition, John Waterhouse's program, and although Oasis provides drop-in tutoring for writing that neglects content, Oasis also provides wholistic writing programs that attempt to synthesize teaching writing with teaching content. Denied tenure in his own department, and having served

in the Communications Program, John Waterhouse is dedicated to improving writing on this campus, and he has devoted immense and unrecognized time and effort, in excess of his required duties, to improving the campus writing programs.

WRITING UPDATE

Recently heads of a number of departments—in particular History, Literature and Philosophy—met and decided that the proposed post-cut situation for the writing programs is intolerable. They're going to write a letter and make some noise. Praise to the faculty, and let's hope they struggle against further budget cuts and the already existing TA work overload.

Next issue, I'll return to the departments, beginning with Sociology.

and I know that leaves her ineligible for elected office...

If you remember that Country Club the PE dept. was pushing two years back; it seems they're going to put it on the ballot for the upcoming AS elections. It's already been up for two votes (one initiated by opposed students) and has lost both times, despite hundreds of dollars spent by the department in violation of Elections Codes. We hear they did a lot of rewriting and are going to actually let students use the place this time (we are being asked to foot the bill, after all). People might take a long, hard look at this one...

Just in passing, I heard recently that a group of UCSD students were arrested recently for manufacturing drugs. These students were the creme of the crop, top students in their departments. One, Rich Lapping, is the Student Center Board Chair, and one of the "student leaders" who met with Ford a while back...

People may recall that they have health insurance, of sorts, provided through the university. A healthy chunk of your Reg Fees go for this insurance, and the benefits dwindle year by year. Anyway, some students decided to try and use the insurance to pay some bills that were supposedly covered. Some of them have been waiting to get the bills paid for months, and are being hounded by bill collectors. Yeah, you've got insurance, but try to use it some day...

We'll close on that cheery note... Next issue I'll print an expanded "enemies list." Meanwhile, keep those leaks and rumors coming in... Copies of secret documents, contracts, etc. are also welcomed...

the print co-op is a student-run printshop offering quality offset printing at low prices.

Located in the south wing of the Student Center.

Open Monday through Friday 10 am to 3 pm.



If you are a graduate student, Paul Janosik is interested in any information or rumors you might have of what's going down in your department or in the administration that adversely affects you and your fellow graduate students. Please contact Paul Janosik c/o the New Indicator.

ACCLAIMED FROM COAST TO COAST!

"A MASTERPIECE..."

WONDERFULLY ACTED, PHOTOGRAPHED WITH A LUSH PALETTE, DIRECTED WITH TOUCHES OF GENIUS."

-David Elliott, Chicago Daily News


"DAZZLING... A MARVELOUS FILM."

-Penelope Giliatt, New Yorker

"A REMARKABLE FILM... PLACES TOMAS G. ALEA IN THE FRONT RANKS OF THE WORLD'S FILMMAKERS."

-Kevin Thomas L.A. Times

GRAND PRIZE CHICAGO INTERNATIONAL FILM FESTIVAL



THE LAST SUPPER

A NEW MASTERWORK BY TOMAS G. ALEA, THE DIRECTOR OF 'MEMORIES OF UNDERDEVELOPMENT'

"A POWERFUL SATIRE... MAGNIFICENT COLOR PHOTOGRAPHY, SUBTLE SCORE AND RICH PERFORMANCES."

-Judy Stone, San Francisco Chronicle

"SUPERIOR... HAS DRAMATIC POWER, VISUAL GRANDEUR AND HISTORICAL INTELLIGENCE..."

-Tom Dowling, Washington Star

Thursday, April 12 7 pm
TLH 104

continued from page 1

started changing; SOAP's registration form has changed, SOAP no longer talks of parties but of "lecture series" and concerts, etc. Carlos wanted to know where our information was coming from, and he challenged it saying that SOAP has only four members (although he later stated that 27 people attend meetings). And he visited our office during layout on the last issue, checking our layout sheets, and screamed when he read Charles A. Patterson's column in which SOAP was mentioned.

Carlos, and a friend, spent over an hour in the ni office, preventing people from producing the paper, while he attacked the paragraph, threatened to sue the paper, etc. (We have been assured by counsel that he has no case.) He stated that Patterson had been taken in by Diane Annala's (University Events Director and acting Student Center Director) plot to destroy SOAP, and that the administration "had it in" for SOAP. (He stated that he was taking these charges before Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs Armitage who has, to date, failed to act upon them.) Carlos challenged the accuracy of our sources, and denied most of our data, much of which had previously been obtained through conversations with him.

In the course of the argument it became clear that there was one minor inaccuracy in the article (Patterson had originally stated that the money (almost \$500) SOAP received for working the polls was spent on a party for poll workers, while it appears that other

funds were used for the party) which was corrected. Later Charles Patterson stated that "Carlos seems to be trying to hide something."

Carlos runs for President

'running scared'

Patterson's column concluded with a series of questions; he wanted to know why Carlos, and SOAP, were trying so desperately to conceal their fraternity under the facade of a programming organization. The answer is rather simple—Carlos Montalvo is running for AS President. And he's running scared.

Montalvo is afraid that students won't support him if they know how into fraternities he is (and he still belongs to the one he joined while at Dartmouth). He's afraid that fraternities and sororities are something students want to avoid, like football, because of their deadening and dehumanizing aspects, and the artificial relationships they seek to impose upon people. And we think he's right.

And he's hiding more. He told our staffers that SOAP used personal funds to purchase alcohol, while University records show that alcohol purchases have been reimbursed out of ticket sales. Carlos also told us that the recent St. Patrick's Day Dance, and other parties, was put on by Too Hot To Handle, another registered student organization that has all the trappings of a social fraternity. University records, however, show that SOAP co-sponsored these parties, and put up the cash.

'Carlos on the warpath'

So Carlos is living in a glass house. But this doesn't keep him from throwing stones. He has been attacking University Events all year, stating that it's staff are

superfluous (we agree that the director, and almost all directors, for that matter, are unneeded), that it puts on elitist programming; he spews forth a variety of charges that shift with the wind.

And he's getting somewhere. A lot of people now state that students are dissatisfied with U.E.O. But when pressed for details, it always comes back to Carlos.

Aside from his frequent attacks against University Events, his waffling and inconsistency on the nature of SOAP, and a few other stances Carlos Montalvo is a nice enough guy. He's a liberal who happens to like fraternities and parties. However, it appears that he's also a politician in the worst sense of the word, and will say whatever, at the time, seems most convenient.

The information within this article was gathered by ni staffers through interviews and conversations with members of SOAP. SOAP pledges, Student Affairs administrators and employees, and students familiar with SOAP members. The author, Robert East, is a UCSD undergraduate majoring in Biology. In the next issue he intends to publish an analysis of the AS campaign, the AS candidates, and the notion of AS "student government."



Production Workers

Here is your chance to Produce! to be Creative! to help us publish

contact New Indicator office now Student Center UCSD 452-2016

Tenure, cont.

Groundworks, etc. and there has been little, when any, knowledge of AS plans. Apparently the AS didn't learn a thing from the dismal showing at their "Vending Machine Awareness Week" rally.

Second, rallies should not be scheduled for the second day of school when there has been no preparatory organizing/informational work done. If people don't know about an issue they're not going to get involved.

Anyway, you should all show up for the rally, and demand that the UC cease its financial support for the racist South African government.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:


April 16, 7:30 pm, Tom Hayden will discuss "Organizing Against Inflation." At Golden Hills Community Hall, 2220 Broadway. \$2 at the door.

San Diego Premier of the feature film Black River. A historical drama tracing the turbulent period of the Cuban revolution. Thursday, April 5th at 7:00 pm. Mandeville Auditorium. This will inaugurate the Spring Political Film Series.

new indicator collective meetings Tuesdays at 5:30 in the ni office.

The Progressive Coalition will meet Thursday at 12:00 to discuss the upcoming AS Elections, the Vending Machine Issue, Tenure, and other issues. In the Progressive Coalition office, Student Center 2nd floor (above the Food Co-op). All welcome.

"When a government puts people in jail for their political opinions, we do not ask the nationality of that government. We are always on the side of the victim of state tyranny."



Marie Louise Berneri (1918-1949), anti-fascist militant and anarchist propagandist.

POSTER #6

OpenRoad

Newsjournal available on request: Box 6135, Station G Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6R 4G5


APRIL

University



Events

MAY



Eddie Money

"Baby Hold On" & "Two Tickets to Paradise" with Sad Cafe

Saturday, April 7 Gymn 8:30p.m. UCSD St. \$6.50, G.A. \$7.50



Low Moan Spectacular's

BULLSHOT CRUMMOND

Thursday, April 12 Mandeville Aud. 8:00 pm Students \$3.00, General \$5.50



Jean Ritchie

"America's finest folksinger!" New York Times & Curt Bouterse

Friday, April 13 Mandeville Aud. 8:00 p.m. Students \$2.50, G.A. \$3.00



Borodin Quartet

Saturday, April 14 Mandeville Aud. 8:00 pm \$7.50 general, \$2.50 UCSD students

***** ticket info:

UCSD Box Office 452-4559



Gus Giordano Jazz Dance Company Thursday, April 19 Mandeville Aud. 8:00 pm Students \$3.00, General \$5.00

Cousteau Society's

Oasis in Space

films: Thursday, May 3, 10, 17 USB 2722 at 8:00 pm 75c each night lecture: May 24, 8:00 pm Bill McDonald \$1.50 Mandeville Auditorium entire series \$3.00

James Mapes, hypnotist

Wednesday, May 9 Revelle Caf. 8:30 p.m. \$2.00 all

An Evening with...

California's Columnists

panel discussion with Herb Caen, Jack Smith and Neil Morgan.

Friday, May 25 Mandeville Aud. 7:30 p.m. Students \$2.50, General \$5.00

Long Stories In Short

Cop Hits Kid

Bryan Powell, 7, stepped off the curb directly into the path of a car driven by an off-duty Springfield, Ill. cop. But that wasn't all the youngster was hit with.

Suffering from cuts and bruises, Bryan was taken to a local hospital where an investigating cop promptly hit him with a jaywalking ticket. Patrolman John Wilson told the 7-year-old to sign the ticket, or if he couldn't sign his name, to mark an "X."

Wilson was obviously trying to protect the driver, a fellow cop, from a damage suit by showing that Bryan was at fault for not crossing at a crosswalk. The incident took place last October and now Bryan's parents are filing a \$920,000 civil suit. (The jaywalking ticket has already been overturned since state law bars prosecuting anyone under 13.)

The suit against the city and patrolman Wilson charges that the cop took the highly unusual step of issuing the ticket to Brian "to protect a fellow officer from civil liability."

Said Ronald Powell, Bryan's father: "Whoever heard of ticketing a 7-year-old kid?"

from *The Guardian*

Strike Update

Two months after the beginning of the lettuce strike in the desert valley east of San Diego, the United Farm Workers Union is expanding the strike.

Many of the workers who usually head north looking for Spring work will now be heading north with the strike, despite economic hardships.

Meanwhile, the union is trying to increase economic pressure on the lettuce growers in any way possible. Thus the UFW has caused a union boycott of Chiquita bananas. The United Brands conglomerate owns both Sun Harves, one of the struck companies, and Chiquita.

In many fields the lettuce is rotting in the fields as owners try to starve the strikers out. This, however, is the first major strike that the UFW has waged primarily to improve wages and benefits, and all indications are that the union is going to stick it out.

from *The Guardian*

US Seeks Russia Recovery

The Pentagon, anticipating a 50% increase in its strategic weapons stockpile over the next decade, is paying for some long range research to determine how it can wage nuclear war on the Soviet Union without bombing it into the stone age, the *Washington Post* reports.

One target option would be to destroy the regional areas that support the present Soviet government, and thus "unleash forces of separatism."

Another would "eliminate the U.S.S.R. as a functioning national entity" while leaving its economy essentially intact.

Another would "paralyze, disrupt or dismember" the Russian government by wiping out the ruling group.

Still other studies examine the possibilities of knocking out just the electric-power component of Soviet industrial technology.

Why mess around with half-measures when the United States has what it takes to finish them off for good? A spokesman for the Analytical

Racism, 1970s Style

Dwight Slaughter majored in criminology during his four years at California State University, Los Angeles (CSLA). But he took no criminology courses. He played on the university basketball team instead.

He is now one of eight black athletes now suing CSLA, charging that the University practiced "fraud and deceit" in the administration of the school's student loan and scholarship programs. These athletes, some of whom are functionally illiterate, were admitted to CSLA under the school's Minority Admission's Program.

They were admitted through this program because they could not otherwise qualify for admission, and the school wanted them to participate in

athletics. However, their admission denied other underprivileged students a chance at getting an education.

The athletes now suing CSLA took such challenging courses as beginning baseball and backpacking. Of course, at the end of their four years they were nowhere near graduation. And in addition, after having been recruited under promises of full scholarships, they have now discovered that part of those funds were loans, which they're expected to repay.

Minorities lose out both ways. The athletes didn't get their promised educations, and other students, whose place they took, could not reach the college doors.

from *The Progressive*



SAY NO TO THE DRAFT



Assessment Corporation of Marina Del Rey, California, which holds one of the research contracts, explains why:

"If we plan to win a nuclear exchange we would want them to be able to recover quickly" because the responsibility for getting the Russian people back on their feet would be a burden on the US economy.

from *The Progressive*

Freedom Takes Another Blow

"Freedom of the press" took another beating recently, as U.S. District Court Judge Robert Warren granted a government request to ban publication of an article on H-bombs. The article, written by anti-nuke activist Howard Morlan, was scheduled to have been printed in *The Progressive*, a left-liberal monthly.

The article describes the production process of H-bombs, and had been submitted to the Department of Energy for verification of some of the data. DOE attempted to convince the magazine to suppress the story, but *The Progressive* refused, stating that the public needs details on the Hibomb in order to debate nuclear policy.

The magazine's lawyers are challenging the decision based upon the Supreme Court's decision in 1971 that prior restraint of publications is unconstitutional. (That case, the Pentagon papers, was the only previous time a U.S. court had been asked to grant prior restraint based solely on the grounds of national security.)

Stick 'em Up!

What with The high cost of living these days, it seems that more and more residents of the Big Apple are knocking over banks to make ends meet. In 1978, there were 628 bank stick-ups, and New York City's police expect that there will be even more in 1979.

A lieutenant in the city's Major Case Squad says that while there are more and more "junkies and low-life" involved in bank jobs, there is also a wider range of people—from school kids to elderly women on social security—taking hold-ups.

The average take for most robbers is a few thousand, and if your hold-up is carried out without the use of a weapon you no longer have to worry about having the FBI on your trail. Federal authorities are throwing up their hands; the bureau will no longer investigate bank robberies in Manhattan and the Bronx that involve only a written or oral demand for money.

—from *Dollars and Sense*

Too Many Enlistees

The military has been making loud noises recently about its failure to meet recruitment quotas for this year. In fact, they've been calling for the reinstatement of the draft, or at least compulsory registration, as a solution.

Interestingly enough, in 1978 they finished up the year with two thousand more people in uniform than they were budgeted for. Apparently fewer people left the military than anticipated, no doubt as a result of the dearth of jobs in the nation, so the lack of recruiting success was more than offset.

So all this hoopla about insufficient people to fight big business's wars is just that. They've got the people, at least they've got what they were budgeted for.

