An Oral History of

ARTHUR WAGNER and STANLEY CHODOROW

On September 15, 1999

- 1 **CHODOROW:** This is a part of series of interviews with founding chairs of departments. The
- 2 long-term purpose is to write an intellectual history of UCSD that looks at the way in which the
- departments and the institution as a whole are formed; what its purposes were from the
- 4 intellectual point of view; how it fit into both the disciplines and American education in the sixties
- 5 and early seventies. The period we are concentrating on is basically from the founding—1959-
- 6 60—through about 1975. Once departments have achieved their corporate status and their
- 7 political status as a unit, they enter really a second phase. We're interested in the first phase,
- 8 under the leadership of the first chair and those who immediately followed him or her—in this
- 9 case, I believe it's always him—the way in which they approach and the founding of the
- department; the way in which early recruitments, both failures and successes, affected the
- original vision; and, in their view, what happened. And, of course, in many cases that's not
- 12 merely what happened internally in the department, but in the relationships between the
- department and other departments and other agencies. In your case, of course, the La Jolla
- Playhouse. But that's how we are approaching it, so why don't you start by talking about what
- you were doing before you got here, and how you got here, and who brought you here, and
- 16 what they told you.
- 17 **WAGNER:** Good. Well, it's an interesting story, because I came here immediately from
- 18 Temple University where I was head of the professional actor training program, which I had
- been doing previously at Tulane and Ohio University and then at Temple University. A little
- 20 sidelight of it though. When I was leaving Tulane University—which is an interesting academic
- 21 story—because the whole department resigned. I arrived at Tulane in 1965, and almost
- immediately the department decided they were going to resign in a conflict with the
- 23 administration. At first, I thought it was because I arrived, but it wasn't— [laughter]
- 24 **CHODOROW:** Who was the president then?
- 25 **WAGNER:** [Herbert] Longenecker! He was a fats and oil man; we use to call him—he was a
- 26 biologist. Tulane at the time would have been ranked in the top five of any drama departments,
- 27 mainly because of the *Tulane Drama Review*, which is now the drama review, and continues; it

was the most prestigious journal of avant-garde theater, perhaps, in the world. So in any case, we were all resigning—all the Ph.Ds.—there were seven Ph.Ds. in the program. And when the word got out that the Tulane department was up for grabs, you could have run a travel agency out of the office. So, we were all out doing all kinds of interviews. Dick [Richard] Schechner, who was the editor of the *Tulane Drama Review*, was a colleague and a friend, and he was brought one December weekend to San Diego where he met with Peterson [?], John Stewart, who were trying to form this rejuvenation of the La Jolla Playhouse. And they had recently hired—and I'm going block on his name—a great British director, who at that time was at the Stratford Theatre in Canada, to head up this theater. And they wanted Richard to come to start an institute—a theater institute connected with the theater, and a magazine, et cetera, et cetera.

CHODOROW: This is in 1965?

- WAGNER: 1965. So they went out on a yacht and Richard asked was there going to be any kind of training programs involved in it? And they said yes, that they were going to have an actor training program—not at the university but connected to the theater. Because although the head of the theater was also going to be a chairman of a department, the department was going to be purely undergraduate liberal arts department. And then all real theater activity—professional theater activity—was going to go on in the theater. So, yes, they were going to have a training program, and he said, "Well, what you should do, you should contact my colleague, Arthur Wagner." So sure enough, a few months later, I get a call from John Stewart. Could I fly up to Stratford to meet with—his name will come to me [laughs]. So I did—in the middle of winter—I remember driving along these icy streets. And I spent a whole day with this unnamed director talking about this possibility. And it was very exciting.
- Well, to make a very long story short, of course, that never happened. And the reason it didn't happen was because Peterson [?], the director—John Stewart—had gone out and hired an architect by the name of Shapiro [?] from Chicago. He was a very famous international architect who designed the theater in total secrecy in Chicago—spent a lot of money. When the theater was finally exposed and priced out, the Playhouse and the university had \$3 million to build the theater. It priced out at \$10 million. So it never happened. And so, although this director was on contract for three years, nominally the chairman of a non-existent department, and the director of a non-existent theater, he would spend three or four weekends here a year. I think he was collecting \$40,000 a year at that time for that—that was a really scandalous kind of thing—and

- eventually he went to the Guthrie [Theater] as their artistic director—Michael Langham, okay.
- So fill in Michael Langham back on all those— [laughs]
- 61 **CHODOROW:** Well-known name.
- 62 **WAGNER:** Right. So he must have left—his contract must have run out in 1969. Whereupon,
- they began seriously searching for someone to start a drama program—the Department of
- 64 Drama. And so in 1971—
- 65 **CHODOROW:** By this time, you had gone to Temple.
- 66 **WAGNER:** Now I'm at Temple. Because I had gone from Tulane to Ohio—only stayed there
- two years—and went to Temple to start another acting program. So in 1971, I get a call from a
- 68 very dear friend, Monroe Lippman, who had been the chairman of the Tulane drama
- 69 department—chairman for thirty-one years; a real icon of academic theater in this country—who
- 70 had resigned along with us. He didn't have to do that. He could have just retired or whatever. He
- 71 lived in New Orleans for thirty-one years, but he was feisty guy. And so he resigns, and he goes
- to NYU and starts the drama department there, which still continues; hates New York; and takes
- 73 a job at [UC] Riverside. So he's chairman of the department at Riverside. Well, he's
- 74 approaching sort of mandatory retirement age—remember, it used to be sixty-seven, I think
- 75 back then—something like that.
- 76 CHODOROW: Right. Sixty-seven and then—
- 77 **WAGNER:** It then it went to seventy, yeah. And so, he called and asked if I would be
- 78 interested in applying for the chairmanship at Riverside. Well, we had been waiting all these
- 79 years. I took Molly out of California, you know, and all we were waiting was to get back to
- 80 California! Sure, I say to Monroe. So, he proceeds with that. A few weeks later, I get a call
- 81 from—I think it was Eric Christmas who called me—it could have been Floyd. It's interesting
- 82 because, you know, Floyd had been a colleague of mine at Ohio University—that's where we
- 83 met. And Floyd—
- 84 **CHODOROW:** This is Floyd Gaffney?
- 85 **WAGNER:** Yeah, Floyd Gaffney. See, what happened—if I have to back just a little bit; and
- this all part of the founding of the department, there's no question about it—there was going to
- 87 be a company of actors, and the teaching was going to be done by that company of actors.

- Okay? And so early on, while there was a non-existent department and a non-existent theater,
- 89 Michael brought Eric Christmas, who had been a member of his company for fourteen years at
- 90 Stratford, but who had done some teaching—who had done some college teaching. He used to
- 91 go out in the off-season, and he would teach. And I knew Eric because he once came to Rollins
- College, where I was chair for nine years before I went to Tulane, to talk about the possibility of
- 93 him coming to Rollins; and so we had an acquaintance. Now they call and ask if I would be
- 94 interested in applying for the chairmanship of a non-existent drama department at UCSD. So, of
- 95 course, I said yes. So my interview was coordinated—it had to be coordinated—there were two
- 96 competing UC campuses. Fascinating. So I flew out—
- 97 **CHODOROW:** More control about the competition for faculty between campuses than there
- 98 has been recently.
- 99 **WAGNER:** Unfortunately for me. [laughs] I probably could have done better if it hadn't been.
- So it was interesting—I had this enormous pull towards Monroe; I mean, we were very intimate
- friends. And it was an established department at Riverside—just needed a kick in the pants. But
- then I came to San Diego, and, you know, here is this potentially developing thing in a much
- more urban situation than Riverside was. And I was offered the chairmanship of both
- departments. And they had the same salary, all of that sort of stuff, had to be the same so that
- there was no competition relative to that. And, in a sense, that made it easier for me as well.
- And the decision was not a difficult one to make—outside of Monroe—you know, that personal
- kind of thing. So there was a committee headed by John Stewart, which was the search
- 108 committee, and they were really interesting, because they didn't know, really, what they were
- looking for. I'm not even exactly sure how they came to me—I've never found out whether it was
- 110 Floyd, whether it was Eric, whether it was somebody else out there in the world. Because I don't
- think they really knew what they wanted. The music department and the visual arts department
- were clearly established on very avant-garde principles. And John Stewart was much more
- knowledgeable in those than he was in theater, especially music. My whole career had been, up
- to that point, professional training in academia; establishing MFA programs at Tulane, at Ohio,
- and Temple University. This was something new in academia. Prior to that, there were only
- three professional training programs—prior to the sixties, there were three professional training
- programs. The first one will surprise you. 1914, Carnegie Institute of Technology had the first
- 118 professional training program—way back in 1914. It's the oldest drama department in the
- country, and, of course, still goes on. That was an undergraduate program. Then in 1926,
- George Pierce Baker is brought from Harvard University, where he had taught a very famous

playwriting course—including students like Eugene O'Neill—he was brought to Yale to start the Yale School of Drama, because Harvard wouldn't have anything officially theater in the curriculum. So that's how you get the Yale School of Drama in 1926, and there isn't another department of that sort or school of that sort until after the Second War World when Boston University began one. But then in the sixties, with the explosion of the regional theater in this country, the need for trained actors, for the first time, became necessary. Before that, most of us actors, as myself, we trained in New York with an acting teacher, because all that we had to do was psychological realism. And so therefore, you didn't need too much voice training and too much movement training and too much speech training.

CHODOROW: This is the Stanislavski—?

WAGNER: Yeah, the whole Group Theater. I mean, that was at the base of all of the training that we did. You studied with a teacher, which is what I did. But now, they required actors who could do Shakespeare, [Jean] Racine, the Greeks, and whatever. So we had an explosion of MFA programs. And that's why Tulane decided to establish one and I went there. So in choosing to look at me as a potential candidate, in a sense, that's what they were buying. They were buying professional training programs, including acting, you know, as a discipline. So I did my interview here, and then, of course, I went over to Riverside and did the interview there, and it was perfectly clear that this is where I wanted to come. And they were very thorough. They sent one of the members of the committee; they flew him to Philadelphia to see a production of mine, to evaluate that work. Other people came through, we talked, and finally I was offered the position as chair of a not yet existing drama department. There would only be Eric and Floyd on the faculty, both of whom were non-tenured—Eric as a lecturer, and Floyd as an acting associate professor—which was very wise of them to do. Of course, they didn't know who was going to be chair, and they didn't want to tie anybody down with already tenured faculty.

Eventually, I accepted the terms of my appointment; and once I did that, then I received this letter from John Stewart, which had some really interesting tidbits in it. For one thing, he said that he didn't think that I would ever have a course that I could call acting; that certainly not something like makeup. That the department might grow to as many as seven faculty. That Eric Christmas should teach only small classes. The Eric thing, of course, is the funniest of all because Eric became our bread and butter. He taught Introduction to Theater to 250 to 400 students, and it was ten performances that he gave. You know, the most popular faculty member in terms of that. So anyway, that's sort of interesting—the kinds of limits that were

suggested in terms of the department. Then, once the appointment was made, John asked me to come out for about ten days in May—my appointment was not to begin until July—mainly to meet with the Theater and Arts Foundation, which was the holders of the La Jolla Playhouse traditions and potential money. So that was great. We came out; we could look for a house; Molli came with me; we stayed at the Mandeville Suite, which is the greatest. And I met with Chip—I have a block on Chip's name, but it will come out. He was at the time the chair of the Theater and Arts Foundation, which was a group of local citizens that, as I say, kept this idea going. No theater knowledge in that board at all—none whatsoever. And so we talked about what the possibilities were. They had a mandate from the attorney general of the state of California that, if and when they were to start any kind of theater, it had to be with the University of California. And it had to have some kind of children's component in it because the funds came from a children's charity fund—that was a little shady. I forget the name of the guy who was running it at the time—but if the funds were going to come, that's where they were going to come from. The problem was the funds were tied up mainly in land. What is now Fairbanks Ranch was called Zorro, or something like that, because it was owned by Fairbanks, who played Zorro. And land values were way down, so there was not a large amount of monies that would be available.

But we talked about how would proceed together in terms of the resurgence of the La Jolla Playhouse and potential relationships with the department. So that was that. And then I arrived in July, and my first responsibility was—well, I guess I had done that in May—was to establish some kind of curriculum. Coming into the University of California system out of the kinds of academic institutions that I had been at was really very difficult. My first chairmanship was at Rollins College, in which the college was literally owned by the president because he was married to the wealthiest woman in southern Florida. And she wrote the check at the end of the year to cover the deficit, which was why he was appointed. He was an interesting guy. He was an artist. I mean, he taught art history and things like that. Great collection of Tiffany glass. But what I did there, when I needed anything—I hardly ever saw the dean. I only saw the dean socially, right into Hugh's [?] office, for anything. Not only for things that I needed for the department, but things that I needed for myself, like a promotion. That's the way it happened. I'd walk into the office. After I got my Ph.D., I said, "Oh, by the way, Hugh [?]"—as we were discussing something else—I said, "You know, I'm still an assistant professor." He said, "You're an assistant professor?" So the next day, I was an associate professor. So that's a little bit—

153

154

155

156

157

158159

160161

162

163164

165166

167

168169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181 182

183

- that's a different part of academia. There are those places out there. Yeah, I went from—I came
- as an instructor, which was a rank that we hardly have now, to full professor in eight years.
- 187 **CHODOROW:** At Rollins?
- 188 **WAGNER:** Yeah. It was very important for me because I started late. I was thirty-three when
- 189 I started in my academic career, so it was just a wonderful thing. And then from Rollins to
- Tulane, which was a more traditional kind of thing, but still nowhere near what was the situation
- here in terms of appointments and promotions and all those steps—career steps. None of these
- 192 places had that. You got into a rank and then maybe you got merit raises. Or you were
- promoted to the next rank. But there was not all of that complicated thing, so it's a pretty difficult
- thing to deal with in the beginning. So when I arrived, the faculty is Eric, Floyd, and I hired a
- designer. So we were four faculty members. At the beginning, we were housed—
- 196 **CHODOROW:** Who was the designer?
- 197 **WAGNER:** Dan—I'm having a terrible time today with these names, but they will all come to
- me. Unfortunately, deceased, but a wonderful guy. He stayed with us for five years. Dan
- 199 Dryden.
- 200 **CHODOROW:** Dan Dryden?
- 201 **WAGNER:** And eventually his wife, Debbie Dryden, who served in the department for many
- 202 years as our costume designer. A few years back, she decided to go to Ashland to be their
- 203 resident costume designer. So anyhow, it was Dan.
- 204 **CHODOROW:** Dan had died?
- 205 **WAGNER:** AIDS—about, I would guess, six or seven years ago. Yep, sad. So we were the
- four faculty members to begin with, I being the only tenured faculty member. And a beginning
- curriculum. And in the beginning, the curriculum was modest. We all taught undergraduate
- classes—that's all there were—were undergraduate classes. But I knew where I wanted to go,
- and that was to establish professional training programs at the graduate level. You have to have
- a graduate program at UC, or forget it, you know. So my first hire, which was very important,
- 211 was an old friend—Mike [Michael] Addison—who at that time was dean of the theater division at
- 212 Cal Arts. And what had happened was Michael, who had been at UC Santa Barbara for a
- 213 number of years and became chair of that department, was recruited to be the dean at Cal Arts

- in the same year that I'm recruited to be chair here. And so, Michael's wife's family had a home
- on the beach in Ventura, and we were invited one weekend to go down and spend the weekend
- 216 there. And in one of our conversations, Michael and I agreed that either one of us had any
- 217 difficulty where we were going, we would hire the other. So I'm three months into my job here,
- and I get a call from Michael. [laughs]He says, "I'm calling in my bet—my I.O.U." [laughter] He
- 219 ran into terrible things at Cal Arts. They never had any money or anything like that, so—
- Well fine, Michael is a wonderful selection. We were looking for somebody who eventually
- would teach directing, but also we needed somebody in literature and stuff, you know. Mike was
- a Ph.D., which we didn't have aside from in the department, so he brought all of those wonderful
- things and a knowledge of the system. So Michael came on board in 1973. And he and I
- immediately set out to develop a graduate program. And what we devised was an MFA program
- in acting, directing, playwriting, and dramaturgy. Dramaturgy being a relatively new thing, but
- beginning to become important in American theater because of all those regional theaters who
- were looking for, you know, really directors is what they are—advisors, etc. And so we thought
- that there was going to be a real need for this. And we set it up in such a way that it had a real
- intellectual component to it. I was very interested in the work of Eric Berne, who was a
- 230 psychiatrist who developed a theory of human psychology called transactional analysis. And I
- 231 had come across this at Tulane. And I saw its uses in terms of actors' use for character
- analysis—not for therapy, but for character analysis. And I had used it at Tulane, and I used at
- 233 Ohio, and I used at Temple—it really worked. And the actors liked it. They felt much more
- 234 confident about—
- 235 **CHODOROW:** What's this general approach?
- 236 **WAGNER:** This general approach is basically relative to transactions, which is a stimulus
- and a response.
- 238 **CHODOROW:** And what is this character going to do in certain circumstances?
- 239 **WAGNER:** Exactly. Why the character does what the character does in certain
- 240 circumstances—that's the given.
- 241 **CHODOROW:** You have a description of what the character just did or is about to do.
- 242 **WAGNER:** Yeah, it's in the play.

243 **CHODOROW:** The question for the actor is—

244 WAGNER: "Why am I doing this?"

245

246

247

248249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

CHODOROW: That's right. Why wouldn't that character do that in that circumstance?

Exactly. And of being of Stanislavski background myself—which is what we all WAGNER: studied in New York—we always talked not in terms of "what am I feeling," we always talked in terms of "what am I doing and why am I doing it?" In other words, why do I—which we call the action and the objective. And that was fine, but still you sort of have to go deeper than that. You can see what you're doing, you know, I'm greeting you—that's perfectly clear—but what are the dynamics of that? Well, we always use sort of vaguely Freudian dynamic, but, you know, Freudian dynamic is very ambiguous— effervescent. But here was this guy who was writing about everyday kinds of things. And he broke everything down into categories, which was nice, because the categories helped to focus you in; whereas, if you don't have that, then you're always worried that you missed something. But this didn't allow you to miss anything as long as you covered these categories. So the categories were—what were the ego state that you were in? There were three ego states—the parent, the adult, and the child. And, what is the nature of the transaction? Transactions are time structures because that's why transact, to help us to pass time. And there only six ways in which we transact, one of them not being a transaction withdrawal—which is obviously what we are involved in. Just our inner transactions. But the other five are with others.

And so my actors could add to their score. Where they always did an action and an objective, now they could add—what was the ego state? What is the nature of the transaction? Is it the past time? Is it a racket? What is the nature of the racket? What is your role? Is it persecutor, rescuer, or victim? So all of these things, you see, to fill out. So if you run across one of my actors, they do a lot of homework because they something to do homework with. So many actors don't have the wherewithal. Look, really gifted actors probably don't need it, but it's helpful to all depending upon where they are. So I already had this kind of what I call social science bent. And so we built into the program this social science component, which they were going to take classes in anthropology, sociology—and it was a wonderful idea. It's just that, in practice, it became impossible. There wasn't enough time. I mean, actor training requires, you know, now our students in the acting program start their studio work at nine o'clock and they finish their rehearsals at eleven o'clock that night. And they're either in studio or rehearsing all

- that time. So even if they had time to go to class, they couldn't do the work. But we tried it. The first few classes tried to do it. But I think it was helpful in us establishing a program, because the university was very reluctant at that time to begin programs like that. They would say, "Well, it's just like such and such...", you know, we already have a program like that. And so we devised something that had a uniqueness. And that was very helpful, you know. Michael was very clever about that. So we presented this to all of the committees that keep going up, right? Finally goes through the campus, and finally goes out to the whole university's committees and everything, and we get the go-ahead from the chancellor's office to begin recruiting. So that was in the—I think it was in the spring of that second year.
- **CHODOROW**: '73-'74?
- WAGNER: 1973, right. But now we need some other kind of faculty. We need—first of all, we need a dramaturge faculty. Secondly, we're going to need voice and speech teachers. So those were the days when I served on the program review committee. And I think I'm still notorious with that—in fact, I think you mentioned it in my retirement party—for my ability to bring on tears. [laughs]
- **CHODOROW:** That's right. Tears on call.
- **WAGNER:** One year, I got four FTEs.

- **CHODOROW:** There were awards given. It was a Wagner Award.
 - **WAGNER:** Four FTEs. Anytime an FTE, I'd raise my hand. Okay, so now I get one more FTE, and that's when we recruit and hire Frantisek [Deak], who is now the dean. I mean, it's mind-boggling. And we had to Frantisek out of New York. He was working at NYU. Now Frantisek, you must understand, is a Czechoslovakian refugee who comes to this country with no English, and in two years gets a Ph.D. from Carnegie. And he's doing work at the *Drama Review*, so we have mutual friends and everything. And to this day, I think Frantisek came for one year, just to sort of see what it was like. New York was the place to be. So now we're into, let's see, 1973-74—we go out recruiting. I must have had two FTEs that year—we did because I recruit a movement teacher Yen Lu Wong [?], who was a movement teacher. [*inaudible*] we recruited, and we chose eight actors, two directors, two playwrights, and two dramaturges. And we went to New York and Chicago. We didn't have a lot of applicants—we were just starting out.

- 304 **CHODOROW:** And this was where there were the pooled auditions?
- 305 **WAGNER:** Not yet. That comes much later. No, we're on our own; we'd just go out on our
- own. So in February, we accept this class. In March, [William D.] McElroy gets a letter from the
- president's office saying uh, uh—you can't do that MFA program. Yeah, in March, Roy [Harvey]
- Pearce was dean of graduate studies. So they present this to me, and here we have a—
- 309 CHODOROW: Students in—
- 310 **WAGNER:** Students coming, you know, that we have accepted who gave up—very likely—
- 311 positions in other programs and things. Well—
- 312 **CHODOROW:** This is the because the graduate counsel ultimately turned you down?
- 313 **WAGNER:** You know, I think it was even beyond that. I don't know what it was—
- 314 **CHODOROW:** Do you think it was the president's office that did it?
- 315 **WAGNER**: Oh, it was definitely. It was what's his name—
- 316 CHODOROW: David Saxon?
- 317 **WAGNER:** No, it wasn't David Saxon. It was the vice-president for Academic Affairs at that
- time who said that the program was too repetitive of other programs; that nothing would come of
- it; that we wouldn't really be able to achieve anything with it; and, therefore, we could not do it.
- Well, fortunately, McElroy was very, very supportive. And so, I was asked to write a response,
- which is what I did, and it was presented. And it's my understanding—although I have no actual
- 322 proof of it—but it was told to me that McElroy went to the mat over this. Now, what does "going
- 323 to the mat" mean? I don't know whether it means, saying, "Look, this doesn't happen. I'm
- resigning." But it was something that was pretty potent because that letter, which I have copies
- of, which I keep, and my response was very final. I mean, it was not an open kind of thing. So
- they reversed themselves, and that's how the graduate program started.
- 327 **CHODOROW:** It's a program that became far and away the most distinguished program in
- 328 the UC system.
- 329 **WAGNER:** Oh, by far. You see the—

- 330 **CHODOROW:** No competitors.
- 331 **WAGNER:** None. Not even UCLA, where you would think it would be. If you look at the *U.S.*
- 332 News and World Report, the department is now third in MFA programs in the country behind
- 333 Yale and NYU. We're by far the youngest department. We're the furthest away from the center.
- And we have an incredibly distinguished faculty that gets more distinguished by the day. So
- it's—yeah it's very, very special. But you know, around here, you have to overachieve or you're
- 336 not going to survive. I recognized early on that numbers were a very important game, and that's
- 337 how I got Eric to do that Introduction to Theater course—something he had never done—would
- 338 never conceive of doing. And so we got our numbers way up there, and that's why we continue
- to get the faculty. And so that by the time I finished my chairmanship in 1997—'77—my five-year
- term—we were eleven faculty. So we were four over John Stewart's prediction that we would
- only be seven. So am in the direction you're wanting to go in?
- 342 **CHODOROW:** Yeah. Tell me something—you did eventually add—and you had already
- added by the time, I think, you stepped in—design.
- 344 **WAGNER:** Yes. No, it happened after stepped— We did not offer design because we did not
- have the facilities for it. So design did not come into the program until the eighties—the early
- 346 eighties—the MFA, yes.
- 347 **CHODOROW:** Is that true? That's when Israel [?] came?
- 348 **WAGNER:** No, Bob came before that. He was an interesting recruitment.
- 349 **CHODOROW:** This is Bob Israel—Robert Israel?
- 350 **WAGNER:** Yeah, I mean, an internationally distinguished designer. Wonderful guy, too. So I
- would guess that Bob came in about— Well, I was the first one to begin recruiting him, so I was
- still on board. So that could have been in 1976-77, because he didn't come the first year, he
- came after that. So he probably came either in 1978 or 1979, is when he arrived. And that was
- on the basis of doing a design program because, by then, the theater had been approved and it
- 355 was going to happen. So I guess we should go back to the theater because that's a big part of
- 356 the history.
- 357 **CHODOROW:** Well, one of the things that's interesting was the story of the building of the
- 358 theater over in Matthews [Quad].

- 359 **WAGNER:** Oh, you mean the original Warren Theater?
- 360 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, the little—
- 361 **WAGNER:** Oh, yes. Well, that was—
- 362 **CHODOROW:** That was for a long time your only—
- 363 **WAGNER:** Right. That was Eric's doing. I mean, he knew how to work on the cheap, which
- is what he had to do. I forget what that building was, but it was some kind of a utility building,
- and next to it there was like a little house. Because I remember my first office was in there, and
- there was like a fountain outside the window and a garden.
- 367 **CHODOROW:** Yes, that's right. A little square.
- 368 **WAGNER:** Right. And it was right next door to the Warren. So Eric talked them into giving
- him the Warren and enclosing it, or whatever it needed, in some way, and then he used student
- 370 help to build the seats, which he built in the shape of Stratford. And that's how we ended up with
- an open stage. And so when Eric picked me up at the airport for my interview, the first place he
- took me was to the Warren. He was so proud of that, you know. And yes, that was it—that's
- where we produced until the [Mandell] Weiss [Theater] opened—and that wasn't until 1982.
- 374 **CHODOROW:** What about the Mandeville [Auditorium] Did you ever get to use the
- 375 Mandeville?
- 376 **WAGNER:** Yes, but not much. The Mandeville was a disaster. When I came to that ten days,
- one of the things John Stewart showed me was the plans for the Mandeville, especially for that
- performance space, and I told him then that it was a disaster. I mean, they had no way of
- getting anything large into that building. And although it was interesting idea—you know, you
- could drop that center thing and play on both sides—those kinds of things vary. That flexible
- theater idea, especially for what they were going to use it for. Have you ever seen it used? They
- used it, and they did it when they opened the theater—when they opened it in 1975.
- 383 **CHODOROW:** The famous opening.
- 384 **WAGNER:** Oh, that disastrous opening. So that, to me, was clear that— There was a small
- room, like a little black box, that we used—not a great deal—I did a lesson from [inaudible] in
- 386 there, and so every now and then—

- 387 **CHODOROW:** I saw you did Krapp's Last Tape in there.
- 388 **WAGNER:** That's right, Krapp's was in the Mandeville. I'd forgotten that. Yes, we did Krapp's
- 389 Last Tape in there, so we did a few things. It was a nice little black box—I mean, that was fine—
- we would have used it more, but it was not always available. So the only facility that we had was
- the Warren—until 1982. So in any case, from the beginning, I am working with the Theater and
- 392 Arts Foundation. And the problem, of course, is money—how are they going to get the money;
- 393 how much is it going to be?
- Well, finally, what happened is that land all of a sudden got value. We never really found out
- 395 how much, although I have my suspicions about how much it was. But what they said was that
- they had \$3 million, finally, and so that now we could begin to plan a theater. And it was so
- interesting, you know, mainly because, as a I said, there was really no theater—there was very
- 398 little theater knowledge on the board's part. Not their fault—they just were not theater people—
- and so that almost all of the knowledge was in the department. And so we worked out a theater
- 400 program and eventually a theater plan, which is the theater that you see—which is the Weiss.
- And we built that for \$3 million, which is incredible—the theater part of it. We built it for \$3
- 402 million because it was a real recession in construction in San Diego. We went out to bid, and we
- 403 got these incredible bids.
- 404 **CHODOROW:** I remember.
- 405 **WAGNER:** Yeah. I mean, that building cost a minimum of \$10 million today, probably more.
- 406 And so then we arranged an agreement between the Theater and Arts Foundation and the
- 407 university because, of course, the university owned the building. The university's contribution
- 408 now—there was no more money from the university; that money went away—now it was just the
- land. But the university owned the building, and, therefore, an agreement had to be set out on
- 410 the use of the building and things like that. So the original agreement, which was constantly
- 411 being attacked and which will, I gather, may begin to be more flexible, was—Theater and Arts
- Foundation, three months; department, nine months. It was ludicrous. But they didn't know what
- 413 they were going to do to begin with. I mean, that group had no idea—
- 414 **CHODOROW:** They thought they were a simple summer theater.
- 415 **WAGNER:** A simple summer theater, exactly. As they were—as they had been—in the high
- school. You know, when the Michael Langham idea really went out, The Board—I'd hate to tell

- you how many theaters were planned. One by Bob [Robert] Mosher, right down to working
 drawings. Nice theatre—would have been a lovely theater—but we went elsewhere with this
 theater, and it's a wonderful theater. The outside could be more elegant, but that's where we
 save the money—that's how we get billed—but inside it's still the finest proscenium theater I
 have been in, in terms of acoustic, in terms of sightlines, in terms of its feel and everything. It's a
 wonderful theater.
- **CHODOROW:** Flexibility.
- WAGNER: Yes. So the only thing we didn't have money for was the shops. And that's how
 Mandell Weiss got into the picture. But before that, now— Okay, so now we're going to have a
 theater, what are we going to do? What is the Theater and Arts Foundation? What kind of
 theater are they going to have? They have no idea. They don't know where to go to, who to go
 to. So I came up with some ideas. And I proposed a postgraduate company, which we carried
 on for quite a while, and which eventually fell down on fund-raising. McElroy was very much for
 it. You know, I put it on the basis of postdoctoral kind of style.
- **CHODOROW:** Very similar to what Yale had?
- **WAGNER:** No, no—

[END OF PART ONE, BEGIN PART TWO]

was the model, and that was originally all Juilliard students. Now, of course, it's a mixed bag, which is what I was proposing. The acting company was already in existence, but what I was proposing—but it was all Juilliard—and what I was proposing that we form a graduate program taking the best actors from all these programs that were out there now. All of a sudden, we had all of these programs. And the League of Professional Theater Programs had been started when I was at Temple, so I was one of the founders of the League of Professional Theater training programs. And we were all eastern schools; we were seven eastern schools. And then, when I recognized that an organization called URTA—the University Resident Theater Association—which is made up of multiple university departments that also recruit students for graduate work, which is mainly performing in their theaters. But they had sort of training

programs around them, but nothing like the training programs of these schools. It was Juilliard, it was Boston, it was Brandeis, it was Carnegie, it was Temple; and I believe—

CHODOROW: And NYU?

446

468

469 470

471

472

473 474

447 WAGNER: And NYU. So we were the seven original schools in what we called the eastern grouping. Well, I read in the paper that URTA got this grant from the NEA [National Education 448 Association] for their interviewing—for their auditioning. And I said, "Gee, we should go after 449 450 that money, but we got to go national." So I invited three schools: University of Washington, which had a very, very fine program at the time; Ohio University, where I had been and started 451 the program, and which was still an ongoing and good program; and Southern Methodist 452 453 University because Jack Clay, who ran that program, I knew when I was in Florida. So I knew 454 these programs. And so we were the ten schools that started the League of Professional 455 Theater Training Programs in 1971. Well, now I come out here and we are not in the League. 456 And in order to get in the League, you had to be voted in unanimously. So it was harder to get in the League than it was to be a founding member, because founding members was like, you 457 458 know, self-chosen. So it was out of those schools that I was anticipating that we'd work. It was great. I went to the NEA and they were interested, but we wrote to funding things. If you didn't 459 460 have something going, it was very tough to get it going, so it just never happened. Well, 461 eventually, what happened was that that board got very bold. I mean, they really surprised the 462 hell out of me, the way they went. First, they hired Allen Levy, which was an interesting thing to 463 do. They didn't go out and look for an artistic director, they looked for a general manager—at 464 least somebody who would be knowledgeable. And then it was Allen, of course, who educated the board. I mean, he brought people out from organizations out in New York that do that—they 465 466 educate boards. And that's when they did their recruiting and ended up with Des McAnuff. It 467 was a mind-boggling choice.

CHODOROW: Tell me about— I was dean through the eighties and often had to deal with the issues about teaching and about introduction of your students into productions and about Des and others teaching in the department. You want to comment on that?

WAGNER: Yeah. Well, in the original agreement, there is a statement that there would be mutual work going on. To where the department, the faculty, and students in the department would participate and work at the Playhouse. And where artists at the Playhouse would participate in the academic program. So the first thing we established was an internship

program. We had been doing this. We called them externships because we sent our students out to regional theater companies. I have to backtrack just a bit here because it relates to the program and the way the program developed. The program was a two-year program in the beginning, which in the main were programs that I had run before. They were two-year programs. Places like Yale and Juilliard and eventually North Carolina School of the Arts and ACT—et cetera—many of whom were now becoming members of the League. The League began dropping some members and adding members. And at one time, we had as many as thirteen here. And so places like Cal Arts at one time was in the League—at the end, they were not—North Carolina School of the Arts, State University of New York at Purchase, ACT—became members of the League.

So there were three year programs around. We knew that if we were going to get into the League, we were going to have to be a three-year program. And a three-year program requires more resources, as you well know, Stan. And so once again, we devised a program in which we could do it with the resources that we had. And so we added a fall and winter quarter. The winter quarter being mainly production oriented. The fall quarter being studio and production, but minimally. And then that last quarter, externship—in the second year. This is the second year. Actually, the second year was two years of full studio and then an externship, so we didn't have to teach in that quarter—the second years. And the third years, we finished at the end of the winter quarter we graduated them at the end of the winter quarter with the justification—not a false one—that they got out there before everybody else, in terms of work—if there were going to be summer work or whatever it was. But it also saved us a quarter of teaching.

So in the spring, all we taught were first year students. So we started this externship program, and we had some agreements—not formal agreements—with theaters, especially Milwaukee. Milwaukee Rep took most of our students. The Globe used to take some of our students. And then our students would find other places that were interested in having students in a professional situation. And they would go to these places to act. They were to be actors. They were to have acting roles, not box office or anything like that. And we had been doing that for a number of years, but now comes the Playhouse. And Des is on board, and they're doing a season, and we now establish an agreement that they would make use of our second-year students—actors, designers, and directors. Actors in modest roles, designers as assistant designers, and directors as assistant directors. Something which paid off marvelously, especially with designers and directors. The current artistic director of the Playhouse is one of our students, who was Des's assistant on *Big River*; who was my assistant on the first play at

the Weiss. I opened the Weiss with *Threepenny Opera*, and Michael [Greif] was a first-year graduate and my assistant—his first assignment. So that was a lovely kind of thing that happened there.

CHODOROW: And I remember that the need of the Playhouse under Des for more time in the theater was a leverage tool for increasing and solidifying that interaction.

WAGNER: That's what happened. Yes. It was a real give and take mutual thing. And so you went through these wars—and they still go on—more and more time. And then, of course, the thrust toward that second building, which is also a very interesting story. But I think we were back on the students' relationship to that. So the other thing was, in addition to some adjunct teaching—mainly Bob [Robert] Blacker, who was the associate director and dramaturge—so he was wonderfully useful. And Des used to come in and teach, but Des was not a teacher. In many ways, Des was not really a director. Des was a musician. He was a rock musician before he sort of worked his way into the theater. I had great admiration for Des—I was not a great admirer of his directing skills—but he placed that theater in the world. It was an incredible feat, incredible achievement. Of course, he almost broke them—almost broke the university—I mean, we know about all that stuff. But you'll have to give credit for that, so that was marvelous.

So then, now, we want to get into the League, so that's sort of the next kind of step in terms of the program's notoriety, if you will. So what you did was you applied for membership. And that's what we did. I believe we were turned down the first time because we were a two-year program—that's when we knew we had to go to a three-year program, yeah—because we were a two-year program. Everything else was okay, but we were a two-year program. So when we added the third year, we requested another review, and we were accepted, I would say, into the league—1980ish or so, something like that. And wonderful benefits came from that. In fact, that's where the Suzuki [Training Program] came from, because there was money to bring people in. And we brought in [Tadashi] Suzuki, and Steve [Steven] Pearson was able to go out study with somebody, and we became a kind of a Suzuki center as you well know, too. So that was an interesting development. Of course, getting into the league put us in a whole new ball game, because now there were the joint auditions, which we had started way back in 1971, and which they had been doing. And we used to go out and sort of be on their coattails. You know, we would try to be in the same city and the same time and things like that, but to where we were maybe getting 100 to 125 applicants a year. Once we joined the League, we began to get 300

- applicants a year, 400 applicants a year. So the ten schools would be out there in the same place at the same time, and recruiting.
- **CHODOROW:** How did you establish among students? Because I remember in the 542 eighties—let's see, toward the end of the eighties—you were in direct competition with the best 543 places in the country. How did you establish yourselves among students as that good?
 - **WAGNER:** Well, I'll be as modest as I can be. I think the beginning was because I was selected to be the chair—because I already had that reputation out there—I had already started three MFA programs. I knew that world. The people who were sending students out knew about me because I had already been training their students in different programs. So that kind of national visibility was extremely important. I could have started the same thing at Riverside as here. It's just that here, it turned out to be a much more fruitful place in terms of resources because we exploded. I mean, when I came, there were years when thought 7500 was steady state. I don't think it was until the late seventies before we changed our view. So that, of course, helped enormously. Then we made wonderful appointments. We appointed Bob [Robert] Israel. Your design program has now got national visibility. And, of course, the biggest one was Allen Schneider. That's a fascinating story.
- **CHODOROW:** How did you bring him in?
- WAGNER: Well, Allen was an old friend. When I was at Smith College doing my masters work after the war, we were six male graduate students at Smith. Allen came to see a show that a very dear friend of his directed at Smith that I was in—Skin of our Teeth. And Allen was a hot young director at that time—probably in his late twenties, early thirties—at the Arena in Washington. And he saw the play, and we sort of met each other in that way. And then, over the years in different circumstances, we would run across each other. Once, in a mind-boggling way, we spent—the two families—three days at Grossinger's [Catskill Resort Hotel]. We both ended up—this is a resort hotel in the Catskill Mountains—
- **CHODOROW:** Probably the eye of the Jewish alps.
- **WAGNER:** Oh, exactly. Totally that.
- **CHODOROW:** The place where all the great comics and performers from the twenties and
- thirties went.

WESTBROOK: And where is that?

WAGNER: The Catskills?

WESTBROOK: No, I know where the Catskills are, but where are the [inaudible]

CHODOROW: Near Liberty, right?

WAGNER: Not near those. Liberty, New York. I can't remember—I do know the name of the towns where they were, but I can't remember them. But when Allen and I saw each other, we were in a room with a pool table. And, I don't know, we were at either end, and we turned and we looked and we saw each other. And both of us silently said, "What are you doing here?" Cause it was not the kind of place that sophisticated intellectual theater people went. So we knew each other. In 1977—1977-78, that year—the American Theater Association, which I was a vice-president of, held their yearly conference in December of '78 in New Orleans, and Allen was a keynote speaker. And on the day that he arrived, which was about the second day, a whole group of us were leaving the hotel to go to one of the fine restaurants that we knew in New Orleans, having lived there for two years. And Allen was just getting off a taxi. We greet each other, and he says, "Arthur, I have to talk to you." I said, "Well, Allen, when I get back tonight, I'll give you a call—I'll give your room a call." So I go off—what does Allen Schneider want to talk to me about? He is the head of the theater division at the Juilliard. I'm an acting teacher of some renowned. Allen is going to offer me a job—New York.

So we got back—I got back very late—and I called, and we agree that it was too late, that we would meet the next morning. And so I go into the room and we sit down, and I forget how the conversation started, but Allen read something because he said, "No, no, Arthur. I'm not going to offer you a job." He says, "Jean and I want to move to California. Do you know of any places where we might go?" Boy, did he come to the right person. Maybe he knew that, but he didn't specifically say "your place." He was really asking advice, or apparently so. Well, of course, we recruited him in no time. You know, Paul Saltman—I went into Paul—Paul understood this. And that's how Allen came on the faculty. Now that was not easy—he was a very difficult person—but it was part of our expanding reputation to have somebody of that stature. He was the dean of American directors, who became productive again after he joined us. He went to Juilliard because the work had sort of quieted down. But then when he was with us, he became very productive. And then, of course, he was the first holder of the Quinn Martin Chair. In fact, the only holder of the Quinn Martin Chair. Because we don't appoint somebody to that, we do it

- every—you know, for the spring quarter. And then, maybe most importantly of all, our students,
- you know, are out there, making their mark, mostly in regional theater. We don't have any movie
- stars, but we have a lot of people working seriously in—
- 602 **CHODOROW:** Except for the woman who did the-the Mexican American woman—who did
- 603 Water Like—
- 604 **WAGNER:** And we didn't take her in the acting program. That's why she went in the
- 605 dramaturgy program. Did you know that?
- 606 **CHODOROW:** No, I didn't.
- 607 **WAGNER:** [laughs] That was one of the most embarrassing things we ever did.
- 608 **CHODOROW:** But you know, she's just made—what she calls—a mockumentary—*The Day*
- the Mexicans Disappeared—which is an attempt to show what would happen to California if all
- 610 the Mexicans suddenly disappeared.
- 611 **WAGNER:** Oh really? Yeah, that's Yareli [Arizmendi] is her name.
- 612 **CHODOROW:** The state would shut down.
- 613 **WAGNER:** Absolutely. I think there's a play of that kind. I think it's a play.
- 614 **CHODOROW:** Is it a play?
- 615 **WAGNER:** Oh, yes. I've seen productions of it. That's what she's doing, she's using a play to
- do that. But yeah, Yareli was a—but she only made that movie—nothing else came out of her.
- She was marvelous, and she was good actress, but she was not quite up to the snuff of our
- applicants that year. So she has an MFA in Dramaturgy—she's one of our graduates. Directors
- who've gone out there and made a mark—Michael, of course, being a very, very important one.
- 620 Playwrights—Naomi lizuka [?]—I can't pronounce her last name—who's one of the leading
- young contemporary playwrights out there. So it's a combination. And then the faculty. Now we
- 622 have on this faculty a lighting designer, who either wins a Tony or is up for a Tony Award, which
- he was this last year. We hired a set designer who has won Tonys, mainly working with Hal
- 624 Prince; she did Candide.
- 625 **CHODOROW:** This designer replaced Robert?

- 626 **WAGNER:** Not really because Robert went some time ago. It was to replace Debbie—she's
- a costume designer. I'm sorry, she's a costume designer, so she replaced Debbie. And that was
- a wonderful replacement. Les Waters, who has the directing program, is a very well-known
- 629 English director. And now, of course, the appointment of Kyle Donnelly to our chair is going to
- 630 increase that even more so, especially for acting; especially for acting, it's going to be— The
- acting program has been slightly in limbo. Walter was head of it awhile, but then he went on, I
- think, to become head of theater, and then it was sort of run by committee there for a while. So
- this is a real first head of acting who's going to come in with a vision, and do what she does.
- And, you know, the next one we knock off the list is NYU, who, I think, are vulnerable,
- especially—as soon as Zelda leaves. Cause Zelda Fichandler—it's a person who usually does
- these kinds of things, who really establishes it. You don't want to ignore the undergraduate
- program, because we have a very active—I think there's about 150 majors now.
- 638 **CHODOROW:** Oh good. And dance added a lot to that.
- 639 **WAGNER:** Ah, now the dance, that was interesting edition.
- 640 **CHODOROW:** I engineered that.
- 641 **WAGNER:** I'm sorry, you what?
- 642 **CHODOROW:** I engineered that.
- 643 **WAGNER:** Yes, it was very important.
- 644 **CHODOROW:** Got them out of the P.E. department—
- 645 **WESTBROOK:** Oh, that's right.
- 646 **CHODOROW:** And persuade the department of theater to take them on.
- 647 **WAGNER:** I was on your team.
- 648 **WESTBROOK:** Is this when P.E. was closing up?
- 649 **CHODOROW:** It was before it.
- 650 **WAGNER:** Let me tell you about dance because that's interesting. Because when I came, I
- 651 could have had a dance program. I said no, we will not have a dance program, because I had

- seen too many instances in which the dance program wagged the dog. Very popular. Quickly
 getting a lot of resources and things like that. That was the situation at Riverside—the dance
 program had more power than the theater part, and they were in the same department. Now,
 when Margaret called me to talk about this last time, then I immediately went—I guess it
 was Adele [Shank], who must have the chair—and I said, "Adele, I think now is the time to do
 this because it makes practical sense. Now we can use those students that they have." And it's
 worked out beautifully.
- 659 CHODOROW: It has.
- **WAGNER:** And I think eventually there's going to be a graduate program in that.
- **CHODOROW:** And I think that if they do succeed in recruiting someone—
- **WAGNER:** That's what they need to do.
- **CHODOROW:** —very well-known. You know, one person they will— That's it. It's the same
- principle you just mentioned.
- **WAGNER:** Absolutely, absolutely. And then it helps with the recruiting, and recruiting of
- 666 faculty, and everything of that kind.
- **CHODOROW:** Do you want to add anything or ask any questions?
- **WESTBROOK:** [inaudible] fascinating.
- **CHODOROW:** It's been a great, great talk.
- **WAGNER:** Yeah, okay? I mean, enough stuff?
- **CHODOROW:** Perfect. Absolutely terrific.
- **WAGNER:** Well, it's an interesting narrative.
- **CHODOROW:** It has people, it has ideas. I mean, it's exactly what we're looking for.
- **WAGNER:** Great. Terrific.

[END OF INTERVIEW]