

UCSD Researchers Give Computers "Common Senseâ€#

Computer Scientists Inject Context into Automated Image Annotation

October 17, 2007

Daniel Kane

Looking at the photo above, you see a person on a tennis court, wielding a tennis racket and chasing a...lemon. Right? Wrong. You don't think it's a lemon. You know it's a tennis ball. Computers with the latest image labeling algorithms don't have the contextual wits to know a lemon is very unlikely in this scene. UCSD computer scientists are looking to change that. *Image credit: UC San Diego*

Using a little-known Google Labs widget, computer scientists from UC San Diego and UCLA have brought common sense to an automated image labeling system. This common sense is the ability to use context to help identify objects in photographs.

For example, if a conventional automated object identifier has labeled a person, a tennis racket, a tennis court and a lemon in a photo, the new post-processing context check will re-label the lemon as a tennis ball.

"We think our paper is the first to bring external semantic context to the problem of object recognition," said computer science professor Serge Belongie from UC San Diego.

The researchers show that the Google Labs tool called Google Sets can be used to provide external contextual information to automated object identifiers. The paper will be presented on Thursday 18 October 2007 at ICCV 2007 - the 11th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Google Sets generates lists of related items or objects from just a few examples. If you type in John, Paul and George, it will return the words Ringo, Beatles and John Lennon. If you type "neon" and "argon" it will give you the rest of the noble gasses.

"In some ways, Google Sets is a proxy for common sense. In our paper, we showed that you can use this common sense to provide contextual information that improves the accuracy of automated image labeling systems," said Belongie.

The image labeling system is a three step process. First, an automated system splits the image up into different regions through the process of image segmentation. In the photo of the tennis player, image segmentation separates the person, the court, the racket and the yellow sphere.

The computer scientists injected context into an automated image labeling system through a post-processing context check. The approach strives to maximize the contextual agreement among the labeled objects within each picture. *Image credit: UC San Diego*

Next, an automated system provides a ranked list of probable labels for each of these image regions.

Finally, the system adds a dose of context by processing all the different possible combinations of labels within the image and maximizing the contextual agreement among the labeled objects within each picture.

It is during this step that Google Sets can be used as a source of context that helps the system turn a lemon into a tennis ball. In this case, these "semantic context constraints" helped the system disambiguate between visually similar objects.

Thanks to context information, an inaccurate cow label is correctly turned into a boat. Left to Right: Original segmented image; Categorization without contextual constraints; Categorization with co-occurrence contextual constraints derived from the training data; Ground truth. *Image credit: UC San Diego*

In another example, the researchers show that an object originally labeled as a cow is (correctly) re-labeled as a boat when the other objects in the image - sky, tree, building and water - are considered during the post-processing context step. In this case, the semantic context constraints helped to correct an entirely wrong image label. The context information came from the co-occurrence of object labels in the training sets rather than from Google Sets.

The computer scientists also highlight other advances they bring to automated object identification. First, instead of doing just one image segmentation, the researchers generated a collection of image segmentations and put together a shortlist of stable image segmentations. This increases the accuracy of the segmentation process and provides an implicit shape description for each of the image regions.

Second, the researchers ran their object categorization model on each of the segmentations, rather than on individual pixels. This dramatically reduced the computational demands on the object categorization model.

In the two sets of images that the researchers tested, the categorization results improved considerably with inclusion of context. For one image dataset, the average categorization accuracy increased more than 10 percent using the semantic context provided by Google Sets. In a second dataset, the average categorization accuracy improved by about 2 percent using the semantic context provided by Google Sets. The improvements were higher when the researchers gleaned context information from data on co-occurrence of object labels in the training data set for the object identifier.

Right now, the researchers are exploring ways to extend context beyond the presence of objects in the same image. For example, they want to make explicit use of absolute and relative geometric relationships between objects in an image - such as "above" or "inside" relationships. This would mean that if a person were sitting on top of an animal, the system would consider the animal to be more likely a horse than a dog.

Paper Information: "Objects in Context," by Andrew Rabinovich, Carolina Galleguillos, Eric Wiewiora and Serge Belongie from the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the UCSD Jacobs School of Engineering. Andrea Vedaldi from the Department of Computer Science, UCLA.

Read that paper at: http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/~sjb/iccv2007a.pdf

The paper will be presented on Thursday 18 October 2007 at ICCV 2007 - the 11th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Funders: National Science Foundation (NSF) Afred P. Sloan Research Fellowship Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) Office of Naval Research (ONR)

Author Contact: Serge Belongie





