Telegrams: Depaten, Rand, London.

Telephone: Gerrard 6933

Extn. 1134.

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to :—

THE SECRETARY
and the following reference quoted

330/24/6/103

Your Ref.....



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY,
Division of Atomic Energy,
Shell Mex House,
Strand,
London, W.C.2.

7th June, 1949.

Dear Professor Szilard,

As you will know from Cockeroft's letter to you of April 5th, he has passed on to me your letter of March 22nd which was sent to him, on behalf of Mr. Meier, on March 30th together with a copy of your letter of March 22nd to Mr. Meier.

Of the two questions which are raised I am writing at once to let you know that, in our opinion here, there is no reason why the secrecy restrictions imposed on your U.K. Patent Application No. 19157/34 should not be removed. These restrictions were, as you know, applied at the request of the Admiralty under Section 30 of the British Patents and Designs Acts. If they are removed we can, at the same time, ensure that fresh secrecy restrictions are not imposed under the provisions of the post-war British Atomic Energy Act.

However, before we ask the Admiralty to arrange for removal of the secrecy restrictions we are confirming with the U.S.A.E.C. that they also see no objection to removal of secrecy. We are taking this step because we understand that you yourself have suggested it in a letter to the Admiralty.

/I hope

Professor Leo Szilard,
The University of Chicago,
1155, East 57th Street,
Chicago 37, Illinois,
U.S.A.

Telegrams: Departen, Rand, London. Telephone: Gerrard 6933

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to _______
THE SECRETARY
and the following reference quoted

01/9/46/00

Your Ref.

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY,
Division of Atomic Energy,
Sheil Mex House,
Strend,
London, W.Gvg.

7th June, 1919.

Dear Professor Sziland,

I hope that I shall very soon be able to write to you again on this point and will be able, at the same time, to give you some comments on the first point you have raised in connection with your U.K. Patent No. 440,023.

I wonder whether you will remember meeting and entertaining me in the wartime 'Tube Alloys' days when I paid a short visit to Chicago in 1942. WI shall, in any one case, look forward to seeing you again when you are over ser here in the second half of June. Tell . On noitecing a not a tamper ent to beildes, wond you as every anoiteinteer essent bus studied in the first and the control of the second half of June. The word was a second to the fact that any is the second to th

However, before we ask the Admiralty to strenge for removal of the accrecy restrictions we are confirming with the (nirreq.(W.tM)) t they also see no objection to removal of secrecy. We are taking this step because we understand that you yourself have suggested it in a letter to the Admiralty.

/r-hope

Professor Leo Szilard,
The University of Chicago,
1155, East 57th Street,
Chicago 37, Illinois,
U.S.A.

L.H.237

Telegrams: Depaten , Rand, London.

Telephone: Gerrard 6933

Extn. 1134

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to:

THE SECRETARY

and the following reference quoted

330/25/6/14

Your Ref.



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY,

DIVISION OF ATOMIC ENERGY,

Shell Mex House, Strand.

London, W.C.2.

4th July, 1949.

Dear Professor Szilard,

I enclose a more formal letter to you about your two patents, written in such a way that I could send a copy direct to Mr. George Meier.

I have necessarily had to be rather vague in my references to the secret Patent Application No. 19157/34 but you, from your knowledge of its contents, will probably appreciate the reason for our belief that it is based on the existence of certain isotopes for which there is, as yet, no evidence. When secrecy is removed we will be able to discuss our views as to its validity.

I appreciate your memory of my day with you at the Dunes on Lake Michigan, and hope that it will not be too long before you manage to come over here when I shall look forward with much pleasure to meeting you again.

Yours sincerely,

(M. W. Perrin)

Professor Leo Szilard,
The University of Chicago,
1155, East 57th Street,
Chicago 37, Illinois, U.S.A.

L.H. 237

Telegrams: Depaten, Rand, London.

Telephone: Gerrard 6933

Extn. 1134

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to:—

THE SECRETARY
and the following reference quoted

330/25/6/14

Your Ref.



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY,

DIVISION OF ATOMIC ENERGY, Shell Mex House,

Strand,

London, W.C.2,

4th July, 1949.

Dear Professor Szilard,

t mislo Thank you for your letter of June 17th; esnis

As you will need to make up your mind about the open Patent No. 440,023 fairly soon, I think you will like to know that we have had this carefully examined here from the point of view of its validity and its relation to the British atomic energy programme. Although, as you say in your letter of March 22nd to Mr. Meier, the first claim of this patent would, on its face value, appear to cover that part of our atomic energy work which is concerned with the production of radioactive isotopes in a chain reacting pile, we are of the opinion that this claim, from the point of view of patent law, is not a valid one.

We assume that the reference to "an element" in claim 1 must be interpreted as meaning an element which will, in fact, transmute into a radioactive element under neutron radiation. If this is correct there is nowhere, in the Patent, an indication of those specific elements, other than iodine, which will give the desired result.

Claim 1 is, in our opinion, a mere statement of the problem to be solved, and does not carry the matter further than the earlier statement by Joliot and his wife in "Nature" of February 10th, 1934, that radioactive elements may possibly be formed by bombarding elements with neutrons.

Professor Leo Szilard,
The University of Chicago,
1155, East 57th Street,
Chicago 37, Illinois, U.S.A.

/Since

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY,
DIVISION OF ACCID FREEGY
Shell Mex House,
Strand,
London, W.C.2,

Nay communication on the subject of this sites should be addressed to:

THE SECRETARY

and the following reference quoted

330/25/6/14

Your Ref.

4th July, 1949.

Deer Professor Sallard,

Since, for these reasons, we do not feel that Claim 1 is valid, the British Government would not be interested in any suggestions for acquiring the rights in the patent.

Jour secret Patent Application No. 19157/34. We are still waiting to hear from the U.S.A.E.C. whether they agree with our view here that there is no need to maintain secrecy on this case. Meanwhile, in order to saye time, we have found out from the Admiralty, under whose order the specification is now kept secret, that they would have no objection to its release and return to you, and will take this action immediately we hear from the U.S.A.E.C. and report to them that neither they nor we have any objection.

easy to discuss in detail our views as to its validity but I can say at once that, in our opinion, the patent would be invalid in law and therefore of no interest to the British Government.

I am sending a copy of this letter to Mr. George Meier remaind will communicate with him again when we get through the formalities, which I have described above, about the waiving of secrecy on 19157/34.

Yours sincerely,

.A. 2.U , sioniff (M. W. Perrin)

/Simoe

Telegrams: Depaten, Rand, London.

Telephone: Gerrard 6933

Extn. 1134

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to :-

THE SECRETARY and the following reference quoted

Your Ref.....



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY, Division of Atomic Energy, Shell Mex House, Strand.

rand, London, W.C.2.

66551

5th August, 1949.

Dear Professor Szilard,

Since writing to you on the 4th July I had a visit from Mr. Meier just before he left for the U.Ş.A., and he has been good enough to furnish me with copies of your letters to him of 6th May and 3rd June, 1949.

We have carefully considered the proposed amendments of Patent No. 440,023, as outlined in your letters. Whether they are allowable is, of course, a matter for the Patent Office to decide. The claims you now propose, however, appear to us to be such a departure from the present claims of the patent that, in the event of your seeking to amend the specification along those lines, we may have to oppose the amendment.

If, however, we make the assumption that your proposed amended claims were allowed by the Patent Office, I think it is only right to tell you that we should not be prepared to recognise them as valid. In our opinion the absence from the specification of any information as to the specific elements which give the desired result would invalidate your first two amended claims. As regards the third claim, in which you specify "uranium or thorium", there appears to be no basis in the specification for a claim of this character. It is true that, in the paragraph beginning at line 17 on the second

/page

Professor Leo Szilard,
The University of Chicago,
1155, East 57th Street,
Chicago 37, Illinois, U.S.A.

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY, Division of Atomic Energy, Shell Mex Mouse, Strand, London, W.C.2.

Telegrams: Depo ton, Rond, Telephone: Gorrerd 5933 Ext. 1134

Any communication on the subject of this letter should be addressed to !THE. SECRETARY and the following reference quoted
330/25/6/14.

5th August, 1949.

Your Ref.

Dear Professor Sailard,

page of the complete specification, there is a reference to uranium and thorium, but the context makes it clear that the substance you proposed to irradiate with neutrons was not uranium or thorium alone, but a mixture of a large number of elements including uranium and thorium, from which the beta active products had been removed.

With reference to your secret Patent Application
No. 19157/34, we have now been notified by the U.S.A.E.C.
that they have no objection to the removal of the secrecy
order. The Admiralty have accordingly been advised that
neither we nor the U.S.A.E.C. have any objection to
release from secrecy. You will, no doubt, hear from the
Admiralty shortly about the re-assignment of the patent
application to you.

I am sending a copy of this letter to Mr. Meier who may, on his return from the U.S.A., be able to tell me more about your views.

smended claim, in which your sincerelygislo bedeen in which you specif (uranium or thorium), there appears to be no besis in

stion for soleim of this character. It is true

Michaelhton

ogeq'

Frofessor(nirneq.i.W.M)
The University of Chicago,
1155, dest 57th Street,

Chicago 37, Illinois, U.S.A.

1155 East 57th Street Chicago 37, Illinois June 17, 1949

Mr. Michael W. Perrin Ministry of Supply Division of Atomic Energy Shell Mex House Strand, London, W.C. 2, England

Dear Mr. Perrin:

Many thanks for your kind letter of the 7th of June. Naturally, I remember the pleasant day which we spent at the Dunes on Lake Michigan, and your doubts about whether mankind was sufficiently mature to be able to cope with the atomic bomb which was then in the making.

I shall be very glad to have your further comments on the two questions which I raised whenever you are able to make such comments, and I trust that you will communicate them also to Mr. George Meier.

My plans for visiting England have in the meantime become somewhat indefinite, but I am looking forward to seeing you again at some time in the future.

Yours sincerely,

Leo Szilard