An Oral History of

JAMES ARNOLD, KEITH BRUECKNER, and STANLEY CHODOROW

On June 10, 1998

- 1 **ARNOLD:** What I thought might make sense—and you can argue with me—was that we'd start
- 2 with how we both came here in chronological order. And then switch; continue with
- 3 chronological order in how the departments were built—as Keith got ahead of me—and we see
- 4 how far we get.
- 5 **CHODOROW:** Okay, very good. And I will try to make certain that we stay on point. And ask
- 6 what questions occur to me as a historian and as an intellectual historian, okay?
- 7 **ARNOLD:** Okay. Well, then, I launch. Right, I was at the University of Chicago in the great
- 8 years, and in the course of that time I took two trips west to the Mississippi. It's amazing to think
- 9 how little one traveled in those days. And by the time I had done that, I decided I want to be in
- the West. I really like the West Coast much better than the East, where I grew up, or the Middle
- 11 West.
- When I went to Princeton, in '56, I'd been working with Libby on radio carbon dating, and that
- involved the synthesis of isotopes by cosmic rays in the atmosphere. So, the things I was doing
- took off from there, and by '57-'56, rather—I was working on an interesting isotope from that
- point of view, beryllium 10, which has a half-life of 1.5 million years in contrast to the thousands
- of years for carbon 14. And the best place to look for that was, clearly, deep sea sediments. And
- that got me corresponding with several old buddies at Chicago. Ed Goldberg was the first such
- person to arrive here, then Hans Suess and Harmon Craig in '56. And so, I was corresponding
- with them and calling them about deep sea sediments, and they were sending me deep sea
- 20 sediments, and I got to know Gustaf Arrhenius at that time. Then somewhere along the line, two
- things happened at once. One was that Roger and friends started talking about UCSD, what
- became UCSD, and the other was that my name began to be bandied about.
- So, I'd like to begin a little more detailed account. In early '57, when these people invited me to
- 24 come out here and give a seminar because we had just about discovered beryllium 10 in the
- deep sea sediments, at that time—and I'll just take a minute to describe an event that was, for

- 26 me, quite important then. Came out here, scheduled for a seminar at 4 o'clock. Ten minutes
- 27 late, Roger Revelle walked in. That's not much of a surprise to those who knew Roger Revelle.
- 28 And when Roger walked in, Harmon Craig handed him a manuscript of a paper that Ernie
- 29 Anderson and I—Ernie was my other young friend from carbon 14 days—were writing about the
- 30 distribution of carbon 14 in nature. And we had already agreed with Hans Seuss and Revelle,
- though I hadn't met Revelle, to exchange manuscripts. So, there's Roger, came in late, sitting
- with a manuscript on another topic in his hands. When I had finished the seminar, Roger asked
- the best questions, a couple of very penetrating questions. Then I went down and shook his
- hand, got introduced, and as we were going out of the lecture room, Roger said to me, "There
- are two serious mistakes in your paper." "Huh?" So, we talked about it. One he had
- misunderstood, he hadn't read carefully enough, but the other was a serious mistake. And I said
- 37 to myself, "Who is this guy, you know, comes in late, does all this sort of thing? And, you know, I
- know other smart people, but this guy is the equivalent of the president of the university." I tried
- 39 to picture the president of Princeton operating at that level—blew my mind. So, I started with
- 40 that impression of Roger. We talked a little bit then, didn't go terribly far. Yes, I was interested—
- 41 it was all just talk at that time.
- 42 So now, coming forward, Roger and Ed Goldberg came to Princeton on their way somewhere,
- called me up, and we had a long talk. And it was still mostly conversation, but I was certainly
- 44 more interested, and I agreed to come out here and spend the summer of '57 doing some
- 45 research that I needed to do with a graduate student named John Merrill—it was basically his
- 46 Ph.D. thesis—and then we'd see. So, the end of the summer, I remember, Roger called me into
- 47 his office and asked me what I wanted to talk about first, and I said money. And he laughed, he
- 48 said, "That's all professors ever want to talk about." But we talked about a good many things. I
- 49 was still very uncertain, because the decision to locate the campus here was still up in the air,
- way up in the air. And also, if it didn't go that way, I would find myself in a lovely but isolated
- 51 situation, lots of friends to talk to but not a full university.
- Okay, now I go back to Princeton and we started corresponding—and somewhere in your files,
- 53 Brad, if it isn't, it should be—is a letter I wrote Roger, probably in the spring of '58, with 12
- 54 numbered questions in it, da da da da da. The only one I remember particularly was the
- 55 question of whether political activity was possible for a professor on this campus. Because I had
- 56 always been rather active politically and there was still an oath at that time. Watered down, but
- 57 still an oath. So, Roger replied to these 12 questions, and his answer to that one was that, very
- recently, a professor at the University of California—some other, maybe UCLA, I don't

- remember—had been elected to _____ [inaudible] had been elected to Congress and not on the
- Republican ticket. So, I took that as a good sign, and we went on from there.
- I then went to my chairman and told him that if I got an offer, I was coming. And in the fall of '57,
- we came. Harold and Frieda Urey beat us here by two weeks, or something like that. And just
- for the record, I had nothing whatever to do with bringing Harold here. It was the University of
- 64 Chicago which accomplished that feat by telling him that at 65 it was time for him to retire. And
- Roger, hearing the rumor, flew to Chicago, I understand, and closed the deal very guickly. And
- so, we were actually welcomed by the Ureys, who had partly settled in. And we settled down in
- a cottage that's now T31 above the main buildings, one of the little rickety cottages that they
- 68 house summer visitors in. And the cottage T29 was then inhabited by Hans Seuss and family,
- but they were in Germany, so the Ureys were camping there. And the Goldbergs in between.
- 70 So, that's how we arrived and where we arrived. And I came with a mandate not to be chairman
- but to find a chairman. And I think I'll drop the story at that point.
- 72 **CHODOROW:** Let me ask one follow-up question from your story. It's very clear that, in the
- mid- to late-'50s, you had built a set of scientific relationships with people who ended up here, or
- who were in the process of ending up here.
- 75 **ARNOLD:** Yes, correct.
- 76 **CHODOROW:** So that, in part, the attraction of the place was that you would join a research
- 77 community with which you already were associated.
- 78 **ARNOLD:** That is correct, and the double advantage that Roger was also a part of that
- 79 research community. We were working on similar things. So, there was the sense I could
- 80 evaluate what he was made of, in that respect, and was very impressed with what I saw.
- 81 **BRUECKNER:** In 1958, I was a professor at the University of Pennsylvania, and in
- 82 December of '58, I came to do some consulting work at General Atomic. I don't remember
- 83 exactly the sequence, but Leonard Lieberman and Carl Eckart, I think they heard me give a
- colloquium. And they met me for lunch with Revelle—they drove up to General Atomic to pick
- me up. And we went and had lunch down at the Valencia, and Revelle started giving me his
- description of what was going to happen. By that time, I believe the land had been passed—the
- 87 bulk of the land had been passed to the university—
- 88 ARNOLD: When in 1958 was that? It was the fall of '58 was when the -

- 89 BRUECKNER: December '58.
- 90 **ARNOLD:** Yeah, okay—when the land was voted.
- 91 **BRUECKNER:** That's right. Anyway, I talked to Revelle at lunch, then I think after that we
- 92 went to his office, and I talked to him for another few hours. And at the end of that time, I
- 93 decided I was going to try to pursue his dream: create a new university here. And he described
- 94 its composition and objectives, and that convinced me. He was a very impressive and
- 95 compelling man.
- 96 ARNOLD: Indeed.
- 97 BRUECKNER: And then I came from Pennsylvania in September of 1959, and started
- 98 recruiting in physics. So, the start here was very simple for me, compared to the much more
- 99 involved procedure that Jim had gone through.
- 100 **ARNOLD:** I might break in and mention one point there—maybe you were coming to it
- anyway. The presence of General Atomic was an appreciable plus out here at that time. They
- had some very good people and particularly in physics, but cryogenics, all sorts of things. They
- had recruited Kurtz [?] and Bob Duffield, a chemist, and all sorts of good folk that they held onto
- for a while. And the equipment, certainly well, you're a theorist but I'm an experimentalist—we
- certainly took advantage of their facilities. They were quite generous in the transition period.
- 106 **BRUECKNER:** But that had very little effect on my coming here.
- 107 **ARNOLD:** No, I understand that, but it might've had a little effect on your recruitment.
- 108 **CHODOROW:** You came because there was a dream that had been sufficiently described
- by Roger, with the force of his personality, to persuade you to come out.
- 110 **BRUECKNER:** Yes.
- 111 **CHODOROW:** And when you came out, there was—were there any physicists on the
- 112 campus, at that time?
- 113 **BRUECKNER:** Well, there were the physicists at Scripps.
- 114 **CHODOROW:** The geophysicists.

- 115 **BRUECKNER:** Yeah, Eckart and Lieberman and -
- 116 **ARNOLD:** Well, Fred Spiess—I guess you could say that.
- 117 **CHODOROW:** Fred had been trained as a physicist, from what I understand, but had drifted
- into oceanography. And so, you came out as chair of this department?
- 119 **BRUECKNER:** No, I didn't. I came out with the understanding I would try to organize the
- department. But in that first few months, I contacted a lot of people I knew, and one of them—
- Walter Kohn, who finally did come—he said he would come if I became chairman. So, I hadn't
- taken that title or role until some months after I came.
- 123 **CHODOROW:** Why was it, do you think,—from your personal point of view—was it that
- Roger thought that you would be a good person to organize the department of physics? Which
- he must have regarded as a premier department at the end of the '50s.
- 126 BRUECKNER: Well, I suppose he did. He never said that directly to me, he just gave me a
- great deal of authority, go ahead and recruit in physics. And the people that came here well,
- my first office was the director's office at the aquarium. There were no facilities then. But the first
- people that came, there were a lot of them that came [inaudible] recruiting. I knew
- people throughout the United States in physics, experimental as well as theoretical.
- 131 **CHODOROW:** So, you had a wide network of first-class people.
- 132 **BRUECKNER:** Yes, yes. So that's how it started.
- 133 **CHODOROW:** How old were you?
- 134 **BRUECKNER:** I was 35. [inaudible]
- 135 **ARNOLD:** So was I. Well, I was 36 in 1959, but I was 35 when I came.
- 136 **CHODOROW:** If you think about other institutions that were founded within, let's say, the
- next 5 to 10 years, which would have included Irvine and Santa Cruz, but also, University of
- 138 North Florida and a couple of other places -
- 139 **ARNOLD:** State University of New York.
- 140 CHODOROW: Stoney Brook was—

- 141 **ARNOLD:** Stony Brook started out with a bit of a rush—didn't last so well, but.
- 142 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, but when you think about those other institutions, in your field—and
- this is really a question for both of you—what was the difference between the way they formed
- their first departments, their early departments in your field, and the way you under the guidance
- of Roger did the same here?
- 146 **BRUECKNER:** Well, we knew that the university—and its regional planning—it was to start
- as a graduate school. And accordingly, the kind of positions that I could offer were top
- professorships at reasonable salaries. Also, it was known from Revelle that along with the
- buildings that were planned, there was a lot of equipment money which came with those
- buildings. So then, when the people came here they knew that there was a large backlog of
- 151 financing for the laboratories. I think those two features and then the powerful influence of
- Revelle and the location here. Also, the fact that it was part of the University of California, a
- great institution, and this had been _____ [inaudible] by the Regents. The University of
- 154 California, after all, is one of the great universities of the world, considered as a whole. And with
- that powerful backing, and the quality of the established universities—Berkeley and UCLA,
- particularly—and the idea that we could be as good as they were. That combination. Going to
- 157 Stony Brook—there was really nothing in the state of New York which was comparable to
- Berkeley or UCLA or Florida. So, it was that combination of circumstances, I think, that -
- 159 **ARNOLD:** I agree. I would add one other thing, if I might. Roger, his dream was certainly
- very important, and I agree totally with what you said about, that the label "University of
- 161 California" and the thought that we were going to really try to reach the level of the other great
- branches. But one of the things, in addition—it certainly was very important to me despite my
- digging in my heels at the start—was the fact that I could have, potentially, a major effect on this
- institution. I was coming from Princeton, which is 200 years old. The chance that I would be
- anything but a small footnote in the history of that institution was overwhelming. And here, had a
- 166 chance to stretch yourself. It was a challenge, a very interesting challenge.
- 167 **CHODOROW:** Let me ask a related question about these departments and the founding of
- them. I had the experience, early in my career, of being on a team that looked at the history
- department at Irvine, obviously from the experience of the foundation of this department. And I
- saw a very striking difference of strategy in the way in which the two departments had been
- 171 created. At Irvine, in history and, so far as I could understand, other departments, they hired

172 across the full range of ranks of faculty from the very beginning so that in several cases, junior 173 faculty actually arrived before senior faculty did. Whereas, here, as I understood it—and it 174 certainly happened in my department - a cadre of senior faculty were hired, they were established, and then they began to hire junior faculty. 175 BRUECKNER: 176 Right, that's true. 177 **CHODOROW:** Is that your perception? And what difference did that make? 178 ARNOLD: A lot. 179 BRUECKNER: I think a tremendous difference. 180 CHODOROW: Explain it. If you have the half-a-dozen, first key people I hired were world famous. They 181 BRUECKNER: 182 were outstanding people. And they already put a clear imprint on the nature that physics would 183 have here. And if you start with the assistant professors and they're involved in selecting the others, the first assistant professors don't come the same [inaudible], they're not fully 184 185 established yet. They don't have the names, the reputation [inaudible] first people I 186 hired. So, that was very, very important. 187 ARNOLD: And equally important, I think—maybe I'm again breaking in too soon—is these are people who know the field very, very well. When it comes to hiring the junior faculty, they 188 189 have a pretty clear picture of where the bodies are buried and what kind of criteria to use. If 190 someone like Roger Revelle, let's say, with all his abilities and vision would have tried, on his 191 own, to judge which were the assistant professors to put his money on. Another thing, of course, 192 we were—I never believed this at the time—but we were really favored over those institutions, 193 because we had the opportunity. Clark Kerr and Roger, I think it should be said, never had a 194 very easy relationship. They're very different kinds of people. Nonetheless, Kerr put a lot of 195 chips on the table at UC San Diego, which he did not do to anything like the same degree at Irvine and Santa Cruz. So that—I suppose you had a similar number—I had 12 FTEs in my 196 197 pocket, at one point, for the chemistry department. Looking back on that, Keith, it's just unheard 198 of, I mean nobody did -199 **BRUECKNER:** [inaudible] but also senior professors.

- 200 ARNOLD: Senior, that's right, that's right. We were being pushed, eventually. Our first 201 assistant professor was Stan Miller—that wasn't so bad. But just as you said, we, too, had a 202 cadre of people and that's [inaudible]. But Kerr actually came down here to see me oh, 18 months ago, something like that. Flew down here—and some others, not just me but 203 204 three or four others—because he's writing a book on the history of UC and his time. And I was jeez, you know, he's in his 90s. Steel trap memory—I wish mine was as good. Anyway, we had 205 206 a good talk. 207 CHODOROW: Let me ask a question about the intellectual framework in the forming of these 208 departments. You said that when you had appointed, recruited to the campus a certain number of senior faculty, they put a stamp on the department that said very clearly to the world what 209 210 kind of physics were going to be done here. Situate the kind of physics in the world of that time; what was going on in the field of physics as a discipline, and what piece of it had you carved 211 212 out, and why had you carved that out? 213 Well, I chose the fields of elementary particle theory, elementary particle BRUECKNER: 214 experiments—although they would have to be done somewhere else—plasma physics, and low temperature physics. I chose those four because, with the exception of the energy physics, they 215 216 could develop facilities here. Of course, in that period, I also got Margaret and Geoffrey 217 Burbidge to come here. But of course, they came not because there were facilities here but 218 there were facilities close by. They were some of the later recruited by me, after the beginning. 219 CHODOROW: Mm-hmm, after the first. 220 **BRUECKNER:** Yeah, so the idea of adding those two astronomers was past the original 221 pattern that I had chosen. 222 **CHODOROW:** And so, some part of your decision to recruit in those fields was related to your own interests, obviously, but also what was possible in a new campus in this location. 223 224 **BRUECKNER:** It was a combination of what I knew about the world of physics, which was 225 extensive, and then the pattern that was set by being here with no major facilities nearby.
- 226 **CHODOROW:** What about in chemistry?
- ARNOLD: Well, in chemistry, I think the vision developed a little more slowly, but we got a tremendous impetus in the direction that Keith was talking about, by the presence of Harold

- 229 Urey. I mean, he was one of the great men, you know? And at 65 still, as we all remember,
- tremendously vigorous. The notion was—to the original people here, and you spoke of Eckart
- and Lieberman—the equivalents in chemistry were Hans Seuss and Harold Urey, who certainly
- was not an SIO person but who came at the same time. So, the three of us were the core. We
- taught, and since physical chemistry was what we'd all originally been in, and since the earth
- sciences and oceanography were really strong, that a little bit influenced our early
- 235 appointments.
- But actually, the next appointment, and a very critical one, was one that you initiated, Keith, if
- you recall, when you asked me whether, since your department, your group, were interested in
- 238 Maria Mayer or whether we were interested in Joe. And that was not a very hard question to
- answer. Joe and Maria were, you know, just wonderful people, and I had known them both very
- well in Chicago, and Harold Urey had known them better. And so, that was the first move. And if
- I may, it's your department but I feel so strongly about this. I don't know that it occurred to you or
- to Roger or to me not to offer Maria a professorship. But when you did offer Maria a
- 243 professorship—12 years after she had done the shell theory, a woman of 55—that was the first
- regular faculty position she'd ever been offered in her life. And what I remember so much, I was
- shocked at that—I still am shocked at that. And I remember Willie Zachariasen—another old
- 246 member of the circle—was at Caltech for the summer. He flew back to Chicago, made what Joe
- Mayer described to me as a princely counteroffer, and they thanked him and came. Which is
- 248 exactly what you would've done or I would've done under the same circumstances. And I
- 249 particularly wanted to dwell on that a bit because of your mention of Margaret and Geoff
- 250 Burbidge. It was not an analogous case, quite. Nonetheless, those very distinguished people
- 251 hadn't settled yet, and yet they were the news. I mean, B2FH was a big, big paper, and they
- were the news. And again, I'm rambling a bit, but why not? One question—Margaret Burbidge
- could not be appointed in physics because of the nepotism rules.
- 254 **BRUECKNER:** In Chicago.
- 255 **ARNOLD:** At Chicago or here.
- 256 **CHODOROW:** Or here.
- 257 **ARNOLD:** So—you might not remember that little thing—we had all these FTEs. Here was
- a woman who had written a paper on the origin of the chemical elements that was good enough
- for me and my colleagues. So, we told her that she didn't have to come to faculty meetings, but

- we would cover—that lasted about a year or something, it gave way very, very fast. Of course, I
- 261 knew that paper very well and knew them a little, and it was obvious that a somewhat feminist
- 262 bias for the time was very cost-effective in our recruitment, because those two couples were -
- 263 **CHODOROW:** In the case of the Mayers, had Joe always been on the chemistry side?
- 264 ARNOLD: Yes.
- 265 **CHODOROW:** Because I always thought of him as on the cusp between chemistry and
- 266 physics.
- 267 **ARNOLD:** Well, of course, he was, he became president of the American Physical Society,
- 268 for god's sake. But his faculty appointments, I believe—Johns Hopkins, Columbia, and
- 269 Chicago—were all in chemistry and he taught physical chemistry and all that. But yes, of
- course, he was on the cusp. That cusp is not a very sharp narrow peak, it's very broad. Lots of
- 271 people on both sides. Solid state—I used to say Bernd Matthias was the best synthetic and
- organic chemist on the campus. He invented—discovered—whatever you like, new compounds.
- 273 Where the hell is the boundary?
- 274 **CHODOROW:** One of the things that has come out in your conversation, Jim, is the Johns
- 275 Hopkins/Chicago/Columbia/Princeton sort of circuit. And that it would appear that many of the
- 276 people who ended up here had gone through that circuit. Do you want to comment on that
- 277 background, and what was happening?
- 278 **ARNOLD:** Well, as far as I know, only Urey and the Mayers went through that whole circuit.
- 279 Chicago was—not for Keith but for us—very much the center. Though if I come to the next
- 280 person that we appointed, which was Bruno Zimm—he had been a student of Joe's at
- 281 Columbia. Again, just to create an atmosphere a little bit, that's worth telling. We were in
- interested in biochemistry from the beginning. That was part of our plan. Biochemistry: very
- exciting—was and is. Looking around, we always tried to find somebody who was kind of a little
- bit attached to our world a little bit, so that the person didn't feel completely lost. And Bruno was
- a theoretical statistical mechanician of some reputation, and we got a call from Joe one day,
- 286 who had found out from Bruno that he was looking around to leave the General Electric
- 287 Company where he had been a polymer chemist for them for many years. Theorist and
- 288 experimentalist—Bruno was marvelous at both. And the reason being that he was drifting into
- 289 biology; he was doing these General Electric type things and he was moving away. And this is

another story about the atmosphere at the time, because I called Bruno, I knew him slightly, and he said, "Yes, indeed." I invited him out, and he said, "Well, I'll only have to charge you for half a plane fare because I'm going to interview at UCLA, also."

290

291

292

293

294

295296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314315

316

317

318

319320

321

322

Okay, well, I knew the rules—as you did, Keith. It was okay for campuses to compete, but they had to keep each other informed if you started treading on somebody else's turf. And you had to not outbid them in salary. Those were the rules. So, I called Bill McMillan, who was the UCLA person, I told him that I talked—what I just told you. And he said, "Oh, well, I think we kind of have some sort of preemptive claim. A year ago, I appointed a committee of the department to study directions and who we could hire, and then two months ago we had a shortlist of candidates, and last month we selected Bruno Zimm as our first choice. And I've talked to the dean and the dean has given me tentative approval. So, we touched all the bases." And I said, "Well, I've talked to all my colleagues in chemistry. I have a unanimous vote that you're the next person we want to hire. I've talked to the chief campus officer, the equivalent. He's enthusiastic about it. So, we've done all that this morning." That's what we could do in those days.

And Bruno came and was also captured by the vision, and took a part then in part of the story that'll probably come soon, which is the development of biology/biochemistry. But at any rate, he came, we knew one thing we had to pay attention to: chemistry is a service [?] department, as mathematics is. There are lots of people in other fields, so we knew that in addition to going to what we regarded as hot areas—chemistry traditionally has these subtribes; physiochemistry, organic chemistry, inorganic chemistry, analytical chemistry, are the four big tribes. And we thought we could get along without analytical chemistry and that was quite correct, because it was going completely in the direction of instrumentation and physical chemists can administer instrumentation. But we put biochemistry in its place. So, we needed an organic chemist. We tried a couple of famous people and didn't get anyone, and I had a big stack of letters from people who had got word of what was up. And I don't know, there must've been 20 or 30 organic chemists in the stack, none of whom looked interesting. But we had known Frank Westheimer from Chicago, here it comes again. Frank was, by this time, at Harvard. So I talked to Frank. Frank said, "We've got this interesting guy, here." Teddy Traylor had been an industrial organic chemist for about 15 years after he got his degree, and he decided that that was not what he really wanted to do. So, he went back, after getting a very good salary, and did a year with Frank, and Frank said, "Look at this guy." So, brought him out, liked him very much, and he was our second assistant professor, and for a while covered that area. And he was good enough to make tenure almost immediately, and give us something of a name. We did later go

- out and hire some more people at the top. In inorganic chemistry, we didn't start fast at all; that
- didn't get going until several years later—maybe it isn't properly part of the story. We didn't do
- very well, at first, in that; we're doing just fine now, but in that early period when Keith and I
- were putting departments together. One question that I don't—Keith, when was—or how was—
- 327 the physics department officially blessed and formally established, so that you could recruit
- 328 graduate students and have a program and all that sort of thing?
- 329 **BRUECKNER:** I don't really recall, I think it must've been some of us had postdoc students
- come with us, so it was essentially going immediately.
- 331 **CHODOROW:** I can remember, from some of the records that I have looked at and things
- l've read, that the campus was approved by the Regents, I believe, in November of 1960, as a
- full-on campus. And, of course, Scripps had existed, so that you could've been departments
- under Scripps. But those degrees, as I remember, were actually offered by UCLA, not by UC—
- 335 there was no UCSD, so it was a -
- 336 **ARNOLD:** Well, even more important and more troublesome, though they were very good,
- was that all our appointment files, the people you mentioned, Walter Kohn, Bernd Matthias, they
- 338 all went through UCLA budget committee. And –
- 339 **CHODOROW:** Right, which must've been somewhat awkward.
- 340 **ARNOLD:** It was somewhat awkward. But I have to say that John Galbraith, who was the
- chairman of the committee when I was there—and I thought they were very good, you know,
- they played it straight, they weren't jealous and troublesome as someone might have imagined.
- 343 I want to go back, if I may -
- 344 **CHODOROW:** Let me just finish the one thing which is that, I believe that you had students
- 345 already enrolled prior to that approval. That in effect, you anticipated, by several months -
- 346 **BRUECKNER:** I think so. I'm not sure there were students. I know there were postdocs.
- 347 **ARNOLD:** We had one in our case, who was Don Crothers—came with Bruno Zimm, was in
- the National Academy nine years later. He was rather an exceptional student.
- But I wanted to go back to my first arrival, because of something that I think is important in the
- 350 history. Roger took me up to a meeting of the Board of Regents at Lake Arrowhead, toward the

end of '58. That was very interesting and very informative. We had the land; as you say, the formalities didn't come until later. But when the proposition to give us the land passed overwhelmingly, both in San Diego and La Jolla, we thought we were pretty in the clear, and you must've thought so, too. Anyway, what struck me there: met Regent Pauley. Regent Pauley was Roger's great opponent. A little bit senile but still a man of power and you could feel it when you talked to him. And his idea was to put UCSD in Balboa Park, which looks rather shocking in retrospect. But at any rate, he was not giving up. And two things struck me. One was that Roger had supporters and not-supporters in the Board of Regents. And the other was that you could tell instantly which Regents Roger liked and didn't like. And I said to myself, "Trouble. That's not a good situation." That was my first inkling of what we all hoped, and I think a good part assumed, that Roger would become chancellor. And the opposition in the Regents was certainly what prevented that. But at any rate, at that point I began to understand the politics of the place, which was not discouraging but motivating, really.

351

352

353

354

355

356 357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

One person—I've been rambling much more than Keith—one person that I haven't mentioned is Martin Kamen. Bruno was getting into biochemistry, but he was not a card-carrying biochemist at that moment. We looked around for one, and Harold was the one who brought up Martin's name. But I knew Martin very well. He wrote the classic book on radioactivity, radioactive tracers in chemistry and biology, and had done a Ph.D. in chemistry at Chicago, not overlapping us at all—'70s, in the '30s. But anyway, the reason we didn't develop as quickly as Keith in physics is that we missed more often than we hit in the first year or so. We had all sorts of distinguished people that we wanted to bring, and some really thought about it but they didn't come, until Joe. And Martin was certainly a distinguished person. And I went to an American Chemical Society meeting, probably in '60, in Boston, with a list of possible recruits, most of them from Harvard and MIT, as you might expect, and got nowhere with them. But Martin called me. It was one of the characteristics of Martin Kamen, that he always knew the gossip all around the country. And so, he called me, and I thought, "Ah, this is too good to miss," and I went out to Brandeis, where he was at that time. And I think it took us about 15 minutes to be good friends. And he had his reasons for leaving, although he turned around and brought Nate Kaplan here, who had been his chairman. They were very good friends. But at any rate, that was an instant winner; he wanted to come, we wanted him to come. And it was at that point that we felt—I don't remember either exactly at what point we were blessed by the authorities to be a formal department. But at any rate, it was at that point that, in our opinion, we were ready and we started acting like a department. So, those things were somewhere about the same.

- 384 **CHODOROW:** Do you want to talk at all about the decisions that led to the foundation of
- 385 biology as a third department?
- 386 **ARNOLD:** Sure, sure.
- 387 **BRUECKNER:** That happened before I was here.
- 388 **CHODOROW:** It had already happened when you got here.
- 389 **ARNOLD:** That's right.
- 390 **BRUECKNER:** Yeah, Dave Bonner was here, I'm sure.
- 391 **CHODOROW:** Oh, he was here?
- 392 **ARNOLD:** Yeah, that's true. It happened in an interesting way. We had not planned it,
- except that we knew there was going to be a biology department. It was obvious. And—oh no,
- am I going to forget another name? One of the staff people at Scripps walked into my lab one
- day—I didn't have an office, I just had a big lab facing the ocean. That was part of the recruiting
- 396 game. Here I go getting distracted again because it was the seawall of the new Scripps building,
- and it's all glass, looking out on the pier and the ocean. And I had a technique that I applied
- several times with Bruno, for example. You pick up the great man at the airport and you say,
- "I'm going to take you to your hotel, but I've gotta drop by the lab for a few minutes." And I did it
- with Martin, too. And then you can always find something to do in the lab. And then, you take
- 401 them to the hotel and by that time, especially if you did it in January or August, you were making
- 402 points. Some of the people who were intending to be rather difficult were much less difficult after
- 403 that experience.
- So, okay, Dave Bonner. Bill Belser—it's come to me now, in fact he is now a professor at
- 405 Riverside, for many years—he was a staff person at Scripps. And he walked in and said that he
- 406 had heard that Dave Bonner was possibly available. And I said, "Who is Dave Bonner?" I knew
- 407 Francis Bonner is his brother, who was a chemist and was, about that time, made chairman of
- 408 the chemistry department in SUNY—in the State University of New York in Stony Brook. And I
- knew of Jim Bonner, who was at Caltech. But I'd never heard of David. So, he straightened me
- out and it sounded interesting and I showed the material to Roger and Roger was interested, so
- 411 we invited Dave out. And Dave was a very different—here's not here to defend himself, so I
- 412 think I should say something about it—he was a very different character from Keith and me. For

one thing, he was quite a lot older, in his 50s. For another thing, what really amazed me about him, he had Hodgkin's disease, which is, in those days, inevitably fatal. Here he was sitting in a very good professorship at Yale, but the idea of breaking away and starting a new department and –

[END OF PART ONE, BEGIN PART TWO]

413

414

415

416

417 ARNOLD: ...being shown around by Roger and by me—and others maybe—and also 418 looking around at the possibilities for snorkeling and other things of that kind. And, in no time at 419 all, I think his reaction was much like Keith's. He just thought, "This is great." And one of his 420 great complaints about Yale was that it was stuffy. Oh, Princeton chemistry department was 421 pretty stuffy too, I must say. But nobody could ever accuse David [Bonner] of being stuffy. The 422 phrase "piss and vinegar" was a sort of favorite thing—that was something good was, or 423 somebody good was "full of piss and vinegar." 424 We had a little space which we'd planned to use for ourselves for chemistry on the top of 425 Sverdrup Hall; we had one floor of Sverdrup Hall. It was not very big; I think it's about 8 or 9000 square feet. And into that we shoehorned the new arrivals in chemistry and the first few 426 427 appointments. Dave brought John Singer with him from Yale, or planned to and did. And then later two assistant professors; Stan Mills is still here, he was one of them. And it was just a 428 429 perfect fit as far as I was concerned and as far as our department was concerned, because when I was recruiting almost anybody I could take them up to the top floor in Sverdrup, and say, 430 431 "Now, find the line between the chemistry department and the physics department and the 432 biology department. It does not exist." 433 There was Bruno, there was Keith. There was Bruno, there was David. And they were talking all 434 the time. We really made—I think this was true in all three departments—we really made tremendous strides there, which I'm afraid didn't last too well. But at any rate, in that early period 435 436 it worked very well in breaking down the institution departmental barriers, which was part of 437 Roger's dream. We went through a bottom period, but I think it's gotten better recently. Anyway, that worked just fine. I think David lasted four years. I may be wrong, but it was 438 439 something like that. Active till the last. Every once in a while, he'd go off for some radiation 440 treatment and be down for a week or two, but he was a tremendous driving force. He was also 441 different, I think, from both of us in another way. He loved a good fight. David—I always

compared him—he was not Irish, but you know—there is this Irish sense that a good fight is the most fun in the world. And that's how he was. And he had a lot of opportunity, because he had a very significant role in starting the medical school. Oh, that was a bloody business. And he knew more than the rest of us. And he got into one fight with me, which I didn't ask for. I didn't like it at all. The fact that we had fought on the same side for—again and again—didn't deter him at all. There was an anthropology appointment which I was pushing, which I still believe would have been a wonderful one. David just scuttled it and without a trace of shame to cause me that kind of trouble. But, of course, with every right to do so. So, that I contrasted him, Keith, in fact, in my mind with Steve Warschawski. I think arguably

Steve Warschawski, in math, was the most successful department chairman we ever had in this place. And that's one hell of a department. And Steve is about as mild and gentle a person—was—as I ever knew. Or he seemed that way. And these two people were very successful and very different. And I guess you and I are very different too, Keith, so... [laughter]

CHODOROW: Let me ask a question about—the question about biology—I didn't realize what the timing was, what the chronology was, but there was in the early days of the campus, in fact, before the campus was actually founded, this was going to be an Institute of Science and Technology emphasizing the graduate level and in fact, I arrived in 1968. Among the first, I was in the second year of the junior appointments in history. And at that time, it was still said there would be 60% graduate students and 40% undergraduates. But at some point, in the end of the 50s and beginning in the 60s, the campus became aimed at becoming a general campus, therefore you needed to develop really substantial departments in humanities and the social sciences. And a group, the group of you who were already here, were all scientists. How did you start talking about that?

BRUECKNER: Well, I can tell you what happened with me. When Herb York was made the first Chancellor and Roger Revelle was bypassed, that was a very, very unhappy event for me. York had no academic administrative experience at all. He had none. He had been Director of Livermore; he was a graduate student with me at Berkeley; been Director at Livermore, and then he was first DDR&E—Defense Director of Research and Engineering—in the Pentagon, then he came here. But the contrast between York and Revelle was so great that Revelle left the campus to go to—he was scientific advisor to the Secretary of the Interior and I left at the same time. I went to Washington to the— I became Vice President of IDA. But after about a year—

[END OF PART TWO, BEGIN PART THREE]

474	CHODOROW: and of course now strengthened by IRPS. But that was a very rare instance
475	in which the Library actually played a substantive role in the academic planning of the campus.
476	Because it knew what it could buy, what was on the market, what was possible to build. And so,
477	the history of the Library becomes a very interesting one as it interweaves with the departments.
478	ARNOLD: Well, it'sI certainly feel I'm circling back to where we started this tape. I think my
479	expectations as to what this place might become were of course not realized. I mean, we all
480	thought it could be much better than it is. But nonetheless, if I can say the sentence that I
481	believe, which is that this is the best university founded at any rate in this country since the
482	University of Chicago in 1896, and maybe in the world. Well that's pretty impressive and—
483	having had a significant role in that—very satisfying to look back on. It's also very satisfying to
484	have done it in the company of the kind of people that we did. That was a very interesting, very
485	dedicated group of people. And it was a hell of a lot of fun.
486	CHODOROW: Yeah, it certainly was at the beginning for a junior faculty member.
487	ARNOLD: Uh huh, I can believe that.
488	CHODOROW: One of the things that was so striking is that in the late 60s, it was not
489	possible for the campus to relegate its junior faculty to the status they usually have in a
490	university
491	ARNOLD:[inaudible]
492	CHODOROW: "go get your work done, sometime in the next few years, we will decide
493	whether you belong here or not. But in the meantime, your elders, your seniors will do the work
494	of the campus. There weren't enough of you. And we were drawn in."
495	ARNOLD: Oh, yeah, the atmosphere—I had a real education in group dynamics as the
496	chemistry department grew. Up to the time I think we were about 12 or 15, we were a big family.
497	I mean, we just got together if we had some issues to discuss. Obviously, when the promotions
498	to tenure take up, you didn't discuss them with the assistant professors, but just about
499	everything else was discussed. Then that started to go away. Then cleavages—sometimes
500	because of personality sometimes because of fields—began to appear. There was a period in

501	the middle to late 70s when it was bad enough to cause some concern in higher quarters.
502	Definitely better now, but it's pretty hard.
503	I think even today, a department that has a roster something like 60— [inaudible] biology
504	is probably still bigger. But that's not a department as they traditionally were because people
505	have smaller, more local allegiances, they're not really The tendency would be for a physical
506	chemist not to give a tinker's damn how the department rates in organic chemistry, and so on.
507	And that kind of thing—and then the constant threat of cleavage or trouble between the
508	biochemists and the rest. Biochemistry has done extremely well [inaudible], very well.
509	Better than I think straight chemistry on the whole though, again, that's probably not so true
510	now.
511	Wonderful people, but it's certainly assistant professorsand you know, in the case of Bob
512	[Robert] Hamburger, what status did he have that I mean he was in on all of the discussions as
513	a resource. In fact, somebody once, now that I think of it—Bob knows this—but one
514	distinguished faculty member came around at one point and raised hell about who's this fellow
515	that was sitting in on these discussions, planning discussions, throwing his weight around just
516	on the strength of his M.D degree. Where are his publications, where are you know, all that
517	kind of thing. We didn't look at it that way. If you were helpful, you were there.
518	CHODOROW: Okay, well, let's quit.

[END OF INTERVIEW]