

The Indicator

Volume 4 No. 2

San Diego, California

27 January 1967

UCSD Holds 2nd Successful Rally

Yesterday, 26 January, a rally took place in Revelle Commons, starting at 2:00 p.m. Approximately 500 students were present to hear speakers discuss the crisis with which the University is faced and to plan immediate action.

Featured speakers included Dr. Seymour Harris, economist, Dr. Russ Doolittle, chemist, Dr. Herbert Marcuse, philosopher, and biology t.a. Thomas Rado.

Dr. Harris opened the meeting with an incisive analysis of the financial facts relating to the proposed budget cut and tuition measures. During the course of his remarks about the budget cut, a move that he termed "ridiculous," he revealed that the University budget represents less than one three thousandth of the total budget of the state. He also stressed the importance of the University in bringing government contracts to the state, and pointed this fact out as the reason that California is one of the few states that receives more money from the Federal Government than it pays out.

Dr. Doolittle then spoke on the importance of faculty solidarity and suggested that an important bargaining tool would be a show of readiness by the majority of the faculty to leave the University if the administration or government adopted certain measures. He said that the faculty would have to set a certain limit to the actions of the powers that be, and that once they crossed this Rubicon the faculty would be forced to make good its threat. Moreover, he stressed that such a move would have to entail a sufficient number of professors to preclude its dismissal as the effort of a small group of extremists.

Dr. Marcuse then spoke on the problem of the antagonism of the general public and the attitudes of the students toward it. Although he did not recommend the antagonization of the public as an end per se, he did recognize it as a necessary evil, and pointed out that

if one made a great effort to avoid antagonism one might also unwittingly avoid attention. Thus, in the long run, it would be better to show too much spirit than to show too little.

Finally, Tom Rado spoke to the students, accusing the AS, the academic senate, and the administration of passivity and apathy, and advocating the teach-in. This met with immediate widespread approval.

Several of the speeches received standing ovations. Applause during the speeches was frequent and enthusiastic. Just as vociferous were the rare shows of disapproval.

After the speeches, the meeting was opened to general discussion. Debate on the subject of the proposed teach-in was heated, as were arguments both in approval and in censure of the present student government. During the course of the discussion, AS president Richard Moncreiff stated his position on the teach-in. This position was later amplified at considerable length and in great detail by AS vice-president James Heflin in a carefully-planned address.

After the resolution of many differences the following measures were finally adopted:

1. To hold the teach-in today and tomorrow as planned (see story)
2. To invite the Governor or his to attend the teach-in.
3. To publicize the teach-in by means of full-page ads in the SAN DIEGO UNION, encouraging any interested member of the public to attend the teach-in.

The rally was finally adjourned by the Chair at 4:45 p.m.



Mr. Rado, without wit or courage'

translov

Transloveairways will present a giant Gathering of the Tribes at UCSD this Sunday starting at 12:00 and lasting until ?. It will be held on the South Lawn by the HL building. Several local bands, including the Two Children and the King Biscuit plus several bands from L.A. will be there. It is absolutely FREE FREE. Everyone is invited—come and trip barefoot and dance on the lawn.



Heflin addresses student rally

Senate Agrees to Tripartite Election

The AS senate of UCSD on January 19th voted 3 to 1 in favor of holding a general election to place 6 students as representatives on the Tripartite Committee on Student Affairs and Conduct. This Committee will consist, in addition to the 6 students, of three faculty and 2 administrative members, with Dean Murphy as Chairman.

The formation of this committee was originally centered around discussions between Dean Murphy and Ad Hoc Committee representatives. Its planned purpose will be to review and interpret University regulations concerning student affairs and conduct. It will also act as an advisory committee to the judicial board.

Prior to the AS meeting of January 19 there had been disagreements over whether the student representatives should be appointed or elected.

AS president Richard Moncreiff was in favor of appointment. He felt that the election of these representatives would create a partisan situation. He considered it a possibility that the candidate might be backed by student organizations, and that they, the representatives, would tend to support their groups' interests rather than those of the University. Moncreiff further expressed his concern that the partisan situation might eventually create a power split in the AS government.

Representatives of the Ad Hoc Committee were in favor of electing the six representatives on the grounds that the candidates selected should be representative of the general student body and not of the senate. Additional questions were raised over the possible influence on the AS senate's appointments by the administration. Finally, in reply to Moncreiff's concern over the creation of a partisan situation, it was mentioned that the only student organization capable of effectively supporting a candidate would be SIL, and it was not believed that they would do so.

The motion passed by the AS senate was that a tripartite election be held with graduates and undergraduates eligible to run. Another

part of the motion was that no AS government organization be connected with the Committee. This means that no AS office-holder can be one of the six representatives. In a subsequent motion it was suggested and passed by a unanimous vote of the senate that the representatives would be selected by a majority vote (1 over

(continued on page 4)

Urey Discusses University Future

The INDICATOR wishes to thank Mr. Sherwood R. Gordon and radio station KSDO for providing us with a tape of their program "Opinion Please" from which this article is written.

Dr. Harold Urey, Nobel prize Laureate and Professor of Physics at UCSD, recently appeared on the interview program "Opinion Please." Dr. Urey made it quite clear that he was speaking independently of the University and its administration. He appeared as an individual and "as a citizen of California." Dr. Urey spoke on the budget cuts proposed by Ronald Reagan, and on the firing of University President Clark Kerr.

First Dr. Urey explained that the University had originally requested a \$278 million budget, which the administration then cut by 30% to \$192 million. When a general 10% cut in all government spending was decided on, the budget was brought up to the \$260 million mark. The deficit was to be made up from the Regents' private funds and from tuition. Dr. Urey remarked that the first backlash from talk of economizing was a threatened salary cut.

Dr. Urey was asked whether he thought that this would cause many teachers to leave the University. He pointed out that there was always a "certain amount of movement back and forth," and that professors "would not hunt for jobs so fast." However, he also stated that "it just might be, if we are going to economize. . . that people may find it very attrac-

First UCSD Teach-In

The teach-in for today and tomorrow will be run along the following lines, which were announced by Campus Concern Committee president Paul Woodson late last night:

On Friday, activities will commence at noon with various professors, representing almost all the departments of UCSD, available for discussions in the Plaza. Each professor will have a student aide to record questions and responses, and a runner who will communicate between the individual professors and an information center in the Philosophy Commons, HL 315, where such information as trends in the discussions and numbers of students speaking to the particular professors will be displayed on a large board. All suggestions will be fed into this central clearing-house for further consideration.

On Saturday the activities will commence at 10:00 with a convocation in the Plaza. A single student and a single faculty member will each be allowed ten minutes in which to speak. If Governor Reagan or his representative attend they will also be offered this opportunity to air their views.

It is hoped that as many members as possible of the general public attend the Saturday sessions, which will be publicized with full-page ads in the SAN DIEGO UNION. After the convocation, individual discussion groups will arrange to meet with at least 20 professors in residence hall lounges and other rooms.

Chronology

The following is a chronology of the major events which have taken place during the last week regarding the actions of the Regents of the University:

JANUARY 19: Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee Executive Council, at which it was decided to compile a comprehensive analysis of the "crisis" threatening the University. Meeting of the student senate, where the Committee (now the Committee on Student Affairs) received the senate's approval and financial support for their research.

JANUARY 20: Clark Kerr dismissed from the presidency of the University of California.

JANUARY 24: Chancellor Galbraith meets with student representatives to discuss events. Rally in the cafeteria, where the issues were placed before the student body, and speakers were heard. Meeting of the Academic Senate to decide opinion of the professors on the student actions. Results were inconclusive.

JANUARY 25: Meeting of the heads of the Student Affairs Committee to decide course of future action.

tive to go elsewhere." He added that "a great many people would hesitate" to take even administrative jobs in view of the unstable situation.

On the argument of tuition, it was pointed out that once students were educated at state expense, they could then leave the state. They should therefore be made to pay their education. Dr. Urey replied that we should not "regard our state line as a barbed-wire fence." He explained that even if students were to go elsewhere, other students from out of state would move in to fill their places. Dr. Urey said that if he had had to pay tuition, "It would have been the straw that broke the camel's back." He often cited his debt to the tuition-free system of education, and said "I hope to keep on paying for it as long as I live." Dr. Urey said that he would never have reached his doctorate if he had had to pay tuition, and he considered the education tax as being the cheapest way of paying for the education of his wife and children. He also presented tuition free education as being the most effective method of helping the poor escape their economic depression.

Dr. Urey felt that the main problem was a "great deal of annoyance with the University." People felt that they could punish students by making them pay for their education. However, Dr. Urey said that he felt the way students behaved was more a reflection on parental influence than anything the University had taught them.

Editorials: Atlas Welched

Looking around the campus, we might well ask ourselves where all the flaming young conservatives are going to come from. We still have Mr. Welch to carry the ball for the team in the great tradition of Joe McCarthy and the old gang, but none of the young conservatives of our acquaintance have quite the same verve that we have come to know and love in our right-wing friends. We suspect the influence of a certain foreign-born writer, idol of the young conservative set, who suggested that the best possible life that any dissenter from the majority opinion could lead would be to remove himself to a tiny valley somewhere in the Sierras, and there, protected by a magical optical ray stolen from an old Buck Rogers comic book, to continue living unaffected by such minor worries as what is going on in the rest of the world.

Apparently this is the sort of solution that appeals to our conservatives. Not only do they read books revolving around various people's attempts to escape from the world, but they tend to follow a course of withdrawal in everyday life. Surely in all the time that UCSD has been in existence, at least one thing must have happened to arouse the conservative conscience. However, if it has, they have kept it a secret among themselves. When have conservatives spoken out strongly on any issue? What conservative spokesman has arisen? There has been an SIL group on this campus for as long as anyone can remember; the Young Republicans finally got around to organizing a club during the second week of this month.

We have no argument with how Miss Rand resolves the conflicts in her books. Indeed, we think of her as the most promising writer in the Kafkaesque tradition. However, we would not recommend using her methods in everyday life. Withdrawal is no answer. It implies both that the ideas being presented cannot stand up to open discussion, and that the people presenting the ideas have given up and run away. If one is really interested in winning an argument, leaving the field to the enemy seems a strange way to do it. It is, furthermore, a serious disservice to the community. It leaves one group unopposed, able to do whatever it pleases. It promotes "ivory tower" thinking in the other group, and it promotes one-sidedness in both groups.

We would honestly like to see the day when we can report the right side as well as the left. We would like to have a proposition from the right for every proposition from the left. We would like to see the right promoting their own programs, generating their own student interest, but first they must take a definite stand on some campus issue. They must develop a side, and they must present the arguments for their side, not among themselves and not in articles written specifically for each other, but in the free and open press. The only reason that one would avoid the established press is the fear that one's ideas could not stand the stress of attention they would receive there. However, one should never complain of one-sidedness in either government or press if one has no alternatives to offer.

Fulfilling Deficiencies

"I will no longer be content to hear apathetic alibis which excuse our campus from the many deficiencies so apparent in our administration and government . . . You have elected me to lead you in fulfilling such deficiencies. I thank you, and it will be done."

In case you do not recognize the above remarks, and a large majority probably won't, they are an excerpt from a statement released by Richard Moncreiff to the Sandscript Times during the week of his election. They are brave words, but how has he lived up to them in his four months of active service? Has he, indeed, been a leader in "fulfilling deficiencies"?

As far as deficiencies are concerned, Mr. Moncreiff is by far the foremost leader in the entire University system. Under his leadership, the senate during its 19 January meeting actually agreed to let the members of the Tripartite Committee be selected by the student body in a general election. Since elections, general or otherwise, have been sadly lacking on this campus, we all must agree that this is certainly a step forward in the fulfilling of deficiencies. The senate had earlier debated the value of a general election, because some members had reasoned that all political power and all authority stemmed from the senate. To allow students to vote on their representatives might create some confusion about who was running this democracy.

The senate's attitude raises some questions about who elects whom. Actually, it doesn't raise any real questions, because the senate already has its answer, and rumor has it that the senate will meet some time this spring to elect the next student body.

In the meantime, there is the problem of Senate membership. The number of voting senators has fallen to four. The President claims the right to appoint ex officio members to fill the vacant seats. These members will do the work of senators, but will not have votin privileges. We feel that those who would normally have been represented by the retired senators deserve a voice in the government, so, since we are having a general election anyway, wouldn't this be a good opportunity to meet another deficiency and fill those senate seats with voting members?

PASSWORD

by DAVID BOUVIER

And More of It

On or about 7:50 p.m. Wednesday, 25 Jan., my wife and I were returning to our car, parked close to the intersection of Broadway and 7th (on 7th north of Broadway) when I was halted from an approaching police car by an officer who called out, "hey you!" The car drew up and I asked him what he wanted. He said he wanted to know who I was because I looked suspicious. This officer was J. F. Burton, Badge # 772. When I asked Burton why I looked suspicious, he replied testily, "I'll ask the questions." He refused to tell me why I looked suspicious.

I then asked the second officer, F. A. Brown, badge # 394, whether he had been stopped under the provisions of section 647 - e of the California Penal Code. He said yes. I then asked him if he was aware that section 647-e had been declared unconstitutional by the Appellate division of the California Supreme Court. He replied that he had heard something to this effect, but that he was continuing to act according to his departmental instructions, as he had received no order to the contrary.

I informed him that my wife and I would answer no questions until we had been assured that a law that had been declared unconstitutional was still operative pending appeal, or possible appeal, to the Supreme Court. The officers informed me that they had radioed for a sergeant. Shortly thereafter appeared not merely a sergeant, but a captain also. The latter was Capt. R. D. Hoobler, badge # 13, whom my wife and I had previously encountered several weeks before, around midnight, in an all-night laundromat on 1st Avenue. On that occasion, Capt. Hoobler had been called in as reinforcement by a patrolman after my wife had reasonably refused to answer his questions, pointing out that we were obviously in the laundromat for the legitimate purpose of doing our laundry. At that time Capt. Hoobler had rudely and aggressively threatened my wife with jail if she refused to "cooperate" and answer his questions.

He recalled this incident by saying: "Didn't I have the pleasure of meeting you some weeks ago in a laundromat on 1st Avenue?" I replied that we had indeed met, but I was in no way convinced that it had been pleasurable for any of the parties concerned. Hoobler grunted something inaudible at this juncture.

He then informed me that the decision on 647-e was being appealed, and until the appeal was itself decided, 647-e remained operative, but, he added, "You knew this already." He continued, ". . . it's obvious to me and to you that you are just baiting these young officers." The young officers concerned and their sergeant up to this point had me hemmed in in a semi-circle with my back against a wall. They were towering above me, festooned with weapons, and the accusation that I was baiting them seemed somewhat incongruous under the circumstances. My wife protested that we did not know that the law was still operative, and that it was the officers who were baiting us. She then proffered her alien immigration card and I was asked for mine. I explained that this had been stolen, along with my wallet, in Mexico, and that the replacement had not yet arrived. I was closely questioned about this, then Hoobler informed me that he had radioed for the immigration officials and that I would have to wait until they arrived to verify my status.

My wife and I then answered the routine questions to avoid spending the night in jail, and sergeant and captain departed, but not before Brown had questioned me about what courses I was taking at the University. I told him philosophy. "Political philosophy?" he enquired. "Yes," I replied, "amongst other

(continued on page 4)

Letters To The Indicator

Dear Editor:

The University community may like to hear about yet another instance of the kind of run-around which frustrates and angers those of us -- students and faculty alike -- who have to petition administrative committees.

As faculty adviser to the UCSD students for the HUELGA, I wrote to the campus Committee For Arts and Lectures, asking that the Committee consider Caesar Chavez, leader of the National Farm Workers Association, as a possible Mandeville Lecturer. The Committee turned down the proposal, apparently on the grounds that Mr. Chavez had not the "national and international fame" of such previous Mandeville Lecturers as Sidney Hook, Si Cassidy, and Eric Hoffer.


I was told about this three weeks after the decision had been made, by way of a phone call from somebody's secretary. "The long delay, the indirect fashion of passing along important news through a secretary, the know-nothing responses of Committee members when asked about reasons for the refusal, finally the disregard of Mr. Chavez' genuine moral eminence and the national and international currency of his ideas of farm-labor organizing, have the effect of being evasive, even if they were not intended to be evasive. Anyone who would like to hear Mr.

Sincerely,
Donald Westling
Department of Literature

Gentlemen:

In the INDICATOR of 13 January 1967 an article was run criticizing the University bookstore for stocking some copies of the Birch Society Americanist Library. The article also demanded that steps be taken to remedy this "preferential treatment". While all this was being said, several important things were either overlooked or avoided. First of all, the bookstore is not solely an outlet for textbooks, for if it were such items as soap, paper, toothpaste and non-textbooks would not be stocked. The purpose is to supply the students, within reason, with materials they need or want. Secondly, as was admitted by the article, the sole authority for deciding on the stock lies with the manager. As a businessman, his decisions should be based on consumer demand. That there is demand for political publications is evidenced

(continued on page 3)



Verbera! Verbera!

The Indicator

Editor: John McElhose
Campus Editor: Margaret Kassner
Copy Editor: Peter Lert
Layout Manager: Mary Whiteman
Business Manager: Alexander Urquhart
Advertising Manager: James Wickes
Subscriptions: John Mortimer
Photographer: Conrad Young

Staff: Dana Rufolo, Judy Gibson, Maura Hogan, Byron Wilding
Circulation: Richard Feldman, Jim Nelson

Kelly letter continued

by the fact that 65% or better of the Birch society books have been sold.

Discrimination is a very strange subject, for if no one complains it seemingly does not exist. It is not unusual that the store does not stock every brand of soap or toothpaste on the market, and no one would think of accusing the manager of commercial favoritism because he doesn't. If enough people want a particular brand of merchandise, no doubt he would stock it. The bookstore has stocked for a long time both ALTERNATIVES and RAMPARTS but no charge of political favoritism was ever made. But as soon as the bookstore offers an alternate brand and threatens to break the monopoly of the left by allowing competition, the cry of discrimination arises. If the students are willing to buy enough copies of a publication to financially justify stocking it, why should they be denied the right to buy it? If you do not like the "highly reactionary political views" of the Americanist Library or the feces of a dialectic diddle (paraphrasing mine), ALTERNATIVES, don't buy them. Economic pressure is a powerful weapon in a competitive market. Use it!

Speaking of powerful weapons, the author of Mice and Men quite readily blasted the Birch Society for pressuring the bookstore into creating a "discriminatory" situation. Yet he is applying the power of the press to pressure the manager for the removal of the Birch books without mentioning ALTERNATIVES or RAMPARTS. In effect he wants the restoration of the leftist monopoly on opinion publication. Correct me if I am wrong, but can't discrimination go both ways? Or is free speech only free for those of the Left?

Michael Kelley

Readers of the INDICATOR:

In the past, I have always received the same general answer when asking UCSD students if they had read a certain article in the SAN DIEGO UNION. The responses range from excuses such as "The paper's worthless," to the strong response, "Who reads that damn rag!" A certain humanities professor at UCSD once proudly told a class of freshmen, "I only read the LOS ANGELES TIMES." Admittedly, the UNION is probably one of the worst papers in print, but it is widely read by the people of San Diego (the UNION's circulation is over 130,000 and that

of the TRIBUNE over 120,000).

A paper such as the UNION, with its circulation, cannot be so lightly dismissed, especially since it is the only paper commonly available to the general San Diego public. Therefore, its editorials, which are expressed throughout the news articles, exert a wide influence on the San Diego public.

On Wednesday, January 18, on page B-2, the UNION published an editorial entitled "Tuition Subject Merits Study". Following the first paragraph, the paper slammed students in general, the faculty, the administrators of the University of California, and the state colleges.

"It is inextricably tied up with a re-examination of higher education that is developing as a result of disgusting campus activities and academic intrusion into politics.

"Abetting these radicals are irresponsible members of the faculty who boldly shirk their teaching duties, are lax in disciplining students, provide no respectable leadership and oftentimes encourage or participate in defiance of authority.

"Spendthrift administrators who make no effort toward fiscal responsibility have further aroused the public demand for a check rein on higher education."

Further in the article the UNION claimed that 'students spurred by the New Left', who have helped administrators formulate rules and academic courses, have

"...opened the door to an invasion of radical youth and career students who stake out a campus as a safe haven for ideological warfare against their own country."

I cannot understand how the students, t.a.'s, faculty and administration can disregard these slanderous claims. I strongly urge that all people on the UCSD campus read the SAN DIEGO UNION and TRIBUNE and then defend themselves against vicious disparaging words printed therein.


George T. Daniel

ARCADE RECORD SHOP
We order hard-to-get records
ARCADE BLDG., LA JOLLA
454-5315

BRIAR PATCH
Everything for your smoking pleasure
454-1278
7874 GIRARD

JOHN F. GILL, Jeweler
- OUR 18TH YEAR IN LA JOLLA -
7728 GIRARD AVENUE
Phone 459-5285
10% Courtesy Discount to UCSD students and personnel

ALL U.C.S.D. EMPLOYEES and STAFF MEMBERS ARE ELIGIBLE TO



SAVE MORE ON LOWEST INTEREST LOANS
EARN MORE DIVIDEND ON YOUR SAVINGS
CALIFORNIA STATE EMPLOYEES CREDIT UNION NO. 17
5555 MILDRED STREET, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
297-1838



Lomax Visits Campus

Under the auspices of the Guest-Residence program, noted television personality and author Louis Lomax was on campus last week.

Originally slated to visit Hanoi to interview Ho Chi Minh, Lomax was denied permission at the last moment by the North Vietnamese government, and visited Thailand instead. According to Lomax, the more remote areas of the country are already being infiltrated by Communist forces, and the situation might develop into another Vietnam. Lomax also hinted at a large escalation of the war in the near future.

While at UCSD, Lomax held a seminar in the Formal Lounge and a lecture in the Commons, as well as informal discussions in the Beagle Hall Guest Apartment.

University Discusses Kerr's Firing

The University of California at Santa Barbara had a rally on Monday, January 23. The Chancellor, Vice-Chancellor and many of the faculty were present. About 6,000 students attended. Instead of boycotting classes they decided to ask the faculty to conduct open discussions in all classes about the situation of the University on Thursday and Friday.

At the Legislative Council meeting Tuesday from 3 to 5 p.m., Campbell Hall was quite packed with over 900 people. They decided by a 7 to 6 vote to participate in the march to Sacramento on Feb. 11. They hope that the other campuses will join in a long march beginning at San Diego and moving up the coast stopping at each campus and ending with a rally at Davis before entering Sacramento. The Council also voted to support the California Student Federation.

Student Body President Jeffcoat went to UCLA Wednesday to meet the Student Presidents of the other campuses at 11:00.

The Academic Senate condemned the Regents' dismissal of Clark Kerr as being "brutal".

The students plan a series of lectures the week before the Regents meet there next month. They plan to invite Ronald Reagan to "meet the press".

At the Santa Cruz campus there was an orderly rally of 900 of the 1300 students at 12:00 Monday. They demanded that students have a part in the choice of a successor to Kerr.

Jamie Goodman, a student leader, said that since there is no Student Body President at his campus they did not send a representative to UCLA Wednesday. He said that Santa Cruz will not march on Sacramento because they believe that the people of the State would react unfavorably to any Berkeley-like action.

At Berkeley on Monday night the Student Senate passed a resolution to send telegrams of disapproval to the Regents who voted to dismiss Kerr and telegrams of approval to those who voted against the dismissal.

On Tuesday about 1,500 students kept a silent vigil outside the UCB Student Union while the Academic Senate met. At noon on Tuesday about 2,000 students rallied on the steps of Sproul Hall.

On Wednesday there was a noon rally conducted by the Students for a Democratic Society.

At Irvine there was a rally Monday of 1,500 of the 2,000 students. The Chancellor, members of the faculty and students spoke.

Joan Hagan's

COURTIER RE-SALE SHOP

All Designer Lines - nearly new - from the closets of those in the Social Register. Beautiful furs, jewelry, formal and accessories also sports and men's fashions at a fraction of their original cost!

CHRISTMAS GIFTS
7470 EADS AVENUE
LA JOLLA 459-3193

LA JOLLA SHORES PHARMACY
8080 LA JOLLA SHORES DRIVE
459-3477
"Your Prescription Is Our Most Important Product"

Become A Member of the
Casa Mia Restaurant
962 Garnet • Pacific Beach • Phone 488-2650
30% DISCOUNT
On All Full Dinners Listed Below
• PIZZA (16 Kinds) • CHICKEN CACCIATORI
• LASAGNA • VEAL ALA PARMIGIANA
• VEAL SCALLOPINI • RAVIOLI
• SPAGHETTI & MEAT BALLS
Drink, Dessert and Tax not included
Good thru January 1967 - to January 1968

CALIFORNIA WESTERN UNIVERSITY
SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 11
8:00 PM
GOLDEN GYM
ALL SEATS RESERVED
Tickets Available at Highlander stores
2.75 2.50 2.00
DOOR OPENS 7:00 PM

in person
GLENN YARBROUGH
THE STANVAN STREET QUARTET

Password

continued from page 2

things." He then asked me, after noting that I was not obliged to answer, what was my political affiliation. I declined to answer. At no time during these proceedings did any of the officers inform us of our constitutional rights as they are required to do by law.

Shortly thereafter Immigration Patrol Inspectors David E. Nicholson and Robert T. Himmel, of the U.S. Border Patrol, Chula Vista, arrived. A conversation ensued between the Inspectors and the police, to which I was brusquely denied access by the officers. I heard, however, one of the Inspectors say that they could not arrest me for not having my card, and that if the police wanted to push the matter it was their affair.

The Inspectors then came over to me, and Inspector Nicholson asked me for my card. I explained the situation, and offered to take the Inspectors to my home where they might inspect my passport. This offer was accepted by the Inspectors, whose attitude at all times was one of courtesy and helpfulness that contrasted sharply with the hectoring tone and bullying attitude of the members of the SDPD.

I then left to go to my car, parked across the street. I was called back by Brown and issued a citation for jaywalking. Whilst my citation was being written, Inspector Nicholson jaywalked across the street in full view of Brown. I pointed this out, but Brown denied having seen him. I asked him (Inspector Nicholson) if he was aware that he had jaywalked. He replied, "Now that you have told me, yes, but I wasn't aware of doing it as I crossed the street."

My wife, who had meanwhile returned to the car, came back across the street to see what the delay was about. She was issued a citation by Burton. Inspector Himmel then jaywalked back across the street from the official car parked next to mine. I took Brown's arm and pointed to Inspector Himmel. Brown quickly averted his eyes. "You saw him jaywalk," I insisted. "I did not see him until he was on the sidewalk," he replied. I taxed Burton with the same question. "I didn't see him," he replied vigorously.

I became indignant. "Is this how you hope to impress the notions of justice and honest law enforcement on people, by such blatant discrimination?" I protested. "I have different notions about justice, because I am an American," said Brown.

"If you don't like American justice," he said, "you can go back to England."


Tempers rose and words were exchanged, my wife was reduced to tears, but nothing more of substance arose, and we were free to conduct the immigration officials to our home, whence, satisfied that I was not a wetback, they departed.

Ecuminism Comes to College

"The global implications of the ecumenical movement will be brought to the grass-roots level in an exciting experiment in worship and dialogue during the season of Lent," reports Pastor John G. Huber of University Lutheran Church. 'One Faith - Many Forms' will be the theme of a series of midweek services, beginning on Ash Wednesday at 7:30 p.m., to be held in University Lutheran chapel, 9595 La Jolla Shores Dr.

The order of service of seven Christian traditions will be used in the seven-week period. The sermon will highlight the one particular gift which each denomination has to offer to the world and to the entire Christian community. Following the worship, a representative of the featured denomination will discuss his faith informally in the lounge with those who remain for the dialogue.

Special services are also planned for Good Friday and Easter, which will include the showing of the motion picture, "PARABLE." It is hoped that students and other members of the University community will take this opportunity to meet the assigned representatives of their denominational affiliation or preference. Coordination of publicity for the Lenten program is under the direction of John Herndon, vice-president of University Christian Mission.



Bauerlein talks to rainy day women, and men

Sport Shorts

Cwockatabunga Colossal Basketball Game -- Intramural Football Awards Presentation Gala Combo. Saturday night in our home court down Miramar Rd., UC - Riverside and UCSD will tangle in a professional display of athletic prowess with cheerleaders, during the halftime of which the Top Team, Outstanding Player, and Sportsmanship Awards will be presented to the deserving touch-football players. Game starts 8:00 p.m.

Intercollegiate track and golf workouts have commenced. So has the intramural basketball season, on the new Revelle courts.

"Rugby is not just a game, it is a way of life." If you do not believe this, come to Aztec Stadium at 12:00 noon this Saturday to see UCSD's new Rugby team in their first match of the season against San Diego State.

WRESTLING FLASH
UCSD wrestlers beat UC - Riverside team by 33 - 10 yesterday, bringing the team record to four wins and two losses.

Dance

This Friday, January 27, there will be a Psychedelic Dance with a light show presented by Avocado of San Francisco. It will happen in the UCSD cafeteria from 8:00 Friday evening until 2:00 Saturday morning.

There will be TWO BANDS, Sororities from San Diego State and Cal. Western have been specially invited. Admission is \$1.25. Advance tickets may be delivered to your hall by calling 453-1745 453-1992 or purchased at Atlantis Hall 150 or 300.

See you there for an unforgettable experience.

FOOD TO GO

Homemade Spaghetti	Bucket of enough for 2	98c
Ravioli Bucket	enough for 3	\$1.29

Sandwiches of All Kinds

Tues.	Italian Pot Roast
Wed.	Veal Parmigiana
Thurs.	Eggplant Parmigiana
Fri.	Abalone

Lasagna Everyday
We Cater - 10 to 1000 - Talk to us

C & M Delicatessen

7833 Girard 459-5191

La Jolla



Motors

Chevrolet Dealer For 39 Years

FAY at SILVERADO

454-4213

La Jolla

- Service -

on any car, including

Paint & Body Work

Factory Trained

Technicians

Free Estimates

Tripartite

(continued from page 1)

50% of the ballots cast). In the event that not all six positions are filled the remainder of the candidates, numbering no less than twice the number of open positions plus one, will be elected by a plurality. Also, if the previously described minimum of candidates is met by too many office seekers, only 50% of the remaining candidates will be able to contend for the unoccupied positions. A petition of 25 signatures will be required before a person will be considered eligible to run for election.

Other business taken up by the AS senate on January 19 was the allocation of funds to the Ad Hoc Committee for the printing of leaflets on tuition, the selection of ex officio senate members, and the allocation of funds to the Indicator.

The motion to give up \$100 to the Ad Hoc Committee for the printing of leaflets was passed unanimously by the senate. This motion also made it possible for the AS president, Richard Moncrieff, to endorse the leaflet in the senate's name at his own discretion. The contents of this leaflet will be data collected from various college administrations, financial aids officers of these colleges, and any other persons having information concerning the probable effects of tuition on the student and the University. The Ad Hoc Committee plans to distribute these leaflets to the students as well as the news and press media. Its purpose will be to express opposition to the support now being shown for tuition.

Another motion passed unanimously concerned the election of 3 ex officio senate members. It was decided that any interested student should submit a petition of 50 signatures to the AS senate which will then select the three who will fill the vacant positions. The ex officio senators will have no vote, but will perform the other regular duties of a senator.

The motion for the allocation of \$1100.00 to the campus newspaper, the INDICATOR, for the current winter quarter was passed by a majority of 3 to 1.

The DIZZY GILLESPIE Quintet

featuring

JAMES MOODY

Fri-Sat-Sun, Jan. 27-28-29

at

JAZZVILLE

1106 Broadway, San Diego

234-9109

REWARD!

FIND THIS MAN ON CAMPUS



Your PSA campus rep is Michael Koziniak Phone: 453-0662

HE'LL SHOW YOU THE WAY TO GO HOME ON PSA FOR ONLY \$17.78

Electra jets between San Diego and San Francisco or Oakland or San Jose, \$17.78. Fan jets \$19.85. Between San Diego and Los Angeles, all flights, \$6.35. Phone your campus rep, or travel agent or PSA direct.



Ask your campus rep to pin you with a jazzy PSA button.

the UNICORN
January 29-31

THE BRIG
7 & 10 pm (USA) Jonas Mekas
A film about a Marine Corp Prison.

THE CONNECTION
8:30 pm (USA) Shirley Clarke
A very real film about narcotics addicts.

Saturday at Midnight
the NOCTURNAL cinema
THE SMALL HOURS
Twelve hours of a man in crisis.

February 1-7
POINT OF ORDER
7 & 10:25 (USA) Emile de Antonio
A documentary of the McCarthy Hearings.

LUCK OF GINGER COFFEY
8:45 pm (Canada) Irvine Kershner
A small and lovely comedy.

Saturday at Midnight
the NOCTURNAL theatre
METROPOLIS
Fritz Lang's masterpiece

7456 La Jolla Blvd. 454-7373