

appears *for that* *that*
 It has been established that in man, in the female of the species, in at least *at least* certain *of the* some of the somatic cell types, only one of the two ex-chromosomes is active, *there* and the other is inactive. In some types of somatic cells the inactive ex-chromosome *may be visible as the* forms the so-called sex-chromatin. *-chromosome* The mechanism by means of which one sex-chromatin *to* can suppress *its* its homologue is not known, nor is any mechanism known by which a chromosome *or a long stretch sequence of genes along a* is rendered inactive. *I was however, led to postulate that* *there* there is some mechanism *by means of which* a large part of the chromosome, half a chromosome or a whole chromosome, can be rendered inactive in order to explain the *phenomena* phenomena of mammals in general and, in particular, the *shape of* manifestation of aging *on the survival curve of a* on the survival curve of a *human* human population. On the present occasion, I wish to raise the question whether the suppression of a chromosome *a the suppression of* or a long stretch along the chromosome *in* by the homologous chromosome is limited to the ex-chromosome *of the cells* of the female or whether such a suppression occurs *as well* also with respect to *raise* the other chromosome pairs. I am led to this question *as the result of* through two independent considerations. The first of these is as follows:

We *all* know, from every day experience, that a boy or a girl frequently is *the* a "spitting image" of the father or the mother, *in many other* where there are many other cases when a child *must* resembles only very little, if at all, one of the parents. *Because* of the great variety of how a man or a woman *shape* the bodily build of a man or a woman, and in particular the face *of the man or the woman* constitutes to what we shall refer to here as a "morphotype" of the individual, where the term morphotype designates that part of the phenotype of the individual that meets the eye. The great consideration *in* is/what people look like leads one to assume that the large number of different types of *in* different genes are involved in determining the morphotype and I propose to refer here to this combination of genes as a morphotypic *gene* complex, and single genes Apparently the genes which determine pigmentation *such as* and which can be easily separated off from the morphotypic gene complex because they control the color of the skin, the eyes or the hair had better not be included in the morphotypic gene complex and it *might* seems likely that what remains *are* that the genes which are part of the morphotypic gene complex.

There is no reason to believe that the morphotype represents a linear expression of the individual genes which form the morphotypic gene complex, and we have no right to expect/^{to} find an easy way in which the inference of the individual genes on the morphotype could be disentangled. Since every individual inherits two homologous sets of the morphotypic genes, it is necessary to look for some explanation why it ~~should~~ ~~be that a substantial~~ puzzling how a substantial number of individuals could even be "spitting images" of the father or the mother. There are various ways in which one may attempt to explain the rather frequent occurrence of ~~sa~~ striking resemblance with one of the parents. One might, for instance, postulate that all of the morphotypic genes are carried to two or three chromosomes and are concentrated on each of these three chromosomes within one particular stretch of the chromosome. One would then have further to say that in the course of the embryo development, there is one cell from which all the cells descend that control the/~~up~~ morphotype and that in this ancestor cell, and within the two or three homologous chromosome pairs which are of interest to us here, there operates the same kind of homologous suppression as ...separates in somatic cells a particular ...set of the female with regard to the embryo chromosomes. In this case, those individuals, where the relevant chromosomes which are inherited from the fatherthe corresponding homologous chromosomes, it should be the "spitting image" of the father, or in the converse case, ^{the child} ~~it~~ should be the "spitting image" of the mother.

One might ask at this point what would be the survival value of such homologous suppression. Evidently, such homologous suppression would have great survival value if the morphotype controls selection in the choice of a mate and if ~~the~~ morphotypic genes or a group of genes which are selected by them have survival value

The notion that homologous suppression operates in man with respect to chromosomes other than the ex-chromosome ~~appears~~ may find support in findings which relate to the aerotypes of the gamma globulin produced in individuals who are affected with Lymphomus. If we consider an individual who is heterozygote with respect to two alleles which represent two different aerotypes, one would expect the gamma-globulin of such an

individual to be a mixture of the two aerotypes and this is what is found. However, if the individual is affected by lymphnomus, it may be assumed that all the lymphnomus cells ^{represent} ~~are derived from~~ a single chromosome derived from the first cell which changed over to malignancy. It is found that in individuals affected by lymphnoma, practically all the gamma-gobulin represents one of the two possible aerotypes and this is what one would expect if one assumes that in the parent cell from which the lymphnomous cells were derived, homologous suppression ~~was~~ was in operation.