# An Oral History of GEORGE MANDLER and STANLEY CHODOROW On March 11, 1999

1 **CHODOROW:** Tell you what we've been doing. The purpose of this is to get your 2 reminiscents [sic] of the founding of the department. The questions we have been asking are 3 consistent from one to another. They are basically, what was happening in your discipline at the time? What was your vision and the vision of your colleagues as you came here in the early 4 5 days? With respect to that, your read of what was happening in the discipline and where things were going? And as you began to recruit and succeeded and failed, and succeeded and failed, 6 7 how did the early recruitments up to, say, the early '70s, affect the original vision and interact with this interdisciplinary evolution? That's the way-8

- 9 **MANDLER:** Let me answer that.
- 10 **CHODOROW:** —the interviews are done. Go.
- 11 MANDLER: You mean you want me to ask the questions?
- 12 CHODOROW: No, I want you to answer that set of questions I just [laughs]-

In 1960, I went to [University of] Toronto from the Stanford Center for the Study 13 MANDLER: 14 of Behavioral Sciences with an opportunity to move into the department that was peopled by either people who were very old and/or whose grandmothers lived around the corner. Toronto 15 16 then was very much an establishment university of the Toronto establishment. And surprisingly, 17 they had a new chairman who had just come in '58, '59 who came from that environment but 18 decided things had to change. And he brought in two young associate professors: myself and 19 Abe [Abram] Amsel. Amsel was in behaviorist animal [sciences] and very elevated to what I was doing, and [he] changed the department. In the process of which, in the first five years, 20 21 practically all the old people died. I mean, there was something very mysterious going on 22 [mutual laughter]. Anyway, it gave me an opportunity to see what it was like to make a 23 department, or at least to make a department over in a good, established university. That 24 happened in the '60-'65 period just as psychology was going through the early stages of a 25 major, major, major change generally called the "cognitive revolution". Misnamed the cognitive revolution I think, and I've said so several times in print, it doesn't-nobody pays any attention. 26

27 It was a return to the psychology that was around in the 1910s and '20s, a very much human-28 oriented, thought-oriented theoretical psychology, which had most of its roots in Europe-in 29 France and Germany; primarily France but also in England—and that was simply stopped cold 30 in the nineteen-teens by the behaviorists. And there was this behaviorist wave that swept over 31 America. And there's a whole story about this to the extent to which the behaviorists in the early 32 20th century were a reflection of the "New America" movement—blah, blah, blah. That's beside 33 the point. But anyway, between 1920 and certainly 1950 that was the way psychology was done 34 in America. Now there were significant variations within behaviorism, from Fred [Burrhus 35 Frederic] Skinner on the one side and the dominant deadly school of [G. Stanley] Hall and 36 people like that at Yale [University] and [Neal Elgar] Miller. But, I mean, I remember in the '70s 37 and '80s talking with friends and remembering how we couldn't get our stuff published in the 38 '50s and early '60s, simply because the behaviorists were running the show. Now it so happens 39 that I came with a Ph.D. from one of the behaviorist strongholds, namely Yale, where I got my 40 Ph.D. in '53. Including a man who foresaw all of this-never talked about it but certainly 41 motivated a lot of us—by the name of Carl Hovland. So, I was all ready to be a rebel, but then 42 by 1955 everybody was a rebel. And in any case, here was the cognitive revolution. I was very 43 much one of the young Turks that was part of it. And there were some meetings in the late '50s 44 in New York State at Gould House on new approaches to memory. See, the word memory 45 wasn't even permitted; it had to be verbal learning. It was very interesting. I talked to the 46 Europeans as if this was sort of like a crypto-Soviet state where certain things were permitted 47 and not. And in fact, it was, except, you know, nobody punished you for doing these things. You 48 just were outside the establishment.

49 **CHODOROW:** It was hard to get published.

50 MANDLER: It was hard to get published. I mean, words like "consciousness" for example, 51 were totally not permitted because Watson [John B. Watson] had said that consciousness was 52 not scientific and therefore could not be talked about. Then interesting things happened; people 53 worked on "attention" and used the word attention as a cover name for "consciousness". It all 54 sounds very mysterious and conspiratorial-which it wasn't-but in retrospect one can sort of 55 put that picture on it. The European emigrants who had come, particularly from Germany in the '30s, didn't come to the establishment universities. Jean [M. Mandler] and I wrote a chapter for 56 57 Bud [Bernard] Bailyn on the psychological emigration in which we pointed out that, you know, people like Kurt Lewin went to [University of] lowa and Wolfgang Kohler went to Swarthmore 58

[College]. They all went to very good places, but none of them went to Harvard [University] orYale [University] or [University of] Chicago.

61 **CHODOROW:** Where they were training the great graduate students.

62 **MANDLER:** Exactly. So, their influence was, again, *sub rosa*. New School, of course, was 63 one of the great depositories of the European—and particularly the German—immigrants at that 64 time I was there. [Franz] Kafka went to Vassar [College]. I mean, it's very funny: they went to 65 very good places, they just didn't go to where the establishment was sitting—who just wouldn't 66 have them, partly because of anti-Semitism. Bud and I had great arguments about that, whether 67 it was or wasn't. But still, I think it was in part that one of the aspects of behaviorism was the 68 suspicion of "fancy European theory". It was puritan as against fancy European—

69 **CHODOROW:** Continental.

70 **MANDLER:** —continental "stuff".

71 CHODOROW: Yeah.

72 **MANDLER:** And anyway, by the 1950s behaviorism ran out of steam. It was quite clear they 73 weren't going to say anything significant about human beings, and the promissory note that they 74 published yearly that "Once we understand the rat completely, we'll understand the rest of the mammals" that just never got cashed. So that what a lot of people started doing was different 75 76 kinds of things, started citing different kinds of things and so on. And I had arrived in Toronto just when that cog[nitive] movement was gaining power. So, when I had the offer from UCSD 77 [University of California, San Diego], it was quite clear what I had to do. I mean, this was an 78 79 opportunity to build a new department that was new in the sense of devoted to or committed to 80 what we then called the human information processing approach. Interestingly, the human 81 information processing term sort of vied with cognitive psychology as to which way it was going to be called— I would say, through the early '60s. And then Ulric Neisser published the definitive 82 book on defining the new cognitive psychology called Cognitive Psychology, and that then 83 84 decided it.

85 CHODOROW: But you hung on in your institute?

MANDLER: Well, because we did it before Neisser's book had really become important. See,
we created—Neisser's book came out in '67. We created this, at least on paper, the sets of

88 human information processing in '65 when I came here. So, actually, cognitive was around- [It] 89 was 1965 when I came here; I was supposed to go to Harvard for a year with George Miller and 90 Jerry [Jerome] Bruner who had the Center for Cognitive Studies. So, the name "cognitive" was suddenly being used. There was another problem with cognitive: cognitive psychology had a 91 92 weird reputation as being soft and sort of clinic-y. It got rid of it very quickly, but there was some reluctance to do that. Anyway, I was— Well, let me now backtrack to— I was very happy in 93 94 Toronto. I was very sad at leaving it. I liked Toronto very much. It's, I think, promised to be then 95 and since then has become one of the great North American cities, certainly. Although I didn't 96 say anything I was going to say—what is its magnitude over San Diego, but—San Diego is such 97 a disaster, but—Anyway, you want to know that? [mutual laughter]

98 **CHODOROW:** It's okay; throwaway lines are fine.

MANDLER: And you know, we made very good friends there. I liked the department. I had
good colleagues. But here was an opportunity to do it all—to really start afresh. I was recruited
fundamentally—you want to know what? Should I get into that?

102 **CHODOROW:** Yeah. Because it will be relevant to your intentions as well.

103 WESTBROOK: Yeah, yeah.

104 **MANDLER:** Well, I was—it was interesting because I was here, I think it was, in the summer 105 of '63—yes. At Lake Arrowhead there was a bi-annual conference on memory and verbalization 106 and stuff like that arranged by friends of ours—by friends of mine—at Berkeley. But people from 107 all over—about a dozen of us came there every two years. And I had a friend at UCSD from my 108 Yale days and continuing since, namely, Bob [Robert N.] Hamburger. I had known Bob when I 109 was a graduate student with—it was complicated—with Elaine Graham Bell. Elaine Graham Bell 110 was Danny [Daniel] Bell's wife, the daughter of the great Wall Street guru, Graham. And 111 "Lainey" and I were good friends in graduate school; we were graduate students together. And 112 she was very friendly with Hamburger and particularly with his wife. So that's how I got to know 113 Sonny [Sonia Hamburger] and Bob; partly through them, partly through [Irving] Janis who was a 114 faculty member. And I think [Irving] Janis' wife was Lainey's assistant. Anyway, it was all very complicated and very involved. And these were good friends whom I stayed friends with. So, 115 116 when I was at Lake Arrowhead in '63, I called Bob [Hamburger] and Sonny [Sonia Hamburger] 117 and I said, "Why don't I come down and visit for a couple of days?" and they said fine. 118 Unbeknownst to me, UCSD at that point had not really gotten into the social sciences except for

119 linguistics. And so, when I arrived Bob arranged a party with Dave [David] Bonner and Jon 120 [Jonathan S.] Singer and a couple of other people. Just to pick my brain as to what should we 121 quote, what should we do in psychology? And I gave them some names. I told them to talk to George Miller, talk to Neal Miller at Yale, Kauffman at-and so on. Now, I haven't been able to 122 123 get those records, but the story as I know it is the following: when I was there in '63 there was an offer out to Skinner to come here in psychology. I'm fairly sure that story is correct, because 124 125 Skinner said to Harvard, "I won't leave if you give [Richard] Herrnstein a full professorship. And 126 that's how Dick [Richard] Herrnstein got to be tenured at Harvard. Now, the other people that 127 presumably were either offered the psychology chair or not-were simply talked to were Irv 128 [Irving] Janis—again because of Bob Hamburger's connection at Yale—Charlie Osgood I'm 129 sure—Charles Osgood at [University of] Illinois, which I think Skinner would have been a greater 130 appointment. I think Osgood would have been a disaster because he got Alzheimer's [disease] within five years and that was very sad. And that was about it. 131

132 **CHODOROW:** Miller? George?

MANDLER: I don't think so. I'm fairly sure George Miller was not offered, because I was—
George and I were good friends, and he would have told me.

135 CHODOROW: But he was connected, apparently, with Roman Jakobson and Noam-

- 136 **MANDLER:** Through Noam, yeah.
- 137 **CHODOROW:** —Noam Chomsky, and from the linguistics side, there was apparently an
- 138 attempt to create a big package that would have included Noam Chomsky, Miller and Jakobson.
- 139 **MANDLER:** Yeah, that's possible but it never happened.
- 140 CHODOROW: It never happened, and it may have never gotten to-
- 141 **MANDLER:** That level—that's right.
- 142 CHODOROW: [George] Miller, right. Right.
- 143 MANDLER: I mean, that's a reasonable package. Anyway, so that was it. You know, and I

had a good time here. I do not respond to environment and weather, so I thought, you know, it

- 145 was always fine when I came back. In contrast to Jean [Mandler], who sort of fell over
- backwards [Chodorow laughs]. A year later in sixty—I still haven't gotten the dates right,

147 although I have fairly good ones. Leonard [D.] Newmark called me some time, I would say, in 148 the fall of '63 it must have been. Because I got-my appointment came through on my birthday 149 in '64. So, all of this what I'm about to tell you must have happened before then. And Leonard said, you know, could I come and meet him in New York to talk about UCSD. And I didn't know 150 151 Leonard at all. And I said fine, and we met in New York. He was visiting a friend of his at the Rockefeller Institute and we talked. And he was guite persuasive, and I said, "Yes, I'm 152 153 interested." But that was essentially sort of the level of the discussion. And the next thing, the 154 real sell came from Keith [A.] Brueckner. Keith, who is the real saint of UCSD who did more to 155 build this university than anybody else and has been a "no name" more than anybody else. 156 Every bloody fucking sidewalk is named after somebody, every building is named after somebody, and where is Keith Brueckner? Nowhere. Talk about conspiracies. Anyway. And I 157 158 picked up Keith at the airport. I remember it distinctly, because he-

159 **CHODOROW:** Yeah, he came up to—

**MANDLER:** —came up to Toronto. That's Keith [Brueckner]: he was traveling all over the 160 161 bloody country recruiting people. The first thing I did to demonstrate my administrative brilliance was to lose my way to the airport while I was driving. [mutual laughter] And I spent the day 162 163 with—Jean and I spent the day with Keith sitting on the floor of our living room in Toronto, and 164 Keith sold UCSD. And by the time he left there wasn't any question. I said, you know, "Take me, 165 I'm yours." He was great. And you know, the spiel was the usual spiel: that "Graduate students" 166 will be colleagues, undergraduate students will be graduate students—" You know, "We're going to build from the top down. Full professors first; graduate students first" etcetera, etcetera. And I 167 said fine. And so that was the BS of July 1, '65. So, I spent '64, '65 recruiting. And the 168 169 interesting thing is I did not recruit cognitive psychologists primarily. I wanted to recruit people 170 who were sympathetic to this new movement. I even recruited somebody who was an enlightened behaviorist, George Reynolds, who died, oh, about ten years ago. 171

172 CHODOROW: Yeah. I knew George.

MANDLER: But what I wanted was a new look, a new way of doing this without any of theleftover sins or even virtues of the past.

175 CHODOROW: So, you didn't really want to create a uni-dimensional department? You176 wanted much more than that.

177 MANDLER: No. I wanted a department that was sympathetic to what was happening in178 psychiatry.

179 CHODOROW: Right.

180 MANDLER: And broad enough without being a Midwestern department, which is what we181 have left now.

182 CHODOROW: A Midwestern department?

183 **MANDLER:** Yeah.

184 **CHODOROW:** That is, we're too spread out.

MANDLER: By midwestern department I mean, it covers the waterfront. It says a Midwest
department can be good or bad, but it's always spread across the whole range of psychology.
And I don't know whether that's possible unless you're a "Big Ten" department, in which case
you've got fifty, sixty or seventy faculty.

189 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: I mean, [University of] Michigan [, Ann Arbor] is a great Midwestern department
 and [University of] Nebraska is a not very great division. But that's what I'm trying to say; it says
 nothing about quality.

193 CHODOROW: Right.

194 MANDLER: So, I start to recruit. The first person I called was [Robert] Duncan Luce, who was 195 at [University of] Penn [sylvania] at the time. And Penn had been trying to get me to come there for years. And in fact, I nearly went there for graduate school in 1949 rather than to Yale. And 196 197 Duncan was an old friend from Yale; Duncan and I were assistant professors at Yale. When I was assistant professor in social relations at Yale in '53 to '59—because in '59 we went to the 198 199 Center-we had an organization called "Kahonta", which were all the non-tenured assistant 200 professors. All of them knew they weren't going to get tenure, right? It was a great group of 201 people, all of whom, you know, made it one way or another afterwards. And then some of them 202 actually came back, of course, because that was the general attitude: that "We won't promote 203 you, but if you're really good we'll call you back." And Duncan [Luce] came back to Harvard and 204 went to Penn. Anyway, Duncan went off and I talked to his then-wife-they were divorced

205 shortly afterwards—and Gaye said, "Sure, we'll come." And then Duncan got on the phone that 206 night and said, "Look, I can't. I'm committed to building Penn," which they were doing something 207 very similar to what I had done in Toronto. I said, "But, you know, I've got a good suggestion: how about Bill [William] McGill?" I'd known Bill from various organizations and so-on over the 208 209 years and he was at Columbia [University]. And I called him, and he became interested. And 210 only one funny story about this: when finally, the offer came through, Bill called me and said, 211 "Columbia finally made a counter-offer." And I said, "Yeah, what?" He said, "They offered me a 212 deanship." And then he said, "But who the hell wants to be an administrator at Columbia?" [both 213 laugh]

214 **CHODOROW:** I wish we had that on tape, right?

215 **MANDLER**: I've got it in my book. Anyway, what happened was that I had— Talk about 216 money flowing, I had about half-a-dozen people I wanted to talk to about plans for the 217 department. I called Keith [Brueckner] and I said, "I want to get these people to New York," and 218 he said "Sure. Get a suite at the Algonquin [Hotel] for the weekend." Money was no object. 219 There were—I'm trying to remember who there was. There was McGill, there was Stanley 220 Schachter, there was John Layson, there was Bill [William] Kessen and I, and we met for a 221 weekend ordering sandwiches and all sorts of stuff. The only problem with that is all four of 222 them other than me are dead. My oldest friend, Bill Kessen, who I desperately tried to get here, 223 just died over the weekend—ten days ago. But anyway— You know, you get this old, all your 224 friends die. Then Jean said to me, you don't want your friends to die before you do? There's 225 only one solution: die first." [laughter] If you don't want to do that, you're gonna have your 226 friends die.

- 227 **CHODOROW:** But Bill was a good deal older than you.
- 228 **MANDLER:** No. Bill was two years older than me.
- 229 CHODOROW: Is that all?
- 230 MANDLER: Yeah. Anyway, where was I? So that was the first—
- 231 CHODOROW: So, you had this meeting in New York with these-
- 232 MANDLER: We had this meeting, and of all these people—

233 CHODOROW: Five people.

234 MANDLER: —only McGill actually came. But Norman Anderson, who didn't come to the meeting, but I had already talked to over at UCLA [University of California, Los Angeles]. In 235 236 those days trying to hire somebody from another campus was a huge deal. You had to get 237 permission from his father, his mother, the doctor— [Chodorow laughs] Proposing—anyway, so we got Norman Anderson to come down from UCLA and that was fine. And then that first year, 238 239 '65-'66, there were three of us here and we had to do everything. We had no-we not only had 240 to start—we even had to teach an undergraduate course, because the first time the graduates came in '68— 241

242 CHODOROW: In sixty-four they first—

243 MANDLER: That's right, the undergraduates here—

244 **CHODOROW:** They graduated in '68, which was the year I came.

MANDLER: Our first year there were undergraduates here, and so we said they had to know
the psychology course. So, the three of us taught Psychology 1. It was a disaster. But you
know, it was okay. And then we were recruiting, and we had to design space in [Harold C.] Urey
Hall, which is where we started off. Actually, on the fourth and fifth floor in Urey Hall.

249 **CHODOROW:** The protean building.

MANDLER: It was—again, money—completely gutted and psychology labs were established,
 including animal labs. And at the same time, we had to start designing the new building, the
 psychology and linguistics building—

253 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: —which went up by 1970. I mean, the speed with which things happened— And I had to go to Washington [D.C.] because we had to raise national defense—NDEA: National Defense Education Act money for one-third of the building. And the reason why psychology has this funny look—a big building and a little one—is the little one is the federal money. The architect said they could only design—they couldn't design an either-or building where all of it was done. So, they only designed two-thirds of the building if we only got state money, and onethird if we get the federal money. In fact, of course, when we got the money, it was all 261 intermingled, but the design was occasioned by the fact that we had to wait for the federal 262 money. Then we got—in the first year we recruited. We were very good. We got practically 263 everybody we wanted. We got David Green from Penn, who brought along Don [Donald A.] Norman—whom I wanted, because he was going to be the other cognitive psychologist—and 264 we hired an assistant professor from Toronto, Peter Lindsey, whom I wanted here-who quit 265 psychology within five years because he had a brain embolism; it was a very sad story. I mean 266 267 quit doing it here; he's in Toronto. He has a job but he's not in very good shape. But anyway, so 268 we had some cognitive psychologists. And we hired in '67 Bill [William] McGuire from Columbia 269 who left years later because, quote, "Yale needs me more than you do." And Bill McGuire was 270 weird, though. I'm still looking for a letter he wrote to me in which he said, "The things you're 271 doing to me are really out of place because I'm the greatest man since Jesus Christ." Well, he 272 was complaining about something-he was always complaining. It was irrelevant. He was perfectly fine when he was in good mental shape. But I'd been warned about him. At Columbia 273 274 he had thrown a typewriter at a secretary, so when we hired him, I was warned. And then when 275 he went to Yale, the Yale president—what the hell was his name?

#### 276 CHODOROW: Kingman Brewster

277 MANDLER: Kingman Brewster called me and said, "I understand there were some problems 278 with McGuire." And I said, "Look. You want him, fine. We'd like to keep him because we think 279 he's a fine psychologist, but I've got to warn you, I was warned about him by Stanley Schachter 280 at Columbia. I hired him anyway, and you know, we get into these episodes." And a month later 281 he was hired at Yale where he—I'm sorry. This has nothing to do with this; I've got to tell you 282 this story. Bill complained within six months of arriving at Yale about two things: one of them 283 was there was a dearth of faculty whose names ended in a vowel at Yale. [Chodorow laughs] 284 What was the problem? Catholics, Irish and Italian names tend to end in vowels, and the lack of vowels in the Yale faculty indicates an anti-Catholic bias. Secondly, undergraduates had a 285 286 shop—sort of all-purpose shop—at Yale called "Hocus Pocus". And he complained to the 287 administration that this shop should not be allowed and at least the name should be changed. 288 You've got to understand something about Bill McGuire: he was a brilliant scholar. Why? 289 Because the name "Hocus Pocus" originates with Protestants making fun of the Communion: Horcus Corpus is the origin of the name "Hocus Pocus". Those Catholics were doing hocus 290 291 pocus.

#### 292 CHODOROW: Right.

293 MANDLER: And hocus pocus—

294 CHODOROW: Magic.

MANDLER: —in its origin was a deadly anti-Catholic term. Nobody who had started the shop
who used it had the faintest idea. Well, as far as I knew. [*laughs*] I'm sorry. End of my McGuire
story. [*laughs*]

298 **CHODOROW:** That's very good. Let me take you back just a second and ask a little bit 299 about these first folks. You said that Don [Donald A.] Norman was your—

300 **MANDLER:** Don Norman was a young assistant professor at Penn.

301 **CHODOROW:** More recently, yeah.

302 **MANDLER:** He had been with [George] Miller and— Yeah, he had been with Miller and

303 [Jerome] Bruner for a year or two at Harvard in the research slot—not in the ladders job. Well,

there are no ladders. Well, there are ladders, but we only have two.

305 CHODOROW: That's right. [laughs]

306 MANDLER: —in a non-ladder job. And so, I think it was Duncan [Luce], who had been his
 307 professor at Penn where he got his Ph.D., who hired him back at Penn.

308 **CHODOROW:** But then—what I'm trying to establish is that Don [Norman] was your direct 309 colleague in cognitive [psychology]. And in what—what was McGill working on and Anderson 310 and those fellows?

MANDLER: The notion with McGill was to build up the basis of what we call "psychophysics sensation". Bill's primary interest was in audition—in hearing. And we built up a really first-rate hearing lab, because Dave Green was one of the great catches in the field. So, with McGill and Green and some very good postdocs—this was exactly the sort of thing I wanted: people who worked on basic phenomena that would feed into our view of human informational processing approach. So that was—

317 **CHODOROW:** That was what Bill and Green were doing.

318 MANDLER: [Donald] Norman already then—Don already then was somewhat of a gadfly, but,

319 you know, clearly moving in the right direction. Of course, what happened eventually was that

320 [Donald] Norman built the whole cognitive science bit, but it started off with a group called LNR:

321 [Peter H.] Lindsey, Norman and [David E.] Rumelhart.

322 CHODOROW: Right.

323 MANDLER: Lindsey was Peter Lindsey, whom I told you about-

324 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: —who had the brain injury. And [David E.] Rumelhart we hired in 1968. In fact, I remember going—he was a Stanford [University] Ph.D. And I remember I met him and essentially offered him a job at the San Francisco airport in 1968. Rumelhart by the way, now is severely ill.

## 329 CHODOROW: Is he really?

MANDLER: He had—about five years ago—had a benign tumor removed from the pituitary. And apparently what happened is low reversal, and they have assumed that the operation made some fundamental personality changes. When he divorced his wife, alienated his sons, took up with a graduate student, started talking gibberish—within one, two, three years all these things happened. He's now in Michigan in the care of one of his sons. It's a terribly sad story, because he was probably the great product, sort of, from the Ph.D. arm of UCSD. He left.

336 **CHODOROW:** He went back to Stanford.

337 **MANDLER:** He went back to Stanford. Anyway. And [Donald] Norman had LNR, which then

became CSL: Cognitive Science Lab, which then became the Institute of Cognitive Science,

339 which then became the department. So, Don's whole career was pointing in that direction from

the beginning, and it went very well. Now he's in private business. He went—

341 **CHODOROW:** He was in—he was at Mac, at the Apple Company.

MANDLER: He went to Apple, and then went to Hewlett-Packard, and then went somewhere else. Now he's set up in his own business. And just last week I saw him doing an ad for Schwab [Investment Company] on television. [mutual laughter] But anyway, Don's been a good old friend. He's retired—he's emeritus. Anyway, who else? We hired Tony [J. Anthony] Deutsch in1966.

347 CHODOROW: And he was in perception?

348 **MANDLER:** No, he was in physiological.

349 CHODOROW: Physiological.

MANDLER: And George [S.] Reynolds, who was doing animal work in behaviorism, but very
 interested in other things and had ties to other places without the sort of uptight prejudices. Oh,
 what else—

353 CHODOROW: You brought in some young people; when did [Edmund J.] Fantino and354 [Benjamin A.] Williams come?

MANDLER: Oh yes, Fantino. Fantino. We brought in as George Reynolds's playmate, as the
second appointment. Then Williams came as George Reynolds's replacement. Or no, he
became—no, he was before then. But Ben Williams came already much later than that. Who
else? We had a couple of young— Actually, the interesting thing is that our beginning assistant
professor appointments were not that successful. The two first ones I remember were [J.
Edward] Russo and—

I don't remember the other guy's name. He was in social [psychology]. He just didn't make it. In fact, I would say that UCSD in the late '60s, early '70s period was much tougher on assistant professors getting tenure than it is now. But I think that's to be expected. I love the [University of] California system. I think it's a great system compared with systems where you have to beg, borrow and steal appointments and promotions. But the promotion system—particularly to tenure—is much more likely to produce false positives than false negatives.

367 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: And that—that just happens. That's the way it works. But anyway, we didn't—
Who else? I think that's about it for the early appointments. Now, then there was the— In 1970,
'71, one of the problems— Now let me just backtrack. By the time I came in the fall of '65
Putnam was gone. He had been moved out in a power play by Carl Eckart, who was the vicechancellor—something like that.

- 373 MANDLER: Well, he would have been-well, in '65 York was still-
- 374 CHODOROW: [John S.] Galbraith. Galbraith had just stepped down. Galbraith had come
   375 here as vice-chancellor and replaced [Keith] Brueckner.
- 376 **MANDLER:** No. [Keith] Brueckner was never vice-chancellor; he was a provost.
- 377 CHODOROW: Well, he was Dean-they called him "dean."
- 378 **MANDLER:** Dean, right. Dean of Los Angeles. Yeah.
- 379 **CHODOROW:** But he was still functioning as the chief academic officer—

MANDLER: But not— By the time I arrived I had a memo from Keith [Brueckner] saying that he was resigning because the situation had become untenable or something. Or he had been asked to resign. Well, whatever it was. But Carl Eckart was one of the really unimpressive people during those early days. And I think that John Galbraith just didn't have the energy or vision to run this place properly. But it didn't make any difference by then—

385 **CHODOROW:** By then you had the leadership in the department.

**MANDLER:** —because the leadership was in the faculty. Then there was this very funny 386 succession of chairs of the social science search committee. There was Gabe [Gabriel] 387 Jackson; I took over from Gabe and stayed on for two years. But what happened was a lot of 388 389 movement in the membership. We had Herbert Marcuse as a member for a year. And here's the thing about Marcuse is, he couldn't have cared less. He was really disinterested. I mean, he had 390 391 programmatic ideas on what we should do, but when it came to what people— When I came in 392 there was an offer out in anthropology to-it doesn't matter-and that fell through. Mel [Melford 393 E.] Spiro had been an old friend of mine who was on the list when I got to the social science search committee, and I immediately called him and said, "Okay, are you interested?" And we 394 395 got him. Then we got Joe [Joseph] Gusfield, who was—that was very funny, because nobody 396 knew what to do in sociology. So, I don't know who it was, somebody on our committee said, 397 "Let's call [Harvey] Greisman." We called Greisman, he said "Get Joe [Joseph] Gusfield." So 398 that's how we got Joe Gusfield. The worst part was political science, actually. I have notes saying the committee once again postponed the political science decision for another year. By 399 400 the time I left the committee-I have a copy of a memo to, it must have been [John] Galbraith401 saying, you know, we've done everything except political science, which we'd gladly leave to our402 successor committee.

403 CHODOROW: And I was on that successor committee, and we went through one candidate
404 after another, and one was more ridiculous than the next. Until we finally arrived at the
405 combination of Martin Shapiro and Sandy [Sanford A.] Lakoff.

406 MANDLER: You see, economics was already here when we came. And linguistics, of course,407 was here.

408 CHODOROW: Would you talk about the relationship between—I know that the linguistics
409 and psychology were close enough to be able to plan a building together, which is not a trivial
410 matter, especially when there was money to build buildings. You made that choice—

411 **MANDLER:** Yeah.

412 CHODOROW: How did it look from your point of view?

413 **MANDLER:** I didn't make that choice.

414 **CHODOROW:** You didn't make that choice. Who made that?

415 MANDLER: Who knows? By the time I arrived, I was told to plan with Lenny [Newmark] a
416 psychology-linguistics building.

417 CHODOROW: So, somebody else had decided you two-

418 **MANDLER:** So, I said, "Sure, why not?" Now, Leonard [Newmark] must know what had

419 happened because he was here—

420 **CHODOROW:** He was here before.

MANDLER: But, I mean, I was given a *fait accompli*, which I didn't even think was a policy problem. We were, you know, close enough to linguistics. I mean, if they'd put us together with P[hysical] E[ducation] I would have said, "Well, you know, that really wouldn't work." But, you know, we were close enough to linguistics, had enough—some common interests, so that was no problem. And Lenny was perfectly happy to have me run my ass off trying to get the building off. [Chodorow laughs] Well, you know, we had two-thirds of the building427 CHODOROW: Right.

428 MANDLER: And we had, except for the language labs, very specific needs: audition labs, 429 animal labs, and so on. So, you know, it was reasonable for us to sort of take over. The 430 interesting thing— One interesting thing happened: I've always been interested in graft, 431 because I was always interested in why people do things when there is graft and when there isn't graft. And I remember one thing that happened, one example of graft: the architect who 432 433 built the psychology and linguistics gave me a bottle of scotch. That was the only graft that I 434 ever even could sniff at. Because I was always worried—wondering—that with all this money 435 going around, who's making the money? Now, there were people who were making money, but 436 they were making it in the periphery of the university.

437 **CHODOROW:** They were buying land.

438 MANDLER: They were buying land all the way around, when they were building hotels and so439 on.

440 **CHODOROW:** Right.

441 MANDLER: But none of the money in the university as far as I could tell, you know, and I was442 delighted to see that.

443 CHODOROW: Yeah. That was a shock to me when I went to Penn and saw the prices on,
444 per square foot on buildings, and I thought, "There are a lot of cousins in this city."

445 **MANDLER:** That's right. Oh, yes.

CHODOROW: It was a very different experience. And it was complicated in many ways.
Building on a UC campus, of course, a lot is without permits. I mean, you have to get state
approvals and building approvals and meet their standards, but you don't have to go through the
county and city process which you do have to do in a private university, for example, which
holds things up.

451 **MANDLER:** Anyway, a bottle of scotch is what I got for a two-million-dollar building.
452 [Chodorow laughs]

453 **CHODOROW:** It was a two-million-dollar building? Come on, it has to have been more than 454 that.

455 MANDLER: No, I think it was one— One-point-three-million sticks— I think \$1.3 [million] must
456 have been the federal—

- 457 CHODOROW: Oh, the federal part?
- 458 **MANDLER:** —contribution, so it was three times that. Yeah, three times that—about four 459 million, yeah.
- 460 **CHODOROW:** Three times that. A four-million-dollar building. Amazing.
- 461 **MANDLER:** I should have gotten two bottles of scotch. [*laughs*]
- 462 **CHODOROW:** Absolutely. And good scotch. In the early days of the department, how did the
- 463 work— As you established your labs and got these people at work in that first, say, seven or
- eight years, what was being produced here? How did people interact and—?
- 465 **MANDLER:** Well, the amazing thing is that—I looked at the numbers the other day; when we
- had thirteen faculty—it must have been about '69 or something like that—we had fifty-seven
- 467 graduate students. We have now twenty-four faculty and I think we have less than forty—
- 468 **CHODOROW:** Graduate students.

### [END OF PART ONE, BEGIN PART TWO]

- 469 **MANDLER:** —because the different labs lived with each other in an intellectual sense.
- 470 **CHODOROW:** Right.
- 471 **MANDLER:** And the whole atmosphere was just—
- 472 CHODOROW: Was it organized—? Did you organize the intellectual life of the department in
  473 a formal way? Were there colloquia series and stuff like that?
- 474 **MANDLER:** The only thing I started was a weekly brown bag lunch, which was organized by
- 475 CHP [Community Health Project], but really sort of spread out through the whole department.
- But apart from that, labs were able to sort of exist by themselves. Psychology, in that sense, is
- 477 very much like the natural sciences where labs are self-contained. They talk to each other, but
- they're organization is their own operation.

479 CHODOROW: —their own operation and their own production. What happened in regard—in
480 that period, because you have— It's a period of change and evolution in the whole field, the
481 whole discipline. What was happening to the publication venues? Were folks in cognitive

482 [psychology] taking over?

483 **MANDLER:** That was changing very rapidly.

484 **CHODOROW:** Or the new journals?

485 **MANDLER:** No, we were taking over.

486 **CHODOROW:** No, the old traditional journals

487 **MANDLER:** By the end of the '60s the revolution was over—

488 **CHODOROW:** Right.

MANDLER: —and all the aristocrats had been shot in the back [Chodorow laughs] Actually,
it's interesting: there are people who still resent what happened then. And Abe [Abram] Amsel,
the young man who went with me to Toronto in 1959, who has become an unreconstructed [J.
L.] Holland behaviorist, who still is, is terribly angry. I mean, he's angry the way it happened.
He's angry. He says, "You've sold out the field," and so on. I mean, you know, as if this were
really important. [mutual laughter] But that was all over.

495 **CHODOROW:** Did you—? Did the leadership in the department play a role as editors of 496 some of these journals during the fall?

MANDLER: I became editor of the premiere theoretical journal, the <u>Psychological Review</u>, in
1965. See, I took the chairmanship for five years, and I meant that seriously. And I quit after five
years and I said, "That's it." But that made it possible for me to do things like take over the
editorship.

501 CHODOROW: Right. And who succeeded you? Was it George?

502 **MANDLER:** It was either George [S. Reynolds] or Don [Donald A. Norman], but I think it was 503 George. I think it was Reynolds-Norman-Reynolds.

504 **CHODOROW:** Right. Because I dealt with Reynolds as chair, but I believe it was the second 505 time he was chair.

506 **MANDLER:** Yeah, I think so. Yeah. Yeah, he would have been chair from '65-'70. And then 507 Don in the early '70s—

508 **CHODOROW:** Right, right.

509 MANDLER: —and then Reynolds again. Sorry, what were you asking?

510 CHODOROW: I was asking—

Oh, yes. The leadership, yeah. The journals. I think that there is relatively little- I 511 MANDLER: 512 used to preach that: that editors have relatively little influence on what gets published or not. 513 Obviously, that is in contrast to what I just said before about the behaviorists. But you see, what happened then was that was the way psychology was. It wasn't individual people. So, you 514 know, "We can't publish that; nobody would read it," you know? But my experience as an editor 515 of the <u>Psych[ological]</u> <u>Review</u> and then sort of editorial board for lots of other journals is that 516 517 eighty percent of what you publish is what comes in over the transit. And you don't have that 518 much choice as an editor.

519 **CHODOROW:** That's right.

**MANDLER:** So, no, I think we— Look, we became within— We started in '65; I think by '69 520 521 we were in the top half-dozen experimental departments in the country. And this is all by dint of 522 productivity and production rather than position. And some of this was rather obscure. I 523 remember Duncan Luce eventually ended up at [University of California,] Irvine. And he had 524 been an excellent collaborator. At one point I published one of their papers in the 525 Psych[ological] Review and Duncan warned me. He said there were only about a dozen people in the country who understand this paper. I said, "That's all right; it's important enough." And he 526 527 came to see me later and then he says, "You wouldn't believe this: David and I have had fivehundred reprint requests. What has happened is that people have decided that [David] Green 528 529 and Luce are so important they have to have it lying around in their lab even though they couldn't understand it." 530

531 CHODOROW: Understand it. [mutual laughter]

532 **MANDLER:** I don't understand this he says, "These people don't understand what this is 533 about. If they do understand it, they're really not interested in the field," and so on.

534 **CHODOROW:** What was the import of that paper?

535 **MANDLER:** It was a theoretical-mathematical model of hearing, of transduction in the ear and 536 so on. And it made it quite important. It had the proper successes but, you know, only for people 537 interested in that rather narrow area.

538 **CHODOROW:** One of the things you said earlier suggests another topic, and that is when 539 you were talking about Don and Don's move—quite naturally—into the foundation of the 540 cognitive science department and so on. What happened in the long run to this group of people 541 that you put together? I mean, as the work continued to evolve, did things break apart in a 542 certain way? Or—

**MANDLER:** Well, they didn't break apart. You know, we made the terrible mistake of hiring 543 544 very good people, and very good people get hired away from you. S.S. [Stanley Smith] Stevens 545 was the great man in Audition at Harvard [University]. And Smitty [S.S.] Stevens was over-thehill; by the time he died it must have been in the late '70s, early '80s. And they offered [David] 546 547 Green, Smitty Stevens's chair. And David came in to see me—you know, I wasn't chairman anymore, but I'd sort of, I'd hired him originally-and he says, "I've got to take the Stevens chair. 548 549 I can't not do that." And [William] McGuire went back to Yale [University], because that's where he got his degree. And [William] McGill— Well, you know— [laughs] 550

551 **CHODOROW:** McGill did the unimaginable.

Right. There were a lot of very good people who we just- [David E.] Rumelhart 552 MANDLER: 553 went to Stanford and [Donald] Norman eventually got his own department. So, you know, here 554 are half a dozen of the bright, shining lights of the department who left. One of the reasons cognitive science appeared is because— I think—we started this movement toward the mid-555 western department. And Don wanted something that was much more tightly defined. In fact, I 556 557 would say that—I don't even—he probably agrees with it, and I think he said something very 558 similar-that cognitive science's creation was the shadow that I left in 1965 out of creating a 559 human information processing center at the department. But by the time Don wanted cognitive 560 science, the department decided to spread out-and it's now spreading out even more. Now, 561 that is in the context of the fact that cognitive psychology is in the down-period. They've sort of

Oral History of George Mandler and Stanley Chodorow

March 11, 1999

run out of ideas. On top of which, you know, the brain has become— People have discovered
that, "Yes, Virginia, there is a brain," and you know, that's going to last another five or ten years.
So, we're hiring a lot of neuroscientist people, and so is everybody.

565 **CHODOROW:** That's the sort of— Yeah. That was a question that I asked colleagues at 566 [University of] Penn[sylvania], because I noted that the departments seemed to be pulled apart 567 with neuroscience on one side and cognitive science on the other. And cognitive science, again, 568 was heavily invested in computer science and linguistics.

- 569 MANDLER: No, I know Penn very well. Some of my-
- 570 CHODOROW: And you know, I said to the department—

571 **MANDLER:** The cognitive [scientist]s are some of my closest friends.

572 **CHODOROW:** Is that right? They— I asked once where the future of the discipline was, 573 given what was happening. Was there, in fact, one field of psychology? Or was it going to break 574 up in some way? Their response—which was predictable and not very interesting—was, "We're 575 okay, lad. We're one discipline and we're very happy with this, thank you very much." But I 576 wasn't entirely convinced.

577 **MANDLER:** Yeah, I think— We are getting this slide into the brain and so on. But, you know, 578 I'm a great believer in Hegelian spirals and cycles. And I've seen it; I'm old enough to have seen 579 some of them. And it'll last for another five or ten years. The problem with all this imagery 580 business—I'm sorry, imaging business—is you got to know what it is you're looking for. And 581 those ideas come from the non-neurosciences level. And they're going to run out of "What are 582 we looking for?" and there's going to be a movement back toward doing experimental 583 psychology, which is sort of a little iffy.

584 CHODOROW: Yeah. That's why— I became very friendly with Bob [Robert] Rescorla

585 **MANDLER:** Oh, yeah.

586 **CHODOROW:** —who was a friend of Ben [Benjamin A.] Williams and so on. And Bob was 587 an unreconstructed experimentalist.

588 **MANDLER:** Right.

589 **CHODOROW:** And it seemed to me that he was plugging along, doing his work, but that 590 nobody else seemed to care very much.

MANDLER: Yeah. But that varies from department to department. That department lost-had 591 592 a lot of problems. When they lost— I'm sorry. You know, my work is in memory. [Chodorow 593 laughs] The most fascinating problem in memory is why, with age, names go first as opposed to everything else. I'm terrible with names. I don't have any problem with anything else. And there 594 595 are about half a dozen theories around, and nobody has ever come up with a good idea what it 596 is about names that is so peculiar. There are perfectly fine ideas around and they don't all just 597 work out. Anyway, Michelle Gelman and Randy Galveston left-that was a big blow to themwho, by the way, are now probably going back east to Rutgers [University]. And Penn just asked 598 599 them whether they'd be interested.

600 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: But I think it'll gel again. Some of the cognitive science departments are now
 called "cognitive science and psychology". Brown [University] is called that, MIT [Massachusetts
 Institute of Technology] is called that, and—

604 **CHODOROW:** So, there's a reintegration.

605 MANDLER: They might. It doesn't seem like it here, because as I said, psychology is moving 606 away from cognitive science and becoming more Midwestern. One thing I meant to start and 607 never got to talk about before, the one problem we had before I could take the job here was 608 what to do about Jean [M. Mandler]. See, Jean and I were married at the time in the '50s when 609 there was still the thought that women Ph.D.'s married male Ph.D.'s, and then go with the male 610 Ph.D.'s wherever they get the job. And the women Ph.D. 's get some sort of secondary job, research job and so on, and nobody pays any attention. Jean got her Ph.D. at Harvard when I 611 612 was an assistant professor with Jerry [Jerome] Bruner. And it was fascinating: Jerry Bruner asked all his graduate students one time what they wanted to do as far as the job search was 613 614 concerned, and never asked Jean—one of his best students. He couldn't care less. That's not 615 true— It never entered his mind that that's what he should be doing. So, when I got the job offer at [University of] Toronto, the question was, what could Jean do in Toronto? And Toronto was 616 617 very good. They said, you know, she could have a lab—in those days she was doing animal work-and she could raise money, but you know, no job. So, when I got the job offer at UCSD 618

[University of California, San Diego], I said, "What can we do for Jean?" "Well, she can't be inpsychology."

- 621 CHODOROW: Right. That was a rule. You couldn't-
- 622 **MANDLER:** It was nepotism. Nepotism. It was absolutely no way out.
- 623 **CHODOROW:** It's why Margaret [E.] Burbidge was in chemistry.

624 **MANDLER:** That's right, yeah. So, Jean got—she was appointed on a research appointment. 625 It was a weird thing. The university said, "We'll pay her two thousand for a salary on a research 626 series in biology. Herb [Herbert] Stern was Jean's chair for many years [laughter], you know. But 627 that was unsatisfactory because, you know, Jean wanted to get a regular job. And it wasn't until 628 1970 when I left the chairmanship, at that point it appeared that nepotism had changed. She 629 could have a job in the same department as I [sic] as long as I wasn't chair anymore.

- 630 CHODOROW: That's right.
- 631 MANDLER: And Herb [Herbert F.] York—I think that was Herb York's second—
- 632 CHODOROW: So, it was the momentary second between—
- 633 **MANDLER:** That's right.
- 634 CHODOROW: [John] Galbraith and
- 635 **MANDLER:** [William] McElroy
- 636 CHODOROW: Between [William] McGill and [William] McElroy
- 637 **MANDLER:** McElroy, yeah.
- 638 **CHODOROW:** Between McGill and McElroy.
- 639 **MANDLER:** And Herb [York] said, "Look, we've got to regularize the appointment." And we
- 640 were on sabbatical in England in '71, '72—I mean, the whole thing is so weird—and here was
- 641 McGill at Columbia [University]. And the Columbia department wrote to me and said, "Look, we
- understand you're having trouble at UCSD. We have a deal for you: how would you come as
- 643chair to Columbia and Jean would take the chair at Harvard?" And I wrote back and said, "NoOral History of George Mandler and Stanley ChodorowMarch 11, 1999

- 644 way, I don't want a chairmanship." They said, "All right." So, we told [George S.] Reynolds
- that—he was chairman here. And at the last moment an FTE was created and so on, and Jean
- 646 got a regular appointment in psychology. But it wasn't possible up until 1970. And then after that
- 647 there were these negotiations about what to do about that. But again, UCSD behaved very well
- by giving her this research series—that's all they could do.
- 649 CHODOROW: Right.
- 650 **MANDLER:** Again, money didn't matter.
- 651 **CHODOROW:** Right. They were able actually to pay her salary—
- 652 MANDLER: They were able to create—
- 653 **CHODOROW:** Pay part of her salary.
- 654 **MANDLER:** —a salary without any problem. The whole— The amount of money available for 655 recruiting in those days— I mean, forget about the Algonquin [Hotel]. We were just traveling all 656 over the place—dinners and lunches and so forth. All I had to do was send my bills to Keith [A. 657 Brueckner] and he'd take care of them.
- 658 **CHODOROW:** And he'd take care of them.
- 659 **MANDLER:** By the way, did you talk to Keith [Brueckner]?
- 660 CHODOROW: Yes.
- 661 **MANDLER:** And what—?
- 662 **CHODOROW:** Well, it was interesting because we talked to Keith [Brueckner] in the context 663 of a conversation about the origins of physics.
- 664 **MANDLER:** Yeah.
- 665 **CHODOROW:** And it was not arranged directly by us; it was arranged by Jim [James R.] 666 Arnold. Jim had started a series, and when it was—
- MANDLER: Jim was another person, by the way, who was at that party at the [Robert N.]Hamburgers' in '63.
  - Oral History of George Mandler and Stanley Chodorow

669 CHODOROW: And Jim [Arnold] wanted to talk about the beginnings of chemistry and also 670 physics. And so, he brought— That's how I got involved, because he called me and said, "I've 671 arranged this. You're a historian; would you come and make certain that we stay on topic and ask the right questions?" So- And I said "Sure." And when we had done it- And it was 672 fascinating, because the two approaches to developing those two departments about the same 673 time were very, very different, and by two men who were roughly the same age-both about 674 675 thirty-five [years-old] when they did it. I found that so fascinating—and it's something I've been 676 interested in for a long, long time anyway-that we started this series. Brad [Bradley D. 677 Westbrook] is the university archivist, so on top of this series he's also collecting papers—you 678 know, faculty papers—which will be the basis for something much broader. But this period from roughly 1960—from '59, '60—to about 1975 is what I would regard as the first period. And 679 680 remember that just around 1975 was when the state [of California] revised its demographic projections—incorrectly, of course—and said, "UCSD won't get larger than about fourteen-681 682 thousand [persons]." About half the size of its original, right?

683 **MANDLER:** Yeah.

684 **CHODOROW:** And it essentially stopped us all in our tracks. The other thing that fascinated 685 me is, I was a junior faculty member watching all this, sitting on committees like the political 686 science committee, and I saw it from a very different angle being brought up by people like 687 Warren [L.] Butler and other senior people—

688 MANDLER: Yes.

689 **CHODOROW:** —who were the heads of the committees on which I was placed and who 690 taught me how to be an academic. [*laughs*] So I've been interested in this. Do you have any 691 other things that you—?

692 **MANDLER:** But Keith [Brueckner] didn't say anything about—

693 CHODOROW: He didn't say anything about being dean. And that's another subject, because
694 that's a—

- 695 MANDLER: Is he around at all?
- 696 CHODOROW: Yeah. Yeah. I see him. I think he might be willing to come back and talk about
  697 those things. He's reticent, you know.

698 **MANDLER:** Oh, yes.

699 **CHODOROW:** One of the reasons why he suffered the fate he did politically was that he 700 wouldn't put himself forward or he wouldn't play the game that—

701 **MANDLER:** Well, I don't— If they don't want to play—fine.

702 CHODOROW: Right. "If they want me to go do something else, I'll go do something else."
703 Yeah. So—

MANDLER: Archivist: I've got a whole bunch of stuff. Like for example, the minutes of thesocial science committee.

- 706 CHODOROW: Oh! It's a gold mine. [laughs]
- 707 WESTBROOK: We should get together and talk. I presume this is all-
- 708 **MANDLER:** It's all in my office.

709 **WESTBROOK:** It's in your office.

710 **MANDLER:** Or the minutes of the first five years of the psychology department.

711 WESTBROOK: Okay. What about your personal papers, though? Your—

712 MANDLER: My personal papers I have— There's something called "The Archives of the

713 History of Psychology" at the University of Akron, [Ohio], of all places.

- 714 **CHODOROW:** Oh, my God.
- 715 MANDLER: Yes. [laughs]
- 716 **WESTBROOK:** And you've placed them there already?
- 717 **MANDLER:** No, but I've promised them there—my personal papers.
- 718 **WESTBROOK:** Oh. That's unfortunate.
- 719 **MANDLER:** But they don't have to have all of them.

720 CHODOROW: That's a fascinating place; I wonder what happened? Somebody—

721 **WESTBROOK:** And has your wife also promised them her papers?

722 MANDLER: No, she hasn't yet.

723 CHODOROW: Jean is another person whose papers would be interesting

MANDLER: Yeah. But I will— I've got boxes and boxes of university stuff. And there are two
ways of doing this: one of them, you and I will have a good time going through them. The other
one is, if I get really lazy, I take those boxes of university stuff and ship them to you and say,

727 "You deal with it." [laughter] Now we may do something in between.

728 **WESTBROOK:** We're much more accustomed to the latter; that would be good. I'd like to talk 729 to you more about bringing them over. We have some part of your research collection as well.

MANDLER: I think my correspondence with people like Skinner, Piaget, and so on is more
appropriate for Akron than for UCSD, because they have a lot of that stuff.

732 WESTBROOK: Okay.

733 MANDLER: For example, they already have my six years of files as editor of the
 734 Psychological Review.

735 **WESTBROOK:** Right.

736 **MANDLER:** But the other stuff— For example, the research stuff might be more appropriate

here, so I have no problem with that. I don't know. It depends on what you guys want.

738 **WESTBROOK:** Yeah, yeah. I'm a little uncomfortable about losing that correspondence.

739 MANDLER: Well, the correspondence—

740 WESTBROOK: It seems as though the correspondence would also have some relationship to741 your research.

- 742 **MANDLER:** Yeah, but less than you might think.
- 743 WESTBROOK: Oh. At any rate, let's talk some more—

MANDLER: Anyway. This, by the way, is something entirely different. This is a twelve-chapter
 autobiography and memoirs that I'm now talking with potential publishers for—

746 **WESTBROOK:** Wow.

MANDLER: —only two-and-a-half chapters of which have anything to do with UCSD. I've had
a very varied life. The first half of the book up to graduate school has nothing to do with UCSD,
but has to do with growing up in Vienna, the Holocaust, emigre life—school and emigre life in
New York—school in England, and then military intelligence in Germany and so on. And so, all
of that—

752 CHODOROW: After the war you were sent back?

MANDLER: No, no. I was in military intelligence during the war-in the war. That's an 753 interesting story, because after years of looking through the literature that is available nobody 754 has ever really described what I've described in there, which is very simple. The role of the 755 756 German-speaking refugee population, working in military intelligence and doing interrogations 757 and stuff like that through military intelligence-it doesn't exist. And I wrote this all up and I suddenly said, gee, nobody else has done this. It's- There's a reference to it in some obscure 758 759 military documents, but nobody's ever- Anyway. So, half of it is that, right? And then there's a 760 chapter on Toronto. There's a chapter on Harvard and my great contribution to post-war history: 761 I am responsible, personally, for the drug craze coming out of Harvard.

762 CHODOROW: For Timothy Leary? [laughs]

MANDLER: In 1959-60, I was a lecturer at Harvard. In those days, Harvard did this
wonderful— You know, now what they do is—they did this to one of our sons—after three years
as an assistant professor you become an associate professor without tenure, and then they kick
you out.

767 **WESTBROOK:** Oh, my God.

768 **CHODOROW:** Right. That's— You were a lecturer.

769 **MANDLER:** In my day after three years as an assistant professor, they made you a lecturer,

and then you could stay forever if you really got this Harvard sickness, right? So, I was a

lecturer, and I said—I had this invitation to go to the Center [for the Study of Behavioral

Oral History of George Mandler and Stanley Chodorow

March 11, 1999

772 Sciences] at Stanford—"I'm going in '59, '60." And James McClelland, who was head of the 773 Center for Research on Personality, wrote a letter—which I have— and he says, "I've just met 774 this brilliant young man. He rolled in from Florence, he has a fantastic background, broadly educated. Why don't we get him to come to Harvard on the money that Mandler will release 775 776 when he goes to the Center?" And I had nothing to do with that. You know, the powers that be said, "Fine" and I left in the fall of '59. And Tim Leary-the brilliant young man from Florence-777 778 arrived and met my old friend Ram Dass [Richard Alpert], as he's called now— Come on— 779 [snaps fingers impatiently]

780 CHODOROW: Oh, that's right. The man who became Ram Dass [Richard Alpert]-

MANDLER: Anyway, it'll come to me. Who was one of members of the Kahonta, who had organized a stock group club where each of us put in fifty bucks, and he bought stocks for us. I mean, he was the classic 1959 yuppie, you know. And he and Ram—not Ram Dass—he and [Timothy] Leary became the center of the whole acid thing. And if I had not left that year, Tim Leary would not have come to Harvard. So, without me—

- 786 CHODOROW: Right. [laughs]
- 787 MANDLER: —no Sixties! [laughter]

788 CHODOROW: That's great.

789 WESTBROOK: It sounds very genuine. I mean, that dovetails with the literature because
790 that's—

791 **MANDLER:** Alpert—Dick Alpert.

792 WESTBROOK: —you know, Charles Olson and Robert Creeley come into—have contact
 793 with Timothy Leary at that point and it informs their poetry—

MANDLER: But you know the funny thing about all this? I never met Tim. I knew about Tim, I corresponded with him because he was a psychologist at the University of Washington [at Seattle] —oh, by the way, of mediocre reputation at that time, except for the fact that he was this brilliant young man. And I left before he arrived, and subsequently with no other occasion I never met him. And I don't think Tim ever knew how important I was in his life. [*laughs*]

799 **CHODOROW:** Going back a little bit to your memoirs, which sound like they're going to be 800 fascinating; you must be roughly of the same generation and background as Walter Cohen?

801 **MANDLER:** [Nineteen] twenty-four.

802 CHODOROW: You were born in '24.

803 **MANDLER:** Yeah.

804 CHODOROW: He was-

805 MANDLER: He's older, isn't he?

806 CHODOROW: He's just a little bit older, yeah. Maybe '21 or something like that?

807 **MANDLER:** Probably something like that. Yeah, I think McGill was '22.

808 **CHODOROW:** His life was changed by the fact that penicillin arrived the year before he got 809 meningitis.

810 **MANDLER:** Oh, really?

811 **CHODOROW:** It cured him and saved his life—because that's what people died of. I had an 812 uncle—my mother's brother, for whom I'm named—died at thirteen. That was— And Walter got 813 meningitis at thirteen, but it was just a few years later and there was penicillin and he's alive.

814 **WESTBROOK:** He was thirteen and he was where? He was in Germany?

815 **CHODOROW:** He was in Vienna; he's a Viennese.

816 **WESTBROOK:** Okay. He was interned for a period of time, I think.

817 CHODOROW: Was he?

818 **WESTBROOK:** He was interned in a camp for a period of time, wasn't he?

819 MANDLER: Well, I'm not sure that Walter—

820 **WESTBROOK:** He seemed to suggest that on Flashbacks last Thursday-

821 **MANDLER:** I don't—

822 **WESTBROOK:** that he spent two years in internment. And that was during that period he 823 learned to communicate— He came across another prisoner who taught him Euclidean 824 geometry.

825 MANDLER: But that doesn't sound like a German-

826 CHODOROW: No, that sounds like Switzerland.

827 **MANDLER:** In the Swiss camps—in the Blitzer camps too—for refugees. So, it could have 828 been one of—it likely would have been one of those.

- 829 WESTBROOK: Right.
- 830 CHODOROW: There were many people—

MANDLER: You didn't go into the camps in Germany in those days and came out withEuclidean geometry. That reminds me of the story—

833 **CHODOROW:** Well, if you learned Euclidean geometry, you didn't come out to reveal the 834 fact.

835 **MANDLER:** That's right. I was— I had some business with the Austrian consulate, and one of 836 the pieces of documentation they wanted— They said, "We want proof when you left and that 837 you left Vienna." And I said, "That I left Vienna, the proof is the fact that I'm here." [mutual 838 laughter]

- 839 CHODOROW: Exactly.
- 840 **MANDLER:** That stopped them. [mutual laughter]
- 841 CHODOROW: Yes. Yes. And of course— Now, Harold Ticho—who's Czech—
- 842 **MANDLER:** Yeah.
- 843 **CHODOROW:** —came out in '39.
- 844 **MANDLER:** Yeah, that's right.

845 **CHODOROW:** Went to England and passed the exams for Cambridge [University], and then 846 came here and entered Chicago instead.

847 **MANDLER:** Yeah, I left Vienna in '38 when I was fourteen and I went to England for two years 848 and then came to the [United] States.

849 CHODOROW: Yeah. Well, it was-

MANDLER: It's interesting, these stories now. There's a whole bunch of books now, of peopleof my generation writing about their youth and so on. Peter Gage just did a book.

852 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: Walter [Werner Michael] Blumenthal, who was secretary of the treasury in
[Jimmy] Carter's presidency—

855 **CHODOROW:** Oh, yes. Right, right. He's another one.

MANDLER: —he's another one out of Germany who just published a book about his youth.
There was a man by the name of George Claire, who's a little older than I was, who published
his about three or four years earlier. It's very funny why—at this age, right? We suddenly are
writing this stuff, because it didn't exist. That's not a literature that existed before.

CHODOROW: What is also interesting about your generation is that while there have been
several books, important works on the much older generation, the generation that were born
around 1905 for example or 1900, who came here as adults as emigre scholars and settled—as
your psychologist generation did. And you'd find them in history, and you'd find them in political
theory; you'd find them everywhere. Your generation came out as students, as young people—

865 **MANDLER:** Right.

866 CHODOROW: —and therefore have a multicultural, you might say, upbringing and education
867 which is very different. Right?

- 868 **MANDLER:** Yes.
- 869 CHODOROW: Stephan Kuttner, who was born in 1907, was fully educated in Germany in
  870 Berlin prior to leaving. He was a formed man.

MANDLER: I had a friend who was about ten years older than I was, who I met on the boat coming from England to the United States. And we spent the first year or two in a very close friendship. We played bridge and we played chess every Sunday. He introduced me to Schoënberg and so on. But he had been a journalist in Vienna before he left and had written his impressions and done nothing with them. And then in the '80s he sent the manuscript to Otto to Stefan Zweig. Zweig said, "No—" I'm sorry; he had sent the manuscript to Zweig in the '40s—

877 CHODOROW: In the '40s, because—

878 MANDLER: —and Zweig said, "This is no time to publish this."

879 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: In the '80s Zweig said, "Now is the time to publish it," and he recently published
it— It's a very different kind of a document than what I would write.

882 CHODOROW: Right.

MANDLER: No, this started off very simply. My older son [Peter Mandler] is a historian, and
he said, "I want to do an oral history about your life. You've done all these fascinating things."
And about two or three years ago I said, "The hell with that. I can do this much better just writing
down and writing about it. And Peter has been reading this manuscript and he thought I was
doing all right. So, we'll see.

- 888 **CHODOROW:** Good. Wonderful. Thank you, George.
- 889 **MANDLER:** Thank you. It was fun doing this. And [to Westbrook] we'll be in touch.
- 890 WESTBROOK: Here's my card now. If you-
- 891 **MANDLER:** Good. Let me ask you this, because I'm in the process of throwing things out—
- 892 CHODOROW: [laughs]
- MANDLER: Yes. Oh, yes. For example, one of the things one does in this business is reviewgrant applications by the hundreds. Can I throw them all out?
- 895 WESTBROOK: Yes.

896 **MANDLER:** Thank you. The other thing one does is review manuscripts for journals by the 897 hundreds. Can I throw them out?

898 WESTBROOK: Yes.

899 **MANDLER:** Thank you. That'll do it. I may ask you another question right—

WESTBROOK: Yes. Those things we will not take and another thing we won't take, if you
haven't thrown them out already, is we will not take all your recommendations for colleagues
and students—

- 903 MANDLER: Yes. That's the one. Very good. I'll throw those out. [laughter] Oh, I'm so
- 904 delighted. I'm a packrat. I couldn't have written this thing without being a packrat.

## [END OF PART TWO, END OF INTERVIEW]