# An Oral History of HANS SUESS and KAREN FLECKENSTEIN On October 24, 1984

SUESS: I get interested in the dimensions of the elements. Well, that goes back—way back to the time when I was a student, in a way. I didn't work on this in my thesis, but I did do some experiments with heavy water during the time of my thesis in Vienna. And then, my father [Franz Eduard Suess] was a geologist in Vienna—professor of geology—and I just didn't get interested in his problems. I found them not enough fundamental he was working on.

6 But, on the structural geology origin of my continents. By the way, he was a believer and he was

7 a strong support of making this continental drift, and that was at the time when continental

8 drift-...You've heard of that-

# 9 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Hm-hmm. Oh, yeah.

SUESS: —was completely out-moded, and everybody who did things like that was a crack pot. And my father was very upset. He said, "You can see with your eyes. You don't have to know anything"—that not only the geology of the continent fits together, it was also the mountain ranges—the way mountains were folded and then torn aside and built up again. That's obvious that this means that the continents were moving.

And he was very dissatisfied, at this time, with not having anything to do with—nobody believed in this crackpot hypothesis. It takes 30 or 40 years before an argument and theory, and whatever you will call it, is accepted. Very often, the whole basic global geology was on the wrong track, and all of a sudden, due to your physics and oceanography and what not. Long after my dad had died, we're all of a sudden, agreeing with him. There were a few people who believed in what he said and also, he found some people to support this.

So, I was not trying to get involved in these questions. I was a little interested in whatever you can do as a chemist to support this and one thing was the origin of tektites, and got a little involved in what was flying around in outer space. And so, before I did anything else, I was interested in looking at physics, looking at chemistry. And when I got to Hamburg in 1937, it was about three years—two years after I got my Ph.D. I spent a year in Switzerland. There was no job. There was no job at all.

#### [Break in audio]

SUESS: Okay. So, in those years after my Ph.D., I spent a year in Switzerland. Well, the
Swiss—you can't get a permit to work there. I was registered— I was enrolled as a student. That
was the only way I could spend a year in Switzerland.

30 And then, I was back in Vienna, and was just completely hopeless to get a job. In Switzerland,

they said, "What do you want here? We have so many refugees from Nazi Germany and you

don't have any reason to leave your country, Austria." And I say, "What should I do there?

33 There's no possibility to earn any money."

So, I did— Herman Menkel [?] was the director of this institute. He asked me, "Are you having
any problems working in Germany? Because I can get you a job with Germany." So, I said, "I

36 don't think so. It will be all right."

37 So, he sent me to some universities in Germany. Well, finally—I don't want to talk too much about myself, but finally, an Austrian [Paul Karl Maria Harteck] from an old Vienna family 38 39 Harteck—actually, his originally was Nartekczech [?], but his name that he used was Harteck, 40 He just had spent a year in England in the Cavendish working with—well, I have problems 41 remembering names. But then, he went back because they offered him the position with Otto 42 Stern in Hamburg, which he gladly accepted, although, he was reluctant to work in Germany. 43 And then, he came to Vienna because that was his hometown and I told him what problems I 44 had.

And it just so happened that my grandfather, Eduard Suess—. Suess is a Jewish name,
actually, so, got to be careful but, in my case, the name Suess came from old Protestant
preacher family. But the father of my grandfather was tutoring the children—the boys of a rich
banker in Prague, and he also had a daughter. And my great-grandfather [Adolph Suess] fell in
love with his daughter [Eleonore Zdekauer], and that was a Jewish family. So, he married this
daughter of this banker and then, they sent him to Spain before they allowed him to marry
because she was only 17.

And then, finally, he ended up—after having married, he ended up in London, and my grandfather was born in London, together with some brothers and sisters. And then, they got back to Vienna. And I think what my grandfather's ideas were—. They wanted him to become a businessman, of course. The father-in-law was a banker and the father—his own father was a 56 minister—a Protestant minister. So, he was supposed to— Yeah, that was one condition that he 57 was allowed to marry the daughter of this banker—was to give up his theology. So, he didn't 58 mind too much. Also, he had been preaching in Prague for a year or so. But he was still interested in what's true with the bible and what we really knew about the origin of the earth and 59 the way-what was in the past, on the surface of the Earth. So, that was just about the time 60 when-well, [Charles] Darwin was a little later, but this was about the same time. And, of 61 62 course, when Darwin—Charles Darwin published his Origin of the species [On the Origin of 63 Species], he was very enthusiastic about it. And my grandfather wrote this book, The Face of 64 the Earth [Das Antlitz der Erde], which deals with quoting the bible and then saying what it will happen and what we know about the delusion, what theologically could have happened. 65

66 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Was that in England?

57 **SUESS:** That was—no. That was already when he was back. First, he came to Vienna and he 58 got a job as a—in the meteorite collection. The director of the collection was a bachelor. But he 59 had three daughters and one of them my grandfather married. That's my grandmother [Hermine 50 Strauss].

And he worked as a mineralogist for a while, but then, he started working on geology. And then
he became, eventually, the head of the liberal party in Vienna [Sozialdemokratische
Arbeiterpartei Österreichs (SDAPÖ)]. Well, he was a scientist and most of what he did was
geological science and wrote the bible, so to speak, in geology. But also, he became a member
of parliament and the head of the Austrian Academy [of Sciences]. And he was fighting— I don't
know if you mind, but that was real. I think the big achievements, my grandfather, were in the
field of geology.

78 But also, he was a well-known politician with fighting the school—the Austrian school system, 79 which demanded the Catholic views to be the official ones and the others were not. There was 80 school reform and there was a reactionary time when-it was pretty close to getting as bad as it was in Tennessee 50 years ago-when my grandfather lived. He was very successful in 81 82 preventing these [*inaudible*]. And so, that was—. I was not thinking of going—getting to geology. I worked a little in chemical kinetics, but I was interested in radioactivity and radioactive decay. 83 84 When I got to Hamburg, Harteck suggested an experiment which was pretty much the first in the 85 field of hot-atom chemistry—you know, what happens when a chemical compound undergoes a nuclear transformation. 86

#### [END OF PART ONE, BEGIN PART TWO]

**SUESS:** I had been working a little bit with heavy water and also with some radioactivity. I had measured potassium activities and in a meteorite was the same as in the terrestrial potassium. So, that was good to know. So, I had played a little bit with photons, and then, there was a little conference on the possibility of making a reactor, a nuclear reactor. But what I want to talk about now is these two papers that I did with [Johannes Hans Daniel] Jensen. It was published in 1944 and another paper that Jensen published in 1946.

93 So, this was really what I got interested during the war because you couldn't really do any 94 experimental research. It was—. In the later part of the war, it was impossible to do research. 95 Now, what was really a very interesting thing I appreciated is it was the best I could do during war time. It wasn't really completely lost period of time, but one thing was Harteck wants-... 96 97 Well, I was assigned, I should say, the job of consultant to Norsk Hydro, to the Norwegian plant. 98 And when I got there the first time and there were this Norwegian scientists, workers, and administrators of this plant, and I got introduced, I said, "Well, I should maybe say-well, I 99 100 shouldn't say this, but to tell you the truth, I'm actually not German. I'm from Vienna. I'm an 101 Austrian, and so, I feel I can be neutral in this kind of war." And they all said, "We are 102 Norwegians. We are not neutral."

And so, everybody laughed, including me, and I had close friends there and I had got to know quite a few people, but there was a very, very sad experience with the number of lives that were sacrificed, just because the American—the politicians decided that this was more important work. That's the way I feel. But when Jensen and I went to Norway—. Jensen is a Scandinavian name and he had visited Norway many times before and knew people up there. And Jensen—

108 **FLECKENSTEIN:** So, he was working on the same project pretty much?

109 **SUESS:** Yes. Yeah. He was a theoretical physicist and he did the calculations necessary, 110 which I didn't know. So, Jensen-Harteck and Jensen and me were in Hamburg. Grote was also 111 playing, but not with heavy water. And Jensen came along with me once to Norway and we 112 visited Victor Moritz Goldschmidt, who was the mineralogist there. Because my father knew his 113 name very well and I had a reprint from his papers. And I came in-of course, Goldschmidt was very cordial and asked to see me, knew my father's name-maybe even personally, I don't 114 115 know. And Jensen spoke some Norwegian but that wasn't necessary, of course. And Goldschmidt was sort of optimistic. He said, "One way or the other, things will clear up. And 116

even if the Nazis should win, they wouldn't stay there forever." But the last time I saw him, then,

- 118 was a little later, because I got interested in looking at his papers. And when you know a person
- personally, you're more inclined to study his scientific work than if you would not know him. So, I
- 120 got involved in abundances of the elements and I was very happy to have Goldschmidt's paper.
- 121 It was written in Germany just before the war started, published in Norway—Norwegian
- 122 Academy proceedings.

And, well, this is a classical paper. And then, I got interested in these problems and Harteck also mentioned that he had been doing something in that, and this was the way I got to look at abundances. And when you play with them long enough, you noticed that there were some peculiar numbers in the structure. And I spent some time thinking about what they really meant. Now, I still—I forgot.

127 Now, I still—I forgot.

# [Break in audio]

SUESS: Actually, you know, when you notice something, just by looking at a set of
numbers—like Goldschmidt abundances numerically calculated for the individual nuclear
species for the isotopes, and you know, all of a sudden, you realize it looks as if there was a

- 131 system. There were smooth lines. I didn't know why, but it's definitely exciting. And, all of a
- 132 sudden, that was just about the end of the war that I realized that the data that you had on the
- 133 natural occurrence of the individual isotopes wasn't a random number. It made sense. It
- 134 matched—it fit together in some way.
- FLECKENSTEIN: Were you working with data in addition to that that Goldschmidt had come upwith?
- 137 **SUESS:** Well, now, you have to have two types—two kinds of data—the elemental
- abundance—how much is there of the element—[*crosstalk*]
- 139 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Which is what he had, right?
- 140 **SUESS:** And then, simply by multiplying the fraction of each isotope, you get the abundance
- of each nucleus species. And I, of course, realized that if there's something that is connected
- 142 with nuclear processes that formed these elements before our solar system existed, then, it
- 143 must be the individual nuclear abundances.
- 144 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Right.

145 **SUESS:** And not the elements, because they consist of a variety of isotopic species. So, I 146 calculated the individual nuclear species—the abundance of that. And people like Goldschmidt 147 had done that before, but the way to see something-this is the magic-I think that's a trivial trick to realize that these are not random numbers but that they make up a system. And 148 intuitively you feel, anyway, that there must be something that these numbers tell you. But it 149 becomes guite clear, when you treat the even-massed numbered species and the odd-massed 150 151 numbered species separately—if you look at them—each one follows a different set of rules, 152 and therefore, you just have to separate them and then, everything falls into place.

And this is, I think, the first time I did that, and I was so impressed, I couldn't sleep for many nights. It was very exciting. Showed it to Jensen and he liked that very much. He didn't know what it meant, but then, you came up with these magic numbers. It was very obvious that they were there.

But maybe [Otto] Haxel had told me before that there were these magic numbers and, way back in 1936, Elsasse [?] would be in America before—he was an immigrant; he left Germany—had also noticed these magic numbers. And Maria [Goeppert] Mayer didn't know that Elsasse had noticed these magic numbers. She said she didn't know. And so, we had the set of numbers and when I played with them—with these numbers—you see, that's another thing I think Haxel drew my attention to it, and maybe I can—I forgot now. See, this is...

163 **SUESS:** You have 2, 6, 8, 14, 20, 28, 40, 50, 70, 80. This is a series of numbers, but the 164 magic numbers you see are—come from—the one series is 6, 14, 28, 50 to 82, 126, and the 165 other series is 8, 20, 40, 70, 112. This is a simple arithmetical. So, I wrote up these numbers like 166 here and—is this the first? I think that's the last note. Or this is the first.

- 167 **FLECKENSTEIN:** That's the first one. This is the second.
- 168 **SUESS:** That's the first one.

#### 169 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.

170 **SUESS:** And then, see, this is the number—8, 20—so, what could that mean? And some

people tried to get these numbers by changing the force field of the nucleus. Then, what you're

- trying to do is, get something similar to the periodic table of the elements. And you have the
- 173 Coulomb field and you can quanta-size [?] this and physics gives you the periodic table of the

- elements in some crude way. And so, what kind of force field can you use? You change the
- 175 force field and—there was a physicist here. [Lothar Wolfgang] Nordheim—
- 176 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Nordheim.
- 177 SUESS: He's here—
- 178 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yes.
- 179 **SUESS:** You've heard of him.
- 180 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yes.
- 181 **SUESS:** Well, just changed the potential.
- 182 **FLECKENSTEIN:** He was using what they called the Wine Bottle Potential.
- 183 **SUESS:** The Wine Bottle Potential. Yes.
- 184 **FLECKENSTEIN:** The bloop [?] in the bottom. Yes.
- 185 **SUESS:** And to get these numbers.
- 186 **FLECKENSTEIN:** That reminds me of a question I had. You refer, in one of your papers, to
- 187 having seen a pre-print of his paper where he was working on that. Because it didn't appear in
- print until right about the same time that you guys published. Was everybody just commonly
- 189 sending pre-prints back and forth by that time? How did you—
- 190 **SUESS:** In German? Never. No. You could never see a re-print from anyone.
- 191 FLECKENSTEIN: How did you happen to see that one?
- 192 SUESS: I cannot believe that I did. Does it say somewhere?
- 193 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Well, it's in manuscript form. It doesn't say "pre-print". Yeah. Right here.
- 194 SUESS: Oh, I see. Oh, well, okay. Yeah. That's possible that Nordheim sent Jensen,
- 195 probably. I didn't get it.
- 196 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Mhh-hmm.

197 **SUESS:** Because he's a physicist and he must've been in touch with Jensen.

FLECKENSTEIN: Well, the reason I was wondering is that Maria Mayer also saw it at about the
 same time, and it—

SUESS: Well, it was—we assumed that the nucleons had a certain quantum mechanicalstate.

#### 202 FLECKENSTEIN: Hm-hmm.

203 SUESS: And only—and he considered only the odd—last odd nucleus—in order to get some 204 scheme. And that was the first time that somebody did such a thing. But, of course, you don't 205 get these numbers if you simply use an oscillator potential, like we did in-and a harmonic 206 oscillator gives you this. Now, I didn't know-at that time, I didn't know what Nordheim was 207 doing. I didn't know anything what anybody had been doing. All I knew that there were these 208 numbers which I had seen mainly in the abundance values and what Haxel had told me. At that 209 time, we were agreed—Haxel and I saw him in Göttingen—we agreed that these numbers must 210 have some meanings and let's think about what that could-

## [END OF PART TWO, BEGIN PART THREE]

SUESS: —and Heisenberg went to Bohr and pretty bluntly, although he was sort of a
diplomat. So, it were that the physicists of the whole world get to an agreement not to work on
an atomic bomb. And [Niels] Bohr said—had meant to say, "Not to work on atomic bomb?
Everybody is morally obligated to work on atomic bomb. At least we, in the West, have to. This
is absolutely necessary."

And [Werner] Heisenberg was shocked and disappointed. But Bohr– he thought Bohr was just thinking. He was thinking that he didn't trust Heisenberg and they would just propose not to work on an atomic bomb because the Germans wanted them to make one, which is sort of crazy. But the longer I learn about these things and the more I see about this country, I have to add in that—because my suspicion is—I have no proof for it—when I was traveling through Sweden—

## [Break in audio]

## 222 FLECKENSTEIN: What was the experiment in physics, then, that made-

## [END OF PART THREE, BEGIN PART FOUR]

223 SUESS: —he was. Look at the Kuperman spin values—where is that? Do I have it? That. And 224 this illustrates what's going on. These are the spin values, and this is proton, and this is neutron 225 number, and the dotted line here denotes the state that the single particle should be in if you 226 just assume that it's a harmonic oscillator.

227 FLECKENSTEIN: And if you assume that the spin is due to just the last particle, right?

228 SUESS: If it's the last particle—it's last single particle—though it shouldn't be the last single 229 parting. I mean, it can be any particle. And this is as far as I got. It was matching all the way 230 through, but you can see that there's an indication that this model is meaningful one way or the 231 other, you know? Just by looking, it fits in some places; it doesn't fit in other places.

232 So, I had to wait until Jensen show up, because I didn't know what this could mean. This is the 233 crucial thing-and this is what helped on Jensen's notes. Look, did you know what he said. I 234 know very clearly. He said, "I have to plot this myself. Now, let's see. What do you get from this 235 spin?"

236 And he drew exactly this. But he didn't want to look at it. He wanted to do it himself out of the

237 book and so, he knew what the book's meaning. And then, I remember when he came to

238 Manganese—which is a spin [S = ] 5/2 [ground state] and is a positive parity, spin one half, he

said, "Oh, that can't be. That's all nonsense." And he refused. [laughter] 239

240 Then, what happened with Ruth [Suess] is—what happened with—it was winter. This was 241 submitted in—I think it was submitted some times in February. This is March and February, and 242 Jensen came just around Christmas, and he had a student—a girl with him coming to us. And 243 he had a car, strangely, because his wife was a medical doctor and she was driving with 244 methane cylinders. There was no gasoline. So, he came with his car with the gasoline—with the 245 gas in-filled with methane in the evening, and it was snowing. And then, he said to me that this 246 girl was leaving with him next-door. She's not very far away. He would drive her home and then, we would stay at our house. So, we went to bed within that, and the next morning, when he got 247 248 up—and Jensen couldn't have been able to drive the girl home because it was so much snow. 249 so, he had brought her back with her. There was no other place. You know, with that-so, I 250 said, "Oh, [laughs] I don't want to see you anymore!" She got so furious and she went away and I couldn't finish that.

Oral History of Hans Suess and Karen Fleckenstein

251

- 252 FLECKENSTEIN: So, you didn't finish that part of that—
- 253 **SUESS:** Until much later. Then, I came back. Yeah. [*laughter*] So maybe it would have been 254 different [*inaudible*].
- FLECKENSTEIN: So, when did—you say you submitted the first one in February, so, you didn't get back together again until sometime—
- 257 SUESS: No, this was—then, the second was in March. Well, we were—
- 258 FLECKENSTEIN: And that's why they weren't all submitted at one time—is because—

259 **SUESS:** Yeah. [*inaudible*] [*laughter*] That was one reason. Of course, Ruth was mad until she 260 forgave him. [*laughter*]

- 261 This is in March and this was in April, two weeks later. That was in 1949. So, then, Jensen
- came. The next time he came and looked at it—maybe that was even before then—before we
- sent the first one in. I really don't know when it was snowing so badly that he couldn't drive.
- 264 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Could easily have been later in the year or earlier.

SUESS: Yes, yes. I'm not so sure. But it was that winter. This was the first. Yeah. We just put it... Yeah. So, the physicists—then, when Jensen first said, "It's all nonsense. Couldn't be." And then, he came back and said, "Well, maybe there is something to it and maybe we should probably stick it in the note." And he wrote that note this way because that's the language in which the physicists talk.

- 270 FLECKENSTEIN: So, did he write the first note?
- 271 **SUESS:** He wrote all the three notes.
- 272 **FLECKENSTEIN:** He did.
- 273 SUESS: I mean, we're [inaudible] because that's written in theoretical physics slang.
- 274 [laughter]
- 275 FLECKENSTEIN: Had you talked to Haxel anymore?

276 **SUESS:** Well, no, but when—Jensen had already written it up and it was Jensen and Suess 277 because he had the idea of this spin-orbit coupling and it says here—it is [speaking in German] 278 that's the first paper where spin-orbit coupling was mentioned. I all of a sudden remembered he had already finished it completely when I said, "Yeah, but, now, what do we do? I promised 279 280 Haxel that we'd publish this jointly?" So, he said, "Okay, we are—Haxel had been doing so 281 much thinking about this, we have to put his name on it, too." And the—and according to the 282 alphabet, he comes first. So, he wrote just-thought it was easy to fix; wrote Haxel on this paper 283 without telling him, without saying.

FLECKENSTEIN: I came across a story—Joe—in some of Joe [Joseph] Mayer's papers, he said that he was in Germany right after this time—right after Maria had come up with the idea of spin-orbit coupling as well—and was talking to Haxel about the problem of magic numbers and said, "Well, Maria came up with this solution." And Haxel didn't recognize that that was the same solution that he supposedly had come up with in this paper that was being published in his name.

290 SUESS: It wasn't there.

291 **FLECKENSTEIN:** He didn't recognize it as being his theory, too.

292 **SUESS:** Yeah. But it wasn't—I meant, it wasn't—he didn't know, maybe, about this, because 293 it was too close.

- 294 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Apparently not, yeah.
- 295 SUESS: So, did Joe think that we were all cheating of that—heard about it before?

FLECKENSTEIN: No. He just was surprised that Haxel didn't recognize that he's being—
 apparently, this paper—the first of the papers, at least—had already published.

298 **SUESS:** While you're saying that, there's one more thing. Haxel claims to read the papers

299 published in English, but when you try to talk to him in English and, with respect, as a

- 300 conversation—doesn't understand a word in English. [Karen Fleckenstein laughs] He doesn't
- read you anything. He doesn't know much English, at least in those days. He probably didn't

302 know any English.

And that may be another reason why he didn't. I don't mind if he was said that Haxel—he is just about exactly as old as I am. I learned now, he's six months older than I am. But there is a language barrier.

306 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Mhh-hmm. Well, that could be it.

307 **SUESS:** You might be matched.

FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. Another story I was gonna ask you about—Steven Moskovsky, the
other day, said that Jensen once told him that he thought of the solution—spin-orbit coupling—
while he was shaving. Have you heard that story?

**SUESS:** No. I did not. But he didn't—I mean, it was so obvious that there was a relationship

312 between the spin or between—and the spin value and the orbital moment—the total spin. And I

313 could see that, too, but I don't know—I didn't know the words spin-orbit [?].

FLECKENSTEIN: Well, there was a very strong feeling that spin-orbit coupling was not a strong
 effect. I mean, physicists, in general, thought that was not a possible solution.

316 SUESS: Well-

317 **FLECKENSTEIN:** That was a prejudice that they had from the '30s.

318 **SUESS:** It's from the atom where there is a spin-orbit coupling. In certain conditions, you can

see that. I don't know when it shows up and probably mostly when you're dealing with magneticatoms and then magnetic theory, what not.

321 **FLECKENSTEIN:** But, in most cases, for electrons, it's not a strong effect.

322 **SUESS:** But that's not a strong effect. That's a very minor effect.

- 323 FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah.
- 324 **SUESS:** And it's splitting in the level, which is where it's small.
- 325 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.

**SUESS:** And this is a big effect, which is completely unexpected. And I asked Jensen and he said, "There must be a big spin-orbit coupling." I know that he did not get this from Joe Mayer or from anybody. He thought about it. He thought about it himself.

329 But he had all the evidence—the empirical evidence—presented to him as well as plausible, you 330 know? I don't know enough theoretical physics to know what you would call that certain effect, but what I did know is where to look up the quantum mechanical states and harmonic oscillator. 331 332 And more, I didn't want to know. It wasn't important. So, maybe you don't have to say this 333 anywhere, but what really happened was that Maria had told me that she went to [Enrico] Fermi 334 and showed him pretty much everything that I had showed Jensen—but contrary to Jensen had 335 to do some shaving the next morning, thinking about it. Fermi looked at it and said, "Well, 336 wouldn't you think that this is an indication for a strong spin-orbit coupling?" And Maria said, 337 "And then the telephone rang, and Fermi turned out and walked away. Didn't see him." And this 338 moment—everything fell in place. Maria knew what the answer was. And she mentioned Fermi 339 for this question. So, if you like, you can say that I've got as far as Maria got without knowing 340 physics and then, the last touch was given by Fermi—in this country, empire, not over there. 341 [laughs]

FLECKENSTEIN: No. I think it's a good analogy, because the way you approached all of that
 data and the way she approached all of that data seems to be very similar.

**SUESS:** She came up with the same numbers, but she didn't know anything about elements and the abundance of nuclear species. She did not consider that. Or maybe she did. What she really knew were the properties of the isotopes with the spectroscopy decay, and she's—I think she based her arguments on decay and [*inaudible*]. You can do that, easily, have the answer.

348 And I [*inaudible*] do that [*inaudible*].

349 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Actually, she started with abundances.

350 **SUESS:** She did?

FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. She did. Because she was working with [Edward] Teller on a—. They
 were working on a theory, the origin of the elements.

353 SUESS: It was that early?

FLECKENSTEIN: That was right before this. And she started looking through the abundances and working out that theory and started seeing a pattern to that. And that's what got her—and then, she went on, you know, and started looking more seriously at decay energy.

- 357 **SUESS:** Yeah. That was the poly-neutron efficient theory?
- 358 FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah.
- 359 **SUESS:** Yes?
- 360 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yes.
- 361 **SUESS:** That was that early? I forgot now.

FLECKENSTEIN: It wasn't published until a bit later, but the work they did—well, it was
 published in '49, I believe. '49 or '50. But the work that they did started in about 1946, so, she
 actually was working on that— [*crosstalk*]

- 365 **SUESS:** Of course, [*crosstalk*]
- 366 **FLECKENSTEIN:**—before she did this work.

367 SUESS: Maybe Teller, all of a sudden, terms of a bomb—the poly-neutron [*inaudible*]. Yeah.
368 Probably. Yes. Now, this is as far as I got then and then, we played a little bit in front. But—

FLECKENSTEIN: What—in several of the papers, Jensen mentions Bohr as being—he had
 discussed the theory with him. What was your sense of Bohr's contribution to all this?

SUESS: Well, Jensen just wanted to be nice to Bohr. He felt very, very—he admired Bohr and he had known him from before the war. And Niels Bohr was a bit... a bit—well, conceited. Of course, he was. When I came to—well, later in the war, we could travel via Copenhagen through Sweden to Norway and this was a very fascinating thing to do, but in Copenhagen I always stopped and looked around so nobody could see me and I went to Bohr's Institute [Institute of Theoretical Physics, now known as Niels Bohr Institute] and I visited [George] de Hevesy there.

And once I went there, and de Hevesy showed me—he showed me everything he was doing,
and then, he asked me—I must have forgotten that completely—he asked me if I could get him

some heavy water. And I said, "Officially I have no influence on how heavy water's given, but I
could do that somehow unofficially." And, indeed, I managed to steal some—200 milliliters of
heavy water from a big container where we were shipping [*inaudible*]. After everybody had left, I
was completely alone in the factory, and I siphoned it over with my mouth, and then, I took it to
Copenhagen. And the Danish custom looked and looked into my bags.

He pulled out that bottle and said, "What's in there?" And he suspected what it would be schnapps. And I said, "This is a boric acid solution." He had no idea. "You wash, disinfect." He opened it and smelled it. It didn't smell and was satisfied. Would have been interesting if I would have said, [laughs] "SHHHH, Don't say anything. The Germans are watching." The poor guy would be really embarrassed. He wouldn't have known what to do. But that was one adventure.

They called it *Färskt Vatten*, they told me later, in Copenhagen. *"Färskt vatten"* means, "fresh water". In German, it's Frisches Wasser. *[laughter]* The code name was Frisches Wasser. What is it English? The salt water in the ocean and the fresh water.

- 393 FLECKENSTEIN: Fresh water.
- 394 **SUESS:** Fresh water.

## 395 FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah.

396 **SUESS:** In Danish, it's fresh water, but in German, they say, frisches.

397 FLECKENSTEIN: So, did Jensen discuss this at all with Bohr, then, do you think?

SUESS: Later on—well, this was a tricky thing, because Heisenberg messed it up everything.
I went to—I was invited for dinner to de Hevesy's and there was a young lady, 15-years-old. Do
you know who that was? She's now Jenny [de Hevesy] Arrhenius, who lives in La Jolla. [*laughs*]
I didn't know that. She's a daughter of Hevesy. And she married Gustaf Arrhenius. You know
who that is?

## 403 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.

404 **SUESS:** And the father—Arrhenius is also in that. And I ask Professor Hevesy, the father, "I 405 would love to be introduced to Bohr just to say, 'Hello.'" And then, the next day, I was in the 406 institute and de Hevesy said, he's a diplomat. And he said, "Professor Bohr, you know—he is a 407 bit peculiar. Wouldn't you like to be introduced to Professor [Johannes Nicolaus] Brønsted408 instead?"

- 409 Brønsted had the Nobel Prize, too. You know, Brønsted-the chemical kinetics and what's the
- 410 base—acid-based comparisons. He got that for Ph.D. work. And, I had a few nice words with
- Brønsted, but I wasn't working in this field and I knew what it was and what he was doing, but I
- 412 think like Bohr. [*laughs*] So, that was also nice. Did you take it down?
- 413 **FLECKENSTEIN:** No. It's running.
- 414 SUESS: What's that? Well, any more questions—

#### [Break in audio]

415 **SUESS:** While he was in Copenhagen, he did saw Bohr while I was talking to de Hevesy and

416 maybe approached—oh, there is a brief [*inaudible*] story about—you know, Bohr's institute, but

that wasn't really—Jensen had nothing to do with it and I didn't either, I was watching the story.

- But I know Jensen—see, there was this famous discussion with Jensen and—oh, I don't—I
- didn't give you that book review that I wrote on this book, *The Buyer's House*. Have you ever
- 420 seen that?

## 421 FLECKENSTEIN: I've—

## [Break in audio]

**SUESS:** —didn't believe what Heisenberg told him. He couldn't communicate. But Heisenberg said—first of all, in Germany, nobody knew whether a bomb could really work—whether or not. And Heisenberg went to Bohr and, pretty bluntly—although he was sort of a diplomat—told Bohr that couldn't the physicists of the whole world get to an agreement not to work on an atomic bomb? And Bohr said, "You meant to say not to work on an atomic bomb? Everybody is morally obligated to work on an atomic bomb—at least we, in the West, have to. This is absolutely necessary."

- 429 And Heisenberg was shocked and disappointed. But Bohr—he thought Bohr was just thinking.
- 430 He was thinking that he didn't trust Heisenberg and they would just propose not to work on an
- 431 atomic bomb because the Germans wanted to make one, which is sort of crazy. But the longer I
- 432 learn about these things and the more I see about this country, I have to add in that—because

my suspicion is—I have no proof for it—when I was traveling through Sweden, when I contacted
everybody possible, I told everybody everything that I knew without having an inhibition or any
qualms, and even I wasn't afraid of somebody denouncing me to the masses. But I was
amazed/surprised that no attempt was made at all—at least later on in the war.

After they bombed the hydro plant in killed some 50 Norwegians, I got—I was supposed to get the message that I should report to the British Embassy in Württemberg in Sweden, because my train passed through there. But that message never reached me because it was almost the end of the war and I wasn't sent to Norway anymore. So, but nobody cared. I don't think that they really wanted to know. I don't think that the—

#### 442 **FLECKENSTEIN:** It's possible.

443 **SUESS:** —CIA [Central Intelligence Agency]—it was CIC [CIG - Central Intelligence Group] 444 in those days, or whatever it was called—did not want to know what was going on in Germany, 445 because they thought the Germans-they would find out that there was nothing going on in 446 Germany. No chance, no major efforts, which they ought to have known anyway. Then this would just discourage the American scientists over here. They wouldn't work very hard. And if 447 they would have known—if there would have been something going on, well, that would just be 448 449 just as good, because we're telling the German—the American scientists anyway that Germans are feverishly working on a bomb. So, they did not try-they did not want to know. And [Nathan] 450 Sugarman, in Chicago, I was there when they had his 40-year anniversary of the chain reaction. 451 452 Do you know that was last—

453 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Hm-hmm. Hm-hmm.

454 **SUESS:** Sugerman said, "If we had known that the Germans were so far behind, we would 455 never have made the bomb." And I think that's true. And so, it's all the fault of the politicians.

- 456 FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. Yeah. [laughter]
- 457 **SUESS:** But it's not in the books and who can say that?
- 458 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Well, you should write it up.

459 SUESS: No matter how he appeared, no spy trapped and seduced me while I was traveling460 back.

#### 461 **FLECKENSTEIN:** That's what you wanted. [*laughs*]

#### 462 **SUESS:** I was waiting for that. [*laughter*]

FLECKENSTEIN: I see. Okay. So, you don't think that Bohr was particular—to get back to Bohr
 now; we've eliminated Mata Hari. You don't think that he was particularly concerned, one way or
 another, with the shell model of the nucleus? Was much attention paid to it at his institute?

466 SUESS: I think he was. He was interested. I'm sure he was interested in the shell model. But I 467 had to turn this around. Well—and I'm sure—but, in those days, they didn't publish anything. I 468 don't think Niels Bohr published anything. And even though he may have thought about it, 469 maybe it was interesting, I don't think Heisenberg was interested in the shell model. It wasn't a 470 direct—no connection with the reactor. Heisenberg and [Otto Robert] Frisch tried to get a chain 471 reaction going.

This was a prestige matter, you know? It was [Otto] Hahn discovering and the chain reaction
was obviously something that could be done. And they would have liked to get the heavy water,
because Haxel and [Helmut] Volz made these wrong measurements on carbon as it—

#### 475 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Moderated?

SUESS: - moderated. It wasn't their fault, because there were no chemists and the stuff was
dirty. And I don't know what was in there. It could have been boron. It could also have been
hydrogen because that graphite wasn't very clean. And probably the measurements were good
measurements, I don't know. But there was no mass spectrometer and there was very little
equipment and very little [*inaudible*].

#### 481 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Hm-hmm.

**SUESS:** Wet chemistry is what these Germans was still strong at. The wet chemistry—which is at home in Vienna—doesn't cost much money. And wet chemistry was very useful for these things. Then [Fritz] Strassmann and Hahn did all the fission products, measured the fission products, and—but no mass spectrometers that were working with power [*inaudible*]. And it was hopeless to get anything done in a hurry—not done in a hurry.

FLECKENSTEIN: What role did Victor Weisskopf play in all of this, in your—did you talk with
him or did Jensen talk with him?

- 489 **SUESS:** Well, Victor Weisskopf had left already. And he was not in Germany.
- 490 FLECKENSTEIN: Not during the war, but I mean, afterwards, when you and Jensen were—

SUESS: Yeah. Yes. Victor was another stories and jokes. I met him when I was visiting [Fritz] 491 492 Houtermans in Göttingen. And then, all of a sudden, Victor Weisskopf walked in and they were happy and hugging each other. Houtermans And Weisskopf-and then they asked-493 494 Houtermans ask him—of course it was the first time Houtermans I should mention was a real 495 close friend, because they both were very left before Nazi times. And now they were not. They 496 were both cured completely. And so, he told us all kinds of stories. And he said—well, one story 497 I remember-when he was told to expect the New York airport, three British gentlemen. It was a 498 time when nothing went forward with the Manhattan project or it hadn't existed yet. But here, 499 they were fighting about rules and regulations, I think, with security matters and rules and no 500 work was possible. And then, it was announced the three British gentlemen would arrive in New 501 York, and Weisskopf would—

- 502 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Meet them?
- 503 **SUESS:** —would meet them at the airport— I'm working now. Go away. It was like, "Oh. 504 Okay." Out.
- 505 FLECKENSTEIN: Was that the "Nicholas Baker" story?
- 506 **SUESS:** What is that?
- 507 **FLECKENSTEIN:** The one when it was Bohr and his son?
- 508 **SUESS:** No.
- 509 FLECKENSTEIN: That's a different story?
- 510 **SUESS:** I don't know this story. No, Bohr was not involved.
- 511 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Oh, well.
- 512 SUESS: Everybody was wondering if Viki [Victor] Weisskopf was top secret, was waiting for
- 513 his three British gentlemen. And then, the plane arrived many hours later and then, who was
- there? [Franz Eugen?] Simon, [Rudolf] Peierls, and Frisch. Simon was from Berlin, Niels was—

no Simon from Breslau [also known as Wrocław Poland], Peierls from Berlin, and Otto Frisch
was from Vienna originally. These were the three—

517 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Three British gentlemen.

**SUESS:** And then, they met, and then they sat together to discuss what they found and then one of them said, "Let's speak German. Yiddish is not "die liebste Sprache. Nur versteht es jetzt vracht" [?] [*laughter*] So, but I think like, Weisskopf just was proud of the fact that—the development of the atomic bomb was a Jewish achievement. He doesn't deny that. And, of course, I can't blame them because of the really—that was the answer. But I have another theory about all this. But it has nothing to do with it.

524 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Well, you can tell me after we finish this then. I'd like to hear it. Let's go on 525 and talk about those last two papers for a minute. It sounds like we can clear them up pretty 526 quickly. Jensen wrote both of those you say?

527 SUESS: Jensen what?

528 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Jensen wrote both of those, the last two papers—the long one in 1950 and in 1952?

530 **SUESS:** Yeah. We discussed it, but he did the writing because he was the physicist who 531 could put it in the right words.

FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. Well, my question was—I think it's in the 1950 paper—that you first
used that diagram where you have the harmonic oscillator potential and a square well potential
and you sort of take an interpolation in between for the energy levels.

535 **SUESS:** Yes.

536 **FLECKENSTEIN:** And I was wondering about—I mean, that's sort of a classic element of the 537 single particle shell model now is that particular diagram, and I was wondering about the origin 538 of that. Did you discuss that?

539 **SUESS:** Well, I drew the left side, with the oscillator and gave the numbers and everything 540 and also, the splitting of the level, but I don't know what the square well potential—I don't think I 541 could find the solution of this written equation for the square well model anywhere in the books. But, of course, it's there somewhere, so, I didn't bother because Jensen wanted to have it there.Maria did not do it this way, did she?

544 FLECKENSTEIN: No. She used the square well potential. She didn't use the harmonic
545 oscillator. That's why it—

546 **SUESS:** Oh, she didn't at all use—

547 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Not in the beginning. And then, when you get to the later—like the textbook—

548 **SUESS:** But I think the harmonic oscillator fits almost nicer. I don't know.

549 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Well, it depends. I can't remember. One fits better for light nuclei and one fits 550 better for heavy nuclei material. I can't remember which is which. But I—

551 **SUESS:** And they took it then in between.

FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. But I just wondered if you remembered any discussion of that. Youworked entirely with the harmonic oscillator.

554 **SUESS:** Yeah. Well, this is so simple and it's also going to show the students that you have such a simple answer. And when I talk about this in my class on cosmo chemistry and I tell 555 them that this is not what I want to say, is not something that is important to know in 556 557 connections with nuclear physics—it's nice to know, but I'm not teaching nuclear physics here— 558 but it's a beautiful example as to how science works because you don't have to be an expert in 559 the field and still, you can contribute something. It's usually nature is presenting you with some 560 very simple answer. And one of them is this scheme of numbers that gives you the magic numbers. And the interpretation is the product of very sophisticated mathematics, but you don't 561 562 have to know that, because it's sort of trivial to get to these answers.

#### 563 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Hm-hmm.

564 **SUESS:** This is one thing that I'm telling students. They shouldn't be afraid of involved 565 mathematics—at least I wasn't. And you just have to look at the facts of the experimental values 566 and the numbers and the dates. If they're correct, then you must be able to interpret them. You 567 don't need to have the involved theories to do that. You can get the theories out of the 568 experimental data, but you shouldn't try to get experimental values out of the theory.

- 569 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Right. You can only go in one direction.
- 570 **SUESS:** Especially with a theory that you don't know if it's correct.

FLECKENSTEIN: Right. One of the problems, as I understood it, about the acceptance of the
shell model was that, of course, the compound nucleus was very successful in explain things
like fission and it was the model that most people worked with throughout the war—
particularly—

575 **SUESS:** For calculating fission.

FLECKENSTEIN: Yeah. And explaining all that sort of thing. So, that's the model that most
nuclear physicists were accepting at this time. Do you have any recollection of how people
reconciled the two? I mean, you've got two sort of opposing views and it seems to me the shell
model was accepted pretty quickly once it was suggested.

Well, it took about six or eight years, because the strange thing is-were the 580 SUESS: 581 physicists. It's always the same story. The physicists have to have one experiment that gives 582 you an answer "Yes" or "No" and that-they accept that thing. The other fields of science-583 especially geologists or biologists, too-they take a multitude of inexplicable phenomena 584 pointing in one direction, but none of them really proving what the source is. But if you have 585 always the same indication—for example, for a magic number—people used to say, "There is no such thing as a shell structure or as individual numbers. You cannot use Bohr's theory of the 586 587 atom from the nucleus and what not."

- 588 But if you always get the same number—which could be an accident, of course—they say, 589 "Well, that's an accident—that there's so many stabilizer domes with 50 neutrons contained in 590 50 neutrons. That just happens everywhere. In other parts of the pyramid table, you have that 591 many and it has nothing to do with any magic number. So, that must be just accidental, or it's 592 hidden away.". But the scientists who work intuitively—like a geologist who has to have a 593 multitude of indications pointing in one direction, you cannot prove anything. They are 594 convinced—for example, continental drift.
- 595 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Mmh-hmm.

596 **SUESS:** There must be that way. But the geophysicists refuse to believe it as long as they 597 don't have one observation that shows conclusively that this must have been the fact. And actually, now, they have two or three such observations. I said it was the ocean floor spreading
that convinced them that the continents moved apart. Now, Keith Runcorn—he was always
around; I don't know if you met him.

#### 601 FLECKENSTEIN: No.

602 SUESS: Well, he's an Englishman who teaches at the University of Newcastle but he spends 603 half his time flying around in the United States. So, that is why everybody knows him. Keith 604 Runcorn told me that it was the magnetic field change that—and the magnetic measurements because that's his field—that convinced the geophysicists of continental drift. Completely 605 606 independent observation. But they won't believe what the geologists tell them—that if you look 607 at the minerals, the rocks and the petrology of the individual strata—that this belonged together. 608 That they don't believe, because they don't see, and characteristic rock, what belongs to what. 609 That's complicated. You can't prove it.

FLECKENSTEIN: What was the experiment in physics, then, that made physicists accept theshell model?

612 **SUESS:** Oh, yes. And that's what I was driving at. There was a scattering experiment. The 613 proton beam deflected by—I don't know. Was it proton/proton scattering?

FLECKENSTEIN: I think so. Are you talking about the Friar and Lusenfeld [?], or somebody likethat?

616 **SUESS:** Yeah. Something like that.

#### 617 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.

618 **SUESS:** There was this review you should see. If a single proton that's aligned in some way

by some molecular atomic beam set up—scattered in two ways or in one way. And that

620 experiment—the scattering of protons, I think—I didn't follow this up, but that was just at the

time when I moved to Chicago and was at the University of Chicago. And I didn't--

622 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah. I think that was done in 1952.

623 SUESS: Yeah. And I came in 1950 to Chicago. And I just heard roughly and-

- 624 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Actually, that experiment was done by one of the professors at the University
- of Minnesota and he says that they—it was not ever intended to demonstrate spin-orbit
- 626 coupling. They just-they suddenly realized that that's what it showed. How else does a
- 627 compound—[cross talk]
- 628 **SUESS:** Yeah. That's what they convinced the theoretical physicists—of course, many other 629 people were convinced anyway.
- 630 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.
- 631 **SUESS:** There was just the opposition.
- FLECKENSTEIN: Do you think people had trouble reconciling the single particle shell modelwith the compound nucleus model then?
- 634 SUESS: Well, it must be possible. I don't know. That's a job-

# [END OF PART FOUR, BEGIN PART FIVE]

635 **SUESS:** Well, when I came here and then, I found that [Harold] Urey was mostly interested in

the abundances of the elements—you know, Harrison Brown arranged for me to come to

637 Chicago, and I think it was the first and only one, in those days, who came on a private or

638 university invitation. All the other scientists from occupied Germany were offered jobs from

- army/navy organizations and they did military. I didn't come, like the other stories are.
- 640 **FLECKENSTEIN:** You came in 1950?
- 641 **SUESS:** '50, yes. And it took me a year before I got the visa. That was a very funny story, 642 cause Ruth was over here. She happened to be born in Saint Louis, Missouri.
- 643 FLECKENSTEIN: Oh. [laughter]
- 644 **SUESS:** And just, after the war, I said, "Let's get them. You can get an American passport."
- So, she went to Swiss Consulate and registered as being born in America and American
- 646 citizenship, but she didn't have a birth certificate. She had every other document [*inaudible*]. So,
- 647 there was an uncle of hers still living in Saint Louis and she had his address.

After a year, they said, "Bring \$10.00 and you get the American passport." So, it was—she had to scrounge up \$10.00, which is not much, and then, she got the passport and then, she had to come to the United States. And she didn't know where, so she wrote to all her relatives over here and a niece of hers was over here. That actually is a first great cousin that happened to be here, and then, she came alone because I didn't have the passport. And then, I was—

653 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Did you have children then?

- 654 **SUESS:** Yeah. We had one daughter.
- 655 FLECKENSTEIN: Did she come, too?

656 **SUESS:** No. She was in—she stayed with my mother in Vienna. She stayed with my mother 657 in Vienna. She'd been staying with my mother before, during the last weeks of the war. But 658 then, she was over here and went to Washington and then, I had to first get a job here because 659 I can't come and—then, Harrison Brown, she got in touch with several people, and Viki 660 Weisskopf gave me an affidavit of support, which I didn't really need.

But Chicago then invited me, and I applied for a visa and it didn't come. Then, they wanted to know what my activities were in Nazi times in Germany and they found out I had been working on heavy water. And then, this consulate send it back to Washington and it took half a year and nothing happened. I couldn't get transportation. It was terrible thing.

And finally, Ruth came back. She had made \$50.00 a week or something like that—much more than I—while she was over here, and then, she came back to Germany. We went to the American Consulate and then, a few weeks later, they contacted me and they told me the story that there was this line—that, "You know we have to be very careful when you have that experience and you had a very strong recommendation from the British government, but so have [*inaudible*] folks" they said. [*laughter*]

- 671 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Sort of like, no recommendation. [*laughs*]
- SUESS: Well, they finally let me come in America. But when I came, Urey was alreadyinterested. Now, Harrison Brown—you know him?
- 674 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Mm-hmm.
- 675 **SUESS:** He lives in Albuquerque now.

676 **FLECKENSTEIN:** I don't know him personally, but I know of his work.

SUESS: Yeah. So, Harrison Brown got me the invitation to get to Chicago and that was fine
and wonderful, and I was very impressed. But the Chicago—that's everywhere like Chicago
University, Chicago the city, it's a wonderful place. Unfortunately, it's not all around the country
the same way.

#### 681 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.

**SUESS:** But Chicago was just an excellent place with the most brilliant people. And Urey came and he looked at the abundances and he was impressed and, you know, the way I could show it was that the abundances of adjacent elements fit together like in a jigsaw puzzle. You know, you can match them and then, everything has some pattern to it. And Urey loved that and he, for years, so, he placed with his jigsaw puzzle, shifting around. [*laughter*]

I got him completely hooked on the abundances, and then, he said—we published together.
That was wonderful. Then, people pay some attention to you. That was important. Then, we
have the data subtext and [*inaudible*] and then, Urey said, "You have to be the senior author."

And I said, "Well"—"No, no. You have to be the senior author." I said, "Well, in Europe, we
always put the names in alphabetic order." "No, no, no. You have—" "Okay. Okay. I'll go ahead
and do it." [*laughter*] Because what could I have said? [*laughter*] I think he was based in
Copenhagen for a time so he was paying attention to my German papers. Except this—because
of the Nobel Prize—it's located—let's see what the sequence of the next were. We were so

695 close.

FLECKENSTEIN: Speaking of the Nobel Prize, what do you think was the reason for Jensen
getting the prize and you and Haxel being excluded? Was that a political—

SUESS: No, no, no. I wrote an excellent essay. Now, the Nobel Prize is—I'm so glad to have
it and the Nobel Prize is not divided into [*inaudible*] pieces. That's not been done. He was a bit
offended, I think, and he [*inaudible*] that, you know? After, he thought that [*inaudible*]. They got
along very well near the end [*inaudible*]. Together—I think they have the same birthday.
[*inaudible*] It was, so to speak. That's why I [*inaudible*].

703 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Mm-hmm.

SUESS: [*inaudible*] [*laughter*] But, well, later on, we didn't get in touch with so much anymore.
He came here to visit me, but, of course, we wanted to see Maria, but fortunately, Maria and
Joe [Joseph Edward Mayer] were more and more disregarding their health problems and losing
their healthy way of living. But Hans Jensen died just a year or two after Mayer died, but that
had another reason.

#### 709 FLECKENSTEIN: How's that?

710 That was in connection with what's his name? [inaudible] the physicist—the German SUESS: 711 whom Jensen was collaborating with, who was admiring and liking very much, till it was a big 712 project in [*inaudible*] and Heisenberg had cooperated and he did filter the high energy 713 experiments that cost millions of Marks. And then, somehow, he was denounced by some secretary [inaudible] and then, they checked up and found that he had transferred all his 714 715 research funds to a Swiss bank account and then, had bought a house in Geneva Switzerland, 716 supposedly because it was cheaper to own a house than to have his coworkers live in repair. 717 And lots of things like that.

- And Jensen was terribly upset that I'm checking up on such successful physicists but then, the worst thing was that they put him in jail for two years because of the—that was the difference with the American system—is that you have to have—everything you—the way you spend your research funds is administrated by the university and you really can't do quite what you want to do and it's tedious to get things—you can't buy things where you want them to. And in Germany, the head director can do what he likes with his research funds. [*laughter*]
- He mustn't do it in such a way that he cannot prove anything. And he really spent a lot of personal private money and his son was dying [*inaudible*] and couldn't find out where the money came from, and he couldn't show what he had done with his research funds. And that's what really did to him. I think he had a job with some company, but in any case, it upset Jensen terribly and he died of a heart—it wasn't an ordinary heart attack. It was a hemorrhage in his heart.
- Couldn't be fixed. They're not very good in Germany of these things. They were not in those
  days. So, that was very unexpected. But Urey spent a year of his life—or almost a year—
- playing this jigsaw puzzle with the abundances, the abundances rules, and we wrote this paper
- together, and then, later, had some success with his work. If Urey hadn't paid any attention,
- 734 probably nobody would have.

- 735 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Well, you've had plenty of success with it since then, so...
- 736 **SUESS:** Within what?
- 737 **FLECKENSTEIN:** You've had plenty of success with it since then.
- 738 **SUESS:** Yeah. With the abundances.
- 739 **FLECKENSTEIN:** Yeah.
- 740 **SUESS:** Sure. Now, Urey got the Nobel Prize. Now was very nice. Willy [William Alfred]
- Fowler didn't get the Nobel Prize and he always mentions it, and then, I was there at the 70th
- birthday part—the big colloquium in Pasadena. And Willy Fowler gave the—no, somebody else

743 gave it to him, but Willy Fowler stood up and he said he should mention that "All our work was

- based on the way Suess and Urey treated the data, and unfortunately, though Urey is not at
- this, but Suess is here, and let's give him a hand."
- Now, that was very nice of him. That was before he had the Nobel Prize, but maybe—so, then,
- there were two Nobel Prizes, but, of course, Harold had a Nobel Prize before.
- 748 FLECKENSTEIN: He wants to speak?
- 749 **SUESS:** He wants to say something too. [*laughs*]
- 750 **FLECKENSTEIN:** But your work was the basis for more than one Nobel Prize.
- 751 **SUESS:** Well, the real Nobel Prize—maybe I should get something in for [Willard Frank]
- 752 Libby's Nobel Prize, because Libby—
- 753 **FLECKENSTEIN:** That's right. Yeah.
- 754 **SUESS:** Libby has this genius method of carbon-14 dating and he got the Nobel Prize, but at
- that time, just when we got the Nobel Prize, I found out that his data needed a correction and
- the whole scale had to be calibrated. And Libby didn't want to believe that for quite a while and I
- vas the one who convinced him. Nobody else. Because he said, "Well, I don't have to believe
- 758 what in a system to face the second great university entails."
- That was this guy Fergus at the University of Arizona. He had done all his three ring
   measurements and he thought there was something wrong with the three rings because it didn't

give the—the answer didn't show up. So, he wrote some paper that there must a mistake in the three ring sequence, but he was correct and—but then, it's not surprising that now—I mean, I thought that this was an interesting discovery that something changes worldwide over the whole earth and that's the carbon-14 in the atmosphere, and it varies with solar activity, and I maintained it had to do with the climate—the global climate. There is a parameter which affects the global climate worldwide, over the whole globe, and that's important to have. And you should do that.

- 768 But the moment that published, it kind of "Oh my gosh." The meteorologist are against that.
- 769 They spend all their money on computer programming of weather map predictions and, of
- course, they put in the present synoptic values, and the computer is supposed to tell you what
- the weather will be the next month or years, and so on. And that was very expensive. But now, if
- they would have to put in the sun as an additional factor, then, it would screw everything—the
- whole thing, and there would almost impossible to consider. So, they don't want the sun to have
- an effect on the climate, otherwise, all these past millions of dollars will be wasted.
- But, I don't have anything against the sun. I couldn't understand why they got so upset about the
- sun. But now, we've proven that this is the case and shown that to the space scientists and I've
- had them analyze that data and you should see mathematical proof that there is an effect from
- the sun globally all around. But if you—you shouldn't say such things.
- 779 **FLECKENSTEIN:** At least not if you want grants.

## [Break in audio]

- 780 **SUESS:** Yes. You have my permission to do anything you like with what I had said on this
- tape, except I'd like to have a transcript myself and be free to use it somewhere else.

# [END OF PART FIVE, END OF INTERVIEW]