Pittsford, Monroe bo. N.My:
Apr. 14,1858 .
MyODear Marvin:
spent yesterday in a neighboring town, at a Goufrence of Congregational ministers \& deleyates; an exceedingly pleasant meeting. The sulyeet of discussion was Individual Effort for the Gomersion of Simmers. Different aspects or parts of this subject had been assigned, some weeks before, to different ministers; the part that fell to me was Causes of the Neglect of this Whity. The concentration of so much talk on a single point must leave a deep impression on the mind of a conguegation. Was rather taken aback by their requesting me to preach their next sermon in September, a member of Romchester Sveshytery; $\Phi$ coned not handsomely refuse. Made some pleasant acquaintances; they are fine men; Dill of spenerfort, Prof. Fowler of rochester University; Edward. of Phymonthe 62 ch, Rochester, Butter of Bergen, the. They ave not so strong in influence, wealth, numithers, as our N.S. Presb. folks; But they are respectable, $\mathscr{H}_{\text {why }}$ revta. Lately went to dedication of GZlinewood' new th (N.SoLu.) Rochester; a magnificent building. Sermon by Prof. Gondit of ifuburnseminany; why so roble a preacher should give so common-place a discourse, on
so considerable an occasion, was a mystery to all. This new edifice show the genius $\&$ skill of the architect to move advantage than Plymouth C2L, tho it is mot so highly ormamented. Plymouth is the most gorgeous thing your eyes ever he held; three in mothing in Boston equal to it. Even the swedenborg Ch produces less effect. It has somehow always looked rather funny to me, to see Prvitans bridling \& worshipping in Gothic.
\$ have been reading over the speech carefully; the doctrine strikes me e as new; it least it is new to me; I should want to think a little, before expressing an opinions. D approve of the remarks at the end; when in London, $\$$ was introduces to a quaker woman, a zealonus advocate for women'z voting; she agreed to meet me the next day 4 demand my reasons. Or thinking Lard apron the subject, $\$$ resolved to inge this argument: "Yon women form the mind of the water as youpleuse; and now you ask to vote too; surely you ought to leave us a little power." But something peeve ted her coming. Sn one of ming hist. 2ectives is this passage: (Speaking of the benefits brought in ha the Northern Barbarians, one in their verpect for women) "The ancient philosophy could not see, what is so plain to us, that the character of the borg must he derived from the mother than from the further; the dignity of cultivation of the female mind is of the utmost importance to the progress of the race. For such stupidity, barbarian violence was the proper instructor." \$ wind here
find a suffice t answer to the advocates of women's voting; they have aheady more than half the power, if they only had wit enough to use it; the argument is so exuberant, it wile hear to Le dwelt on 4 used formone than it ever has been. But concerning your theory of the family in voting, $\$$ am by no means prepared to give an opinion; an curios to knowhow it was theater. $\$$ intend to write on a reform in female education; \$ have thought munch of it. My theory is it is absurd to make all gives go throrigh a corse of piano dilling, when they are sure to drop it in active life; they sind study such things as mix themselves rp with every days? duties, history, moral philosophy, t especially biblical antiquities 4 analysis of bks of Seriptwe; this the move, because they Lave less physical shength, It less tine, than boys, for getting an edmeation. Admitting that instrumental music is good, we can not have every good thing. It in vidiculous to see giver playing sple dilly on the piano, who do not prow whether we are descended from Selavomicmes or Feejee SsLomders; to whom the whole magnifieent past is a blank; \& are ignorant of the rid consolLions in the Sassed IVritings, for the troubles of life; who do not perceive the plainest operations of the plainest Laws of the mind in children. Jfistorny in the driest \& the tediousest thing possible in outline text bks, the most fusecinating thing possible in a certain kind of familiar lecture; fortunate in the give in ho gets it instead of a promo.

As to the sermon, $\$$ like them; the particular criticisms $\phi$ should like to make, $\$$ have not room for here; $\$$ will
bind them up in min next vol. of pampliets as a keepsoke. The paper $\$$ return. Then $\phi$ get a little time, $\$$ wish to find a little fane with your style; this you can afford, it is so good in the main. Ad if $\phi$ sen you by of by a eoveful artie, you will do the same to me.

The valjects of the hist. Lecterner you ask about, we these: State of the Pom. En pine in the Fourth bent. State of the b lh. Nor therein Borbanicmis. Fiendal System. Papal Dower. At tempts ayainst Pupal Power. Gunsades, effects of, on Mind of Eiropke. Chivahy. Architecture of Mid. Ages. Scholastic Dhilosoplyy. Infhence on Erropp. Mind, of Saracens. Mid. Age Monkey. Me dicant Dvrs. Mid. Age Universities. St Bernird, te. te. Some of these are not composed, but are mapped ont in my mind, it the materials verily. The majority of ny young folks fin all thin rather high; but a peen few symputtiize with me heartily. You speak of Guizot; his vols are very precious; but $\phi_{\text {vegan then as }}$ presupposing much reading in the student, college boys for do not appreciate, generally; to veal at as a first bk is like putting a man's head on a baby's shoulder. . Ane of the very finest bks in the world is Milman's Latin Ckmitionity. A good be is steinmetri Ifist. Yesmits.

My sibleblass $\$$ wile tell you about, some time. The use troubled lest we shoved be passed over by the Ho by Sjsivit. The great revival is mot in Aechester, nor hereabout much. My heart is on this subject just mow. Met with See.
 know rout to make of the odd thing. Bone to Moas. Mosvine, 4 very much to yourself. Infill write by $\forall$ by. AN.

Pittsford, Mouroebo. NPY. Nov. 13,1858.
My Dear Marvin:
Q Lave been waiting
for some general features of the meeting of the A.B.C.F.M. as they seemed to your mind. \$ never feel satisfied with newspaper descripions; but popups it is because \$. Look with the eyes of a missionary. But as it has passed so far along, let it yo.

Since you left, $\$$ have much regretted the extreme hurry of your visit, \& the consequent imperfectness of fragmenting native of every thing that pursed between us. One thing in porticoulur which $\$$ said, $\Phi$ fear you did not minerstand, viz. that every thing distinctively American is mean. This was not a hasty or ill considered expression; but it needed time to explain. My meaning was, that the things me ought to be proud of, the English Lune in common with ins; there is no one veal blessing we have, which they have not. Do you say we have more newspapers for the poor? True, but they are
a mass of vile political falsehood of cornutimon, especially out of M.England; even the least reputable Every. papers are truttaful in comparisom. And so of even g thing else claimed as a $\frac{\text { distinctive excellence, while the mass of the peon- }}{\text { mare }}$ plo knew not how to value the real comforts of their condition. Eng. emigrants, with shapenor sense \& discernment, value these of despise those. If you give the word distinctive its due force, \& carefully select those distinctive features from what the two nations have in communion, you mist uchnit the trent of ny assertion. You will naturally fasten on cher \& state; if $\phi 1$ ia room, $\$$ could soon show everthis to be no exception. But $\$$ do not wish to agitute these subjects at such a distance; you need not trouble yourself to motive then again. Ivaraly disturb them, tr never witt cominon ininds. Then general intelligence is move spread in this country, the people will see the folly of hating the English; the imposesibility of our distinctive notivies ever getting afooting among themis; \& that the true $y^{\text {lory of }}$ both is to bless the wowed as Clvisitian of Protestant.

Our Bible Class how being now $6 \frac{1}{2}$, the atterrance is munch larger, \& the interest great. Our next-Csson is the yt of Romans; a fine opportunity to show how our fathoms es red from not minerstanding the urus loquendi; how Anyustive? mind was biassed by his opposition to the Manitches, $t$ Calvin's by his habits as a lawyer; it so we have been in the tail of these comets without knowing it, hence the distort views of high Colvinimini Eph. $1: 4$ forever settles the doctrine as time, night explained; If at even moderately Calvinistic views, (i en shiite, billing to the higher stages of Charition growth, shia to peanliar states of mind, as your sermon showed, of the $2 d$ great revival. Te should introduce it into the pulpit rarely, t with extreme caution: (See Robt. Hale 3. 231, 8th, gt, 4 10 th lines from bottom.)

In connexion with this subject, I am delingted with the history of the ¢ausenists. Mas. Schiminmelpeminck's Port Roy cl, is a bk y an ought to own. See also the just th well considered piesentation of the subject in Alike's Popes. Surely mo chapter of history is more charming than the noble of sweet piety of those Catholics.

But $\$$ ann just now beginning to enter apron a sewere affliction. Our proslavery Democrats, or rather their leader, being defeated in atternpting to drive me out at the en of last year, $\$$ Lane been fearing, all along, " move violent attempt at the en of this year, knowing as $\Phi$ do, how the nina of such men works. A. $O$ so it has proved; he is determined $\$$ shall not enter ny Sd year. The distuotiance is frightful. \$ Lave never preached on slaving, hardly ever talk of it; Lace been diligent in my ordinary duties; yet they have someLow irade ont that $\phi_{\text {an very rabid } \psi \text { m-tinst-wor- }}$ thy. My sermon of last Sab. is declarer haetiendertition it had mot the slightest doctrinal statement in it; it was only " practicue setting forth of the candace of bknist \& the ten Lepers," "where are the nine." "tc. all nameless, of proper, $\psi$ cautions. The rage of those men $\$ \mathrm{e}^{\prime} d$ not have imagined. They seemed deternivied to do by prudence what is lucking in argument. It seems impossible to resist so many mouths; the little cha is Frightened, t say they shale have to yield, or be greatly weakened as a Society; those mem are deter mined to rule, as they did in the time of ny pridecossor who was a Demoenat. If ever there was a reLament desire in human breast, it is the desire of our che to escape from this bondage; lent it is simply a question between min as a Society \& submitting to De drat rule for a while. The Dem prat pouty the is very strong; they can do as they like. I am amused to be made guilty of things $\$$ never tho't of; the Methodists, too, angry at the popularity of our Bible Class, join in the clamor. The bona fire difficulty is, my praying for the shave, tho' it it minusing to see, there is not a wood said of it; mig successor will have a queer question to settle. D cain mot live in such a noise; $\$$ innit vetive at the end of Dee. \$ may have to lie on ming oars for many months. Besides all
this, there are ct difficulties, enmities, ot corruptions, of Long standing, which $\$$ Lane never understood; we meed a revival move them words can tell.

Now, in your more cultivated \& moral N.EngLand, you will think such outrages impossible; you virile say: "North must in some way be to blame." Be assured, it is a disturbance ab extra, as Dr. Hick ok wed say. My friends are indignant; they say: "Don't make up your mind to go, tile we have had time to see how strong we shale be to raise salary, it set them at defiance; but they do mot know the iniquity those proslavery men are cupule of i I think a new mam had Letter come; i.e. Stele thence, let the other party choose a candidate, it hold things ontevardly togetter for a while; it may be, the fresteren of his different preaching will produce, with Gods blessing, a revival.

Between God my own seen thoughts, there is the tine understanding of thin evil; he is chastising me for a former sin, which Dittsford does mot sunspact. Lately wrote a very suceenful discourse From Ex. $34: 7$, "Forgiving in-iquity, trangr. It sin; but will by no means leave muchustised": (us it shod be) The reason of the success wis, it was written out of the heart.

Am anent preaches for me to-monow, a former pastor of this ch. S think of improving the opportunity to hear some Rochester preaching.
lomplell, $\Phi_{\text {sea, by a paper he sent me, is installed. }}$. He is a surprising instance of popularity from goo $\partial$ sense, t power of close logic, without leaving, either theological or biblical. Hickok preached.

Write to me when you have time, of feel in the mood of it. perhaps weeks hence.

Mover affectivnatel, A. Moth.

Pittsford, Move bo. G ec. 13,1858.
My DearMaviout :
Thank you for yours letter of the goth. Have hack a tine of excitement here. Since $I$ wrote to you a fen days ago, I sent in my wittichrawal or resignation, or whatever you may call it, to a meeting of the $\sqrt{r} s t e r$. This proinced a large society meeting, Larger, say old men, of minove mianimons, than they have ewer known in Pittsford; evan body turned out that had a vote. The every put np Rev. Mr. R. Formerly here 17 years, of numen reverences bey the people. They chuckled at the certainty of victory, \% mere seen to grin wide. For him there were four votes, $\%$ then the whole mass vase to their feet for Mr. North. The enemy confessed their astonishment afterward. $\$$ was informed that $\$$ might pray for the slave as much as $\oint$ Liker. Witt sued a splendid vote, of crosse $\$$ ne-considred my letter to the $\sqrt{r u n}$ toes, $\%$ have mow en-
tree another year. The Democrats mext-Sale bath looked I can't tell you how. I am now combined I did mot understand the matter; I was frightened at their uproar \% impudence. But $\$$ an mot elates; I know the devil is still strong.

There seemed to he something Provider tial about it: Mr. IF. had just preached a whole Sabbath; but"it did'nt seam as it used to"; soon after it, came my capesal punishment discourse before a large audience \& with splendid success. There was a contrast between a man woo stemdies \& one who hus been for many years contented witt common-plaee. Is should have had the vote wittiont that effort; but it plainly increased the determined spirit of the meeting.

Our Bible Class is much Larger fm interesting than when you sown it. I an pervaded there is an smexploned region of insefulmens hardly suspected by ministers. I have sometimes though it of publishing my theory of experience on this sulgiect, with
warnings; for it is of a delicate maters, easily spoiled. One secret is, to avid common-plase; another is to enrich your remarks with the spoils of all things. Anottar is, to make close pactical applications to the impenitent not too of ten; they lose thai effect; this in the erFor lamplell wad fall into. I should like to have you present it one or two of ore right good meetings, where intellect of heart ore stirred up together.

The veasore why \& gave no more criticism out the hist. discourse was, the novelty \& avideut tut of the theory so pleases the mince that it has mo appetite for eniticisur; it is as if re e had eater two or three big dumplings \& must sit a while. It thick you will mewooer get is sitticim upon it; mo one wants to talk of Langrage or chess in such a case; * as to the matter, there ore mighty few wo wo are evongh ceequainted witt blistering to talk of that. I thought $\$$ knew something of the frets of those days; but $\$$ never tho't of than... in that pleasing combinations or classification.

I an also sorry \& was not exiticized le you as a preacher. If $\$$ gather correctly from friends H enemies, the result is about thus: A little too sweeping t positive; too gwent a tendency to intellecture presentations not profitably applied; sometimes sufficient thy practice to satisfy the warmer Christian hearts; an agreeable ovoidane of commane-place; elocution popular, $t$ when deeply interested some tines two velienent; a wont of tenderness, vattor knocking down \& comFelling the mind, Than than miming it. - This in the evil \& the good so for as $\$$ known; should hume been exceedingly gratified witt faittiful aiticisms added by yourself. T. Withe a sermon so peanlior us yours, \$ coned hardly judge of yr ordinary discovroses. The printed ones you sent me seam not suffice to projectile, yet the one, in Itttsford seamed enough so. Delivery good, this' not quite life enough for west of N. England. Ifeel unwilling to criticise on so small date. Have often been deceived in this noway.

Yow ask if Gampleele is not a little bit anbitions; We is, doubtless; and $\$$ shall water to see him beaten a little bit.

The Trovertor papers 9 received, \& vead the taro articles; good, but too short. Wife in even doing considerable work; still, $\Phi$ think, has the consumption.

As to yo style, there is mo voom have; Swill speak of it in due time; I will also ty y to satisfoy you about my metuplypies. Books too there is mo rome for. With much Lowe. A.N.

