

MOBILIZATION MEETING

The meeting was opened at 11:25 a.m. by the Chairman, Dr. Armando Navarro, Executive Director of the National Institute for Community Development (NICD) at the Institutes location at 894 Rialto Avenue San Bernardino, CA.

Dr. Navarro opened the meeting expressing the meeting's purpose. He followed the presentation by asking for introductions from those present.

Dr. Navarro read the proposed agenda calling for its approval or disapproval. It was the consensus of the floor to accept the proposed agenda.

The first agenda item to be discussed were the procedures and security measures to be upheld at the meeting. The following were approved:

1. That the meeting be conducted in an orderly manner.
2. That comments or statements be limited to within three minutes.
3. That personal grievances against any person or organization at this meeting would not be tolerated.

A letter sent to Dr. Armando Navarro from Jose Angel Gutierrez was read. The letter stated that a committee had been activated in Texas and a meeting had been held to initiate a call for action. The letter requested that California join in and begin mobilizing such a plan also.

Dr. Navarro added that simultaneous meetings were being held in New Mexico and Arizona, followed by a proposed National Action Conference tentatively scheduled for October 28.

Raising the question to the floor, Dr. Navarro asked if there was a consensus as to the need for mobilization by the Chicano. The participants responded affirmatively.

It was agreed by the meeting's participants that a steering committee meet to devise a plan of action.

It was moved and second by the participants that the NICD's proposed mobilization plan be accepted. The motion carried.

Mr. Luis Contreras of the California Farming and Housing Advisory Committee committed himself to organize the Northern California steering committee.

Criteria for participation in the steering committee was discussed.

A motion was made and second that criteria for membership in the steering committee be limited to organizations geared to Chicano's, Mexicano's, and Latinas. The motion was defeated with a vote of 18 for and 26 against.

A discussion ensued.

The motion was reintroduced to read, that criteria for membership in the steering committee be limited to organizations and individuals who are Chicano, Mexicano, and Latino. The motion carried with a vote of 33 for and 5 against.

26
- 18
44
23
5
38

A discussion ensued.

Motion was made to limit membership in the steering committee to 15 individuals and that 2/3 representation be given to organizations and 1/3 to individuals.

A discussion ensued.

The motion was tabled for further discussion.

A motion was made to mandate all members of the steering committee to contribute \$200.00 towards membership in the committee. The motion was second and approved by a vote of 24 for and 21 against.

A motion was made to allow members of the steering committee a 2 week period to raise their membership funds. The motion was second and carried with a vote of 28 for and 11 against.

A motion to select the steering committee was made. The motion was second and carried.

A motion that Items 1 through 4 under SPECIFICS ON PROPOSED PLAN be given to the steering committee to coordinate sub-committees comprised of the general population v.g. grass roots, and that the steering committee be given the authority to structure was made and second. The motion carried.

A motion was made to establish a coordinating committee comprised of other organizations and individuals not falling under the steering committee membership criteria, to act as an advisory committee to the steering committee and that would meet once a month. The motion was second. With a vote of 12 for and 19 against the motion failed.

The question of how many persons would serve on the steering committee arose. The motion which had been tabled earlier was reintroduced, that 2/3 of the committee representation be organizational and 1/3 be individual representation. The motion was second and approved by a vote of 16 for and 6 against.

A motion was made that a representative from each county in Southern California must have representation in the steering committee. The motion was second and defeated by a vote of 5 for and 19 against.

A motion was made to vote for the approval or disapproval of the individuals and organizations wishing to join the committee. The motion was second and carried by a vote of 15 for and 4 against.

The following persons and organizations were voted on:

^{yes} Rafael Arriola ✓	Unanimous	^{yes} Committee on Chicano Rights	Unanimous
✓ Pedro Camejo	10 for 8 against	✓ MICD yes	Unanimous
✓ Andres Torrez	Unanimous	✓ La Raza yes	Unanimous
✓ Juan Gomez Quinonez no	Unanimous	✓ Santa Monica Legal Defense No	Unanimous
✓ Laura Garza no	9 for 5 against	✓ Immigration Coalition yes	Unanimous
✓ Ralph Hernandez	Unanimous	✓ CASA yes	Unanimous

Because the number of individual representation had been stipulated at five, and six persons had been voted in favor, Ralph Hernandez declined his candidacy.

?

24
21
45


28
11
39

Yes	No
Yes	No
Yes	No
Yes	No
Yes	No

The committee agreed that anyone who do did have their money submitted within the time given who had committed themselves to the committee would be dropped.

A motion was made that the steering committee meet in 2 weeks at the NICD's office. The motion was second and carried.

A motion was made that would allow observers to attend the meeting of the steering committee but to act as observers only. The motion was second and carried.

 A motion was made to allow NICD to be the facilitators for the upcoming meeting. The motion was second and unanimously carried.

A motion was made to allow Dr. Navarro to release information to the press.

A motion was made to adjourn the meeting. The motion was second and unanimously carried.

(1) Do we continue with the S.A. Conference Yes or No

(2) What are the alternatives - Make a new vehicle



(3)

for Believe ✓
mutual



Rolph
Astoria

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NATIONAL
MOBILIZATION STEERING COMMITTEE

Minutes - June 25, 1977 Meeting

The meeting held at 894 Rialto Avenue, San Bernardino, California, begun at 11:05 A.M., opened by statements from the Chairperson, Dr. Armando Navarro of the National Institute for Community Development.

It was the consensus of the Southern California National Mobilization Steering Committee that any reports from the committee members would be first on the agenda.

Discussion took place regarding the clarification of the purposes and intentions of the Ontario (May 7, 1977) meeting with respect to the idea of a National Mobilization effort. Also discussed were the agreements made by the Steering Committee that was formed at that May 7th meeting.

A motion was made and seconded that Armando Navarro relate to the Committee information communicated to him in the past two weeks with respect to the National Mobilization efforts. Motion carried. He reported the following:

- 1) A Northern California National Mobilization Steering Committee has supposedly been formed through the alleged efforts of the Socialist Workers Party, violating the agreement made at the last meeting in which the person in charge of the main organizing efforts in Northern California was to be Luis Contreras.
- 2) Raza Unida's decline of membership in the Committee because of the strictly Mexicano/Chicano/Latino organizational membership.
- 3) Negative information being disseminated regarding supposed power struggles
- 4) Publicity launched by the Texas Steering Committee in Mexico press.

Discussion ensued on the above.

The question of whether to continue with the National Mobilization efforts was discussed. It was the consensus of the Southern California Steering Committee to continue with the National Mobilization plan regardless of the arbitrary decision-making and processes undergone up to the present by the Texas Steering Committee. However, changes must take place in order to move forward with a united effort.

A ten minute recess was requested and granted to further discuss the above.

A motion was made and seconded to eliminate those organizations and/or individuals who did not submit their \$200.00 membership contribution today from the Committee. Added to this was the stipulation that those excluded wanting to be considered for membership at a later date would have to be considered and re-examined by the Southern California National Mobilization Steering Committee. Motion passed.

A motion was made and seconded to have the California State-Wide Issue/Action Conference on October 28-30 which would be on the same schedule as the San Antonio National Conference. An amendment was added stating that there would be a possibility of this set date changing if certain problems were resolved with respect to the San Antonio National Conference. Motion passed.

A motion was made and seconded stating that the So. California Mobilization Steering Committee would draft a letter outlining our concerns regarding the decision-making processes made thus far on the part of Jose Angel Gutierrez and the Texas National Mobilization Steering Committee. This letter was to be sent by registered mail. Also, that a telephone call be made to J. A. Gutierrez, after he received the letter, to ascertain a meeting date if any, and to answer any questions presented by him or the T.N.M.S.C. Motion passed. Steering Committee members agreed to work on the drafting of said letter. Said letter would be sent the following Monday, 27-VI-77. Dr. Armando Navarro was requested by the Steering Committee to make the follow-up call.

A motion was made and seconded stating that the NICD be given the authority to move on making contacts by phone, travel, etc. and in effect become a "working committee" for the California National Mobilization Steering Committee. Also, seeing that the NICD would be involved in much of the efforts they would take care of the finances and make financial reports to the Steering Committee as called for. Motion passed.

A motion was made and seconded stating that the next meeting should be held in San Diego at the same time and rotate thereafter to have the preceding meeting in Los Angeles. Motion passed.

Meeting adjourned at 5:10 P.M.

"We Demand Unconditional Amnesty!"

SIN FRONTERAS SEP 77

The People Reject Carter Amnesty Plan

By Adelaida del Castillo

Dedicated to the defense of the human and democratic rights of all Mexican people, C.A.S.A. started this year's Jornada de Resistencia by participating in its first act of resistance August 28 when fifteen hundred people gathered in East Los Angeles to protest Carter's amnesty plan. The event was organized by the National Coalition for Fair Immigration Laws and Practices.

Contingents from throughout the state including San Jose, Bakersfield, Santa Barbara, Los Angeles, San Fernando and San Diego arrived in Los Angeles to march in support of the human rights of undocumented people.

The march retraced the historic route of the 1970 Chicano Moratorium when thousands of Mexicanos marched against the war in Vietnam and the use of Mexicans as canon

fodder for that war.

Participating groups included the Jose Medina Defense Committee, CODIL, Comité de Defensa del Trabajador Mexicano, CASA-General Brotherhood of Workers, the American Indian Movement (AIM), the Black Panther Party, the All African People's Revolutionary Party, the New American Movement (NAM), the Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression, the Echo Park

Food Conspiracy, Mecha Central, La Raza Latina Press Association, and TENAZ, from Mexicali came independent union electrical workers representing La Tendencia Democrática del SUTERM.

As the march made its way through the community's residential areas and Whittier blvd. chanting "Unete Pueblo" it picked up numbers as people stepped off the sidewalks to

(Continue on Page 5)

join in the march. Those unable to join waved in solidarity.

Half way through the march, CASA's Children Contingent, waving red flags, joined the march carrying a banner which read "Educate the Youth - The Future of our People". Neither the heat nor the distance took away from the spirit of the march and chants which called for the defeat of the Bakke decision and declared that we are one people without borders.

Upon reaching Salazar Park observers cheered as marchers entered the park to participate in the rally. Salazar Park was the very place where seven years ago during the August 29 Chicano Moratorium violence erupted as armed police attacked a peaceful rally. That day newspaperman Ruben Salazar was brutally slain by police forces which resulted in the renaming of the park in his memory.

The August 28th rally reflected the sentiments of the masses as speakers like attorney Leonard Weinglass of the American Civil Liberties Union, Grantland Johnson of the National Committee to Overturn Bakke, Guillermo Placencia of the Tendencia

Democrática, Jaime Geaga of the Union of Democratic Philipinos, Eddie Razo of the RTD Coalition, Ernie Peters of AIM, Ricardo Rodriguez of Mecha Central, Deacon Alexander of the Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression and Antonio Rodriguez of CASA-HGT.

The tone of the rally was set by Isabel Chavez, representing the National Coalition for Fair Immigration Laws and Practices, when she declared: "In unity of struggle and of resistance we are setting off a struggle to defeat the Carter plan...to demand the only just solution to the problem of immigration in this country which is unconditional and total amnesty for the undocumented...because these are rights we win through our struggle, through our work and they are not given to us by government, we win them, we produce the wealth...and asserting our right as producers we will stay and fight for the defense of the undocumented to stay."

- Carter's amnesty plan is requiring applicants who've been here more than seven years to register for permanent residency provided they can prove "continuous residency" for that period of time. The plan is purposely vague on what constitutes sufficient proof.

It also creates the new category "non-deportables" who would be allowed to stay, work, and pay taxes for five years, but would be denied social services and benefits given all workers. Critics suspect that they will eventually be deported, recalling the mass deportations of the Depression era when cheap labor was no longer needed.

continued



Móvilización organizada por La Coalición Nacional Pro Leyes y Prácticas Justas de Inmigración el 28 de agosto. La marcha atraviesa por la calle Whittier en el Este de Los Angeles demandando Amnistía Incondicional para toda persona indocumentada. Foto: Laura Chaparro

SIN
FRONTERAS
SEP 77

UFW's Resounding NO to Carter-Marshall

By Rodolfo Barragán

Carter's amnesty plan recently received a strong blow from yet another progressive force, this time it was Cesar Chavez' powerful United Farm Workers of America (UFWA) which unanimously denounced and rejected the plan at their Third Constitutional Convention held August 26 through 28.

Neither President Carter's letter to the UFW convention nor the Secretary of Labor Ray Marshall's personal appearance at the convention were able to persuade the union to support Carter's plan.

On the contrary, the union adopted an offensive position to fight any legislation which would penalize employers who hire the undocumented because such a law would "promote

wholesale discrimination...against all workers who have dark skin and speak languages other than English."

Apparently, Carter's letter failed to convince the convention that it would be capable of ensuring "social and economic justice for all Americans" as the letter promised.

Similarly, Ray Marshall's plea for support of the plan was in itself somewhat useless in that just prior to his addressing the group of 850 delegates the convention had voted unanimously to defeat the plan.

Once again the UFW, an affiliate of the AFL-CIO which presently supports repressive legislation, has asserted its independence as a union in defense of human and democratic rights.

(Continued on Pg. 5)

(Continued from Page 3)

Marshall came to seek support although not necessarily to offer any. The UFW would like to see the establishment of a federal law for farm workers patterned after California's farm labor law which allows membership to vote for the union of their choice through secret ballot. In response, Marshall commented: "I am very concerned about the implications of a special federal statute modeled after California's law."

The convention maintained a similar progressive attitude with Filipino Secretary of Labor Blas Ople who was urged by Chavez to call on Phillippino dictator Ferdinand Marcos to end martial law in his country.

Presently, the UFW is seeking to restructure the six-year-old union, whose California membership totals more than 30,000, in order to expand into a nationwide organization.

"Our goal is to organize farm workers in California as quickly as possible and then go elsewhere to Florida, to Texas, to Arizona and New York and other states where farm workers need help," concluded Chavez.

continued from
previous page

Deportation raids have increased significantly since Carter proposed his plan. Yet, this increase in raids has only sparked several more bitter protests by concerned Mexican groups to INS Commissioner Leonel Castillo.

Antonio Rodriguez, National Coordinator of CASA-HGT, refuted Carter's plan by pointing out that "no one can place conditions on a people's human rights."


Somos

Un Pueblo

Sin Fronteras




Delegates at recent UFW convention reject Carter Amnesty defended before the assembly by Secretary of Labor Ray Marshall. Photo: Bob Gumpert



894 RIALTO AVE
 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92410
 PHONE 714-884-1145

15 North Barstow From San Bernardino Fwy. Left on Second street off ramp to "I" street. Left on "I" street to the Green Building on your left hand side.

NICKS
 VALLEY
 CLAREMONT
 WAHIA
 SANTA ANA



TO
 SAN DIEGO

Meeting once every 3 months

Human Rights

Purpose

Dev. an outgoing Nat'l Org. Nat'l Voice Emphorize
what kind of Org. Pol., Soc, Fort, Lobbying, what is it

purpose
How do you feel other Nat'l Org. will react, will they
part.

react? Yes

Inclusion or Exclusion

event
indiv.

We can org. on the issue of Human Rights? On a
new Nat'l Coalition or Nat'l organization it is
doubtful because people will want to know what
is going to be the purpose, philosophy, ideology? will
they ever come to the conference?

I. Conference close or open, Funding

II

Raul ✓

Armando ✓

Carlos

Have they as an org endorsed S.P.

Bye - Bye

Dev. a plan of A.C.

Raul ✓

Juan ✓

Antonio ✓

Armando ✓

Carlos

Act

Exporting No. Co

Human Rights Defen.

State wide

José.

Minutes ✓

Reports

Antonio ✓

Norma ✓

Raul

78.62

3

(1) Continue with the ^{sur} eff

(2) Set up a meeting

\$121.38

50.00

71.38

SAU

Is it viable

Dev.

6

2

Aug Sept

8 weeks

Pot. Com

5 meetings

(1) Ontario

(2) March or assault on Wash.

(3) Human Rights

(4) Nat'l

(5) Med.

(1) Can we live together

(2) "

→ Job

→ demand

→ info.

How many meetings possible in next week

12-13-81

Political - Economics - Social
Chicago Think Tank

- I Pub. Rel.
- II Org. Struc. Research
- III Issue Program Research
- IV Liaison
- V Resource
- VI Conference Committee
- VII Security
- VIII Endorsement or Support

A Human Rights Conference. ^{Spec.} Issues will be decided
by participants at the Sept 30, - Oct 1, 2 conference

B. Conference will propose National Action

C.

Thur

Call

Phil - OK

~~Jerry~~ OK

thw

Rolph Acedo - OK

~~Mike~~

thw

Howard H. - OK

Thw

Jose S. - OK

thw

Frank M. - OK

Thw

Betty - OK

Charlie OK

~~Rolph~~

~~Linda W.~~

Thw

Sam C. - OK

①

Tardada - Milton

Membership - Howard -

②

Dues - Jerry, Betty

③

Newsletter - Jose S.

Finances -

Tardada

④

Communication - Jerry

⑤

Legal - Rolph, Sam
yath

⑥

Law & Justice
Some Citizens


⑦

Uoter Reg.




Not-Funded ^{not profit} Community based org ^{Politi., membership} concern with
the human, civil, & constitutional rights of "our people community"

How often shall we meet

2nd & 3rd Thur
of month







2-5



① Voter Reg
 ② Some Citizens
 ③ Local + State

Non-Funded Community based org concern with the power, control & constitutional rights of its members
 our people community
 the other shall we meet
 God to God
 of world



(1) Background

(1) Meet on May 7, 1977 & agreed on the foll.:

(a) That because of the issues confronting our communities, Inc., Ed, Farmworkers, law + Justice, ^{unemployment} social service cut backs etc. we have ^{as} a people have to do something.

(b) ^{of Dec.} A call for action cult. with a "march" on Washington to express concerns & meet with Carter or who ever.

(c) Steering committees would be org. in the various state to work out ~~common~~ strategies & tactics resulting in a common agenda

(d) ~~The~~ all rec. that we ~~don't~~ rep. a very small consensus of the comm.

(2) Rec.^{ing} that ~~we~~ ^I believe that if we are going to be successful we have to do the following

(a) Get a consensus from the ^{persons} ~~people~~ + Org. head here if the "call for action" or the march to Washington is a viable or feasible objective

(b) The need to get a commitment from the persons + org. for help (money ^{starting com})

(c) Go out & get the broadest based consensus from our comm.

(d) If there is a consensus then the steering committee will call for a issue conf.

Membership - ~~Contact people~~

- (a) Contact people
- (b) explain the purpose & objectives of the committee
- (c) ~~explain them~~ ^{ask} if he or she wishes to become a member (5.00 initiation 3.00)
- (d) Explain to them what their responsibility are (pay attending meeting, volunteering for ~~org.~~ projects, paying dues etc.)
- (e) Procure & recruit new members
- (f) ^{contact &} Send notices for all meetings
- (g) Submit or give oral report every month at B. of Dir & working comm. meetings

Dues -

- (a) Work with membership chairperson
- (b) ~~Set up~~ structure a pay system
- (c) Contact members every month.
- (d) Submit or give oral report every month at B. of Dir working Comm meeting held every 2nd Thur of month.


Newsletter

- (a) Get someone or yourself to write articles
- (b) Submit Ads
- (c) Set up mailing system (org., politician, news)
- (d) ~~Distribute to org.~~
- (e) Submit or give

Communication & Agenda

- (e) ^{interesting} help set up meetings by ^{setting up agenda} getting speakers, f.l.m., etc.

Torcedo 2nd Th. Legal, Treasure & Finance, Vote Reg., Law & Justice, Education, Senior Citizens



if they can adjust the problem, good
what is the change!!

1. money
2. time
3. what is more value in it.

Paul Portillo
Chicago Pints Union
w/first stages

Decision

swallow the pill, but
what happens to the more form
all of the guys

what about the question of National Congress
won't Bullshit, kill from everybody!!

no effective means regarding
power

Concept of Union / try to bring change!!

From Experience

"El gobierno de los Estados Unidos no es gendarme de libertad sino
perpetuador de la explotación y la opresión contra los pueblos
del mundo y contra buena parte de su propio pueblo."

Ch

1992

Cuba, Bay of Pigs
1964

Abreucio, expect us to put it to 1,2,3,4,
asks participation, we put it
together by both organization
put against the wall.

Point Paul = we continue
to follow each others priority.

Value structure = do something for us.

Armando has been open about
what he wanted to do?

in or out

U.S. 6th meeting =

protection of our committee

end product is called
a National Conference.

Machismo

Antonio

Felipe

Quinos

Norma

Amado

Hermin

Raul

Taly

North

Middle

South

Raul + Amado

Hermin


Product must be provided
for selling it to organization
" market " market

financial
terms

expertise
man power

NICOP will need become a
political for national organizations

What
me worry!



What do we need from each other?
60% is happening in Calif.
100 B.P. Hill in San Diego

What are your ^{own} resources
we can't do it.

We represent ~~off~~ Southern Calif
Would it be that we can move
or recognition.

They should recognize us
as the party.

We can not go to other organizations
to see this thing.

Can we organize the nation before
organizing the County.

1. Metallurgy
2. Commercialism
3. Who is on the other side

Not enough time between us.

Casa, pizza, drinks, maps, buses

Errors have made us come
together

organize to bring a practical idea.
mondo rational.

Herman, Anna, Gutting -

9 1977 - Conference -
move to have a conf. Conference
specific scope - Nat'l organization

The evening of meeting -

steering committee met. (Cosa SWP)

delay of may 7, June 14, 1977

letter of invitation =
re-group - to central steering
committee =

Cosa - SWP = merge

\$200 ec

SWP eliminated

what about Cosa?

go thru process to remove Cosa?

Maintain the group =

Herman Vanted - responsibility
was off a on - off a on.

August 15/1947

Judgment

What happen
miss of group led to Granby

Casa functions from membership
control a issue orientated
moving to explore by Nat'l
Conference.

Playy both sides Calif 15 terms

Political Impetives

Not to move from Nat'l Mobilization
competition to them

Counter production to their ideology
Maintain of castron = Popular front
Coalition of organizations

One structure vs. Core structure
easy to unmount

Realization of a Nat'l population
started to exploit the meeting
Casa did want want to hold
us as a threat - delay action
every meeting had a continued
frustration - Casa population on it.

Armors did not come in 5 days.

Quenorey BRAPPE

Gutierrez Washington Front

Reduquey BRAPPE

Progress Bulletin

Pomona, California ■ Thursday, July 21, 1977

Chicano leaders plan formation of committee

By MAE TATE
PB Staff Writer

A quintet of Chicano leaders announced during a Wednesday press conference the formation of a committee to deal with community apathy, employment, education, housing and the undocumented worker on regional, state and national levels.

Gustavos Ramos, former Ontario councilman; attorney Juan Jimenez of Redlands; the Rev. Manuel Guillen of Chino, pastor of Our Lady of Guadalupe Catholic Church; Armando Navarro of Upland, director of the National Institute for Community Development; and community worker Ralph Hernandez of San Bernardino gave explanations of the purpose of the newly formed Human Rights Organizing Committee for La Raza.

The main thrust of the committee is to mobilize the Spanish-speaking communities throughout the state and ultimately the nation. Navarro described the need for the Chicano to have a national organization that not only deals with social issues and problems, but also serves as a guardian of Chicano rights in various institutions and at all government levels.

In a press release, the committee said it hoped to hold a regional action conference in Los Angeles between Sept. 30 and Oct. 2, and develop a "common plan of action."

"We cannot afford the luxury of being disunited. This is a means of

mobilizing and reconsolidating resources, maximizing resources to deal with education, economy, political action and social changes in the community," Navarro said.

The committee's ultimate goal is "to awaken the slumbering political awareness in the Chicano community, create a national political organization that will stimulate change and prevent abuse," Navarro added.

Father Guillen said the church will play an active role in organizing the various communities.

"The church feels an individual has certain God-given rights. These rights demand a moral obligation on the part of the church," the parish priest said.

Ramos said conditions in the barrios throughout the nation are similar and it is essential "to look at situations that make people pull back. Now is the time. The time for action. There is no more time for talking."

Jimenez said he and other attorneys will provide legal expertise on the methods that can be utilized to become a part of the decision-making process in the law and court systems and in the government.

"We as Spanish speaking people were never asked what we wanted. There is a vacuum of power. We hope to provide a basis through legal power to bring representation to the people," Jimenez said.

"Cut Bait or Just?"

Mistakes were made

Santa Monica - first the objectives
to groups, case - etc -

S.P.

Chair Meeting

Santa Monica -

Press Conference - no consensus
human rights, social political and
law & justice

tell people money toward Nat'l Conf-
initiation:

Need more viable platform -
but you are on the line.

Panel - Not come from Nat'l Alliance
Confusion -

between groups to allow
understanding between us
question best thing happened.

Alternatives

1. Ask off stage
2. pain, frustration central
3. time.
4. deal with
5. what is the idea of organization

Nat'l organization

Define = By us.

→ What do you want from us.

group is still viable = what we do!

#ages

we should want = is it trust
any little leadership

#ages Nat'l exposure
number is growing

Means: at community develop
opportunities =

what can be used for ~~it~~
apart to come San Diego

Problems with = time; resources?
pay for what we know

financial is the big question

San Diego has a Commitment

1. Quinquennial
2. Sewer water
3. National City
4. Monthly expenditure
- 5.

public relations

Channel / media / Radio

Villeneuve / Allen

#1 to move an a position
power to representatueal for
meeting /

#2 position on a National

Organization / with issue
of instruments

Compare other Organization
with what the studio
should be

Sept (10)

4-5 months — Once of month

Structure for a Special
Committee

weekly bases —
policy making

designation for this
Committee

~~The intent and
to include the Steering
Committee with NICO and to
replace NICO with Steering Committee~~

Question

1. telephone

Should set up for Sept 30,
from area to have a
company to include other
people —

Raul Pinto

Carlos, CCR

Ricardo, Sed

N.I.C.P.

Raul

~~Raul~~

Rafael

~~Rafael Hernandez~~

Logo

Shyema

II Continue to disband / continue

address

III Casa was not present /

~~address~~

J. G. Quinones

issue / honor

1st + Second

Balthus

Front / organization

On Slap denunciation (\$300) not paid
(Raul Ruiz) no meeting
Conference /

Define this committee

Endorse the terms

Recall = passed

Atoms, membership

- decision committee to remain

- Move out = Rafael Hernandez quit

- no leadership / has supported (Boca) Navarro, etc.

\$ 1,600.00 - left

disbursement & telephone - San Jose

Monday

Call: Beltron =

Pelipe Aguirre = activate this
Committee - wed - Fri -

Who showed up = Cosa was to name
this committee for call for action

Carlos = continues to be a member
Maesta will replace Carlos,
one week later = one step immigration
solution (Status)

IV Analysis

A. a concern of working together

B.

Objective: 1. Strive for
2. slower pace 3-4 years.
3. organization a structure
for Southern Calif
Solidify in the South
main group -

Need to contact North
Santa Barbara
Paul Lopez

Bishop Amey

Voluntary / Oct 12, 1977
religious unity