The Quadrangle Club The University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois September 18, 1956

Dr. George Beadle Division of Biology California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

Dear Dr. Beadle:

12.

When I last talked to you over the telephone in August I raised with you the question of changing the original draft of my memorandum which refers to a "Senior Research Scientist-At-Large" rather than to a "Research Grant" to conform to the rest of the application. You thought that the memorandum had been changed but when I looked again at the mimeographed copy which you sent me, I saw that it had not been changed. I thereupon telephoned Mr. Fling and asked him to make the required change.

Today I received a copy of the material sent to Washington and find the memorandum still unchanged -- in the form of the original draft.

Enclosed I am sending you the revised version of this memorandum. Nothing has been changed except for the appropriate references to a "research grant" throughout. I cannot reach Consolazio until Friday, the 21st, so I am going to send him twenty copies of the revised memorandum and leave it up to him to decide whether the revised version may be substituted for the original draft, or what else he thinks should be done about it.

The documents sent from Pasadena to the National Science Foundation include also a letter from you to Mr. Consolazio dated August 13, 1956 which refers to my appointment as a "Roving Professor". I won-

1

der whether you can look at this letter and decide whether this is how you want to leave it.

Among the documents sent from Pasadena to the National Science Foundation is a letter by Hotchkiss addressed to the National Science Foundation which starts out and ends with a reference to "Senior Research Scientist." I suppose this does not matter one way or another but I wanted to draw it to your attention.

> Sorry if this slight mix-up is causing you any trouble. Enclosed you will find a copy of a letter which I

wrote to Sewell Wright which might perhaps interest you. If you have any comments, please let me know when an occasion arises.

> I hope you have enjoyed your visit to Japan. With kind regards,

> > Sincerely yours,

Leo Szilard

m Encl.

Theadle

The Quadrangle Club The University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois September 21, 1956

Dr. George W. Beadle Division of Biology California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

Dear Dr. Beadle:

I talked this morning to Consolazio over the telephone. Everything is under control and there is no need for you to take any action on the basis of my last letter to you. Mr. Consolazio will substitute the twenty copies of my corrected memorandum for the original version. Hotchkiss is going to write today a new letter of support and Consolazio will have twenty copies of it made in Washington. Your own letter of August 13th is acceptable as it is and there is no need for you to write a new one.

Many thanks for all the trouble you have taken in this matter.

With kind regards,

Sincerely yours,

Leo Szilard

m

March 14, 1961

Dr. George Beadle Office of the Chancellor Administration Building The University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois

Dear Dr. Beadle:

I expect to be staying in Washington at the Hotel Dupont Plaza for the next few weeks, and if you come to Washington and happen to have time, perhaps we could have a chat about things.

Enclosed I am sending you a memo which might perhaps interest you. I am in the process of discussing the project with the Atomic Energy Commission. Enclosed also is a book, in page proof, which I have written and which will be on sale on April 28th. Some of the stories might perhaps interest you. The book will also be published in England and Italy, and I have just learned that 50,000 copies will be printed in Germany.

With kind regards,

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

Geneva, 12 July 1963

Chancellor George Beadle Administration Building The University of Chicago Chicago 37, Ill. USA

Dear Dr Beadle,

...

...

Enclosed is a manuscript which I am at present privately circulating and which might perhaps interest you. The straw that broke the camel's back in this case was the case of two patients described in the May issue of the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences by Grumbach, Morishima and J. Herbert Taylor. I was wondering whether I ought to submit this manuscript to Perspectives of Biology. Any comments which you might care to make would be appreciated.

My address during the summer is: c/o Dr Martin Kaplan, World Health Organization, Palais des Nations, Geneva, Switzerland.

Sincerely yours,

Leo Szilard

ENCL: Manuscript

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO CHICAGO 37 · ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE CHEKKNECHERSEN PRESIDENT

August 2, 1963 Dear her . That's an interesting paper you sent me a corry of. I must confers I do not see That The busic assumption is justified - i.e., that the father - son + mother. daughter meren blances and not readily accounted for in fer 5 of classical genetics. But granded it is Justified, your hypothesis to upplin it seems to me pretty gratuitons. The evidence for machination or loss of aufosomes in man mals (mouse and man) is pretty tennons. hiane Russel of Oak Ridge has a paper on X - autosomer translocations that hears on This. I believe it is in Science but I to mal

OFFICE OF THE CHANGEX KAR THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO ANG 2 4 PM CHICAGO 37 · ILLINOIS · U.S. Properove hes Szilard Go Dr Martin Raplan World Health Organization, Palais des Hations OLI GENEVA AIR LETTER · AÉROGRAMME · PAR AVION 0 5

Dr. George Beadle, Chancellor Office of the Chancellor Administration Building The University of Chicago <u>Chicago 37</u>

Illinois.

Geneva, 2nd August, 1963

Dear Dr. Beadle,

Enclosed is a preprint which might perhaps interest you.

not

I do not know why I have thought of this before, but somehow I confused in my mind the concept of the "viability" of the spermatozoon with the concept of its "competitive strength" and this prevented me from seeing what the right experiments would be.

Michael Fischberg, whom you presumably know from Oxford, but who is now Professor of Zoology in Geneva, offered to get me together, in September, at the International Congress of Genetics in Holland, with several English geneticists with whom I could discuss experiments of the type I am proposing. Unless I learn something from these discussions, which makes the publication of this manuscript appear to be inadvisable, I intend to have it printed at an early date.

With best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

Leo Szilard.

Dr. George Beadle, President Office of the President Administration Building The University of Chicago <u>Chicago 37</u>, Illinois

United States of America.

Geneva, 8th August, 1963

Dear Dr. Beadle,

Many thanks for your note of August 2nd, which refers to the first of the two manuscripts that I sent you.

A few years ago, I told Tracy Sonneborm that I was puzzled by the apparent high frequency of the resemblance of children to one of their parents and found that he was puzzled about this also and inclined to think, as I was, that there must be some mechanism - as yet unknown - which is responsible. It is, of course, possible that both of us were wrong. In order to resolve this issue, one ought to make an objective determination of the frequency of such resemblances and this would not be too difficult to accomplish.

There is no evidence, either for or against, inactivation of a particular autosome in mammals. If the paper of Mrs. Russell, to which you refer, is the same one which I have read, then all that is established, in this regard, is one case where a segment of an autosome which is carried by an X-chromosome, remains functional, even if the rest of the X-chromosome may not be functional.

Incidentally, the inactivation of an autosome by a homologous chromosome is not the only explanation that one might consider. It has the advantage over other explanations, that it is independent of what one may assume concerning the mechanism of morphogenesis, which determines the perceptible phenotype.

pha

If one were to assume that the morphogenesis is controlled by the ratio of the products of certain genes, then one might postulate that these genes are part of the same operon, and that a strong resemblance to the father, or to the mother, arises because the operon inherited by the child, from the father, or from the mother, is much less strongly repressed than the operon inherited from the other parent.

I refrained from discussing this explanation in my manuscript for two reasons:

- (a) because I do not like to make a specific assumption about the mechanism of morphogenesis, at a time when we know nothing about this mechanism, but have good hopes of finding out something about it in the next few years; and
- (b) because while writing the paper, I remembered having once read the minutes of a meeting of the German Physical Society which recorded that in the discussion of the paper read, "Dr. Muller presented two explanations, but expressed doubt as to the existence of the phenomenon".

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

c/o Dr. Martin Kaplan, World Health Organization, Palais des Nations, Geneva, Switzerland.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO CHICAGO 37 · ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR Pres.

ang 19 Dear heo: File need the proport on competitive strength of Spennatozva. I make an following comments : It is used brown in Drosophila that sperm sees lacking whole chromosomes function perfecter were. I believe muller early showed that even a sperwith no elimosomes at see comed Junction. Douever non disjunction is also known in mice # (Russell), Sharing that eggs & spen with an legtra chomosone or a beficiency for one can function. The not sure if and can have in these case which In they case reported the egg must Huve had un extra and the sperm a shrow o some deficiency The plants the reduction is quite belferme with spores which must undergo metotic divisions in haploid stage to produce egg or open mulei.

ND THEN FOLD BOTTOM UP

Dr. George Beadle President Office of the President Administration Building The University of Chicago <u>Chicago 37</u>, Illinois United States of America

Geneva, 26th August, 1963

Dear Dr. Beadle

I am writing to thank you for your note of August 19th and the comments which you made in it. May I make the following comments on your comments?

The experiments which are proposed are technically not easy, but the difficulty of assaying the semen for viable sperm does not seem to be serious, because for the purposes of comparison of the samples involved, it would be sufficient to assay for the number of visible sperm, or, if you wish, for the number of motile sperm.

Concerning your remark that a certain concentration and volume of semen may be necessary, this is a point which I did not mention in the preprint, but which I have considered. The answer might be that in performing the experiment, one must not dilute the semen into saline, but rather one must dilute it into undiluted seminal fluid, from which the spermatozoa have been removed. This is, incidentally, a point which I need to discuss with "experts".

Concerning your other remarks: I am inclined to believe that the properties of the spermatozoon are determined not by the genetic material which it carries, but by its cytoplasm. I also believe, however, that the properties of the cytoplasm of the spermatozoon are determined by the genetic material which is contained in the (diploid) spermatogonium, from which the spermatozoon is derived. I might be proved wrong of course.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

c/o Dr. Martin Kaplan, World Health Organization, Palais des Nations, Geneva, Switzerland.

May 6, 1964

Dr. George Beadle Office of the President The University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois

Dear Dr. Beadle:

The enclosed preprint might perhaps interest you. Any comments which you might care to make would be appreciated.

With kind regards,

Sincerely,

Leo Szilard

LS:jm

Enclosure

Buille he port

Physical facilities

To take care of the housing of the Institute and its personnel, a laboratory and living accomodations should be constructed. For the initial needs it is believed that a suitable laboratory building containing the following would be adequate:

Library		650 sq. feet, floor area
Office		250
Service room		250
Storage room		250
Auditorium for	r 100	1000
10 laboratory at 250	units	2500
3 laboratory u at 500	nits	1500
Corridors		1600
Approximate t floor area		8000

Total estimated initial cost

R.

Laboratory building	120,000		
Laboratory equipment	20,000		
Director's house	15,000		
12 efficiency dwelling units	48,000		
Library	25,000		
Total \$	228,000		
Annual operating budget			
Salaries, Director	15,000		
Assistant director	8,000		
Administrative Assistant	5,000		
Secretary	3,000		
4 Scientists	24,000		
6 Graduate fellows	14,400		
Consultants	3,000		
	81,400		
Farm operations	50,000		
Library	1,000		
Supplies and expense	15,000		
Travel (including travel funds for one symposium)	8,000		
Total	\$155,400		