

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON KING'S COLLEGE.

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY.

TEMPLE BAR 5651
(6 LINES).

STRAND, W.C.2.

JFD/DGT

23rd November, 1951.

Dr. L. Szilard,
The University,
Chicago 37.

Dear Dr. Szilard,

You probably know that the Society for Cell Biology is establishing a new journal intended for reviews in the general field of cytology and cell physiology. We are particularly anxious that reviews appearing in this journal should assist in the spreading of information from the genetical field into the various other branches of the study of cells. In this connection it has been suggested that you might care to write a review dealing with your recent work on the chemostat in genetical *investigations*. Would you be kind enough to let me know if you are interested in doing this.

If you are able to write a review, we should like to have it, if it is possible, by the end of March 1952, in which case it would be published in Volume 2 of the Review. If, however, this would not give you adequate time we should, of course, be quite prepared to include a review from you in a later volume.

We/

We prefer to leave the general nature of the reviews to authors with the one limit that the length of the review should not exceed roughly 16,000 words. Diagrams and plates may be used as required.

Yours very sincerely,

Jim Danielli.

5650 Ellis Avenue

January 14, 1952

Dr. James Danielli
Department of Zoology
King's College
University of London
Strand, W.C.2., England

Dear Dr. Danielli:

I am writing to thank you for your very kind letter of November 23rd and to say that I appreciate your suggesting that I write this review. I should be very glad to do so but doubt that I could have the review ready by the end of March 1952. Therefore, if this is convenient to you, I would aim the review for your Volume 3 rather than Volume 2 with just a slim chance that the article might be ready to go into Volume 2.

Very sincerely yours,

Leo Szilard

LS/sds

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON KING'S COLLEGE.

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY.

TEMPLE BAR 5454.

STRAND, W.C. 2.

Ext. 325.

JFD/DGT

22nd January, 1952.

Dr. Leo Szilard,
Institute of Radiobiology,
5650 Ellis Avenue,
Chicago 37.

Dear Dr. Szilard,

Thank you very much for
your letter of 14th January. I shall
look forward to seeing your review
for either Volume 2 or Volume 3, as
the case may be.

Yours sincerely,

Jim Danielli

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON KING'S COLLEGE.

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY.

TEMPLE BAR 5454.

STRAND, W.C. 2.

JFD/DGT

24th March, 1952.

International Review of Cytology

My dear Dr. Szilard,

There has been a delay at the Press in publication of Vol. I, which has entailed a similar delay in Vol. II. I wonder if, in these circumstances, you would like to let me have your review for Vol. II, instead of Vol. III as we originally planned? It would need to get to me in June.

Yours very sincerely,

Jim Danielli

Dr. Leo Szilard,
Institute of Radiobiology,
5650 Ellis Avenue,
Chicago 37.

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON KING'S COLLEGE.

TEMPLE BAR 5651
(6 LINES).

STRAND, W.C.2.

October, 1950.

Instructions for contributors to the annual
"Review of Cytology".

The manuscript should not exceed 16,000 words in length. It may be illustrated by diagrams, graphs and plates, but the total allowance for these must not exceed three pages (each 15 x 25 cm.) References should be collected together at the end of the manuscript, in alphabetical order of authors, e.g.

Astbury, W.T. (1945a) Chem. & Ind., 14, 114.
(1945b) Nature, Lond., 155, 667.

Cox, E.G. (1932) Nature, Lond., 130, 205.

References in the text should give the name of the author(s) and the date of the paper, e.g. Astbury, (1945a).

J. F. DANIELLI.