Feb. 11, 1914.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
Engineer State Ry. Commission,
San Francisco, Calif.

My dear Mr Hawley:

I am the happiest man in town. The flume is a howling success; we put in over thirty miles of it and there is one stretch of six or seven miles where there is not a drop of leakage. We have not accepted any of it yet but we had to rush the water thru to fill La Mesa dam, and outside of two or three leaks which we have discovered and will remedy a little later, there is nothing to it. I am just tickled to death and that's all there is to it.

By the way, am expecting Mr Harroun down in a few days and will want him to make a valuation of oursystem for us in presenting it to the Commission. By return mail kindly write me and answer the following questions if you can see your way clear to do it:

In your testimony before the Commission, what did you testify as to the safe yie ld in million gallons per day of the Spreckels system in its present condition? How much will it yield when the Barrett dam is built? What is the water costing to the tax payers of San Diego on the basis of what they have paid for the entire system? What is it costing them delivered

JOHN M. ESHLEMAN, COMMISSIONER, PRESIDENT
H. D. LOVELAND, COMMISSIONER
ALEX GORDON, COMMISSIONER
EDWIN O. EDGERTON, COMMISSIONER
MAX THELEN, COMMISSIONER, ATTORNEY

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO
RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Railroad Commission
of the
State of California

TENTH FLOOR, COMMERCIAL BUILDING
833 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO

CHARLES R. DETRICK, SECRETARY
H. H. SANBORN, RATE EXPERT
W. C. EARLE, CHIEF ENGINEER
L. R. REYNOLDS, AUDITOR
P. A. SINSHEIMER, BOND EXPERT

TELEPHONE—BUTTER 2260

February 14, 1914.

Mr. Ed Fletcher,
920 Eighth Street,
San Diego,
Calif.

Dear Sir:--

Your letter of the 11th inst. addressed to R. W. Hawley, Hydraulic Engineer of the Commission, was duly received.

We are pleased to learn that the flume lining has proven effective, and hope it will enable you to make adequate service for a sufficient length of time to warrant the expenditure.

The testimony of Mr. Hawley before the Commission in regard to the safe yield of the Spreckels System was incomplete, but, carried to a conclusion, would indicate a yield of about seven million gallons per day. It was made clear, however, that the details were not fully worked out, and a final conclusion will be presented at the continued hearing.

The cost of water to the tax payers of San Diego was stated by Engineer Whitney, testifying for the City of San Diego to be 28 cents per thousand gallons.

We trust you will be able to provide us with a copy of your cost data when the pipe line into East San Diego is completed.

Very respectfully,

RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By Richard Hancock
Acting Chief Engineer.

RWH-MB

to the Cholla Hts. reservoir on the basis of 100
gallons on the basis of what they are actually pay

We have already put in about three miles of pipe line but I want to tell you right now, Hawley, it is h--l. We have had to spend thousands of dollars blasting in digging our trench and have had as high as two hundred men working at one time; I never saw such soil in my life, it is really concrete. It is going to cost more to put in the nine miles of pipe from the end of the flume to the city limits than the pipe cost.

With kind regards,

Very truly yours,

EK

COPY

September 23, 1914.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
Hyd. Engr., Railroad Commission,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:-

In further reply to the Commission's letter of September 13th requesting, if available, the data of the present supply of the City of San Diego, I forward herewith a table of the amount of water now in storage. I may be able to supplement this with an analysis of the actual losses of stored water on the City's system during the last four years, but as some of the points appear to be obscure, I am delaying it for verification. The total consumption for 1913 outside and inside the City is 2,704,468,427. The average net yield of the water shed as handled for the last two years appears to have been 534,000,000 gallons. The remainder of the consumption must have been supplied by stored waters. The estimated consumption for 1914 is 8½ million gallons daily. My preliminary calculation appears to show that storage of the City's system will be exhausted in one year from date provided the conditions of rainfall, transport, evaporation, etc., remain the same as during the last two years.

Very sincerely yours,

W. S. POST.

WSP-BK

Enclos.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO DATA

September 1, 1914.

Reservoir	Gage	
Morena	83.29'	1,275,000,000
Upper Otay	66' 9"	625,000,000
Lower Otay	75' 3½	2,725,000,000
Chollas	About	30,000,000
University	About	9,000,000
		4,664,000,000
Mr. Whitney is quoted as saying that 700,000,000 is below outlets, subtract,		700,000,000
Net amount available ----------		3,964,000,000

Pumping at Old Town Plant, San Diego River, is abandoned.

New plant, 5 miles above, pump capacity 4 millions now being erected.

San Diego, Cal., Dec. 26, 1914.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
Hydraulic Engineer,
Railroad Commission,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Hawley:-

In reference to I. C. 5084 complaint of Charles A. Willis, Box 25, La Mesa, have made an investigation of this complaint and advised Mr. Fletcher to apply the same rule here as was applied in the case of William Finley, also per your letter of December 22nd which I read.

I enclose a little sketch map showing the lots in question. Added together the two tracts on both sides of the alley, come to .89 of an acre and consequently would be entitled to the irrigation rate according to the Finley decision. Commissioner Gordon verbally stated that Finley should be entitled to an irrigation rate even if the alley did divide his property, but there was no written statement to that effect ever sent to the Water Company or any recognition made of it in the order of Case 631. On lots 9, 10 and 11 there are about two dozen citrus trees and a house. Lots 12, 15 and 16 are practically vacant except for two trees and some chicken pens. I would certainly call this use of water domestic and not irrigation. The case is exact to that of Finley and if the irrigation rate is not granted, Willis could charge discrimination. Cases of this kind should certainly be placed under a domestic rate for water and sold by the quantity. They intend to charge under the domestic rate a minimum of 75¢ a month. Under the irrigation rate Willis will have to pay something like 25¢ a month.

The bills on the DeWitt tract have been paid by Park Grable Company. The ownership of the lot in question lies in the name of Carrie C.deGalinda, the property being given to her by Mr. Willis.

Mr. Dockweiler has not shown up as yet but believe that he will be on deck Monday morning early.

Hoping this letter explains I. C. 5084 to your satisfaction, I remain,

Sincerely yours,

James Armstrong Jr.

JA-BK
Enclos.

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 135
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

Cuyamaca

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 100
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

100

July 27, 1915.

Railroad Commission
of the State of California,
833 Market St.,
San Francisco, Calif.

Attention Mr. R. W. Hawley

Dear Sir:

Answering yours of July 3d, I. C. 6290, will say your letter has just been called to my attention.

It was a mistake on my part, as I fully intended to charge eight cents per 100 cu. ft. and supposed it was the same as ten cents per 1000 gallons. However, Mr. Hawley, let me inform you that the total written orders for excess water for the month of June were only $50, and July at the present time shows no improvement. We simply sold the water for an accommodation to our consumers, and it is one of those cases where,no matter what happens, someone has a kick coming.

I should have taken this matter up with you people first, but it was such a minor matter, and as we were simply doing it as an accommodation, we had no idea that anyone would have any objection.

It is thoroughly understood that we will not sell any excess water excepting pumped water, so as not to impair our efficiency to furnish water to our regular consumers.

Yours very truly,

CUYAMACA WATER COMPANY,

Manager.

F-S

MAX THELEN, COMMISSIONER, PRESIDENT
H. [illegible]OVELAND, COMMISSIONER
ALE.. GORDON, COMMISSIONER
EDW.. O. EDGERTON, COMMISSIONER
FRAN.. R. DEVLIN, COMMISSIONER

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO
RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Railroad Commission
of the
State of California

CHARLES R. DETRICK, SECRETARY
H. H. SANBORN, RATE EXPERT
RICHARD SACHSE, CHIEF ENGINEER
L. R. REYNOLDS, AUDITOR
P. A. SINSHEIMER, BOND EXPERT
DOUGLAS BROOKMAN, ATTORNEY

TELEPHONE—BUTTER 2860

TENTH FLOOR, COMMERCIAL BUILDING
933 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO

November,
Twenty-ninth,
1915.

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 111
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

F. M. Faude, Esq.,

916 Eighth St.,

San Diego, Calif.

Dear Faude:

Have your letter of November 26th. As I dictated in the letter you received from the Commission in the matter of rate charged various consumers on the Granada Tract and elsewhere, I talked the thing over with Commissioner Thelen, and in his opinion, it would be necessary to allow the irrigation rate on tracts of over 1/2 gross extent, even though water was used on only a part of the area. However, he admitted that the further requirement in the company's rules that the use be for commercial irrigation purposes, would have its effect, although, apparently, any appreciable amount of use for irrigation of salable crops, would still give the irrigation rate.

If there is no use for irrigation, the rate would be domestic regardless of area.

As I understand it, use at the Tea House on the Granada Tract is clearly domestic.

In the many other instances, it seems to me that you should endeavor to collect the rate that you believe correct, under the rules, and then let it become a question for later decision by the Commission.

I hope that we can have such a rate established that it will not be necessary to make determination by the measurements now in use, and my further hope that this will be done in the near future, is the principal reason for side stepping any definite action.

Suppose you go ahead as I have suggested, applying the rate that you think right, and those cases in which the consumer makes objection can then be handled separately, in case the Commission does not establish a rate in the near future.

With very best regards from myself and the others, I remain

Very truly yours,

R. W. Hawley

RWH.MR.

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 111
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

November 26, 1915

Mr. R. W.. Hawley,
833 Market St.,
San Francisco, Cal.

Personal

My dear Hawley:-

We have received a letter from the Commission signed by Detrich but evidently written by you regarding the so-called irrigation consumers on the Granada Tract and other tracts.

I have written the Commission asking that a representative be sent down to examine into the character of use of these consumers and trust you can see your way clear to send some one. The fact of the matter is that these so-called irrigation consumers are playing horse with this Company and none of them are entitled to an irrigation rate except for the fact that they own an area of very few hundreds in excess of the one-half acre. The result is that the Company is losing quite a bit of revenue which I believe it is justly entitled to.

Please do what you can for us in this matter.

With best wishes, I am,

Very truly yours,

Assistant Manager.

FMF-K

February
first,
1916

State Railroad Commission,
833 Market Street,
San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:-

Attention Mr. R. W. Hawley, Hydraulic Engr.

We have your letter of January 26th asking for statement of water consumption for December 1915. Also for statement of Construction Expense for the year.

We take pleasure in sending you both these statements and regret that the recent floods and damage to our system have prevented our getting them to you sooner.

Yours very truly,

Assistant Manager.

FMF-K
Enclos.

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 142
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

CUYAMACA WATER CO.

Capital & Construction Expense for the Year 1915.

El Monte Pumping Plant	$ 5,764
Other Pumping Plants	27
Flume	11,066
Pipe Lines	5,963
Services	117
Meters	218
Office Equipment	123
Tools and other Equipment	73
Stable and Garage Equipment	773
Interest	3,412
El Capitan Dam	2,545
Boulder Creek Dam	1,713
Water Rights	2,682
La Mesa Ditch	3,098
Casualty Insurance (not charged direct to jobs)	230
Total	$37,804

CUYAMACA WATER CO.
FILE No. 142
DO NOT REMOVE
ANY LETTER
FROM THIS FILE.

June 2, 1916

Mr. R W Hawley,
% Railroad Commission,
833 Market Street,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

In accordance with your request I am sending you the water stages of La Mesa Reservoir from February 1st to May 31st. These stages are being given at intervals of approximately one week.

February	1st	= 59.25 feet	= 356,095,000	
	8th	= 55.10 "	= 279,041,000	
	15th	= 53.20 "	= 246,873,000	
	22nd	= 50.50 "	= 206,475,000	
	29th	= 48.10 "	= 177,300,000	
March	8th	= 45.7 "	= 148,972,000	
	16th	= 43.5 "	= 127,925,000	
	24th	= 42.3 "	= 116,704,000	
April	2nd	= 40.7 "	= 104,734,000	Lowest stage
	9th	= 42.4 "	= 117,451,000	
	16th	= 46.1 "	= 153,360,000	
	23rd	= 49.3 "	= 191,888,000	
	30th	= 51.4 "	= 220,690,000	
May	8th	= 53.3 "	= 249,865,000	
	16th	= 54.2 "	= 262,957,000	
	24th	= 56.2 "	= 298,492,000	
	31st	= 58.7 "	= 346,370,000	

From February 3rd to the end of the month we sold to the City ---------- 130,518,000 gals.

During the Month of March ---------- 144,201,500 "

April ---------- 115,712,000 "

May 1st to May 23rd ---------- 120,140,000 "

I believe this gives you the information you desired. With best regards, I am,

Very truly yours,

FMF:K

F M Faulz

June 28, 1916.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
833 Market Street,
San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

A few days ago I sent to the Railroad Commission a tabulation showing the annual record of Actual Water Consumption for the year 1915. Since sending this, I find that an error appears in the column showing number of domestic taps, use between 0 and 540 cubic feet, and that the total for this column should be 4759½ instead of 4579½. Please make this correction on the tabulation which was sent you recently.

I trust you are arranging to come down to San Diego on August 5th for the Cuyamaca hearing.

With best regards, I am,

Very truly yours,

FMF:K

Assistant Manager.

October 13, 1916.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
% Railroad Commission,
833 Market St.,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

I enclose a statement showing the Miners Inches of so-called water right contracts outstanding and the approximate areas irrigated in the various tracts or districts which were noted on the list which I helped you prepare when I was in San Francisco last week. You will note that there are a few changes in the amounts of Miners Inches and that I have shown acres irrigated instead of the total acreage owned on each tract or district.

Below I give you some additional information which I promised you last week.

Domestic Revenue - 1915 - Waverly Tract		$ 26.65
" " - 1915 - Wheeler Tract		61.95
Entire " - 1915 - (all domestic) Orchard Villa Tract		135.00
" " - 1915 - (all domestic) Normal Heights		7,141.75
" " - 1915 - (all domestic) Kensington Park		1,960.25
Domestic Revenue - 1915 - El Cajon Ave. Lines		1,079.00
Irrigation " - 1915 - El Cajon Ave. Lines		6,371.02
Domestic " - 1915 - Main Flume		514.55
Irrigation " - 1915 - Main Flume		6,203.85
Revenue from City of San Diego - 1915		34,884.64

The following are the quantities of water furnished at City of El Cajon during the year 1916. Also the revenues from this source.

	Quantity	Revenue
May	10,360 cubic feet	$ 12.20
June	25,440 " "	28.50
July	20,760 " "	23.30
August	29,200 " "	32.75
September	20,950 " "	23.50
Totals	107,210 " "	$120.25

142

There is very little probability that the quantities used in the City of El Cajon will increase greatly during the next year as a local plant supplies most of the water used at the present time and there is no way of compelling the residents to change over to the City system especially as during the past month the limits of the City of El Cajon have been reduced to about one-eighth of the former area. It should also be noted that the figures given above for the City of El Cajon are for the hottest months and that for the remainder of the year the use will be much less.

I would call your attention to the fact that the domestic revenue from the Orchard Villa Tract for the entire year 1915 was figured at the rate of 25 cents per thousand gallons with a monthly minimum of $1.25. This being a group pipe line, the rate during 1916 was changed to 15 cents per thousand gallons and a monthly minimum of 75 cents.

Some of the information which I promised you, I have not been able to secure, namely, the number of consumers on the La Mesa Mutual Water Company system and the figures for the quantities in Morena Reservoir and the approximate daily draft. This missing information I will secure and send you at the earliest possible moment which will probably be some time next week.

I find in looking over the quantities used on the system during 1915 that the use by the Orchard Villa Tract, amounting to 120,420 cubic feet, was included in the figures for domestic use on the El Cajon Avenue pipe lines which I gave you while in San Francisco last week. These figures for domestic use on the El Cajon Avenue lines should therefore be reduced by 120,420 cubic feet.

With regards to yourself and all the boys, I am,

Very truly yours,

Assistant Manager.

FMF:K

142

CUYAMACA WATER COMPANY

Statement Showing Miner's Inches of So-called Water Right Contracts Outstanding and Approximate Areas Irrigated.

Tract or District	Miner's Inches	Acres Irrigated	
El Cajon Avenue Lines	92.92	565.80	
Granada Tract	1.00	1.50	
Hilton Pipe Line	4.50	54.30	
Chollas Mutual Water Company	4.17	33.00	
DeWitt Tract	1.02	0.30	
Fruitvale Tract	0.74	6.80	
Helix Mutual Water Company	30.45	353.30	
Johnson Pipe Line	4.00	18.70	
Magruder Tract	0.41	0.40	
Marlett Tract	0.55	2.50	
Orchard Tract	0.34	1.20	
Outlook Terrace Mutual Water Company	0.44	2.60	
Petaluma Tract	0.13	0.50	
Waverly Tract	0.13	0.50	
Wheeler Tract	0.10	0.50	
La Mesa Mutual Water Company	1.03	2.80	
Lemon Grove Mutual Water Company	45.21	534.90	
Wentworth Flume	1.00	0.00	#
Hoover Pipe Line	8.83	113.10	
Lakeside Flume	20.00	168.70	
Hawley Pipe Line	44.42	355.10	
Hillsdale Flume	9.75	102.40	
Cresson Flume	11.00	190.90	
Main Flume	93.06	1,183.80	
Totals	375.20	3,693.60	

= No irrigation in 1915. In former years 21.2 acres were irrigated, some irrigation being done in 1916.

142

October 14, 1916.

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
% California Railroad Commission,
833 Market Street,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

Below I give you the contents of Morena Reservoir as shown by the City records from July 20, which was the date on which the City commenced using Morena water, to October 1st. Figures are given in thousand gallon units.

July	20,	13,676,200
	26,	13,629,200
August	1,	13,578,200
	8,	13,513,900
	16,	13,383,400
	24,	13,266,300
September	1,	13,186,900
	8,	13,074,000
	16,	12,959,000
	24,	12,918,100
October	1,	12,819,900

No figures are available to show the loss in the creek channel between Morena and Otay, but the probability is that this loss is very small as the ground water plane this season has been very close to the surface.

142

The total consumption of water inside and outside the City for the month of July was 301 million gallons or an average of 9.7 million gallons per day.

During August the total was 320 million or 10.3 million per day.

In September the total was 285 million or 9.5 million per day.

Yours very truly,

FMF:K

Assistant Manager.

December 4, 1916

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
833 Market Street,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

We have just received statement of State and County taxes for 1917. These amount to $2,926.69 for the year. This is considerably more than the taxes have ever amounted to before and there is no chance of securing a reduction.

You will remember that in your estimate for proper Maintenance and Operation charges there was $2,601 allowed for this purpose. As the actual amount for 1917 is so much greater, I trust that you can see your way clear to recommend to the Commission that our allowance for maintenance and operation charges be made high enough to take care of this increase.

With regards to Mrs. Hawley and yourself, I am,

Very truly yours,

FMF:K

Assistant Manager.

142

Col. Ed Fletcher:

Please note.

F M Faude

June 7, 1917.

Railroad Commission,
State of California,
833 Market St.,
San Francisco, Calif.

Attention Mr. R. W. Hawley

Dear Sirs:

Referring to your enquiry regarding the amount of water furnished to the La Mesa Mutual Water Company for the months of April and May, 1916, and the corresponding months for 1917, we beg to submit to you the following figures:

	Cu. ft.	Amount
April, 1916	91,140	$103.27
May, "	111,048	134.60
April, 1917	71,100	87.80
May, "	208,271	209.10

Yours very truly,

CUYAMACA WATER COMPANY,

Secretary

July 6, 1917.

State Railroad Commission,
833 Market St.,
San Francisco, Calif.

Attention Mr. R. W. Hawley
Application 1331

Dear Sir:

Answering yours of July 2nd, will say that we are satisfied that as it becomes known more water will be sold. We have had new applications for irrigation water as follows:

May, 1917 8
June, 1917 18

while the cancellations are as follows:

May, 1917 0
June, 1917 3

As the present rates which are in force are the rates established by the Commission, and as it is only fair to the company to give those rates fair trial, we certainly ask that all the rates be left exactly as they are -- at least for this season, until we can know something definite.

Yours very truly,

CUYAMACA WATER COMPANY,

F-S

Manager

Col. F.

March 20, 1918

Mr. R. W. Hawley,
Chief Hydraulic Engineer.
State Railroad Commission,
San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Hawley:-

I am sending you by Wells Fargo for your examination and approval a set of blue line prints and a set of Specifications of the plans of the proposed Diversion Dam to be built near the Old Diversion Dam on the San Diego River, to store the waters of the San Diego River for the Cuyamaca Water Company.

These plans and specifications are practically the identical of those used for the Lake Hodges Dam which is about the same height and somewhat shorter, so that in adopting these plans, I have used an approved design and also one which will be practically the most economical that can be used at the site.

In the Lake Hodges design, which has been approved by the Santa Fe Engineering Department as well as by the State Engineering Department, all parts of the work have been checked and rechecked so that this work is practically free from errors of any kind.

Col. Fletcher is very anxious to have the approval of these plans at as early a date as possible for the reason that they will be forwarded to Washington when approved and when so approved will be a great aid to the War Department as this project is to be used in connection with a certain water supply for the Department. I understand from Col. Fletcher that Mr. Murray and Mr. Henshaw have both agreed to finance this work and it is urgent that the plans be approved as soon as possible as it has a strong bearing on the matter of a water supply for the Army.

I am writing you thus fully in order to give you the reason for the haste in this matter. Mr. Murray is expected here soon and Col. Fletcher is anxious to have the whole matter ready when he arrives for the reason that he wishes to have everything ready for submission to him at once.

The Murray Dam is completed and is in service and now has over 76 feet of water behind it and it should be passed on by yourself as soon as convenient as a matter of justice to the contractors who are awaiting their final payment pending the acceptance of the Dam by the owners and the State Railroad Commission Engineering Department.

Yours

I will ask that you kindly keep matters relating to this project strictly confidential for the reason that they are of vital importance to the Cuyamaca Company as well as to the War Department.

Kindly return the plans in care of Col. Ed Fletcher, 920 Eighth St., San Diego, California.

Very truly yours,

John S. Eastwood

JSE:BK Consulting Engineer.

July 25, 1921.

State Railroad Commission, Attention Mr. Monette.
Flood Building,
San Francisco, Calif.

My dear Mr. Monette:

Answering your inquiry, will say that on the first of April, we owed the First National Bank and the Gas Company roughly, $35,000. We have paid off all but $12,000 of this amount out of our revenues, derived from the City since the first of April of this year. The First National Bank insisted upon our paying them the money, and we could not borrow another dollar.

Mr. Murray, as you know, has not put a dollar into the Cuyamaca System for the last two years. It must stand on its own bottom from now on, as it is in the hands of an estate with endless litigation in sight.

The Cuyamaca System has never paid a dividend, as you know. The City is only buying water from us for six months under a contract, and it expires on October first. However, they have no hesitancy in cancelling a contract, if it is for their best interests, and I noticed in the paper the other day, that they were considering shutting off water from the Cuyamaca System any day, owing to lack of finances. We will be very fortunate if the City continues to take water up to the first of October.

I don't see now how I am going to finance the improvements, roughly costing, $60,000, which absolutely must be made before the first of next December. We have already completedthe reconstruction of the South Fork diverting dam, the repairs to the Chocolate and Sand Creek siphons. Betterments to our Monte Pumping Plant, a thousand dollars to new equipment, which had

December 11, 1922

File 120-20

Mr. M. E. Reddy,
Hydraulic Department,
State Railroad Commission,
Flood Building,
San Francisco.

My dear Mr. Reddy:

Enclosed find copy of report regarding the water supply just south of Beverly that may be of interest. The plan now is to have the Beverly Hills people take over the distribution system of the Rodeo Water Company and make a 20-year contract with them for water.

With kind personal regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

EF:KLM

to be repaired, and the flume repairs are under way at the present time, including an order for sufficient rubberoid roofing with burlap center, to put our flume in good condition.

I don't know now where I am going to get the money to finance the completion of this work, but it must be done. There is every indication that we will not get sufficient revenue, excluding sales to the City, to even take care of our operation and depreciation. The question is, will we ever declare a dividend, on our capital investment, and are we entitled to it?

Very sincerely yours,

EF:AH

Ed Fletcher Papers

1870-1955

MSS.81

Box: 10 Folder: 17

General Correspondence - Hawley, R.W.

Copyright: UC Regents

Use: This work is available from the UC San Diego Libraries. This digital copy of the work is intended to support research, teaching, and private study.

Constraints: This work is protected by the U.S. Copyright Law (Title 17, U.S.C.). Use of this work beyond that allowed by "fair use" requires written permission of the UC Regents. Permission may be obtained from the UC SanDiego Libraries department having custody of the work (http://libraries.ucsd.edu/collections/mscl/). Responsibility for obtaining permissions and any use and distribution of this work rests exclusively with the user and not the UC San Diego Libraries.