

To Mr. Hall

There are no Courts in your Father's Deed to  
bead, that can affect the State

The Deed is dated in - 1827. Since which time  
Several Quinding Bills have passed viz one 1828  
that I think does not touch this case, one other  
Act in 1731. No one a prockend this to be within of  
the Act passed in 1731. the words of which  
are very general. I am of opinion the Legislature  
never intended to put it in the power of the  
Husband to give away the Wives Land without  
her concurrence or that a stranger should by  
Deed & seven years possession under it, but  
the for a prockend of this State, who may be an evidence  
things of the Act for securing Quinding Quindments  
passed in 1733 that then the Legislature did not take it  
in the general sense of the words of Act of 1731  
How ever as there have been ~~some~~ Judge  
=ments as yet by ~~some~~ as the words I cannot  
bring you to any settle - I am for

18th Sep. 1737

your most obedt  
servt  
And. A. C. C. C.

**Hall Family Papers and Sugar Plantation Records**

**1709 - 1892**

**MSS.0220**

**Box: 3 Folder: 24**

**LEGAL DOCUMENTS - Cases with Legal Opinions  
- Legal opinion for an unidentified case - Opinion  
by Andrew Arcedeckne, 1747 September 22**



**Copyright:** UC Regents

**Use:** This work is available from the UC San Diego Libraries. This digital copy of the work is intended to support research, teaching, and private study.

**Constraints:** This work is protected by the U.S. Copyright Law (Title 17, U.S.C.). Use of this work beyond that allowed by "fair use" requires written permission of the UC Regents. Permission may be obtained from the UC San Diego Libraries department having custody of the work (<http://libraries.ucsd.edu/collections/mscl/>). Responsibility for obtaining permissions and any use and distribution of this work rests exclusively with the user and not the UC San Diego Libraries.